

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ARNOLDO JERONIMO PEREZ,
Petitioner,
v.
JAREMY CASEY, Administrator of
Imperial Detention Center; GREGORY J.
ARCHAMBEAULT, Field Officer
Director for the San Diego Immigration
and Customs Enforcement Office; TODD
LYONS, Acting Director of United States
Immigration and Customs Enforcement;
KRISTI NOEM, Secretary of the United
States Department of Homeland Security;
and PAMELA BONDI, Attorney General
of the United States, acting in their official
capacities,
Respondents.

Case No.: 26-cv-0655-JES-BLM

ORDER:

- (1) REQUIRING A RESPONSE TO PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS;**
- (2) SETTING BRIEFING SCHEDULE; and**
- (3) STAYING REMOVAL OF PETITIONER TO PRESERVE JURISDICTION**

[ECF No. 1]

Before the Court is Petitioner Arnolando Jeronimo Perez’s Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241 (“Petition”), filed on February 3, 2026. ECF No. 1.

Respondents are **ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE** as to why the Petition should not be granted by filing a Response no later than 5:00 p.m. on **Friday, February 6, 2026**. The Response shall include **any documents relevant to the determination of the issues**

1 **raised in the Petition** and address whether an evidentiary hearing on the Petition is
2 necessary. Respondents **SHALL SERVE** a copy of the Response on the Petitioner.
3 Petitioner may file an optional Traverse in support of the Petition no later than 5:00 p.m.
4 on **Monday, February 9, 2026**. After the petition has been fully briefed, the Court will
5 determine whether it is appropriate to take the matter under submission or if oral argument
6 will be required.

7 To preserve the Court’s jurisdiction pending a ruling in this matter, Petitioner shall
8 not be removed from this District unless and until the Court orders otherwise. *See Doe v.*
9 *Bondi*, Case. No. 25-cv-805-BJC-JLB, 2025 WL 1870979 at *2 (S.D. Cal. June 11, 2025)
10 (“Federal courts retain jurisdiction to preserve the status quo while determining whether it
11 has subject matter jurisdiction over a case and while a petition is pending resolution from
12 the court.”) (citing cases); *A.M. v. LaRose et al.*, 25-cv-01412, ECF No. 2 (S.D. Cal. June
13 4, 2025) (“Pursuant to Petitioner’s request for a Temporary restraining order, the Court
14 hereby (1) RESTRAINS and ENJOINS Respondents, their agents, employees, successors,
15 attorneys, and all persons in active concert and participation with them, from removing
16 Petitioner A.M. from the United States or this District pending further order of this Court”);
17 *see also A.A.R.P v. Trump*, 605 U.S. 91, 97 (2025) (Federal courts have “the power to issue
18 injunctive relief to prevent irreparable harm to the applicant and to preserve [] jurisdiction
19 over the matter.”); *Nguyen v. Scott*, No. 2:25-CV-01398, 2025 WL 2097979, at *3 (W.D.
20 Wash. July 25, 2025) (enjoining the Respondents from removing Petitioner without
21 approval from the court).

22 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

23 Dated: February 3, 2026

24 

25 Honorable James E. Simmons Jr.
26 United States District Judge