

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

* * *

VICTOR IVAN GARCIA-DELGADILLO

Petitioner,

JASON KNIGHT, *et al.*,

Respondents.

Case No. 3:26-cv-00260-RFB-CLB

**ORDER VACATING (ECF NO. 3)
TRANSFER ORDER**

On April 10, 2026, the undersigned transferred this case from the originally assigned Judge Miranda M. Du to Judge Richard F. Boulware, II. See ECF No. 3. The transfer was pursuant to Local Rule 42-1 and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 42(a), based on a determination from the face of the (ECF No. 1-1) *pro se* Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus that Petitioner was seeking relief pursuant to Judge Boulware’s class-wide grant of Partial Summary Judgment in Jacobo Ramirez v. Mullin, No. 2:25-CV-02136-RFB-MDC, 2026 WL 879799 (D. Nev. Mar. 30, 2026), such that transfer would likely result in substantial savings of judicial effort and reduce the duplication of labor. See id.

On May 4, 2026, Petitioner filed a counseled Amended Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus, which indicates Petitioner received a bond hearing pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1226(a) and 8 C.F.R. § 1236 on April 1, 2026, and was denied release on bond because “[t]he respondent has not demonstrated he is not a danger to the community.” See Am. Pet., Ex. A, ECF No. 9-1. The Jacobo-Ramirez Partial Summary Judgment was on statutory and regulatory grounds, while the class-wide due process claim has not yet been litigated on the merits. Jacobo-Ramirez, 2026 WL 879799, at *2 (“Plaintiffs seek partial summary judgment and corresponding classwide relief on their claims that the government’s new detention policies are unlawful under the [Immigration and

1 Nationality Act] and its implementing regulations . . . Plaintiffs also claim that the policies are
2 ‘arbitrary and capricious’ under the APA (Ground III) and violate their due process rights under
3 the Fifth Amendment (Ground IV), but they do not currently seek summary judgment on those
4 claims.’’) Jacobo-Ramirez, 2026 WL 879799, at *2. The Amended Petition seeks relief beyond the
5 scope of the Jacobo-Ramirez Partial Summary Judgment, based on, *inter alia*, an assertion that the
6 appropriate remedy for Petitioner’s unlawful arrest and detention under 8 U.S.C. § 1225(b)(2)(A)
7 is release from custody, and the bond hearing and bond determination Petitioner received on April
8 1, 2026, was constitutionally inadequate and clearly erroneous. See generally, Am. Pet., ECF No.
9 9.

10 Based on the above, the undersigned find that judicial economy is not served by the
11 assignment of this case to Judge Boulware, so the basis for the original transfer is no longer
12 applicable. Pursuant to the Court’s inherent authority to manage its docket, the undersigned agree
13 that this case will be reassigned to Judge Du for all further proceedings. See Dietz v. Bouldin, 579
14 U.S. 40, 47 (2016).

15 Therefore, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the (ECF No. 3) Transfer Order is
16 **VACATED**.

17 The Clerk of Court is kindly instructed to reassign this Case No. 3:26-cv-260-RFB-CLB
18 back to Judge Miranda Du. The Clerk of Court is further instructed to amend the case caption and
19 docket to reflect the parties named in the (ECF No. 9) Amended Petition.

20 **DATED:** May 11, 2026.

21 

22 _____
23 **RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II**
24 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**

21 

22 _____
23 **MIRANDA M. DU**
24 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**