

1
2
3
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

6 * * *

7 MANUEL ARJON ALEMAN,

8 Petitioner,

9 v.

10 MARKWAYNE MULLIN, *et al.*,

11 Respondents.
12

Case No. 2:26-cv-00940-RFB-NJK

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

13 Petitioner Manuel Arjon Aleman, an immigration detainee, has filed a counseled Petition
14 for a Writ of Habeas Corpus (ECF No. 1) and a motion for a preliminary injunction (ECF No. 2).
15 Through them, he challenges the lawfulness of his ongoing detention at Nevada Southern
16 Detention Center in the custody of Federal Respondents. The Court has reviewed the Petition and
17 preliminarily finds Petitioner can likely demonstrate that his circumstances warrant the same relief
18 as this Court ordered for Petitioners Mena-Vargas and Reyes-Lopez in Escobar Salgado v. Mattos,
19 No. 2:25-cv-01872-RFB-EJY, 2025 WL 3205356 (D. Nev. Nov. 17, 2025) (No. 25).

20 Therefore, Respondents are **ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE** why the Writ should not be
21 granted. See 28 U.S.C. § 2243. Respondents shall file, in writing, a **(i) notice of appearance** and
22 **(ii) “a return certifying the true cause of detention”** on or before **April 2, 2026**. See id.
23 Petitioner may file a traverse on or before **April 6, 2026**.

24 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** the Parties shall indicate in their briefing whether they
25 request oral argument or an evidentiary hearing on the Petition. The Court is amenable to ruling
26 on the papers if the Parties indicate that they are willing to waive a hearing. If Respondents do not
27 plan to offer new arguments—*i.e.*, arguments which have not been addressed by this Court—they
28 may: indicate as much, reference their previous briefing, and reserve their appellate rights. They

1 may not, however, incorporate briefing in a manner that would circumvent the page limits under
2 LSR 3-2 without leave. Respondents should file any referenced briefing as an attachment for
3 Petitioner’s Counsel’s review.

4 Additionally, the Court finds Petitioner has established a *prima facie* case for relief and
5 that ordering Respondents to produce documents reflecting the basis for their detention of
6 Petitioner is necessary for the Court to “dispose of the matter as law and justice require.” See
7 Harris v. Nelson, 394 U.S. 286, 290 (1969) (holding that “a district court, confronted by a petition
8 for habeas corpus which establishes a *prima facie* case for relief, may use or authorize the use of
9 suitable discovery procedures . . . reasonably fashioned to elicit facts necessary to help the court
10 to ‘dispose of the matter as law and justice require’”) (citing 28 U.S.C. § 2243). Accordingly,
11 pursuant to Rule 6 of the Rules Governing § 2254 Cases—which the Court, in its discretion,
12 applies to this § 2241 matter, see § 2254 Rule 1(b)—the Court finds good cause to order Federal
13 Respondents to produce relevant documents in their possession, custody, or control.

14 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** Federal Respondents must file the following documents
15 with their Return: (1) I-200 Warrant for Arrest of Alien; (2) Form I-286 Initial Custody
16 Determination; (3) Form I-862 Notice to Appear; (4) Form I-213 Record of Deportable or
17 Inadmissible Alien; (5) all immigration court orders in Petitioner’s removal proceedings; (6)
18 documents reflecting any appeal of any immigration court orders by Department of Homeland
19 Security or Petitioner; (7) transcripts and/or audio recordings of any custody redetermination
20 proceedings. Alternatively, Federal Respondents must **CERTIFY** in their Return that any of the
21 listed documents are not in their possession, custody, or control.

22 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** Local Rules 7-2, 7-3, and 7-4 will govern the requirements
23 and scheduling of all other motions filed by either party.

24 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** the Parties shall file all documents and exhibits in
25 accordance with Local Rules LR IA 10-1 through 10-5.

26 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** the Parties must meet and confer regarding any requests
27 for an extension of deadlines and stipulate to the extension if possible. Any motion for extension
28 must certify efforts taken to meet and confer and indicate the opposing party’s position regarding

1 the extension. Any motion or stipulation must comply with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6(b)
2 and Local Rules IA 6-1, 6-2.

3 To preserve this Court's jurisdiction over the pending § 2241 Petition, **IT IS FURTHER**
4 **ORDERED** that Respondents shall not transfer Petitioner to a detention center outside of this
5 judicial district, pending further order of the Court. This Court has "express authority under the
6 All Writs Act to issue such temporary injunctions as may be necessary to protect its own
7 jurisdiction." See 28 U.S.C. § 1651(a) (establishing the All Writs Act which empowers federal
8 courts to "issue all writs necessary or appropriate in aid of their respective jurisdictions"); F.T.C.
9 v. Dean Foods Co., 384 U.S. 597, 601 (1966) (emphasizing that federal courts have the power "to
10 preserve the court's jurisdiction or maintain the *status quo* by injunction pending review of an
11 agency's action"); see also Al Otro Lado v. Wolf, 952 F.3d 999, 1007 n.6 (9th Cir. 2020) ("Having
12 concluded that [agency action] would interfere with the court's jurisdiction . . . , the district court
13 properly issued an injunction under the All Writs Act.") (citing 28 U.S.C. § 1651(a)). The Court
14 finds Petitioner's removal from the United States District of Nevada, or more broadly, the United
15 States, could interfere with the Court's jurisdiction over his claims and with the Court's ability to
16 expeditiously resolve the Petition. The Court thus finds this Order is warranted to maintain the
17 *status quo* pending this Court's review of the Petition.

18 ///

19 ///

20 ///

21 ///

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Clerk of Court is kindly instructed to:

2 1. **ADD** the United States Attorney for the District of Nevada to the docket as an Interested
3 Party. Pursuant to the District of Nevada's General Order 2026-03 (Feb 13, 2026), this constitutes
4 service on all federal respondents under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4 and 28 U.S.C. § 2243.

5 2. **ADD** Counsel for Respondent John Mattos at ahesman@strucklove.com to the docket.
6 Then, **SEND** them a copy of the Petition (ECF No. 1), Motion (ECF No. 2), attachments (ECF
7 Nos. 1-1, 1-2, 1-3, 1-4), and this Order through CM/ECF.

8 3. **MAIL** a copy of the Petition (ECF No. 1), Motion (ECF No. 2), attachments (ECF Nos.
9 1-1, 1-2, 1-3, 1-4), and this Order pursuant to Rule 4(i)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure
10 to Respondent John Mattos at Nevada Southern Detention Center, 2190 E. Mesquite Ave.,
11 Pahrump, NV 89060.

12
13 **DATED:** March 30, 2026



14 **RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II**
15 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**