

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
CIVIL MINUTES—GENERAL

Case No. **EDCV 26-01415-KK-ADSx**

Date: March 25, 2026

Title: ***Cong Yin v. Markwayne Mullin et al.***

Present: The Honorable **KENLY KIYA KATO**, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dominique Carr

Not Reported

Deputy Clerk

Court Reporter

Attorney(s) Present for Plaintiff(s):

Attorney(s) Present for Defendant(s):

None Present

None Present

Proceedings: (In Chambers) Order CONVERTING Petitioner’s Motion for Temporary Restraining Order [Dkt. 3] to Motion for Preliminary Injunction and SETTING Briefing Schedule

The Court is in receipt of Petitioner’s Motion (“Motion”) for Temporary Restraining Order. ECF Docket No. (“Dkt.”) 3, Motion. On its own motion, the Court hereby **CONVERTS** the Petitioner’s Motion into a Motion for Preliminary Injunction and **ORDERS** the following briefing schedule:

Respondents shall submit an Opposition **no later than March 27, 2026 at 12:00 p.m.** Petitioner may submit a Reply, if any, **no later than March 30, 2026 at 12:00 p.m.**

Additionally, to preserve the Court’s jurisdiction pending a ruling in this matter, and in light of Petitioner’s interest in participating in further proceedings before this Court and is maintaining adequate access to legal counsel through these proceedings, **IT IS ORDERED** that Petitioner shall not be removed from the Central District of California pending resolution of this litigation. See Cal. Energy Comm’n v. Johnson, 767 F.2d 631, 634 (9th Cir. 1985) (“The All Writs Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1651(a), empowers the federal courts to issue writs of mandamus necessary to protect their prospective jurisdiction.”); United States v. United Mine Workers of Am., 330 U.S. 258, 293 (1947) (concluding the district court “had the power to preserve existing conditions while it was determining its own authority to grant injunctive relief”).

Failure to comply with this Order will result in sanctions.

IT IS SO ORDERED.