

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS**

LEAGUE OF WOMEN VOTERS OF
MASSACHUSETTS, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

DONALD J. TRUMP, in his official
capacity as President of the United States,
et al.,

Defendants,

STATE OF MISSOURI, STATE OF
ALABAMA, STATE OF FLORIDA,
STATE OF INDIANA, STATE OF
KANSAS, STATE OF LOUISIANA,
STATE OF MONTANA, STATE OF
NEBRASKA, STATE OF OKLAHOMA,
STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA, STATE
OF SOUTH DAKOTA, and STATE OF
TEXAS,

Intervenor Defendants.

Case No. 1:26-cv-11549-IT

**ALABAMA, FLORIDA, INDIANA, KANSAS, LOUISIANA, MISSOURI, MONTANA,
NEBRASKA, OKLAHOMA, SOUTH CAROLINA, SOUTH DAKOTA AND TEXAS'S
MOTION TO INTERVENE**

Pursuant to Rule 24(b)(1)(B) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Alabama, Florida, Indiana, Kansas, Louisiana, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Oklahoma, South Carolina, South Dakota, and Texas (the “Intervener States”) hereby respectfully move for leave to intervene as defendants in the above-captioned action. The Intervener States submit a Proposed Motion to Transfer with this motion.

As set forth in the accompanying memorandum, the Intervener States satisfy each of the requirements for intervention as of right because the motion is timely; the Intervener States have

a concrete interest in receiving the resources ordered in Executive Order No. 14399 and in seeing increased security for mail ballots cast in their elections; these interests would be impaired if Plaintiffs' lawsuit succeeds; and the Intervener States are not adequately represented by the federal government under this case's particular circumstances. The Intervener States also satisfy the requirements for permissive intervention because the motion is timely; the Intervener States will raise defenses that share many common questions with the claims and defenses of the parties; and allowing the Intervener States to intervene will neither delay this litigation nor prejudice anyone.

WHEREFORE, the Intervener States respectfully request that the Court grant their motion for intervention in the above-captioned action.

Date: April 21, 2026

Respectfully submitted,

STEVE MARSHALL
ALABAMA ATTORNEY GENERAL

CATHERINE L. HANAWAY
MISSOURI ATTORNEY GENERAL

/s/ A. Barrett Bowdre
A. Barrett Bowdre*
Solicitor General
STATE OF ALABAMA
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
501 Washington Avenue
Montgomery, Alabama 36104
Telephone: (334) 353-8892
Fax: (334) 353-8400
Barrett.Bowdre@AlabamaAG.gov

/s/ Louis J. Capozzi III
Louis J. Capozzi III, DC Bar No. 90018764*
Solicitor General
J. Michael Patton*
Deputy Solicitor General
Benjamin S. Gilberg*
Deputy Solicitor General
Missouri Attorney General's Office
815 Olive Street, Suite 200
St. Louis, MO 63101
Tel. (573) 645-9662
Fax (573) 751-0774
Louis.Capozzi@ago.mo.gov
Michael.Patton@ago.mo.gov
Benjamin.Gilberg@ago.mo.gov

JAMES UTHMEIER
FLORIDA ATTORNEY GENERAL

THEODORE E. ROKITA
INDIANA ATTORNEY GENERAL

/s/ David M.S. Dewhirst
David M.S. Dewhirst*
Solicitor General
Jason J. Muehlhoff*
Chief Deputy Solicitor General
Office of the Attorney General
PL-01, The Capitol
Tallahassee, FL 32399-1050
(850) 414-3300
david.dewhirst@myfloridalegal.com
jason.muehlhoff@myfloridalegal.com

/s/ James A. Barta
James. A Barta, DC Bar No. 1032613*
Solicitor General
Office of the Indiana Attorney General
302 W. Washington Street
IGC South, Fifth Floor
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2770
Phone: (317) 232-0709
Fax: (317) 232-7979
James.Barta@atg.in.gov

KRIS W. KOBACH
KANSAS ATTORNEY GENERAL

/s/ James R. Rodriguez
James R. Rodriguez*
Assistant Attorney General
Kansas Office of the Attorney General
Topeka, Kansas 66612
Phone: (785) 368-8197
jay.rodriguez@ag.ks.gov

AUSTIN KNUDSEN
MONTANA ATTORNEY GENERAL

/s/ Christian B. Corrigan
Christian B. Corrigan*
Solicitor General
Montana Department of Justice
215 N. Sanders Helena, MT 59601
(406) 444-2707 (o)
Christian.Corrigan@mt.gov

GENTER DRUMMOND
OKLAHOMA ATTORNEY GENERAL

/s/ Garry M. Gaskins, II
Garry M. Gaskins, II*
Solicitor General
Office of the Attorney General of Oklahoma
313 NE Twenty-First St.
Oklahoma City, OK 73105
(405) 521-3921
garry.gaskins@oag.ok.gov

ELIZABETH B. MURRILL
LOUISIANA ATTORNEY GENERAL

/s/ J. Benjamin Aguiñaga
J. Benjamin Aguiñaga*
Solicitor General
Louisiana Department of Justice
1885 N. Third St.
Baton Rouge, LA 70802
(225) 506-3746
AguinagaB@ag.louisiana.gov

MICHAEL T. HILGERS
NEBRASKA ATTORNEY GENERAL

/s/ Cody S. Barnett
Cody S. Barnett*
Solicitor General
Nebraska Department of Justice
1445 K Street, Room 2115
Lincoln, Nebraska 68508
Tel.: (402) 471-2683
Fax: (402) 471-3297
cody.barnett@nebraska.gov

ALAN WILSON
SOUTH CAROLINA ATTORNEY GENERAL

/s/ Joseph D. Spate
Joseph D. Spate*
Deputy Solicitor General
1000 Assembly Street
Columbia, South Carolina 29201
Tel. (803) 734-3371
Fax (803) 734-3677
josephspate@scag.gov

MARTY J. JACKLEY
SOUTH DAKOTA ATTORNEY GENERAL

/s/ Grant Flynn

Grant Flynn*

Assistant Attorney General
South Dakota Office of the Attorney General
1302 East SD Highway 1889,
Suite 1
Pierre, SD 57501-8501
Telephone: (605) 773-3215
Email: grant.flynn@state.sd.us

KEN PAXTON
TEXAS ATTORNEY GENERAL

s/ David Bryant

David Bryant*

Senior Special Counsel
Office of the Attorney General of Texas
Special Litigation Division
P.O. Box 12548, Capitol Station
Austin, Texas 78711-2548
Tel.: (512) 463-2100
david.bryant@oag.texas.gov

/s/ Ian D. Prior

Ian D. Prior (Bar No. 655704)
America First Legal Foundation
611 Pennsylvania Ave S.E. No. 231
Washington, D.C. 20003
(410) 205-9681
ian.prior@aflegal.org

* *Pro hac vice forthcoming*

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on April 21, 2026, the above was filed electronically through the Court's electronic filing system to be served electronically on counsel for the parties.

/s/ Louis J. Capozzi III

CERTIFICATE OF CONFERRAL

I certify that I conferred in good faith with the parties' counsel about the States' motion to intervene. After conferral, League of Women Voter of Massachusetts Plaintiffs provided the following position: "LWVM Plaintiffs oppose the motion to transfer. With respect to the motion to intervene, LWVM Plaintiffs take no position if the proposed intervenors do not move to transfer. If the proposed intervenors move to transfer, LWVM Plaintiffs oppose the motion to intervene because the proposed intervenors are seeking to transfer." The United States provided the following position: "The United States opposes the request for intervention as of right, and takes no position on the request for permissive intervention. The United States would also consent to the filing of a timely amicus brief."

/s/ Louis J. Capozzi III