

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DANIEL ALBERTO BLAS SANDOVAL,
Petitioner,
v.
CHRISTOPHER CHESTNUT,
Respondents.

No. 2:26-cv-00679-DJC-SCR

ORDER

Petitioner Daniel Alberto Blas Sandoval is an immigration detainee proceeding with a Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (ECF No. 1). The Court has previously addressed the legal issues raised in the Petition. See *Garcia Mariagua v. Chestnut*, No. 1:25-cv-01744-DJC-CSK, 2025 WL 3551700 (E.D. Cal. Dec. 11, 2025); *Ortega v. Noem*, No. 1:25-cv-01663-DJC-CKD, 2025 WL 3511914 (E.D. Cal. Dec. 8, 2025); *Lopez v. Lyons*, No. 2:25-cv-03174-DJC-CKD, 2025 WL 3124116 (E.D. Cal. Nov. 7, 2025).

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2243, the Court directed Respondent to file a return showing cause why the Court should not grant a writ of habeas corpus and identify any factual or legal issues in this case that distinguish it from the Court’s prior orders. (ECF No. 4.) Respondent “agrees that the factual and legal issues present here are not substantively distinguishable” from the cited cases. (ECF No. 6 at 2.)

1 Accordingly, as Respondents have not made any new legal arguments and
2 have not identified any factual or legal issues in this case that would distinguish it from
3 the Court's prior decisions cited above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Petition for
4 Writ of Habeas Corpus (ECF No. 1) is GRANTED for the reasons stated in those prior
5 orders.

6 Respondents are ORDERED to immediately release Petitioner Daniel Alberto
7 Blas Sandoval from their custody. Respondents shall not impose any additional
8 restrictions on Petitioner, unless that is determined to be necessary at a future pre-
9 deprivation/custody hearing. Respondents are permanently ENJOINED AND
10 RESTRAINED from re-arresting or re-detaining Petitioner absent compliance with
11 constitutional protections, which include, at a minimum, pre-deprivation notice
12 describing the change of circumstances necessitating Petitioner's arrest and
13 detention, and a timely hearing. At any such hearing, the Government shall bear the
14 burden of establishing, by clear and convincing evidence, that Petitioner poses a
15 danger to the community or a risk of flight, and Petitioner shall be allowed to have
16 their counsel present. This Order does not address the circumstances in which
17 Respondents may detain Petitioner in the event Petitioner becomes subject to an
18 executable final order of removal.

19 The Clerk of the Court is directed to close this case and enter judgment for
20 Petitioner. This Order resolves all pending motions.

21
22 IT IS SO ORDERED.

23 Dated: March 5, 2026


24 Hon. Daniel J. Calabretta
25 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

26
27
28