

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

Jose G.A.M.,

Civil No. 26-743 (DWF/DJF)

Petitioner,

v.

**ORDER**

Pamela Bondi, *Attorney General*; Kristi Noem, *Secretary of the U.S. Department of Homeland Security*; Todd M. Lyons, *Acting Director of Immigration and Customs Enforcement*; and David Easterwood, *Acting Director, St. Paul Field Office, Immigration and Customs Enforcement*,

Respondents.

On January 30, 2026, the Court granted Petitioner’s petition for writ of habeas corpus and ordered that Petitioner be released and “*without conditions such as ankle monitors or tracking devices.*” (Doc. No. 8 at 4-5 (emphasis added).) Respondents were also ordered to file an update on or before February 2, 2026 confirming Petitioner’s release. (*Id.* at 4.) Respondents did not file a timely update. The Court gave Respondents a second opportunity to file an update by 2 p.m. on February 5, 2026. (Doc. No. 10.) Respondents did not meet that deadline. Petitioner filed a letter informing the Court that Petitioner has been released from custody but also indicating that at the time of his release, Petitioner was required to sign an “Order of Release on Recognizance” imposing specific conditions on Petitioner. (Doc. No. 12.)

At 4:27 p.m. on February 5, 2026, Respondents filed a status report, indicating that Petitioner was released on February 3, 2026 in Minnesota. (Doc. No. 13.) Respondents also attached a copy of the I-200A that they required Petitioner to sign upon his release from detention. (Doc. No. 13-1.) Respondents assert that Petitioner is in removal proceedings and that these conditions are standard of any noncitizen in removal proceedings. (Doc. No. 13.) Respondents further asserts that the conditions imposed “do not amount to detention, bear little-to-no burden on Petitioner, and are reasonable since Petitioner is in removal proceedings.” (*Id.*)

The Court specifically declared that Petitioner’s detention was unlawful and ordered his release without conditions. Respondents violated that order by imposing conditions on Petitioner’s release. It is improper to impose conditions on someone’s release after they have been unlawfully detained. The Court orders that these conditions be revoked by Respondents.

Accordingly, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that:

1. Respondents are directed to revoke all conditions placed on Petitioner’s release from unlawful detention.
2. Respondents shall file a status report confirming that any and all conditions placed on Petitioner’s release have been revoked, no later than 12 p.m. CT on Monday, February 9, 2026. Respondents must attach any documents that confirm that the conditions were revoked.

3. If Respondents fail to timely file the status report or fail to revoke the release conditions, the Court will issue an order to show cause why Respondents should not be held in contempt, and a contempt hearing shall be scheduled.

Dated: February 6, 2026

s/Donovan W. Frank  
DONOVAN W. FRANK  
United States District Judge