

ENTERED

November 19, 2025

Nathan Ochsner, Clerk

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
BROWNSVILLE DIVISION

PHUC H¹,
Petitioner,

v.

KRISTI NOEM, *et al.*,
Respondents.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:25-cv-246

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 2243

Before the Court is Petitioner Phuc H’s “Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241.” Dkt. No. 1. Petitioner, a citizen of Vietnam, claims he is “in custody in violation of federal law and the Constitution.” Dkt. No. 1, p. 10. Petitioner’s allegations raise a genuine question as to whether his continued detention violates federal law and the U.S. Constitution. Because it does not plainly appear that Petitioner is not entitled to the requested relief, the Court must “forthwith award the writ [of habeas corpus] or issue an order directing the respondent to show cause why the writ should not be granted[.]” 28 U.S.C. § 2243

Accordingly, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2243, Respondents are **ORDERED** to show cause why the writ of habeas corpus should not be granted **no later than 20 days after service upon Respondents** of this § 2241 petition. If Petitioner chooses to file a reply to Respondents’ response to this order, Petitioner must do so **no later than 15 days** after receiving Respondents’ response.

At this time, the Court finds good cause exists to forego setting a hearing until the issues in the case are fully briefed.

Signed on November 19, 2025.



Karen Betancourt
United States Magistrate Judge

¹ Due to significant privacy concerns in immigration cases and noting that judicial opinions are not subject to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 5.2, any opinion, order, judgment, or other disposition in this case will refer to the petitioner only by first name and last initial.