

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
EL PASO DIVISION

**DIEGO ARMANDO JUAREZ  
MONROY,**

**Petitioner,**

v.

**PAMELA BONDI et al.,**

**Respondents.**

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

**CAUSE NO. EP-26-CV-198-KC**

**SHOW CAUSE ORDER**

On this day, the Court considered Diego Armando Juarez Monroy’s Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus, ECF No. 1. Juarez Monroy is detained at the ERO El Paso Camp East Montana facility in El Paso, Texas. *Id.* ¶ 7. He argues that his detention is unlawful and asks the Court to order his release or a bond hearing. *Id.* ¶¶ 27–48.

Juarez Monroy has been in the country for about twenty years and was apprehended and detained by immigration authorities for the first time on January 11, 2026, in Minnesota. *Id.* ¶¶ 13, 15. As alleged, his case appears materially indistinguishable from several others in which this Court has found a procedural due process violation. *See, e.g., Martinez v. Noem*, No. 3:25-cv-430-KC, 2025 WL 2965859, at \*1–5 (W.D. Tex. Oct. 21, 2025). Of course, Respondents are nevertheless permitted to state their position before a ruling. In doing so, Respondents are encouraged to avoid boilerplate arguments that this Court has already rejected. Absent new authority, they may assume that the Court’s position on the law has not changed and explain whether the facts of Juarez Monroy’s case warrant a different outcome.

Accordingly, Respondents are **ORDERED** to **SHOW CAUSE** by **no later than February 4, 2026**, why the application for a writ of habeas corpus should not be granted. *See*

*Lopez-Arevelo v. Ripa*, No. 3:25-cv-337-KC, 2025 WL 3254930, at \*2 & n.1 (W.D. Tex. Aug. 26, 2025). The Court will set this matter for a hearing and order additional briefing deadlines, if necessary, upon review of the show cause response.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that to the extent Respondents have not been served, the Clerk of the Court shall **SERVE** copies of the Petition and this Order upon Respondents through their counsel. *See* Habeas Rule 4 (“[T]he clerk must serve a copy of the petition and any order on the respondent[s] . . .”).

**SO ORDERED.**

**SIGNED this 28th day of January, 2026.**

  
KATHLEEN CARDONE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE