

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
EL PASO DIVISION

CANDELARIO RINCON CHAVERO,  
Petitioner,

v.

PAMELA JO BONDI, *Attorney General of  
the United States, et al.*,  
Respondents.

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

EP-25-CV-00638-DB

**ORDER**

On this day, the Court considered the above-captioned case. On December 10, 2025, Petitioner Candelario Rincon Chavero filed a “Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus,” ECF No. 1. Therein, Petitioner asked this Court to “a. [a]ssume jurisdiction over this matter; b. [o]rder that Petitioner shall not be transferred outside the Western District of Texas while this habeas petition is pending; c. [i]ssue an Order to Show Cause ordering Respondents to show cause why this Petition should not be granted within three days; d. [i]ssue a Writ of Habeas Corpus requiring that Respondents release Petitioner or, in the alternative, provide Petitioner with a bond hearing pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1226(a) within seven days; e. [d]eclare that Petitioner’s detention is unlawful; f. [a]ward Petitioner attorney’s fees and costs under the Equal Access to Justice Act (“EAJA”), as amended, 28 U.S.C. § 2412, and on any other basis justified under law; and g. [g]rant any other and further relief that this Court deems just and proper.” *Id.* at 14–15. On December 18, 2025, the Court issued its memorandum order and opinion wherein the following orders issued:

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that Petitioner Candelario Rincon Chavero’s “Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus,” ECF No. 1, is **GRANTED IN PART**.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** Respondents **SHALL PROVIDE** Petitioner with a bond hearing before an immigration judge **no later than December 22, 2025** at which the government

shall bear the burden of justifying, by clear and convincing evidence, the dangerousness or flight risk for Petitioner’s continued detention; or (2) release Petitioner from custody, under reasonable conditions of supervision, during the pendency of his removal proceedings.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** Respondents **SHALL FILE** an advisory informing the Court when the bond hearing will be held in accordance with the preceding order **no later than December 19, 2025.**

**IT IS FINALLY ORDERED** Respondents **SHALL FILE** an advisory informing the Court, in detail, of the reasons for the IJ’s bond hearing decision **no later than December 23, 2025.**

ECF No. 8 at 11–12. On December 19, 2025, Respondents filed an Advisory to the Court, ECF No. 9. Therein, Respondents advised that Petitioner was “set for a bond hearing on December 22, 2025, at 11:00 a.m.” *Id.* at 1. On December 23, 2025, Respondents filed a Status Report, ECF No. 10, advising the Court that “the Immigration Judge granted Petitioner release upon the posting of a bond of \$2,500.00” and that “ICE was in the process of processing the bond.” *Id.* at 1. However, later that day, Respondents advised the Court that Petitioner was unable to post bond due to various technical and communication errors. *See* ECF No. 11 at 1. The Court received no further updates after this. As a result, the Court contacted Petitioner’s attorney on January 5, 2026. Petitioner’s attorney confirmed that Petitioner had successfully posted bond and had been released.

Accordingly, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** the parties **SHALL CONFER AND FILE** a notice informing the Court whether any matters remain to be resolved in this case by no later than January 13, 2026.

**SIGNED** this **6th** day of **January 2026.**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
**THE HONORABLE DAVID BRIONES**  
**SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**