

1 STACY TOLCHIN (SBN 217431)  
2 *stacy@tolchinimmigration.com*  
3 LAW OFFICES OF STACY TOLCHIN  
4 776 E. Green St., Suite 210  
Pasadena, CA 91101  
Telephone: (213) 622-7450  
Facsimile: (213) 622-7233

5 MOHAMMAD TAJ SAR (SBN 280152)  
6 *mtajsar@aclusocal.org*

7 MAYRA JOACHIN (SBN 306065)  
8 *mjoachin@aclusocal.org*

9 EVA BITRAN (SBN 302081)  
10 *ebitrان@aclusocal.org*

11 DAE KEUN KWON (SBN 313155)  
12 *akwon@aclusocal.org*

13 OLIVER MA (SBN 354266)  
14 *oma@aclusocal.org*

15 STEPHANIE PADILLA (SBN 321568)  
16 *spadilla@aclusocal.org*

17 DIANA SANCHEZ (SBN 338871)  
18 *dianasanchez@aclusocal.org*

19 ACLU FOUNDATION OF  
20 SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA  
21 1313 West Eighth Street  
22 Los Angeles, CA 90017-4022  
23 Telephone: (213) 977-5232  
24 Facsimile: (213) 201-7878

25 *Counsel for Stop/Arrest Plaintiffs*  
26 *(additional counsel information on cont.*  
27 *page)*

MARK ROSENBAUM (SBN 59940)  
*mrosenbaum@publiccounsel.org*

REBECCA BROWN (SBN 345805)  
*rbrown@publiccounsel.org*

SOPHIA WRENCH (SBN 354416)  
*swrench@publiccounsel.org*

RITU MAHAJAN (SBN 252970)  
*rmahajan@publiccounsel.org*

GINA AMATO (SBN 215519)  
*gamato@publiccounsel.org*

PUBLIC COUNSEL  
610 South Ardmore Avenue  
Los Angeles, CA 90005  
Telephone: (213) 385-2977

*Counsel for All Plaintiffs*

18 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
19 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

20 Pedro VASQUEZ PERDOMO; Carlos  
21 Alexander OSORTO; and Isaac  
22 VILLEGAS MOLINA; Jorge  
23 HERNANDEZ VIRAMONTES; Jason  
24 Brian GAVIDIA; LOS ANGELES  
25 WORKER CENTER NETWORK;  
UNITED FARM WORKERS;  
COALITION FOR HUMANE  
IMMIGRANT RIGHTS; IMMIGRANT  
DEFENDERS LAW CENTER,

26 Plaintiffs,

27 v.

28 Kristi NOEM. in her official capacity as

Case No.: 2:25-cv-05605-MEMF-SP

**EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR  
TEMPORARY RESTRAINING  
ORDER AND ORDER TO SHOW  
CAUSE RE: PRELIMINARY  
INJUNCTION**

Hon. Maame Ewusi-Mensah  
Frimpong

1 Secretary, Department of Homeland  
2 Security; Todd M. LYONS, in his official  
3 capacity as Acting Director, U.S.  
4 Immigration and Customs Enforcement;  
5 Rodney S. SCOTT, in his official  
6 capacity as Commissioner, U.S. Customs  
7 and Border Patrol; Michael W. BANKS,  
8 in his official capacity as Chief of U.S.  
9 Border Patrol; Kash PATEL, in his  
10 official capacity as Director, Federal  
11 Bureau of Investigation; Pam BONDI, in  
12 her official capacity as U.S. Attorney  
13 General; Ernesto SANTACRUZ JR., in  
14 his official capacity as Acting Field  
15 Office Director for Los Angeles, U.S.  
16 Immigration and Customs Enforcement;  
17 Eddy WANG, Special Agent in Charge  
18 for Los Angeles, Homeland Security  
19 Investigations, U.S. Immigration and  
20 Customs Enforcement; Gregory K.  
21 BOVINO, in his official capacity as Chief  
22 Patrol Agent for El Centro Sector of the  
23 U.S. Border Patrol; Jeffrey D.  
24 STALNAKER, in his official capacity as  
25 Acting Chief Patrol Agent, San Diego  
26 Sector of the U.S. Border Patrol; Akil  
27 DAVIS, in his official capacity as  
28 Assistant Director in Charge, Los  
Angeles Office, Federal Bureau of  
Investigation; Bilal A. ESSAYLI, in his  
official capacity as U.S. Attorney for the  
Central District of California,

Defendants.

1 ANNE LAI (SBN 295394)  
2 *alai@law.uci.edu*  
3 UC IRVINE SCHOOL OF LAW  
4 IMMIGRANT AND RACIAL  
5 JUSTICE  
6 SOLIDARITY CLINIC  
7 P.O. Box 5479  
8 Irvine, CA 92616-5479  
9 Telephone: (949) 824-9894  
10 Facsimile: (949) 824-2747

11 *Counsel for Stop/Arrest Plaintiffs*

12  
13 LAUREN MICHEL WILFONG\*  
14 *lwilfong@ndlon.org*  
15 NATIONAL DAY LABORER  
16 ORGANIZING NETWORK  
17 1030 S. Arroyo Parkway, Suite 106  
18 Pasadena, CA 91105  
19 Telephone: (626) 214-5689

20 *Counsel for Stop/Arrest Plaintiffs*

21  
22 BREE BERNWANGER (SBN 331731)  
23 *bbernwanger@aclunc.org*  
24 AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES  
25 UNION FOUNDATION OF  
26 NORTHERN CALIFORNIA  
27 39 Drumm Street  
28 San Francisco, CA 94111  
Telephone: (415) 621-2493

*Counsel for Stop/Arrest Plaintiffs*

BRISA VELAZQUEZ OATIS  
(SBN 339132)  
*bvoatis@aclu-sdic.org*  
ACLU FOUNDATION OF  
SAN DIEGO & IMPERIAL  
COUNTIES  
P.O. Box 87131  
San Diego, CA 92138-7131  
Telephone: (619) 398-4199

*Counsel for Stop/Arrest Plaintiffs*

EDGAR AGUILASOCHO  
(SBN 285567)  
*eaguilasocha@farmworkerlaw.com*  
MARTINEZ AGUILASOCHO LAW, INC.  
900 Truxtun Ave Suite 300  
Bakersfield, CA 93301  
Telephone: (661) 859-1174

*Counsel for Plaintiff United Farm Workers*

CARL BERGQUIST\*  
*cbergquist@chirla.org*  
COALITION FOR HUMANE  
IMMIGRANT RIGHTS  
2351 Hempstead Road  
Ottawa Hills, OH 43606  
Telephone: (310) 279-6025

*Counsel for Plaintiff Coalition for Humane  
Immigrant Rights*

\* Pro hac vice application forthcoming

1 Plaintiffs Pedro Vasquez Perdomo, Carlos Alexander Osorto, Isaac Villegas Molina, Jorge  
2 Hernandez Viramontes, Jason Brian Gavidia, the Los Angeles Worker Center Network, United Farm  
3 Workers, and Coalition for Humane Immigrant Rights (collectively “Stop/Arrest Plaintiffs”) hereby  
4 apply for a temporary restraining order (“TRO”) and an order to show cause why a preliminary  
5 injunction should not issue pending the final disposition of this action.

6 As set forth in the accompanying memorandum of points and authorities and the attached  
7 declarations, Stop/Arrest Plaintiffs are likely to succeed on the merits of their claim that Defendants  
8 have an ongoing policy, pattern, and/or practice of conducting detentive stops in this District without  
9 reasonable suspicion that the person to be stopped is within the United States in violation of U.S.  
10 immigration law, in contravention of the Fourth Amendment to the United States Constitution. See First  
11 Amended Petition and Complaint, Dkt. 16, ¶¶ 215–20 (Count One). Emergency relief is necessary and  
12 appropriate because Defendants’ actions are causing grave and ongoing constitutional injury to Plaintiffs  
13 and delay will result in further immediate, irreparable harm.

14 Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 65(b)(1) and Local Rules 7-19 and 65-1, Defendants  
15 were advised on July 2, 2025, that Stop/Arrest Plaintiffs planned to file this ex parte application and of  
16 the contents of this application by call with Assistant United States Attorneys (“AUSAs”) Pauline Helen  
17 Alarcon and Daniel Beck of the United States Attorney’s Office for the Central District of California.  
18 Tolchin Decl. ¶ 5. AUSAs Alarcon and Beck stated that this application is opposed.

19 On July 3, 2025, Stop/Arrest Plaintiffs’ counsel Mohammad Tajsar spoke with AUSA Daniel  
20 Beck to confirm the filing of this application, and to notify Mr. Beck of Stop/Arrest Plaintiffs’ request  
21 for the same briefing and hearing schedule as the Court issued for the application filed by the  
22 Access/Detention Plaintiffs. Dkt. 42; see Dkt. 41 (Defendants’ ex parte request to extend deadline to file  
23 oppositions to both applications to no earlier than July 8). Mr. Beck indicated that Defendants request  
24 one additional day for each deadline set by the Court’s July 3 Order. Given the severity of Defendants’  
25 continuing constitutional violations and the ongoing, irreparable harm, Stop/Arrest Plaintiffs request the  
26 Court set the same briefing schedule as it set on the Access/Detention TRO application.

27 Counsel for Defendants AUSA Alarcon and Beck have already appeared as counsel in this action  
28 for Defendants. See Dkt. 8, 39. AUSA Beck’s address is United States Attorney’s Office for the Central

1 District of California, 300 North Los Angeles Street, Suit 7516, Los Angeles, CA 90012. AUSA Beck's  
2 phone number is (213) 894-2574, and his email address is daniel.beck@usdoj.gov.

3  
4 Dated: July 3, 2025

By: Mohammad Tajsar

5 *Attorney for Stop/Arrest Plaintiffs*  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

**Page**

INTRODUCTION .....	1
FACTUAL BACKGROUND.....	2
I. Defendants are conducting sweeping immigration raids throughout this District. ....	2
II. Defendants have a policy and practice of making suspicionless stops based on racial profiling.....	6
A. Defendants’ stops are based not on individualized suspicion, but racial profiling.....	6
B. Defendants are conducting stops, not voluntary questioning. ....	9
C. Defendants’ policy and practice of unlawful stops is officially sanctioned. ....	13
III. Defendants’ policy and practice is causing ongoing, irreparable harm. ....	16
LEGAL STANDARD.....	18
ARGUMENT .....	18
I. Stop/Arrest Plaintiffs Are Likely to Succeed on the Merits of their Fourth Amendment Claim.....	18
A. Defendants are conducting seizures that require at least reasonable suspicion.....	18
B. Defendants’ seizures are not supported by reasonable suspicion. ....	20
II. Plaintiffs Will Suffer Irreparable Harm from Defendants’ Unlawful Policies and Practices in the Absence of a TRO.....	22
III. The Balance of Hardships Weighs Heavily in Plaintiffs’ Favor, and a District-Wide Injunction is Both Permissible and in the Public Interest. ....	23
CONCLUSION .....	25

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

**Page(s)**

**FEDERAL CASES**

<i>All for the Wild Rockies v. Cottrell</i> , 632 F.3d 1127 (9th Cir. 2011) .....	18
<i>Benitez-Mendez v. I.N.S.</i> , 760 F.2d 907 (9th Cir. 1983) .....	22
<i>Chalk v. U.S. Dist. Court</i> , 840 F.2d 701 (9th Cir. 1988) .....	23
<i>Easyriders Freedom F.I.G.H.T. v. Hannigan</i> , 92 F.3d 1486 (9th Cir. 1996) .....	24
<i>Illinois v. Wardlow</i> , 528 U.S. 119 (2000) .....	21
<i>Int’l Molders’ &amp; Allied Workers’ Loc. Union No. 164 v. Nelson</i> , 799 F.2d 547 (9th Cir. 1986) .....	22, 24
<i>Kidd v. Mayorkas</i> , 734 F. Supp. 3d 967 (C.D. Cal. 2024) .....	24
<i>LaDuke v. Nelson</i> , 762 F.2d 1318 (9th Cir. 1985) .....	23, 24
<i>Laws. for Fair Reciprocal Admission v. United States</i> , No. 24-2213, 2025 WL 1717992 (9th Cir. June 20, 2025) .....	23
<i>Leiva-Perez v. Holder</i> , 640 F.3d 962 (9th Cir. 2011) .....	23
<i>Martinez v. Nygaard</i> , 831 F.2d 822 (9th Cir. 1987) .....	22
<i>Melendres v. Arpaio</i> , 695 F.3d 990 (9th Cir. 2012) .....	22, 23, 24, 25
<i>Norsworthy v. Beard</i> , 87 F. Supp. 3d 1164 (N.D. Cal. 2015) .....	23
<i>Orhorhaghe v. I.N.S.</i> , 38 F.3d 488 (9th Cir. 1994) .....	18
<i>Perez Cruz v. Barr</i> , 926 F.3d 1128 (9th Cir. 2019) .....	22

1	<i>Pimentel v. Drefus,</i>	
2	670 F.3d 1096 (9th Cir. 2012) .....	18
3	<i>Preminger v. Principi,</i>	
4	422 F.3d 815 (9th Cir. 2005) .....	25
5	<i>Stuhlbarg Int'l Sales Co. v. John D. Brush &amp; Co.,</i>	
6	240 F.3d 832 (9th Cir. 2001) .....	18
7	<i>Trump v. CASA, Inc.,</i>	
8	---S.Ct.---, 2025 WL 1773631 (June 27, 2025) .....	25
9	<i>United Farm Workers v. Noem,</i>	
10	No. 1:25-cv-00246 JLT CDB (E.D. Cal. April 29, 2025) .....	1, 15, 23, 24
11	<i>United States v. Belin,</i>	
12	868 F.3d 43 (1st Cir. 2017) .....	19
13	<i>United States v. Black,</i>	
14	707 F.3d 531 (4th Cir. 2013) .....	19
15	<i>United States v. Brignoni-Ponce,</i>	
16	422 U.S. 873 (1975) .....	20
17	<i>United States v. Brown,</i>	
18	925 F.3d 1150 (9th Cir. 2019) .....	21, 22
19	<i>United States v. Brown,</i>	
20	996 F.3d 998 (9th Cir. 2021) .....	19
21	<i>United States v. Gallinger,</i>	
22	227 F. Supp. 3d 1163 (D. Idaho 2017) .....	19
23	<i>United States v. Manzo-Jurado,</i>	
24	457 F.3d 928 (9th Cir. 2006) .....	20, 21, 22
25	<i>United States v. Montero-Camargo,</i>	
26	208 F.3d 1122 (9th Cir. 2000) .....	20, 21
27	<i>United States v. Rodriguez,</i>	
28	976 F.2d 592 (9th Cir. 1992) .....	1
	<i>United States v. Washington,</i>	
	387 F.3d 1060 (9th Cir. 2004) .....	18, 19
	<i>United States v. Washington,</i>	
	490 F.3d 765 (9th Cir. 2007) .....	18, 19, 20
	<i>Warsoldier v. Woodford,</i>	
	418 F.3d 989 (9th Cir. 2005) .....	22



1	<i>Washington v. Trump</i> ,	
2	847 F.3d 1151 (9th Cir. 2017) .....	23
3	<i>Winter v. Nat. Res. Def. Council, Inc.</i> ,	
4	555 U.S. 7 (2008).....	18
5	<i>Ybarra v. Illinois</i> ,	
6	444 U.S. 85 (1979).....	22
7	<i>Zepeda v. I.N.S.</i> ,	
8	753 F.2d 719 (9th Cir. 1983) .....	25
9	<b>CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS</b>	
10	Fourth Amendment .....	passim

**INTRODUCTION**

“[N]umbers, pure numbers. Quantity over quality,” has been the sum and motto of Defendants’ approach to immigration operations in the field in Southern California over the past several weeks.<sup>1</sup> Starting on or around June 6, 2025, Defendants have deployed marauding, masked, and armed agents to conduct suspicionless stops of thousands of Latine people in this District, in order to meet an arbitrary quota for 3,000 daily arrests imposed by the White House. But while Defendants may believe that immigration enforcement can be a numbers game, the Fourth Amendment requires that seizures be reasonable. This means that before requiring an individual to submit to questioning, federal officials must have an objective, particularized basis to believe that a person is present in violation of immigration law. They cannot “draw into the law enforcement net a generality of persons unmarked by any really articulable basis for reasonable suspicion.” *United States v. Rodriguez*, 976 F.2d 592, 596 (9th Cir. 1992), *opinion amended on denial of reh’g*, 997 F.2d 1306 (9th Cir. 1993).

Defendants are engaged in an extraordinary campaign of targeting people based on nothing more than the color of their skin, and in some cases, where they live or work. These practices began in a neighboring District earlier this year. A federal judge granted a preliminary injunction against one of the Defendants after finding Border Patrol agents engaged in a policy and practice of conducting detentive stops without individualized reasonable suspicion in likely violation of the Fourth Amendment. *United Farm Workers v. Noem*, No. 1:25-cv-00246 JLT CDB (E.D. Cal. April 29, 2025). Rather than ending this patently illegal practice, Defendants have doubled down, replicating the same lawlessness in immigration operations in Southern California today.

Plaintiffs are five Latino individuals, including U.S. citizens, who have been caught in Defendants’ federal immigration dragnet, and three organizations—the Los Angeles Worker Center Network (LACWN), United Farm Workers (UFW), and the Coalition for Humane Immigrant Rights (CHIRLA)—whose members have been and reasonably fear being subjected in the future to

---

<sup>1</sup> Jennie Taer, *Trump admin’s 3,000 ICE arrests per day quota is taking focus off criminals and ‘killing morale’: insiders*, New York Post (June 17, 2025), <https://nypost.com/2025/06/17/us-news/trump-admins-3000-ice-arrests-per-day-quota-is-taking-focus-off-criminals-and-killing-morale-insiders/>.

Defendants’ unlawful policy and practice.<sup>2</sup> Given the ongoing nature of these unconstitutional raids, they face immediate irreparable harm absent intervention by the Court.

Accordingly, to prevent the further deprivation of rights, Plaintiffs seek a temporary restraining order (TRO) prohibiting Defendants from conducting detentive stops for the purposes of immigration enforcement without first establishing individualized, reasonable suspicion that the person to be stopped is unlawfully in the United States. Doing so is within the Court’s remedial power, needed to provide Plaintiffs with complete relief, and is no broader than needed to bring Defendants’ practices into compliance with the Constitution until further proceedings can be held.

### **FACTUAL BACKGROUND**

#### **I. Defendants are conducting sweeping immigration raids throughout this District.**

In early June, Defendants unleashed agents into the streets, worksites, and neighborhoods of Los Angeles and surrounding counties, creating an unlawful detention and deportation dragnet that shows no signs of ceasing. The agencies involved include the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) and its components, Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE), including Enforcement and Removal Operations (ERO) and Homeland Security Investigations (HSI); the U.S. Border Patrol; as well as the Department of Justice (DOJ) law enforcement agencies, such as the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) and others.<sup>3</sup>

Defendants have conducted, and continue to conduct, raids across the District. Operations have been reported across Los Angeles and Orange Counties, the Inland Empire, and the Central Valley, in

---

<sup>2</sup> Consistent with the First Amended Petition and Complaint (Dkt. 16), Moving Plaintiffs applying for this temporary restraining order are referred to as the “Stop/Arrest Plaintiffs,” distinguishing them from the “Access/Detention Plaintiffs” concurrently seeking a restraining order on a different set of claims, (Dkt. 38).

<sup>3</sup> See Ex. 16, Declaration of R.H.D. (“R.H.D. Decl.”), ¶ 4 (describing encounter with ICE and FBI agents); Cameron Kiszla, *Immigration agents raid several L.A. businesses, encounter protestors*, KTLA (June 6, 2025), <https://ktla.com/news/local-news/federal-agents-raid-home-depot-in-westlake-district/> (“The FBI confirmed to KTLA that it is participating in the HSI raids, not just in Los Angeles but nationwide, ‘as directed by the Attorney General. As we have been asked to do, we are sending Agents to participate in these immigration enforcement efforts,’ the statement said.”).

neighborhoods and cities as diverse as Baldwin Park,<sup>4</sup> Cathedral City,<sup>5</sup> Costa Mesa,<sup>6</sup> Downey,<sup>7</sup>  
Glendale,<sup>8</sup> Hawthorne,<sup>9</sup> Hollywood,<sup>10</sup> Huntington Park,<sup>11</sup> Ladera Heights,<sup>12</sup> Marina Del Rey,<sup>13</sup>

---

<sup>4</sup> *Baldwin Park Among Cities Targeted in Immigration Raids Wednesday Morning*, Baldwin Park News (June 29, 2025), <https://baldwinparknews.com/baldwin-park-among-cities-targeted-in-immigration-raids-wednesday-morning/>.

<sup>5</sup> Jesus Reyes, *Officials Encourage Residents to 'Know Their Rights' After Border Patrol, ICE Conduct Operation in Cathedral City*, News Channel 3 (June 6, 2025), <https://kesq.com/news/2025/06/06/officials-encourage-residents-to-know-their-rights-after-border-patrol-ice-conduct-operation-in-cathedral-city/>.

<sup>6</sup> Pat Maio, *Home Depot's day laborer haven turns into immigration target across Southern California*, L.A. Daily News (June 13, 2025), <https://www.dailynews.com/2025/06/13/home-depot-a-longtime-destination-for-day-laborers-part-of-symbolic-southern-california-raids/>.

<sup>7</sup> Karla Rendon, *Immigration raids reported near Downey churches*, NBC 4 (June 11, 2025), <https://www.nbclosangeles.com/news/local/downey-churches-home-depot-immigration-raids/3721686/>.

<sup>8</sup> Ex. 19-A, Declaration of Todd W. Price ("Todd W. Price Decl.").

<sup>9</sup> Price Decl., Ex. 19-B.

<sup>10</sup> Brittny Mejia & Rachel Uranga, *Raid at a Home Depot in Hollywood shatters an immigrant refuge*, L.A. Times (June 20, 2025), <https://www.latimes.com/california/story/2025-06-20/border-patrol-agents-arrest-street-vendors-outside-hollywood-home-depot>.

<sup>11</sup> Pat Maio, *supra* n. 6; Nathan Solis et al., *What businesses are the feds targeting during L.A. immigration sweeps? Here's what we know*, L.A. Times (June 10, 2025), <https://www.latimes.com/california/story/2025-06-10/ice-sweep-targets-what-we-know>.

<sup>12</sup> Ex. 7, Declaration of A.L. ("A.L. Decl."), ¶ 4.

<sup>13</sup> Price Decl., Ex. 19-C.

1 Moorpark,<sup>14</sup> Oxnard,<sup>15</sup> Paramount,<sup>16</sup> Pico Rivera,<sup>17</sup> Richgrove,<sup>18</sup> Rosemead,<sup>19</sup> Santa Ana,<sup>20</sup> South Los  
2 Angeles,<sup>21</sup> Sylmar,<sup>22</sup> Upland,<sup>23</sup> Westlake,<sup>24</sup> and Whittier.<sup>25</sup>

3  
4  
5 <sup>14</sup> Strater Decl. ¶¶ 17–18 (describing enforcement in Moorpark).

6 <sup>15</sup> Jessica Garrison et al., *ICE expands immigration raids into California’s agricultural heartland*, Los  
7 Angeles Times, June 10, 2025, [https://www.latimes.com/california/story/2025-06-10/ice-expands-](https://www.latimes.com/california/story/2025-06-10/ice-expands-immigration-raids-into-californias-agricultural-heartland)  
8 immigration-raids-into-californias-agricultural-heartland

9 <sup>16</sup> Pat Maio, *supra* n. 6.

10 <sup>17</sup> Price Decl., Ex. 19-D.

11 <sup>18</sup> Jessica Garrison et al., *ICE expands immigration raids into California’s agricultural heartland*, Los  
12 Angeles Times, June 10, 2025, [https://www.latimes.com/california/story/2025-06-10/ice-expands-](https://www.latimes.com/california/story/2025-06-10/ice-expands-immigration-raids-into-californias-agricultural-heartland)  
13 immigration-raids-into-californias-agricultural-heartland.

14 <sup>19</sup> Price Decl., Ex. 19-F, G.

15 <sup>20</sup> Pat Maio, *supra* n. 6.

16 <sup>21</sup> Price Decl., Ex. 19-H.

17 <sup>22</sup> Semantha Raquel Norris, *Federal Immigration Agents Terrorize the Northeast Valley*, San Fernando  
18 Valley Sun (June 19, 2025), [https://sanfernandosun.com/2025/06/19/federal-immigration-agents-](https://sanfernandosun.com/2025/06/19/federal-immigration-agents-terrorize-the-northeast-valley/)  
19 terrorize-the-northeast-valley/.

20 <sup>23</sup> Helen Jeong, *ICE agents fail to detain day laborers at Upland Home Depot after bystanders*  
21 *intervene*, NBC 4 (Jun. 16, 2025), [https://www.nbclosangeles.com/news/local/ice-agents-fail-to-detain-](https://www.nbclosangeles.com/news/local/ice-agents-fail-to-detain-day-laborers-at-upland-home-depot-after-bystanders-intervene/3725645/)  
22 day-laborers-at-upland-home-depot-after-bystanders-intervene/3725645/.

23 <sup>24</sup> Cameron Kiszla, *Immigration agents raid several L.A. businesses, encounter protesters*, KTLA 5  
24 (June 6, 2025), [https://www.latimes.com/business/story/2025-06-09/home-depot-in-the-crosshairs-of-](https://www.latimes.com/business/story/2025-06-09/home-depot-in-the-crosshairs-of-immigrations-raids)  
25 immigrations-raids (reporting that masked officers wearing vests emblazoned with “HSI” took  
26 individuals into custody at a Home Depot in Westlake); Helen Jeong, *45 people arrested during ICE*  
27 *raids at 3 downtown LA locations*, NBC 4 (June 6, 2025),  
28 [https://www.nbclosangeles.com/news/local/45-people-arrested-during-ice-raids-at-3-downtown-la-](https://www.nbclosangeles.com/news/local/45-people-arrested-during-ice-raids-at-3-downtown-la-locations/3717742/)  
locations/3717742/ (noting that nearly two dozen individuals were detained in the Home Depot parking  
lot in Westlake); Price Decl., Ex. 19-I.

<sup>25</sup> Kaitlyn Huamani, *Home Depot caught in the crosshairs of L.A. immigration raids*, LTimes (June 9,  
2025), [https://www.latimes.com/business/story/2025-06-09/home-depot-in-the-crosshairs-of-](https://www.latimes.com/business/story/2025-06-09/home-depot-in-the-crosshairs-of-immigrations-raids)  
immigrations-raids (noting an immigration raid conducted by federal agents at a Home Depot in  
Whittier); Maanvi Singh, *At Home Depot, Ice raids terrorize the workers who helped build LA: “They*  
*just come and grab you”*, The Guardian (June 16, 2025), [https://www.theguardian.com/us-](https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2025/jun/16/home-depot-ice-raids-los-angeles)  
news/2025/jun/16/home-depot-ice-raids-los-angeles (same); Tracey Leong & Karla Rendon, *‘Hope he*  
*comes back.’ Long Beach family says father detained outside Whittier Home Depot*, NBC 4, (June 14,  
2025), [https://www.nbclosangeles.com/news/local/long-beach-grandfather-detained-](https://www.nbclosangeles.com/news/local/long-beach-grandfather-detained-immigration/3724461/)  
immigration/3724461/ (highlighting the emotional impact of immigration raids on a Long Beach family  
after a loved one was detained outside the Whittier Home Depot).

1 Defendants' immigration operations have been driven by an arbitrary arrest quota that has been  
2 imposed to try to deliver, in the words of the President, the "largest Mass Deportation Operation" in  
3 history.<sup>26</sup> They are a shift from past practice, at least for ICE, in that they are not based on any prior  
4 investigation about a person or list of persons. In late May, the White House Deputy Chief of Staff  
5 Stephen Miller expressly directed high-level officials in the agency to instead "just go out there and  
6 arrest [unauthorized noncitizens]" by rounding up people in public spaces like "Home Depot" and "7-  
7 Eleven" stores.<sup>27</sup>

8 Predictably, Defendants' "roving patrols"<sup>28</sup> have had a devastating impact on day laborers,  
9 carwash workers, farm workers, street vendors, and others whose work makes them a visible target for  
10 racial profiling. Day laborer pickup locations such as Home Depot parking lots have become central  
11 sites of immigration enforcement.<sup>29</sup> In addition, numerous carwashes have been hit.<sup>30</sup> And Defendants  
12 have detained and arrested multiple dozens of people at agricultural sites in Ventura and Santa Barbara  
13

14 <sup>26</sup> Ex. 18, Declaration of Diana Sánchez ("Sánchez Decl."), Attach. C.

15 <sup>27</sup> Elizabeth Findell, et al., *The White House Marching Orders That Sparked the L.A. Migrant*  
16 *Crackdown*, The Wall Street Journal (June 9, 2025), <https://www.wsj.com/us-news/protests-los-angeles-immigrants-trump-f5089877>.

17 <sup>28</sup> Brittany Mejia & Rachel Uranga, *Fears of racial profiling rise as Border Patrol conducts 'roving*  
18 *patrols,' detains U.S. Citizens*, L.A. Times (June 15, 2025),  
19 <https://www.latimes.com/california/story/2025-06-15/latinos-targeted-in-raids-u-s-citizens-detained-indiscriminate-sweeps-home-depot-lots-targeted>

20 <sup>29</sup> Kaitlyn Huamani, *Home Depot caught in the crosshairs of L.A. immigration raids*, L.A. Times (June  
21 9, 2025), <https://www.latimes.com/business/story/2025-06-09/home-depot-in-the-crosshairs-of-immigrations-raids> (discussing how Home Depots across Southern California have been impacted by the immigration raids); Maanvi Singh, *At Home Depot, Ice raids terrorize the workers who helped build LA: "They just come and grab you"*, The Guardian (June 16, 2025), <https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2025/jun/16/home-depot-ice-raids-los-angeles>; Pat Maio, *supra* n. 6.

23 <sup>30</sup> Emily Baumgaertner Nunn & Anushka Patil, *Carwashes become easy targets in California's ICE*  
24 *raids*, N.Y. Times (June 11, 2025), <https://www.nytimes.com/live/2025/06/11/us/los-angeles-protests-trump-ice?smid=url-share#carwashes-become-easy-targets-in-californias-ice-raids>; ; Kaitlyn Huamani &  
25 Suhauna Hussain, *More L.A. car washes targeted in immigration raids, some closed amid fears of*  
26 *further sweeps*, L.A. Times (June 20, 2025), <https://www.latimes.com/business/story/2025-06-20/la-car-washes-targeted-immigration-raids-business-closures>; Karla Rendon, *Armed, masked federal agents*  
27 *detain 2 car wash employees in Torrance* (June 23, 2025),  
28 <https://www.nbclosangeles.com/news/local/armed-masked-federal-agents-detain-2-car-wash-employees-in-torrance/3730810/>.



Counties.<sup>31</sup> But raids have not been limited to those locations. Agents and officers have also taken over street corners,<sup>32</sup> bus stops,<sup>33</sup> parks,<sup>34</sup> recycling centers,<sup>35</sup> tow yards,<sup>36</sup> a swap meet,<sup>37</sup> a gym,<sup>38</sup> churches,<sup>39</sup> and packing houses.<sup>40</sup> Armed to the hilt, masked, and driving unmarked cars, they have adopted a central strategy of grabbing people first and asking questions later.

**II. Defendants have a policy and practice of making suspicionless stops based on racial profiling.**

**A. Defendants' stops are based not on individualized suspicion, but racial profiling.**

<sup>31</sup> Amy Taxin & Dorany Pineda, *Immigration Raids are threatening businesses that supply America's food, farm bureaus say*, Associated Press (June 13, 2025), <https://www.kvpr.org/local-news/2025-06-13/immigration-raids-are-threatening-businesses-that-supply-americas-food-farm-bureaus-say/>.

<sup>32</sup> Leanne Suter, *Community members try to help street vendor taken by federal agents in Ladera Heights, video shows*, ABC7 News (June 27, 2025), <https://abc7.com/post/community-members-try-help-street-vendor-taken-ice-ladera-heights/16863236/>.

<sup>33</sup> Sophie Flay, *ICE agents detain several people at Pasadena bus stop, conducts raids across the city*, ABC 7 (June 19, 2025), <https://abc7.com/post/ice-agents-detain-2-men-pasadena-bus-stop-conduct-raids-city/16785979/>.

<sup>34</sup> Douglas Sanders Sr., *OC attorney says she was detained in ICE raid at Santa Ana Park*, Daily Journal (June 19, 2025), <https://www.dailyjournal.com/articles/386228-oc-attorney-says-she-was-detained-in-ice-raid-at-santa-ana-park> (Latina U.S. citizen attorney detained by ICE in park raid); Gabriel San Román, *ICE didn't raid Disneyland but federal agents arrested a man at a nearby park*, L.A. Times (June 12, 2025), <https://www.latimes.com/socal/daily-pilot/entertainment/story/2025-06-12/ice-disney-anaheim>.

<sup>35</sup> Ryan P. Cruz, *Immigration Enforcement Shakes Up Communities of Santa Barbara County*, Santa Barbara Independent (June 20, 2025), <https://www.independent.com/2025/06/20/immigration-enforcement-shakes-up-communities-of-santa-barbara-county/>.

<sup>36</sup> Leo Stallworth, *Man arrested by ICE agents at Montebello tow yard is US citizen, family says*, ABC 7, June 13, 2025, <https://abc7.com/post/man-arrested-ice-agents-montebello-towing-yard-is-us-citizen-family-says/16743898/>.

<sup>37</sup> Josh Dubose, *Dozens of heavily armed ICE agents swarm popular L.A. County swap meet*, KTLA 5 (June 15, 2025), <https://ktla.com/news/local-news/dozens-of-heavily-armed-ice-agents-swarm-popular-l-a-county-swap-meet/>.

<sup>38</sup> Ricardo Tovar, *LA County officials say ICE agents targeted individuals at churches*, KSBW 8 (June 12, 2025) <https://www.ksbw.com/article/la-county-ice-agents-targeted-individuals-church/65039805>.

<sup>39</sup> Vicent Medina, *Tensions high as immigration sweeps reach Downey churches*, The Downey Patriot (June 16, 2025), <https://www.thedowneypatriot.com/articles/tensions-high-as-immigration-sweeps-reach-downey-churches>; Ricardo Tovar, *LA County officials say ICE agents targeted individuals at churches*, KSBW 8 (June 12, 2025), <https://www.ksbw.com/article/la-county-ice-agents-targeted-individuals-church/65039805>.

<sup>40</sup> Price Decl., Ex. 19-J.

1 By definition, agents and officers conducting patrols do not have any prior particularized  
2 information about any of the individuals they stop and question. Rather, they are resorting to broad  
3 stereotypes based on race or ethnicity, accent, a person's presence at a particular location, and/or the  
4 type of work one does to determine who they will target.

5 For instance, when officers descended on the bus stop in Pasadena in the early morning of June  
6 18, where Petitioners-Plaintiffs and day laborers Pedro Vasquez Perdomo, Carlos Alexander Osorto, and  
7 Isaac Villegas Molina were waiting to be picked up for a job, all they knew about the three men was that  
8 they appeared to be Latino and were dressed in construction work clothes. Ex. 1, Declaration of Pedro  
9 Vasquez Perdomo ("Vasquez Perdomo Decl."), ¶¶ 4–8, 11; Ex. 2, Declaration of Carlos Alexander  
10 Osorto ("Osorto Decl."), ¶¶ 4-8, 13; Ex. 3, Declaration of Isaac Villegas Molina ("Villegas Molina  
11 Decl."), ¶¶ 4–6, 10.

12 When agents raided a carwash in Whittier on June 18 (for the third time since June 9) that  
13 Plaintiff Jorge Hernandez Viramontes has worked at for approximately 10 years, they likewise knew  
14 little about him before they began interrogating him. Ex. 4, Declaration of Jorge Hernandez Viramontes  
15 ("Hernandez Viramontes Decl."), ¶¶ 2–14. He explained he is a U.S. citizen, but they nevertheless  
16 detained him to "verify" his citizenship at an offsite location before bringing him back to the car wash.  
17 *Id.* ¶ 10. Mr. Hernandez Viramontes's co-worker Omar Gamez, who also presents as Latino, reports that  
18 during the same June 18 raid, three different agents approached Gamez on separate occasions within a  
19 short span of time to demand that he tell them if he was a U.S. citizen. Ex. 5, Declaration of Omar  
20 Andres Gamez ("Gamez Decl."), ¶ 7. During that same raid, agents "questioned all the workers in one  
21 area of the carwash." *Id.*

22 Plaintiff Jason Brian Gavidia's experience likewise presents a striking example of racial  
23 profiling. While doing maintenance on his own car at a tow yard and storage lot in the predominantly  
24 Latine Montebello, he was stopped by federal agents who simply saw him there in soiled clothing. Ex. 9,  
25 Declaration of Jason Brian Gavidia ("Gavidia Decl."), ¶¶ 6–12 (stating "federal agents stopped me  
26 literally based on my skin color, just because of the way I look—because I am brown, Latino"). He told  
27 them he was American, but they violently persisted in their questioning, demanding that he tell him what  
28



1 hospital he was born in, and only let him go after he showed them his Real ID, for which they had not  
2 even asked. *Id.* at ¶¶ 9–11.<sup>41</sup>

3 Members of the organizational Plaintiffs, LAWCN, UFW, and CHIRLA, have also experienced  
4 or witnessed this ongoing policy and practice of racial profiling. Ex. 12, Declaration of Armando  
5 Gudino (“Gudino Decl.”), ¶¶ 25, 27; Ex. 13, Declaration of Flor Melendrez (“Melendrez Decl.”), ¶ 14–  
6 15, 17–18; Exhibit 8, Declaration of Elizabeth Strater (“Strater Decl.”), ¶¶ 29, 32, 35; Ex. 18,  
7 Declaration of Angelica Salas (“Salas Decl.”), Dkt. 38-9, ¶¶ 24–31. For example, one of LAWCN’s  
8 worker centers, CLEAN Carwash Worker Center, has a member named Jesus Aristeo Cruz Uitz whom  
9 agents grabbed at the Westchester Car Wash on June 8 and began interrogating, knowing nothing more  
10 at the time than that he had brown skin and was present at the car wash. Ex. 10, Declaration of Jesus  
11 Aristeo Cruz Uitz (“Cruz Uitz Decl.”), ¶ 6.<sup>42</sup>

12 Numerous other community members have described similar incidents. *See, e.g.*, R.H.D. Decl.  
13 ¶¶ 4–9 (describing experience with agents who approached and questioned him and his brother-in-law  
14 when they were helping to paint a relative’s home, while nearby Caucasian people doing yard work  
15 were not approached); Ex. 11, Declaration of Jose Antonio Valdez Rios (“Valdez Rios Decl.”), ¶¶ 3–5  
16 (describing experience of being detained at a Home Depot while he was looking for work along with  
17 other day laborers when agents did not know his identity or anything else about him); *see also*  
18 Declaration of Lindsay Toczykowski (“Toczykowski Decl.”), Dkt. 38-11, ¶¶ 32–38 (describing  
19 Immigrant Defenders Law Center client with asylee status who has been stopped by immigration agents  
20 twice already and who was detained most recently on June 19 while standing at a Home Depot with  
21 other men looking for work); Ex. 15, Declaration of M.N. (“M.N. Decl.”) ¶¶ 5–6 (describing experience  
22 with agent who approached him at a car wash raid and detained him before he had answered any  
23

24 <sup>41</sup> *See also* Brittny Mejia, *Video shows immigration agents interrogating a Latino U.S. citizen: ‘I’m*  
25 *American, bro!’*, Los Angeles Times (June 13, 2025), [https://www.latimes.com/politics/story/2025-06-](https://www.latimes.com/politics/story/2025-06-13/video-shows-immigration-agents-interrogating-a-latino-u-s-citizen-im-american-bro)  
26 *13/video-shows-immigration-agents-interrogating-a-latino-u-s-citizen-im-american-bro*; Jennifer  
27 Medina, *‘I’m an American, Bro!’: Latinos Report Raids in Which U.S. Citizenship Is Questioned*, New  
28 York Times (June 15, 2025), [https://www.nytimes.com/2025/06/15/us/hispanic-americans-raids-](https://www.nytimes.com/2025/06/15/us/hispanic-americans-raids-citizenship.html)  
[citizenship.html](https://www.nytimes.com/2025/06/15/us/hispanic-americans-raids-citizenship.html).

<sup>42</sup> Emily Baumgaertner Nunn & Anushka Patil, *supra* n. 30 (discussing customers at a car wash are  
being interrogated and arrested).

questions); Ex. 6, Declaration of Elvira Padilla (“Padilla Decl.”), ¶¶ 4–12 (describing the June 26 detention of four day laborers by armed immigration agents without any apparent suspicion, in an action she described as “like a disappearance”); Ex. 7, Declaration of A.L. (“A.L. Decl.”), ¶¶ 2–16 (describing a “violent kidnapping” of a woman during a June 23 Home Depot raid by armed agents without any apparent suspicion).

And news reports and videos further confirm this has been a widespread practice. At a June 8 raid at a Los Angeles Home Depot, agents began handcuffing anyone they could grab, and witnesses said they could not recall another enforcement action in which people had been detained so arbitrarily.<sup>43</sup> And when sixty heavily armed agents raided a swap meet on June 14 in Santa Fe Springs, an onlooker reported that “if you looked Hispanic in any way, they just took you.”<sup>44</sup>

***B. Defendants are conducting stops, not voluntary questioning.***

When agents and officers contact community members during the ongoing immigration operations, they do so with a show of force and authority sufficient to lead any reasonable person to believe they are being detained.

**First**, agents and officers typically approach swiftly—by vehicle or foot—in an aggressive and intimidating manner. Petitioner-Plaintiffs Vasquez Perdomo, Osorto, and Villegas Molina describe four to five agents “c[oming] up quickly” on them Osorto Decl. ¶ 5, such that they were “[s]uddenly” surrounded by unmarked cars,” with masked men “running towards” them. Vasquez Perdomo Decl. ¶¶ 5–6; *see also* Osorto Decl. ¶ 5; Villegas Molina Decl. ¶ 5 (masked men with guns came out “very aggressively”). Their description echoes the accounts of others who experienced or witnessed detentions. *See* Cruz Uitz Decl. ¶ 4 (agents pulled up to car wash in a “very fast an intimidating manner”); Padilla Decl. ¶¶ 6, 10, 12 (describing raid on Latino day laborers as “extremely disorienting” with 8–12 “[m]en in camouflage and balaclavas jump[ing] out of the[ir] cars,” at least one with a “huge assault rifle,” “were everywhere and moving so fast,” and then “sped away . . . in all directions,

<sup>43</sup> Arelis R. Hernandez, *‘La migra!’: Day laborers recount ICE raid outside Los Angeles Home Depot*, The Washington Post (June 8, 2025), <https://www.washingtonpost.com/immigration/2025/06/08/ice-los-angeles-home-depot-raid-trump/>.

<sup>44</sup> *Id.*

1 including against traffic”). These actions have led many to liken the detentions to kidnapping or  
2 disappearances. Osorto Decl. ¶ 6 (agents felt like “mercenaries, like a kidnapping”); Villegas Molina  
3 Decl. ¶ 8 (encounter “felt like a kidnapping”); Padilla Decl. ¶ 12 (raid was “like a disappearance”); A.L.  
4 Decl. ¶ 16 (raid was like “a violent kidnapping”).

5 **Second**, agents often physically grab, surround, or block people, sometimes holding them at  
6 gunpoint. Petitioner-Plaintiffs Vasquez Perdomo and Osorto were both grabbed by agents and  
7 handcuffed before they were asked any questions. Vasquez Perdomo Decl. ¶¶ 5–6; Osorto Decl. ¶¶ 6–8  
8 (agent pointed a taser over his heart and said “stop or I’ll use it!”). Others also described people being  
9 grabbed, tackled, or dragged prior to any questioning. Strater Decl. ¶ 35 (recounting experience of UFW  
10 member who is married to a senior citizen who was walking to work and “grabbed . . . forcefully”); Cruz  
11 Uitz Decl. ¶ 6 (member of CLEAN who describes an agent approaching him “angrily and grabbed [his]  
12 arms”); M.N. Decl. ¶¶ 5–6 (describing a “man in military clothing with a bulletproof vest[]” who “put  
13 his hand on his shoulder”); Ex. 14, Declaration of B.V. (“B.V. Decl.”), ¶ 10 (noting “one of the masked  
14 individuals was holding [his] little brother by the shoulder”); Padilla Decl. ¶ 7 (observing masked agents  
15 chasing a day laborer and tackling him to the ground and handcuffing him, without asking questions);  
16 A.L. Decl. ¶¶ 5–9 (describing a man chasing a young Latina street vendor and six more men with “large  
17 guns” surrounding her). At the Santa Fe Springs swap meet on June 14, a vendor recounted that agents  
18 “were dragging people out of the bathrooms,” then questioning them.<sup>45</sup>

19 When individuals declined to answer questions or tried to terminate encounters, agents did not  
20 hesitate to escalate matters. M.N. Decl. ¶¶ 5–6 (when M.N. responded that agent could speak with his  
21 boss instead, “two other men put their hands on [him] and put [him] under arrest”); B.V. Decl. ¶ 9  
22 (recounting an agent “on top of a worker” at a car wash “with a knee on his neck”); *see also* Hernandez  
23 Viramontes Decl. ¶ 10 (went with agents at car wash to investigate whether he was in fact U.S. citizen  
24 because he “didn’t want them to handcuff me or worse”).

25 Even when agents did not physically grab people, they would surround and block them, making  
26 it difficult for them to leave. *See* Salas Decl. ¶ 27 (recounting experience of CHIRLA member who  
27

28 <sup>45</sup> Josh DuBose, *supra* n. 37.

1 witnessed “agents jump[] out of a van, rush[] up to [a vendor], surround[] him, and handle[] him  
2 violently, though he made no effort to resist or run”); R.H.D. Decl. ¶¶ 7–8 (describing experience of  
3 being “surrounded by agents who had their arms out preventing me from walking away,” making him  
4 “very afraid” and feel that he was not free to leave); Cruz Uitz Decl. ¶ 4 (“six vehicles pull up to the car  
5 wash and parked at the entrance”); Price Decl., Ex. 19-K (describing Home Depot raid where agents  
6 blocked all entrances and arrested people as they tried to leave).

7 And if that wasn’t enough, agents have shown a willingness to routinely hold people at gunpoint  
8 despite no indication that individuals posed a threat. *See, e.g.*, Ex. 17, Declaration of Reverend Tanya  
9 Lopez (“Lopez Decl.”), ¶¶ 6, 12 (pastor who describes having a gun pointed at her in church parking  
10 lot). At a Santa Ana Home Depot, a U.S. asylum seeker recalls that agents “arrived in an aggressive  
11 manner,” pointing guns, as if “to rob them,”<sup>46</sup> and another permanent resident recounted that an agent  
12 detained individuals at gunpoint.<sup>47</sup>

13 **Third**, agents often *tell* people they are not free to leave or yell commands at them. Numerous  
14 individuals were expressly told to stop, including Petitioner-Plaintiff Villegas Molina who stood still as  
15 agents approached. Villegas Molina Decl. ¶¶ 5–6 (despite doing his best to stay calm, “masked,  
16 aggressive, and armed” agent approached him, yelling at him, “don’t run!”); *see also* Gavidia Decl.  
17 ¶¶ 8–9 (attempted to head back inside the premises when agent ordered him to “[s]top right there” and  
18 “forcefully push[ed] him” up against a metal gated fence while interrogating him about his citizenship);  
19 Cruz Uitz Decl. ¶ 5 (agents “chased [workers] and yelled for them to stop” immediately upon arriving at  
20 car wash); Valdez Rios Decl. ¶ 4 (masked, armed agents with “rifles in their hands” and “military  
21 helmets” were “yelling at [Mr. Valdez Rios] to stop”); Gamez Decl. ¶ 7 (stating that when a coworker  
22 asked agents for a warrant at the car wash, the agent told coworker to “shut the fuck up”).

23  
24  
25 <sup>46</sup> Hetty Change & Jonathon Lloyd, *Day laborers targeted in raid at Santa Ana Home Depot, OC*  
26 *officials say*, NBC 4 (June 10, 2025), <https://www.nbclosangeles.com/news/local/day-laborers-santa-ana-home-depot-immigration-raid/3720487>.

27 <sup>47</sup> *Raids in Southern California rattle immigrant communities – including those in the US legally*, The  
28 Tribune (June 11, 2025), <https://tribtown.com/2025/06/11/raids-in-southern-california-rattle-immigrant-communities-including-those-in-the-us-legally/>.

1           **Fourth**, the climate of fear and intimidation has been exacerbated by agents’ and officers’  
2 appearance during the encounters. Agents are masked, armed, wearing either plainclothes with  
3 bulletproof vests or dressed in military clothing, and typically moving about in large numbers;  
4 community members who encounter agents have expressed being fearful for their safety, and even their  
5 lives. *See* Vasquez Perdomo Decl. ¶¶ 5–6; Villegas Molinas Decl. ¶ 5; Hernandez Viramontes Decl. ¶ 6  
6 (describing agents wearing “military style clothing” with “faces covered”); Cruz Uitz Decl. ¶¶ 4, 6  
7 (describing a dozen masked agents in unmarked vehicles and armed); M.N. Decl. ¶ 5 (noting agent wore  
8 “military clothing with a bulletproof vest[]”); Valdez Rios Decl. ¶ 4 (describing masked and armed  
9 agents with “rifles in their hands” as “very angry and intimidating”); B.V. Decl. ¶¶ 8–9 (U.S. citizen  
10 who recounts that “masked individuals came out in military attire, gear, and guns,” and began “charging  
11 at people” at car wash, which “created a commotion and an environment of fear” and he “did not feel  
12 safe”); Gavidia Decl. ¶ 12 (stating that his encounter with agents was one of the worst experiences he  
13 has ever had and that he felt was like he “was going to die,” particularly when “one agent literally  
14 racked a chamber in his rifle”).

15           **Finally**, agents’ and officers’ unwillingness to identify themselves has kept community members  
16 in the dark about who or even what type of law enforcement agency they are dealing with, extinguishing  
17 any remaining possibility that community members could view the contact as voluntary. Osorto Decl.  
18 ¶¶ 5–6 (“They did not identify themselves . . . None of them had visible badges, just a vest.”); Villegas  
19 Molina Decl. ¶ 6 (“He never told me he was an immigration official.”); Hernandez Viramontes Decl. ¶  
20 12 (“The agents didn’t identify themselves to me nor did they have any badges visible.”); Gamez Decl. ¶  
21 5 (describing car wash raid as “disorienting because the agents did not talk to anyone in charge or  
22 identify themselves or what agency they were with,” and ignored his questions); Valdez Rios Decl. ¶ 4  
23 (describing how “[t]hree men stepped out” with “rifles in their hands,” masks covering their faces,  
24 “military helmets and bullet proof vests,” but “they didn’t wear any symbols or badges that identified  
25 who they were”); B.V. Decl. ¶ 9 (noting agents were masked and some did not have badges or other  
26 identification); Padilla Decl. ¶ 7 (similar); A.L. Decl. ¶¶ 8–10 (describing men with “large guns, wearing  
27 camouflage vests, and with neck gators covering their faces” who refused to identify themselves or their  
28 agency affiliation). When Pastor Lopez tried to explain to the unidentified agents who were on church

1 property that they didn't have permission to be there, one agent "replied that the whole country was their  
2 property." Lopez Decl. ¶ 9.

3 ***C. Defendants' policy and practice of unlawful stops is officially sanctioned.***

4 Defendants' unlawful stops are the intended and predictable result of directives from top officials  
5 to not only dramatically increase immigration enforcement, but to do so at any cost, and without regard  
6 for their legal obligations.

7 In January, the government imposed a quota on ICE Field Offices of 75 arrests per day.<sup>48</sup> DHS  
8 also dismantled long-standing internal oversight mechanisms and restraints on agents' and officers'  
9 conduct.<sup>49</sup> These directives led to increased enforcement at workplaces, ICE check-ins, and  
10 courthouses.<sup>50</sup> But that was not enough. Facing pressure to deliver on the promise of "mass  
11 deportation," the administration imposed a quota of 3,000 immigration arrests per day and threatened

12  
13  
14 <sup>48</sup> Nick Miroff & Maria Sacchetti, *Trump Officials Issue Quotas to ICE Officers to Ramp Up Arrests*,  
15 The Washington Post (last updated Jan. 26, 2025),  
<https://www.washingtonpost.com/immigration/2025/01/26/ice-arrests-raids-trump-quota/>.

16 <sup>49</sup> Nicolae Viorel Butler, *Court Forces DHS to Preserve Immigrant Rights Offices*, Migrant Insider (May  
17 27, 2025), <https://migrantinsider.com/p/court-forces-dhs-to-preserve-immigrant>; Press Release,  
18 Government Accountability Project, *DHS Halted 500+ Civil Rights Investigations When It Shut Down  
Oversight Office, Whistleblowers Say* (May 15, 2025), [https://whistleblower.org/press-release/dhs-  
halted-500-civil-rights-investigations-when-it-shut-down-oversight-office-whistleblowers-say/](https://whistleblower.org/press-release/dhs-halted-500-civil-rights-investigations-when-it-shut-down-oversight-office-whistleblowers-say/);  
19 Executive Order 14159 (Jan. 20, 2025), [https://www.whitehouse.gov/presidential-  
actions/2025/01/protecting-the-american-people-against-invasion/](https://www.whitehouse.gov/presidential-actions/2025/01/protecting-the-american-people-against-invasion/); Press Release, DHS, *Statement from  
20 a DHS Spokesperson on Directives Expanding Law Enforcement and Ending the Abuse of Humanitarian  
Parole* (Jan. 21, 2025), [https://www.dhs.gov/news/2025/01/21/statement-dhs-spokesperson-directives-  
expanding-law-enforcement-and-ending-abuse](https://www.dhs.gov/news/2025/01/21/statement-dhs-spokesperson-directives-expanding-law-enforcement-and-ending-abuse) (noting a directive "rescind[ing] the Biden  
21 Administration's guidelines for . . . enforcement actions that thwart law enforcement in or near so-called  
22 "sensitive" areas).

23 <sup>50</sup> Marianne LeVine, et al., *ICE is Arresting Migrants in Worksite Raids. Employers are Largely  
24 Escaping Charges*, The Washington Post (June 30, 2025),  
<https://www.washingtonpost.com/immigration/2025/06/30/ice-raids-arrests-workers-companies/> (noting  
25 ICE arrested more than 1,000 workers during Trump's first 100 days and collecting stories of workplace  
26 raids); Maanvi Singh & Will Craft, *As deportations ramp up, immigrants increasingly fear Ice check-  
ins: 'All bets are off'*, The Guardian (Apr. 6, 2025), [https://www.theguardian.com/us-  
news/2025/apr/06/deportations-immigrants-ice-trump](https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2025/apr/06/deportations-immigrants-ice-trump); Ximena Bustillo, *ICE's novel strategy allows for  
27 more arrests from inside immigration courts*, NPR (June 12, 2025), [https://www.npr.org/2025/06/12/nx-  
s1-5409403/trump-immigration-courts-arrests](https://www.npr.org/2025/06/12/nx-s1-5409403/trump-immigration-courts-arrests); see also Compl. ¶ 97.



agents and officers with “consequences for not hitting arrest targets.”<sup>51</sup> After White House Deputy Miller’s directive to begin rounding up people in public places, officers were told to “turn the creativity knob up to 11” and “push the envelope,” including by pursuing “[a]ll collaterals.”<sup>52</sup> Essentially, “[i]f it involves handcuffs on wrists, it’s probably worth pursuing.”<sup>53</sup>

Officials knew full well that their directives would lead immigration agents and officers to target individuals based on their race, location, and occupation. As a former ICE official explains, “only raids on ‘construction, dairy [and] meat processing facilities, carpet mills’ can achieve the high numbers being demanded.”<sup>54</sup> “It’s these low-wage jobs, that’s where you get the numbers.”<sup>55</sup> Indeed, they have fostered a culture of impunity by granting agents and officers maximum discretion on how to achieve the quotas imposed upon them. By allowing agents and officers to go into the field masked as a matter of course, and refusing to identify themselves, *see supra* at 11–12, they have further frustrated efforts at accountability, and normalized lawless, even violent, conduct behind the shield of anonymity. Defendants are aware of the numerous reports being made about how their agents and officers are behaving, yet have made no indication they are taking actions to address them. To Plaintiffs’ knowledge, not a single agent or officer has been held to account for their actions. To the contrary, Defendants have ratified such conduct, re-iterating, as DHS Secretary Kristi Noem recently did, that agents’ and officers’ performance will be “judged every day” not by the degree to which comply with the law but “by how many arrests [they], [their] teammates and [their] office are able to effectuate.”<sup>56</sup>

---

<sup>51</sup> Elizabeth Findell, et al., *The White House Marching Orders That Sparked the L.A. Migrant Crackdown*, The Wall Street Journal (June 9, 2025), <https://www.wsj.com/us-news/protests-los-angeles-immigrants-trump-f5089877>.

<sup>52</sup> José Olivares, *US immigration officers ordered to arrest more people even without warrants*, The Guardian (June 4, 2025), <https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2025/jun/04/immigration-officials-increased-detentions-collateral-arrests>.

<sup>53</sup> *Id.*

<sup>54</sup> Laura Strickler, Rob Wile, and Didi Martinez, *Trump, in reversal, may exempt farms and hotels from immigration raids*, NBC News (June 15, 2025), <https://www.nbcnews.com/politics/immigration/trump-reversal-may-exempt-farms-hotels-immigration-raids-rcna212958>.

<sup>55</sup> *Id.*

<sup>56</sup> Tyler Pager, et al., *Trump’s Conflicting Messages on Workplace Raids Leave Businesses Reeling*, N.Y. Times (June 17, 2025), [https://www.nytimes.com/2025/06/17/us/politics/trump-conflicting-\(continued...\)](https://www.nytimes.com/2025/06/17/us/politics/trump-conflicting-(continued...))

1 The operations in Southern California are not slowing down; in fact, driven by the arrest quota,  
2 they are escalating by the day. During a June 12 press conference, El Centro Sector Border Patrol Chief  
3 Gregory Bovino—whose Sector was the subject of the preliminary injunction in *United Farm Workers*  
4 *v. Noem*—appeared next to Secretary Noem and declared “you’ll continue to see us in LA. We’re not  
5 going anywhere soon.”<sup>57</sup> Most recently, on June 30, Department of Justice Chief of Staff Chad Mizelle  
6 stated that the government “will keep enforcing federal immigration in Los Angeles, whether or not the  
7 city’s government or residents agree with it.”<sup>58</sup> In just the past 10 days, Defendants have raided a car  
8 wash in Torrance on June 22,<sup>59</sup> a Home Depot in Marina Del Rey on June 23,<sup>60</sup> another Home Depot in  
9 Huntington Park on June 25,<sup>61</sup> a Filipino neighborhood in Silver Lake on June 26,<sup>62</sup> a Home Depot in  
10 Los Angeles on June 26,<sup>63</sup> a Home Depot in Burbank on June 26,<sup>64</sup> a Home Depot in Lake Forest on  
11 June 27,<sup>65</sup> a car wash in Downey on June 27,<sup>66</sup> a car wash in Newport Beach on June 29,<sup>67</sup> a Home  
12 Depot in Cyprus Park on June 30,<sup>68</sup> and a group of street vendors in Koreatown on July 1.<sup>69</sup>

13  
14  
15 messages-workplace-raids.html.

16 <sup>57</sup> Andrew Donohue, et al., *He misled the public about his last big immigration sweep. Now he’s leading*  
17 *the Border Patrol in LA*, Cal Matters (June 13, 2025), <https://calmatters.org/investigation/2025/06/los-angeles-border-patrol-chief/>.

18 <sup>58</sup> Chad Mizelle (@ChadMizelle47), X (June 30, 2025),  
19 <https://x.com/ChadMizelle47/status/1939735362248610129>.

20 <sup>59</sup> Price Decl., Ex. 19-L.

21 <sup>60</sup> Price Decl., Ex. 19-C.

22 <sup>61</sup> Price Decl., Ex. 19-M.

23 <sup>62</sup> Price Decl., Ex. 19-N.

24 <sup>63</sup> Price Decl., Ex. 19-H.

25 <sup>64</sup> Price Decl., Ex. 19-O.

26 <sup>65</sup> Price Decl., Ex. 19-P.

27 <sup>66</sup> Price Decl., Ex. 19-Q.

28 <sup>67</sup> Price Decl., Ex. 19-R.

<sup>68</sup> Jessica Perez et al., *Masked agents seen detaining people outside Cypress Park Home Depot*,  
BoyleHeightsBeat.com (Jun. 30, 2025), <https://boyleheightsbeat.com/home-depot-raid-cypress-park/>.

<sup>69</sup> Sánchez Decl., Ex. 18-D.



**III. Defendants’ policy and practice is causing ongoing, irreparable harm.**

As Defendants’ raids, and the unlawful policy and practice of suspicionless detentive stops, continue, community members are experiencing profound and irreparable harm. Even U.S. citizens are living in fear that they will be stopped and have begun carrying their U.S. passports on their person for “protection.” Ex. 21, Declaration of German Molina Decl. ¶ 7 (describing his fear after recently encountering and being questioned by immigration agents while leaving work); *see* B.V. Decl. ¶¶ 16–18 (describing how he, as a customer at a car wash, had his father and nearly had his younger brother, an 11-year-old U.S. citizen, taken away, and how he now feels “unsafe leaving home” and “not welcome” anymore even though he is a U.S. citizen); *see also* Gamez Decl. ¶ 12 (“I fear for my coworkers and I fear for myself being racial profiled as a U.S. citizen.”).<sup>70</sup>

Plaintiffs likewise face a very real risk of being stopped again on the basis of their Latino ethnicity. Plaintiffs Hernandez Viramontes and Gavidia, both U.S. citizens, reasonably fear that they will be profiled again at the car wash and tow yard, respectively, where they were detained previously. Hernandez Viramontes Decl. ¶ 15; Gavidia Decl. ¶¶ 12–13. Indeed, agents have already raided the Whittier car wash where Hernandez Viramontes works three times. Hernandez Viramontes Decl. ¶¶ 5–14; Gamez Decl. ¶¶ 4–7, 11. And Petitioners-Plaintiffs Vasquez Perdomo, Osorto, and Villegas Molina likewise fear being targeted based on their appearance again. Vasquez Perdomo Decl. ¶ 11; Osorto Decl. ¶ 13; Villegas Molina Decl. ¶¶ 10–11. Hours after they were arrested, the Pasadena City Mayor described a “huge drop in attendance at local community programs,” once “vibrant neighborhoods” now “eerily quiet,” and business owners “concerned that their workers and customers alike are too afraid to show up.”<sup>71</sup>

Members of organizational Plaintiffs also face a real threat of suspicionless detentive stops. LAWCN, UFW, and CHIRLA all have members in low-wage industries that have been profoundly affected by raids. For example, members of LAWCN’s worker centers—U.S. citizens and otherwise—

<sup>70</sup> *See also* Brittny Mejia, ‘Scared to be brown’: California residents fearful amid immigration raids, L.A Times (June 25, 2025), <https://www.latimes.com/california/story/2025-06-25/california-residents-fearful-amid-immigration-raids-youre-scared-to-be-brown>.

<sup>71</sup> Victor M. Gordo, *Pasadena Mayor: Trump’s Immigration Raids Hurt Communities Like Mine*, Time (June 18, 2025), <https://time.com/7295305/pasadena-trump-immigration-raids/>.

1 have been afraid to go to work or be in public. Gudino Decl. ¶¶ 13, 25, 27–28. Its worker center member  
2 CLEAN, which organizes workers in the carwash industry, has had numerous members stopped and  
3 arrested. Melendrez Decl. ¶¶ 15, 17. Its members fear being racially profiled, and even members with  
4 legal status are foregoing wages by missing work to shelter at home because of the ongoing raids. *Id.*

5 Plaintiff UFW has multiple members, U.S. citizens and otherwise, who are living in ongoing fear  
6 that they will be racially profiled by agents who patrol the areas where they live, work, and commute.  
7 Strater Decl. ¶¶ 16, 19; *id.* ¶¶ 17, 25 (describing “widespread panic” among UFW members). Some have  
8 already been stopped. *Id.* ¶¶ 27–29. Further, after hearing about enforcement operations in Ventura  
9 County at locations where UFW members work and frequent, many members went home, avoiding  
10 roads for fear of being indiscriminately stopped by masked agents. *Id.* ¶¶ 17–18. Members are no longer  
11 running errands or making trips to places such as laundromats, and are even keeping their children home  
12 from school and avoiding going to the doctor, church, or the store. *Id.* ¶¶ 22–23, 32, 36.

13 Finally, Plaintiff CHIRLA’s members are similarly experiencing significant levels of fear due to  
14 the way the raids are being conducted in Southern California. Salas Decl. ¶ 25. CHIRLA’s membership  
15 consists of predominantly Latine people, including day laborers, carwash workers, street vendors, and  
16 others. *Id.* ¶¶ 24–25. One U.S. citizen CHIRLA member, for example, worries that he could be detained  
17 by immigration agents because he is Latino—particularly after his brother was detained—and is “on  
18 constant alert” when he goes out in public. *Id.* ¶ 26. Another member has changed his routine out of fear  
19 that he will be stopped or assaulted. *Id.* ¶ 27. And yet another member has stopped taking the bus to  
20 work after seeing immigration agents at a bus stop, instead using Uber, a significant expense for her as a  
21 single mother. *Id.* ¶ 28.<sup>72</sup> CHIRLA members have also reduced their work or withdrawn their children  
22 from school. *Id.* ¶¶ 29–30. Their experiences underscore the devastating social and economic impact of  
23  
24  
25

26 <sup>72</sup> The Los Angeles County Public Transit system has seen a 10 to 15 percent decline in ridership since  
27 the raids began. See Jesus Jimenez, ‘Completely Disrupted’: Fear Upends Life for Latinos in L.A., N.Y.  
28 Times (Jun. 30, 2025), <https://www.nytimes.com/2025/06/30/us/latinos-los-angeles-immigration.html?smid=nytcare-ios-share&referringSource=articleShare>.

Defendants’ actions and explain the recent cancellation of community events and Fourth of July celebrations due to the . . . participants, spectators, and volunteers.”<sup>73</sup>

### **LEGAL STANDARD**

Plaintiffs are entitled to a temporary restraining order if they show that (1) they are likely to succeed on the merits of their claims; (2) they are likely to suffer irreparable harm in the absence of preliminary relief; (3) the balance of equities tips in their favor; and (4) an injunction is in the public interest. *See Winter v. Nat. Res. Def. Council, Inc.*, 555 U.S. 7, 20 (2008); *Stuhlbarg Int’l Sales Co. v. John D. Brush & Co.*, 240 F.3d 832, 839 n.7 (9th Cir. 2001). A stronger showing on one element may offset a weaker showing on another. *See Pimentel v. Drefus*, 670 F.3d 1096, 1105 (9th Cir. 2012). Under this sliding-scale approach, where a moving party would suffer irreparable harm in the absence of relief and demonstrates that an injunction would be in the public interest, “serious questions going to the merits and a hardship balance that tips sharply toward the plaintiff can support issuance of an injunction.” *All. for the Wild Rockies v. Cottrell*, 632 F.3d 1127, 1132 (9th Cir. 2011).

### **ARGUMENT**

#### **I. Stop/Arrest Plaintiffs Are Likely to Succeed on the Merits of their Fourth Amendment Claim.**

##### ***A. Defendants are conducting seizures that require at least reasonable suspicion.***

The Fourth Amendment protects “[t]he right of the people to be secure in their persons . . . against unreasonable searches and seizures.” U.S. Const. amend. IV. “A seizure occurs when a law enforcement officer, through coercion, physical force, or a show of authority, in some way restricts the liberty of a person.” *United States v. Washington*, 387 F.3d 1060, 1068 (9th Cir. 2004) (cleaned up).

Generally, an officer’s actions rise to the level of a seizure if any *one* of the following occurs: “if there is a threatening presence of several officers, a display of a weapon by an officer, some physical touching of the person of the citizen, or the use of language or tone of voice indicating that compliance with the officer’s request might be compelled.” *United States v. Washington*, 490 F.3d 765, 771 (9th Cir. 2007) (internal quotation and citation omitted); *see also Orhorhaghe v. I.N.S.*, 38 F.3d 488, 494–96 (9th

---

<sup>73</sup> Irene Cruz, *4th of July celebrations canceled, postponed across LA area over immigration raids*, ABC7 (June 30, 2025), <https://abc7.com/post/los-angeles-4th-july-celebrations-canceled-postponed-immigration-raids/16890497/>.

1 Cir. 1994) (similar). As explained in the Factual Background discussion above, Defendants’ conduct  
2 during the ongoing immigration raids is typically marked by *multiple* of these factors.

3 Agents and officers typically descend upon a location suddenly, armed (sometimes with military-  
4 grade assault rifles), and in numbers, with an overwhelming display of authority. *Supra* at 9 (describing  
5 swift approach by groups of masked men and assault rifles); *supra* at 10–11 (describing agents’ and  
6 officers’ practice of surrounding people and/or blocking entry and egress of locations); *supra* at 11–12  
7 (describing agents’ and officers’ militarized appearance). *See Washington*, 490 F.3d at 773 (authoritative  
8 manner of conducting an investigatory stop was “most important” consideration in concluding that a  
9 seizure occurred); *United States v. Black*, 707 F.3d 531, 538 (4th Cir. 2013) (stop by seven officers,  
10 including two who performed perimeter duty, evinced a “collective show of authority” that constituted a  
11 seizure).

12 Additionally, agents and officers often begin yelling and issuing verbal commands, including  
13 commands not to move, almost immediately upon arrival. *Supra* at 11 (describing incidents in which  
14 Defendants told people to “stop” and issued other commands). *See United States v. Brown*, 996 F.3d  
15 998, 1006 (9th Cir. 2021) (a consensual “casual and nonthreatening” approach marked by “generic” and  
16 “open-ended” questioning transformed into *Terry* stop at the point officer instructed individual to “stand  
17 up and turn around”); *United States v. Gallinger*, 227 F. Supp. 3d 1163, 1168 (D. Idaho 2017) (seizure  
18 occurred because a command to “stop walking and sit on the curb was a clear expression of authority”).

19 Moreover, Defendants have relied on physical force when making stops. *Supra* at 10 (describing  
20 incidents where agents and officers grabbed people violently, surrounded, or blocked them, or even held  
21 them at gunpoint); *supra* at 10 (explaining that when individuals declined to answer questions or tried to  
22 terminate encounters, Defendants would escalate their use of force); *see also supra* at 7, 11 (describing  
23 experiences of Plaintiff Hernandez Viramontes, who was taken blocks from his workplace to “verify”  
24 his citizenship, and Plaintiff Gavidia, who was slammed against a metal gate while being interrogated  
25 about the hospital where he was born). *See Washington*, 387 F.3d at 1068–69 (finding a seizure where  
26 officers moved the suspect “twenty to thirty feet away from his [apartment] door); *United States v.*  
27 *Belin*, 868 F.3d 43 (1st Cir. 2017) (seizure where officer “grabbed one of Belin’s arms”).  
28

1 By the time agents and officers question people, the encounters have long become involuntary.  
2 *Cf. Washington*, 490 F.3d at 770 (no seizure occurred during “cordial and courteous” consensual  
3 questioning by a police officer who parked behind an individual, did not block the individual’s car, and  
4 did not activate siren or lights). Any one of the above categories of conduct would render Defendants’  
5 raids Fourth Amendment violations. Together, Defendants’ pattern and practice is plainly effecting  
6 seizures that must be justified by at least reasonable suspicion.

7 ***B. Defendants’ seizures are not supported by reasonable suspicion.***

8 “Except at the border and its functional equivalents,” immigration agents may stop individuals in  
9 public only after identifying “specific articulable facts, together with rational inferences from those  
10 facts, that reasonably warrant suspicion that [the persons stopped are noncitizens] who may be illegally  
11 in the country.” *United States v. Brignoni-Ponce*, 422 U.S. 873, 884 (1975) (vehicle stops). Reasonable  
12 suspicion comprises two elements: the assessment must be based upon the totality of the circumstances,  
13 and it “must arouse a reasonable suspicion that *the particular person being stopped* has committed or is  
14 about to commit a crime.” *United States v. Montero-Camargo*, 208 F.3d 1122, 1129 (9th Cir. 2000)  
15 (emphasis in original). Defendants’ pattern and practice ignores this requirement of *particularized*  
16 suspicion prior to initiating an investigatory stop.

17 The throughline of Defendants’ pattern and practice is their deliberate targeting of locations  
18 where Latine people live, are visibly present, or are working or looking for work. But perceived race  
19 cannot provide the suspicion necessary to justify a seizure. “[T]o establish reasonable suspicion, an  
20 officer cannot rely solely on generalizations that, if accepted, would cast suspicion on large segments of  
21 the law-abiding population.” *United States v. Manzo-Jurado*, 457 F.3d 928, 935 (9th Cir. 2006).  
22 “Where, as here, the majority (or any substantial number) of people share a specific characteristic, that  
23 characteristic is of little or no probative value in such a particularized and context-specific analysis.”  
24 *Montero-Camargo*, 208 F.3d at 1131. The seven counties that make up this District are nearly half  
25 Latine, making it impossible—and illegal—to rely on race as a proxy for immigration status.<sup>74</sup> *See id.* at  
26

27 <sup>74</sup> According to 2024 estimates by the U.S. Census Bureau, people who identify as “Hispanic or Latino”  
28 across the seven counties that span this District make up approximately 47% of the combined estimated  
population of this District. *See Declaration of Diana Sánchez*, ¶ 6.

1 1135 (“Hispanic appearance is ... of such little probative value that it may not be considered as a  
2 relevant factor where particularized or individualized suspicion is required.”). But Defendants are doing  
3 so anyway. *See supra* at 6–9.

4 For similar reasons, speaking Spanish or other proxies for race or ethnicity cannot form the basis  
5 of a stop—especially in a District with such a high proportion of Spanish speakers. *Manzo-Jurado*, 457  
6 F.3d at 937 (“By itself, however, an individual’s inability to understand English will not justify an  
7 investigatory stop because the same characteristic applies to a sizable portion of individuals lawfully  
8 present in this country.”).

9 Nor can the Stop/Arrest Plaintiffs’ presence in any specific location, without more particularized  
10 indicia of suspicion, justify Defendants’ stops. Even in a border town, “a location or route frequented by  
11 illegal immigrants, but also by many legal residents, is not significantly probative to an assessment of  
12 reasonable suspicion.” *Manzo-Jurado*, 457 F.3d at 936. When Defendants’ agents and officers base their  
13 raids on areas where Latine people live, work, and frequent far from any border—like bus stops, car  
14 washes, farm roads, tow yards, swap meets, or any of the other locations described in the record—they  
15 make impermissible assumptions about individuals that apply “to entire neighborhoods or communities  
16 in which members of minority groups regularly go about their daily business.” *Montero-Camargo*, 208  
17 F.3d at 1138; *see Illinois v. Wardlow*, 528 U.S. 119, 123–24 (2000) (“presence in an area of expected  
18 criminal activity” insufficient for reasonable suspicion); *United States v. Brown*, 925 F.3d 1150, 1157  
19 (9th Cir. 2019) (similar, given resulting disproportionate burden it would impose on minority  
20 populations).

21 Further, targeting a person because they appear to be a laborer, or because they are in proximity  
22 to another worker believed to be undocumented, or even at a jobsite where a particular employer may be  
23 employing undocumented individuals, does not provide the *particularized* suspicion necessary to stop  
24 someone at that location. A group’s “appearance as a work crew” is only “marginally relevant to  
25 establishing reasonable suspicion,” especially where work crews and laborers of all immigration statuses  
26 abound in this District. *Manzo-Jurado*, 457 F.3d at 937. Even if agents find an individual near others  
27 known to be without legal status, “a person’s mere propinquity to others independently suspected of  
28 [unlawful] activity does not, without more, give rise to probable cause to search [or seize] that person.”



1 *See Ybarra v. Illinois*, 444 U.S. 85, 91 (1979); *see also Perez Cruz v. Barr*, 926 F.3d 1128, 1138 (9th  
2 Cir. 2019) (applying principle in context of a worksite immigration raid). In fact, the Ninth Circuit has  
3 consistently invalidated the suspicionless detention of workers where agents had no particularized basis  
4 for believing the individual was undocumented. *See Martinez v. Nygaard*, 831 F.2d 822, 827 (9th Cir.  
5 1987); *Benitez-Mendez v. I.N.S.*, 760 F.2d 907, 909 (9th Cir. 1983) (“[Officers] may not detain workers  
6 for citizenship status questioning unless the investigators are able to articulate objective facts providing  
7 them with a reasonable suspicion that *each questioned person*, so detained, is an [individual] illegally in  
8 this country.”) (emphasis added)).

9 The record shows that Defendants have little more than the broad profiles above to go on when  
10 they are conducting stops. While they may be willing to take their chances on such profiles, the  
11 Constitution is not. The costs are simply too high. *Cf. Manzo-Jurado*, 457 F.3d at 940 (disapproving of  
12 enforcement activity based on “a broad profile that would cover many lawful, newly-arrived  
13 immigrants” and citizens).<sup>75</sup>

14 **II. Plaintiffs Will Suffer Irreparable Harm from Defendants’ Unlawful Policies and Practices**  
15 **in the Absence of a TRO.**

16 Defendants’ illegal policies and practices are causing and will continue to cause irreparable harm  
17 to the individual and organizational Stop/Arrest Plaintiffs and their membership. “It is well established  
18 that the deprivation of constitutional rights unquestionably constitutes irreparable injury.” *Melendres v.*  
19 *Arpaio*, 695 F.3d 990, 1002 (9th Cir. 2012). “When an alleged deprivation of a constitutional right is  
20 involved, most courts hold that no further showing of irreparable injury is necessary.” *Warsoldier v.*  
21 *Woodford*, 418 F.3d 989, 1001–02 (9th Cir. 2005) (cleaned up). Suspicionless stop policies and practices  
22 that violate the Fourth Amendment constitute a constitutional violation warranting injunctive (including  
23 preliminary injunctive) relief. *See Int’l Molders’ & Allied Workers’ Loc. Union No. 164 v. Nelson*, 799

24 <sup>75</sup> While Defendants may try to point to the fact that community members have, sometimes, attempted to  
25 flee, the record shows that agents and officers have a policy and practice of seizing people whether or  
26 not they flee. *Compare* Osorto Decl. ¶ 6 *with* Villegas Molina Decl. ¶¶ 5-6. Moreover, the Ninth Circuit  
27 has made clear that flight alone cannot form the basis for reasonable suspicion, particularly here, where  
28 flight is not unprovoked. *Brown*, 925 F.3d at 1157 (recognizing that “racial dynamics in our society—  
along with a simple desire not to interact with police—offer an ‘innocent’ explanation of flight”); *United*  
*States v. Rodella*, 804 F.3d 1317, 1326 (10th Cir. 2015) (officer provoked flight when approaching an  
individual aggressively in an unmarked car, not in uniform, and refused to identify himself).

1 F.2d 547, 553 (9th Cir. 1986); *Melendres*, 695 F.3d at 1002 (irreparable harm exists where plaintiffs  
2 face “a real possibility” that they will “again be stopped or detained and subjected to unlawful  
3 detention”).

4 Indeed, as a result of Defendants’ suspicionless stops, Plaintiffs and their members have  
5 experienced significant harm, including the type of emotional harm that courts regularly hold constitutes  
6 irreparable injury. *Supra* at 15–17. *See Washington v. Trump*, 847 F.3d 1151, 1169 (9th Cir. 2017)  
7 (identifying “separated families” as irreparable harm); *Leiva-Perez v. Holder*, 640 F.3d 962, 969–70 (9th  
8 Cir. 2011); *Chalk v. U.S. Dist. Court*, 840 F.2d 701, 709–10 (9th Cir. 1988); *Norsworthy v. Beard*, 87 F.  
9 Supp. 3d 1164, 1192 (N.D. Cal. 2015). Moreover, because of the deplorable conditions at B-18 and the  
10 denial of access to counsel there, individuals detained in the ongoing operations are at risk of being  
11 removed before being able to report what has happened to them or seek redress for the violations of their  
12 rights. *See* Dkt. 38 (Access/Detention Plaintiffs’ TRO); Dkt. 38-4, Toczykowski Decl. ¶ 54 (discussing  
13 recent example of man arrested at a car wash raid on June 19 held at B-18 without attorney access for 12  
14 days). This makes a TRO even more urgent.

15 These immigration raids are happening daily, affecting countless individuals and families. Due to  
16 Defendants’ policy and practice, Plaintiffs face an imminent risk of future injury and harm justifying this  
17 Court’s intervention. *See United Farm Workers*, 2025 WL 1235525, at \*51 (defendants’ statements  
18 indicating desire to continue challenged stops shows likelihood of imminent, irreparable harm).<sup>76</sup>

19 **III. The Balance of Hardships Weighs Heavily in Plaintiffs’ Favor, and a District-Wide**  
20 **Injunction is Both Permissible and in the Public Interest.**

21 Temporarily enjoining Defendants’ unconstitutional stops in this District is consistent with  
22 established precedent, within this Court’s equitable powers, imposes no perceptible hardship on  
23 Defendants, and is in the public interest.

24  
25  
26 <sup>76</sup> For similar reasons, it is clear that the Plaintiffs have standing. *See LaDuke v. Nelson*, 762 F.2d 1318,  
27 1324-26 (9th Cir. 1985), *amended*, 796 F.2d 309 (9th Cir. 1986) (finding that a “standard pattern” of  
28 illicit behavior by federal agents was sufficient to confer standing for future injunctive relief); *see also*  
*Laws. for Fair Reciprocal Admission v. United States*, No. 24-2213, 2025 WL 1717992, at \*3 (9th Cir.  
June 20, 2025) (discussing requirements for associational standing).



1       First, Plaintiff's requested TRO is modest and treads no new ground. Plaintiffs simply ask the  
2 Court to order Defendants to adhere to the Fourth Amendment and refrain from making detentive stops  
3 in the absence of individualized reasonable suspicion. Courts have granted such injunctions before. In  
4 *International Molders' and Allied Workers' Local Union No. 164 v. Nelson*, for example, the Ninth  
5 Circuit upheld a preliminary injunction against Border Patrol for detaining and arresting people in  
6 workplace enforcement actions without suspicion or cause. 799 F.2d at 551. *See also LaDuke*, 762 F.2d  
7 at 1333 (upholding permanent injunction against warrantless searches of workplace housing); *cf.*  
8 *Melendres*, 695 F.3d at 1000 (upholding injunction against state officer practice of detaining people for  
9 civil immigration offenses); *Easyriders Freedom F.I.G.H.T. v. Hannigan*, 92 F.3d 1486, 1501 (9th Cir.  
10 1996) (collecting cases awarding injunctions against Fourth Amendment violations). More recently, in  
11 *United Farm Workers*, a case arising from stop-and-arrest violations by Border Patrol agents similar to  
12 the violations at issue here, the court issued a district-wide injunction requiring Border Patrol agents to  
13 comply with federal law when stopping and arresting individuals. 2025 WL 1235525, at \*46.

14       Entering a District-wide TRO is squarely within the Court's equitable power. Defendants' policy  
15 and practice of illegality is widespread: as the record confirms, no matter where Plaintiffs are in the  
16 District, what time of day, or what type of location, they are susceptible to such policy and practice.  
17 Defendant ICE ERO's Los Angeles Field Office, a key player here, has an Area of Responsibility  
18 (AOR) that spans all seven counties in the District, with uniform policies and training set at the District  
19 level. Accordingly, relief should be District-wide. *See Kidd v. Mayorkas*, 734 F. Supp. 3d 967, 988  
20 (C.D. Cal. 2024) (granting summary judgment in favor of plaintiffs and vacating LA ERO field-office-  
21 wide unconstitutional policy).

22       Moreover, because Plaintiffs include organizations with extensive membership, spread out across  
23 the District, a District-wide TRO is necessary to afford them complete relief. *See, e.g., Easyriders*, 92  
24 F.3d at 1502 (explaining that a statewide injunction was necessary and proper where suit was brought by  
25 individual plaintiffs and a member organization). Just as in *Easyriders*, it would be impossible for agents  
26 and officers to know in advance who is a LAWCN, UFW, or CHIRLA member when making a  
27 detentive stop. Thus, it is proper to require Defendants to comply with the Fourth Amendment for all the  
28 stops they conduct. *See id.* "[W]hile the court's injunction might have the practical effect of benefiting

1 nonparties, that benefit is merely incidental.” *Trump v. CASA, Inc.*, ---S.Ct.---, 2025 WL 1773631, at  
2 \*11 (June 27, 2025) (cleaned up).

3 *Second*, Defendants will suffer no material harm from Plaintiffs’ proposed TRO, let alone any  
4 threat of permanent harm. Defendants can hardly complain about being ordered to follow the law. A  
5 restraining order pending further proceedings will not prevent federal immigration authorities from  
6 conducting immigration-related detentions and arrests, so long as they are complying with the law. *Cf.*  
7 *Zepeda v. I.N.S.*, 753 F.2d 719, 727 1146 (9th Cir. 1983) (an agency “cannot reasonably assert that it is  
8 harmed in any legally cognizable sense by being enjoined from constitutional violations”). While  
9 Defendants may claim they are already following the law<sup>77</sup>, clearly they are not. The Court should not  
10 leave it up to Defendants to decide whether or not they will comply with the law.

11 *Finally*, the balance of equities tips sharply in favor of preliminary relief because “it is always in  
12 the public interest to prevent the violation of a party’s constitutional rights.” *Melendres*, 695 F.3d at  
13 1002; *Preminger v. Principi*, 422 F.3d 815, 826 (9th Cir. 2005) (“Generally, public interest concerns are  
14 implicated when a constitutional right has been violated, because all citizens have a stake in upholding  
15 the Constitution.”). This is particularly true here, where Defendants’ grave violations of the Fourth  
16 Amendment have wreaked such devastating havoc across the entire District. *See supra* at 16–17  
17 (describing impacts in City of Pasadena, and across the region for the upcoming July 4 holiday).

### 18 CONCLUSION

19 For the foregoing reasons, the Court should grant Stop/Arrest Plaintiffs’ application and set this  
20 matter for an evidentiary hearing on Plaintiff’s request for a preliminary injunction.

21 /

22 /

23 /

24 /

25 \_\_\_\_\_  
26 <sup>77</sup> Wendy Fry & Sergio Olmos, ‘*Brazen, midday kidnappings: LA immigration sweeps violate*  
27 *Constitution, lawsuit says*, CalMatters (July 2, 2025), <https://calmatters.org/justice/2025/07/la-immigration-raids-lawsuit/> (quoting DHS spokesperson who described the allegations in the First  
28 Amended Petition and Complaint as “FALSE”).

1 Dated: July 3, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

2 ACLU FOUNDATION OF  
3 SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

4 By: Mohammad Tajsar

5 *Attorney for Stop/Arrest Plaintiffs*

6 UC IRVINE SCHOOL OF LAW  
7 IMMIGRANT AND RACIAL JUSTICE  
8 SOLIDARITY CLINIC

9 By: Anne Lai

10 *Attorney for Stop/Arrest Plaintiffs*

MIME-Version:1.0  
From:caed\_ecfmail@caed.uscourts.gov  
To:ecfnef@caed.uscourts.gov  
Message-Id:<40458059@caed.uscourts.gov>  
Subject:Activity in Case 2:25-cv-05605-MEMF-SP Pedro Vasquez Perdomo et al v. Kristi Noem et al Motion for Temporary Restraining Order  
Content-Type: text/html

**This is an automatic e-mail message generated by the CM/ECF system. Please DO NOT RESPOND to this e-mail because the mail box is unattended.**

**\*\*\*NOTE TO PUBLIC ACCESS USERS\*\*\*** Judicial Conference of the United States policy permits attorneys of record and parties in a case (including pro se litigants) to receive one free electronic copy of all documents filed electronically, if receipt is required by law or directed by the filer. PACER access fees apply to all other users. To avoid later charges, download a copy of each document during this first viewing. However, if the referenced document is a transcript, the free copy and 30 page limit do not apply.

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

**Notice of Electronic Filing**

The following transaction was entered by Tajsar, Mohammad on 7/3/2025 at 9:49 PM PDT and filed on 7/3/2025

**Case Name:** Pedro Vasquez Perdomo et al v. Kristi Noem et al

**Case Number:** [2:25-cv-05605-MEMF-SP](#)

**Filer:** Osorto Carlos  
Coalition for Humane Immigrant Rights  
Jason Brian Gavidia  
Los Angeles Worker Center Network  
United Farm Workers  
Pedro Vasquez Perdomo  
Isaac Villegas Molina  
Jorge Hernandez Viramontes

**Document Number:** [45](#)

**Docket Text:**

**EX PARTE APPLICATION for Temporary Restraining Order and Order to Show Cause filed by Plaintiffs Osorto Carlos, Coalition for Humane Immigrant Rights, Jason Brian Gavidia, Los Angeles Worker Center Network, United Farm Workers, Pedro Vasquez Perdomo, Isaac Villegas Molina, Jorge Hernandez Viramontes. (Attachments: # (1) Exhibit 1 - Pedro Vasquez Perdomo Decl., # (2) Exhibit 2 - Carlos Osorto Decl., # (3) Exhibit 3 - Isaac Villegas Molina Decl., # (4) Exhibit 4 - Jorge Luis Hernandez Viramontes Decl., # (5) Exhibit 5 - Omar Andres Gamez Decl., # (6) Exhibit 6 - Elvira Padilla Decl., # (7) Exhibit 7 - A.L. Decl., # (8) Exhibit 8 - Elizabeth Strater Decl., # (9) Exhibit 9 - Jason Brian Gavidia Decl., # (10) Exhibit 10 - Jesus Aristeo Cruz Uitz Decl., # (11) Exhibit 11 - Jose Antonio Valdez Rios Decl., # (12) Exhibit 12 - Armando Gudino Decl., # (13) Exhibit 13 - Flor Melendrez Decl., # (14) Exhibit 14 - B.V. Decl., # (15) Exhibit 15 - M.N. Decl., # (16) Exhibit 16 - R.H.D. Decl., # (17) Exhibit 17 - Rev. Tanya Lopez Decl., # (18) Exhibit 18 - Todd W. Price Decl., # (19) Exhibit 19 - Diana Sanchez Decl., # (20) Exhibit 20 - Stacy Tolchin Decl., # (21) Exhibit 21 - German Molina Decl., # (22) Proposed Order) (Tajsar, Mohammad)**

**2:25-cv-05605-MEMF-SP Notice has been electronically mailed to:**

Alison Brooke Steffel    asteffel@immdef.org, kgutierrez@immdef.org, msanchez@immdef.org

Alvaro M Huerta    ahuerta@immdef.org, kgutierrez@immdef.org, msanchez@immdef.org

Anne Lai    alai@law.uci.edu

Assistant 2241-194 US Attorney LA-CV    USACAC.Habeas@usdoj.gov, CaseView.ECF@usdoj.gov,  
USACAC.Civil@usdoj.gov

Brynna Rhiannon Bolt    bbolt@immdef.org, kgutierrez@immdef.org, msanchez@immdef.org

Dae Keun Kwon    akwon@aclusocal.org

Daniel A Beck    daniel.beck@usdoj.gov, CaseView.ECF@usdoj.gov, oscar.ortiz@usdoj.gov,  
USACAC.Civil@usdoj.gov

Diana Sanchez    dianasanchez@aclusocal.org, irdocket@aclusocal.org

Eva Lucia Bitran    ebitran@aclusocal.org, IRdocket@aclusocal.org

Mack Eric Jenkins    mjenkins@heckerfink.com, caseview.ECF@usdoj.gov, usacac.criminal@usdoj.gov

Mark D Rosenbaum    mrosenbaum@publiccounsel.org, rgarcia@publiccounsel.org

Matthew J. Craig    mcraig@heckerfink.com, docketing@heckerfink.com, hbidad@heckerfink.com,  
irobertson@heckerfink.com

Mayra Beatriz Joachin    mjoachin@aclusocal.org, cminneci@aclusocal.org

Mohammad K. Tajsar    mtajsar@aclusocal.org, mgarcia@aclusocal.org

OIL-DCS Trial Attorney    oil-dcs.cacd@usdoj.gov, caseview.ecf@usdoj.gov, USACAC.Habeas@usdoj.gov

Oliver Ma    oma@aclusocal.org

Pauline Helen Alarcon    Pauline.Alarcon@usdoj.gov, CaseView.ECF@usdoj.gov, julie.morales@usdoj.gov,  
USACAC.Civil@usdoj.gov

Rebecca Sarah Brown    rbrown@publiccounsel.org

Ritu Mahajan    rmahajan@publiccounsel.org

Ryan Casey Chapman    Ryan.Chapman@usdoj.gov, Carol.Ybarra@usdoj.gov, caseview.ecf@usdoj.gov,  
usacac.civil@usdoj.gov

Sean Skedzielewski    Sean.Skedzielewski@usdoj.gov

Stacy Eva Tolchin    Stacy@tolchinimmigration.com, yadira@tolchinimmigration.com

Stephanie Padilla    spadilla@aclusocal.org, mgarcia@aclusocal.org

**2:25-cv-05605-MEMF-SP Notice has been delivered by First Class U. S. Mail or by other means BY THE FILER to :**

The following document(s) are associated with this transaction:

**Document description:**Main Document

**Original filename:**C:\fakepath\2025.07.03 Ex Parte Application for TRO.pdf

**Electronic document Stamp:**

[STAMP cacdStamp\_ID=1020290914 [Date=7/3/2025] [FileNumber=40458057-0]  
[241a4d31b82920c2e0bd3076a782db03abd3182cf8190f3d9f986c03d4da19d49021  
c7f2fdef47e6777cd387b69da9ec725810fc0bd88d713ddd8f57725b8c5e]]

**Document description:**Exhibit 1 - Pedro Vasquez Perdomo Decl.

**Original filename:**C:\fakepath\Ex. 01 Pedro Vasquez Perdomo.pdf

**Electronic document Stamp:**

[STAMP cacdStamp\_ID=1020290914 [Date=7/3/2025] [FileNumber=40458057-1]  
[1fb663a11b6feb8e592d6931f22640e7f2c4359f04b5faf1c2879d85b31e70a82e64  
05f17731c6f3dcabaf56f887bd3a92e52ffb4e4f419c3e1a236eb307d556]]

**Document description:**Exhibit 2 - Carlos Osorto Decl.

**Original filename:**C:\fakepath\Ex. 02 Carlos Osorto.pdf

**Electronic document Stamp:**

[STAMP cacdStamp\_ID=1020290914 [Date=7/3/2025] [FileNumber=40458057-2]  
[11f6e8c221f85892a36cc6bb0befb8ea1bf03c199c817066e160b86cde2eca306a6b  
2afdb433b60141408793fb1e0c48bf470a3df13726c54f4774acde30708e]]

**Document description:**Exhibit 3 - Isaac Villegas Molina Decl.

**Original filename:**C:\fakepath\Ex. 03 Isaac Villegas Molina.pdf

**Electronic document Stamp:**

[STAMP cacdStamp\_ID=1020290914 [Date=7/3/2025] [FileNumber=40458057-3]  
[b9e3db543a2025253a5e1a0a7ceebeecd9927585afd0c7a9a0aa6e8d950a731f39a24  
c4f15645295756e8a52c4ab80a7376ab87ba43be46d8bd864a8c3d711c5b]]

**Document description:**Exhibit 4 - Jorge Luis Hernandez Viramontes Decl.

**Original filename:**C:\fakepath\Ex. 04 Jorge Luis Hernandez Viramontes.pdf

**Electronic document Stamp:**

[STAMP cacdStamp\_ID=1020290914 [Date=7/3/2025] [FileNumber=40458057-4]  
[aab1530e1d73da5f937ea749159400dbd095e9fd58b2c38e96ed952f8802b287e8b2  
ec3a395df6aa284c55f4da7594c2ac470896d6bbd2492e57e2a385346e65]]

**Document description:**Exhibit 5 - Omar Andres Gamez Decl.

**Original filename:**C:\fakepath\Ex. 05 Omar Andres Gamez.pdf

**Electronic document Stamp:**

[STAMP cacdStamp\_ID=1020290914 [Date=7/3/2025] [FileNumber=40458057-5]  
[9d74722b132b5917169cdaedc936f9742a6c7a7ff9f1e24b5d95be3ffe31a61ca69a  
4b79743536aedd95c557c82028a193abfd076c86ce95fd99c267943b001]]

**Document description:**Exhibit 6 - Elvira Padilla Decl.

**Original filename:**C:\fakepath\Ex. 06 Elvira Padilla.pdf

**Electronic document Stamp:**

[STAMP cacdStamp\_ID=1020290914 [Date=7/3/2025] [FileNumber=40458057-6]  
[09a11e09ba3e8c3ced999495ed5ffb837754a26736f2c75e58529bf40ad88beee262  
c8afdf28ac07bfb6dce8491cf34dfea113dfb0511dffe18aa4e87e0739d]]

**Document description:**Exhibit 7 - A.L. Decl.

**Original filename:**C:\fakepath\Ex. 07 AL Decl.pdf

**Electronic document Stamp:**

[STAMP cacdStamp\_ID=1020290914 [Date=7/3/2025] [FileNumber=40458057-7]  
[482a3b02133455b6e400c8acdeaa252e6b6981adc0029bf4a0d3badcb4e445393cf1  
85cff7eb7f0fa759a0af4e0199a92494ba55021bc01159a9dfe68764b021]]

**Document description:**Exhibit 8 - Elizabeth Strater Decl.

**Original filename:**C:\fakepath\Ex. 08 Elizabeth Strater.pdf

**Electronic document Stamp:**

[STAMP cacdStamp\_ID=1020290914 [Date=7/3/2025] [FileNumber=40458057-8]

[92365c013c7eaf4021eb8ff34d317a263150034432220b03ad7d7bc8f8f7286ca88c528d8ed35dc97338faf56cf8fe04e5721d89cd766f296bf24f578c242170]]

**Document description:**Exhibit 9 - Jason Brian Gavidia Decl.

**Original filename:**C:\fakepath\Ex. 09 Brian Gavidia.pdf

**Electronic document Stamp:**

[STAMP cacdStamp\_ID=1020290914 [Date=7/3/2025] [FileNumber=40458057-9]  
[99d321d318a6a8aba55d37e6be781c5841e64a0a036c075d8ec0f28f50dce48ba812  
b2f43b9e7cbfe4004b254e6ecb9878267061667d5db120f10f8a3f77e976]]

**Document description:**Exhibit 10 - Jesus Aristeo Cruz Uitz Decl.

**Original filename:**C:\fakepath\Ex. 10 Jesus Aristeo Cruz Uitz.pdf

**Electronic document Stamp:**

[STAMP cacdStamp\_ID=1020290914 [Date=7/3/2025] [FileNumber=40458057-10]  
][bf70be8ade38cee441f8c3a57d12393720171887bda481cb54413897d809ec6719c  
c7cd554d9eedcd45458caa3654437bd53e762312de17f3f1a24f858313704]]

**Document description:**Exhibit 11 - Jose Antonio Valdez Rios Decl.

**Original filename:**C:\fakepath\Ex. 11 Jose Antonio Valdez Rios.pdf

**Electronic document Stamp:**

[STAMP cacdStamp\_ID=1020290914 [Date=7/3/2025] [FileNumber=40458057-11]  
][01c7b8d6adafdf3c9e510dbd6c2d01c7e41751cb4fd784b6f3cdc1cd4961608cc5c9  
bfc0102084794561da9fec7de4baeb272ee60aa09135ea0a2f4ab2d3722]]

**Document description:**Exhibit 12 - Armando Gudino Decl.

**Original filename:**C:\fakepath\Ex. 12 Armando Gudino.pdf

**Electronic document Stamp:**

[STAMP cacdStamp\_ID=1020290914 [Date=7/3/2025] [FileNumber=40458057-12]  
][15aaa11eca8e277c15e65f54cca4585a358d1dba5a346b0da939c5e8d487d6743f2  
6bfae6547e0e156eebdbc79bca8afec57f4d0c8a697247170765204ae5e46]]

**Document description:**Exhibit 13 - Flor Melendrez Decl.

**Original filename:**C:\fakepath\Ex. 13 Flor Melendrez.pdf

**Electronic document Stamp:**

[STAMP cacdStamp\_ID=1020290914 [Date=7/3/2025] [FileNumber=40458057-13]  
][5beeebb0ff7024839ee1161d382d6e254438f386dd314134af52940d69888ca6336  
67050854522625108f1ee2e12fa53850acdfc8f7408288e630486caf36182]]

**Document description:**Exhibit 14 - B.V. Decl.

**Original filename:**C:\fakepath\Ex. 14 BV Decl.pdf

**Electronic document Stamp:**

[STAMP cacdStamp\_ID=1020290914 [Date=7/3/2025] [FileNumber=40458057-14]  
][86135469f58f558a9375977e48cd31d2f556a8883017788f63f3da829e847bff352  
e05fcd754156a01cec4519b25e015da426122482a929f4046195f70a71bc]]

**Document description:**Exhibit 15 - M.N. Decl.

**Original filename:**C:\fakepath\Ex. 15 MN Decl.pdf

**Electronic document Stamp:**

[STAMP cacdStamp\_ID=1020290914 [Date=7/3/2025] [FileNumber=40458057-15]  
][9b41d0a9982dea0a8176e6bfb3183a1bffa87b2bdefda2c01059351a09b19d4c2bc  
987127bd9eb42e818a77099b7b319c579a7c115407f54fc6e887b19f46ea2]]

**Document description:**Exhibit 16 - R.H.D. Decl.

**Original filename:**C:\fakepath\Ex. 16 RHD Decl.pdf

**Electronic document Stamp:**

[STAMP cacdStamp\_ID=1020290914 [Date=7/3/2025] [FileNumber=40458057-16]  
][97cbdc2c64f701e3242102e7bde5d1cd3d08f19519ca43f20e83dcde2781fd0b7b5  
6b37c491cf15e9ab32fc651a2ea4922123d63478264825eff6879bff8a5d9]]

**Document description:**Exhibit 17 - Rev. Tanya Lopez Decl.

**Original filename:**C:\fakepath\Ex. 17 Rev Lopez.pdf

**Electronic document Stamp:**

[STAMP cacdStamp\_ID=1020290914 [Date=7/3/2025] [FileNumber=40458057-17

] [444062c377b11ba6f39abb646905045ae28c130e19eaa3d56350e895bff0de52f55df35426e9ff84e21a02a177178cd8ad63f60c9814def26ecf7f01d9ce30c9]]

**Document description:**Exhibit 18 - Todd W. Price Decl.

**Original filename:**C:\fakepath\Ex. 18 Price Decl.pdf

**Electronic document Stamp:**

[STAMP cacdStamp\_ID=1020290914 [Date=7/3/2025] [FileNumber=40458057-18]  
] [4765739dda4657168d419cc0cd2a85bbdeafb790bdf4367df95b6554299dec519d4  
edc372b2f0e699f567bf2c523e425ba16b763e291ecf81fe1efa7c54f2447]]

**Document description:**Exhibit 19 - Diana Sanchez Decl,

**Original filename:**C:\fakepath\Ex. 19 Sanchez Decl.pdf

**Electronic document Stamp:**

[STAMP cacdStamp\_ID=1020290914 [Date=7/3/2025] [FileNumber=40458057-19]  
] [3b47998098ed8fb92519cb658911d20c0c78f791ea9ce2c11403bb8676127504909  
4d3ed0134372568d283d24de7b4aa95f95a9603b2e52a1f4ea48774419ef4]]

**Document description:**Exhibit 20 - Stacy Tolchin Decl.

**Original filename:**C:\fakepath\Ex. 20 Tolchin Decl.pdf

**Electronic document Stamp:**

[STAMP cacdStamp\_ID=1020290914 [Date=7/3/2025] [FileNumber=40458057-20]  
] [11c95904f55d6afccbde5e3f28168ac2fcfd6fe5c5198db64d2b18f5fafc1a8556  
a435a10d71f5b1367e9e6abee4a20d3599b2ba353bbb326f2e5358db3f1e]]

**Document description:**Exhibit 21 - German Molina Decl.

**Original filename:**C:\fakepath\Ex. 21 German Molina Decl.pdf

**Electronic document Stamp:**

[STAMP cacdStamp\_ID=1020290914 [Date=7/3/2025] [FileNumber=40458057-21]  
] [a409a8751c5bae82f2a6e5c4810c1dbd5902cd9c0aeb6d24a7a799b527b3a0de565  
6808af1349fa7e5862b6da3fe8dce81665c52b841ba717b8a4fad605bce42]]

**Document description:**Proposed Order

**Original filename:**C:\fakepath\Proposed Order Granting TRO.pdf

**Electronic document Stamp:**

[STAMP cacdStamp\_ID=1020290914 [Date=7/3/2025] [FileNumber=40458057-22]  
] [282fa772a102396535eb4e9d780d72ace479438ccb1e9edacda81c4b0b30b7944af  
89d7ee5951c0bf7d59643cfdb8c75e3c7b1aa75d306d8a8039767c0f52aa5]]