

---

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

**CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL**

Case No. 5:26-cv-00835-FWS-E

Date: March 9, 2026

Title: Hani Labib Karas v. David Marin

---

---

Present: **HONORABLE FRED W. SLAUGHTER, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**

Rolls Royce Paschal  
Deputy Clerk

N/A  
Court Reporter

Attorneys Present for Petitioner:

Attorneys Present for Respondent:

Not Present

Not Present

**PROCEEDINGS: (IN CHAMBERS) ORDER DISCHARGING THE COURT’S ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE; CONTINUING BOTH THE DEADLINE FOR PETITIONER TO FILE A REPLY AND HEARING ON WHETHER A PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION SHOULD ISSUE**

On February 24, 2026, the court granted Petitioner Hani Labib Karas’s Application for Temporary Restraining Order and Preliminary Injunction. (Dkt. 8 (“TRO”).) The court ordered the following: (1) Respondent David Marin, Warden of Adelanto Immigration and Customs Enforcement Detention Facility “to release Petitioner from custody on or before February 26, 2026, at 1:00 p.m. Pacific Standard Time” “under the terms and conditions existing as if Petitioner had not been detained”; (2) “Respondent to provide Petitioner with a copy of th[e] [TRO] on or before February 26, 2026, at 1:00 p.m. Pacific Standard Time”; (3) Respondent “to show cause in writing on or before March 2, 2026, as to why the court should not issue a preliminary injunction”; and (4) “Petitioner may file a response on or before March 6, 2026.” (*Id.* at 7.) A hearing on the issue of whether a preliminary injunction should issue was set for March 12, 2026, at 10:00 a.m., in Courtroom 10D. (*Id.*)

The same day, Respondent filed a Notice of Appearance of Counsel Patrick J. Kearney. (Dkt. 7.) Respondent did not show cause in writing as to why the court should not issue a preliminary injunction in this case by the court’s deadline. On March 4, 2026, the court ordered Respondent’s counsel Patrick J. Kearney to file a response to the TRO on or before March 5, 2026, at 10:00 a.m., and also address whether Respondent complied with court’s order that Respondent “release Petitioner from custody on or before February 26, 2026, at 1:00 p.m. Pacific Standard Time” and “provide Petitioner with a copy of th[e] [TRO] on or before

---

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

**CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL**

Case No. 5:26-cv-00835-FWS-E

Date: March 9, 2026

Title: Hani Labib Karas v. David Marin

---

February 26, 2026, at 1:00 p.m. Pacific Standard Time.” (Dkt. 13 (“Compliance Order”) at 1.) The court warned Mr. Kearney that the next failure to comply with the court’s orders will result in the court ordering Mr. Kearney to show cause why Mr. Kearney should not be sanctioned for subsequent failures to comply with the court’s orders. Mr. Kearney did not comply with the TRO or Compliance Order. The court then issued an Order to Show Cause in writing why Respondent’s counsel should not be sanctioned for failing to comply with the TRO and Compliance Order. (Dkt. 14 (“OSC”).)

Respondent filed a response to the court’s OSC. (Dkt. 16 (“Response”).) Respondent states that Respondent partially failed to comply with the court’s TRO due to an inadvertent calendaring error. (*Id.* at 2.) Respondent further states that Respondent timely complied with order to release Petitioner on February 25, 2026. (*Id.*)

Based on the state of the record, including the Response, the court **DISCHARGES** the court’s OSC. The court **CONTINUES** the deadline for Petitioner to file a reply regarding the issuance of a preliminary injunction to and including **March 19, 2026**. The court also **CONTINUES** the hearing on whether a preliminary injunction should issue to **March 26, 2026, at 10:00 a.m., in Courtroom 10D**.