

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CARLOS ERNESTO DE JESUS
SANCHEZ,

Petitioner,

v.

CHRISTOPHER CHESTNUT, et al.,

Respondents.

No. 1:26-cv-02299-DJC-CKD

ORDER

A# 246-539-447

Petitioner Carlos Ernesto De Jesus Sanchez is an immigration detainee proceeding with a Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (ECF No. 1) and Motion for Temporary Restraining Order (ECF No. 2). The Court has previously addressed the legal issues raised in Count 1 of the Petition. See *M.B. v. Noem*, 1:26-cv-00005-DJC-AC, 2026 WL 74155 (E.D. Cal. Jan. 9, 2026); *Oli v. Andrews*, No. 1:26-cv-00477-DJC-AC, 2026 WL 253425 (E.D. Cal. Jan 30, 2026).

The Court informed the parties that it intended to rule directly on the petition and ordered Respondents to show cause as to whether there are any factual or legal issues in this case that distinguish it from the Court’s prior orders. (ECF No. 4.) Respondents state that they “. . . agree that the factual and legal issues present here are not substantively distinguishable from the aforementioned cases” (ECF No. 8 at 2.) Neither party objected to the Court ruling directly on the merits of the petition.

Accordingly, as Respondents have not made any new legal arguments and have not identified any factual or legal issues in this case that would distinguish it from

1 the Court's prior decisions cited above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Petition for
2 Writ of Habeas Corpus (ECF No. 1) is GRANTED as to Count 1, for the reasons stated
3 in those prior orders.¹

4 Respondents are ORDERED to immediately release Petitioner Carlos Ernesto
5 De Jesus Sanchez from their custody. Respondents shall not impose any additional
6 restrictions on Petitioner, unless that is determined to be necessary at a future pre-
7 deprivation/custody hearing. Respondents are permanently ENJOINED AND
8 RESTRAINED from re-arresting or re-detaining Petitioner absent compliance with
9 constitutional protections, which include, at a minimum, pre-deprivation notice
10 describing the change of circumstances necessitating Petitioner's arrest and
11 detention, and a timely hearing. At any such hearing, the Government shall bear the
12 burden of establishing, by clear and convincing evidence, that Petitioner poses a
13 danger to the community or a risk of flight, and Petitioner shall be allowed to have
14 their counsel present. This Order does not address the circumstances in which
15 Respondents may detain Petitioner in the event Petitioner becomes subject to an
16 executable final order of removal.

17 The Clerk of the Court is directed to serve California City Detention Center with
18 a copy of this Order.

19 The Clerk of the Court is further directed to close this case and enter judgment
20 for Petitioner. This Order resolves all pending motions.

21
22 IT IS SO ORDERED.

23 Dated: April 3, 2026


Hon. Daniel J. Calabretta

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

24
25
26
27 _____
28 ¹ In the interests of judicial economy, the Court declines to address the remaining grounds for relief in the Petition.