

October 26, 2023

VIA FEDERAL EXPRESS

Michael J. Knight, Esq. Executive Director The Lawyers' Fund for Client Protection 119 Washington Avenue Albany, New York 12210

Dear Mr. Knight:

This firm represents defendant Donald J. Trump with respect to the above-referenced matter.

Pursuant to a Decision and Order of the Hon. Arthur F. Engoron, J.S.C., dated October 20, 2023, and October 26, 2023, a copy of which is attached, the Court imposed a fine against our client in the amount of \$5,000 and \$10,000 payable to the New York Lawyers' Fund for Client Protection. Without waiving any rights or remedies, including, without limitation, any rights to appeal said orders, on behalf of our client, we enclose herewith a check from our attorney trust account in the amount \$15,000 in accordance with the Court's orders.

Respectfully submitted,

Alina Habba, Esq. Habba Madaio & Associates LLP

Att. & Encl.

Re: People v. Trump, et al., Index No. 452564/2022 (Sup. Ct. N.Y. County)



FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 10/20/2023 03:33 PM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1584

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK NEW YORK COUNTY

PRESENT: HON. ARTHUR F. ENGORON		37
X		
PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, BY LETITIA JAMES, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,	INDEX NO.	452564/2022
Plaintiff,		
- v -		
DONALD J. TRUMP, DONALD TRUMP JR, ERIC TRUMP, ALLEN WEISSELBERG, JEFFREY MCCONNEY, THE DONALD J. TRUMP REVOCABLE TRUST, THE TRUMP ORGANIZATION, INC., TRUMP ORGANIZATION LLC, DJT HOLDINGS LLC, DJT HOLDINGS MANAGING MEMBER, TRUMP ENDEAVOR 12 LLC, 401 NORTH WABASH VENTURE LLC, TRUMP OLD POST OFFICE LLC, 40 WALL STREET LLC, SEVEN SPRINGS LLC,		
Defendants.		

On October 3, during a break in this trial, defendant Donald Trump posted to his social media account an untrue, disparaging, and personally identifying post about my Principal Law Clerk. I spoke to defendants, both on and off the record. Off the record, I ordered Donald Trump to remove the post immediately. Approximately 10 minutes later, Donald Trump represented to me that he had taken down the offending post, and that he would not engage in similar behavior going forward. I then, on the record, imposed on all parties to this action a very limited gag order, "forbidding all parties from posting, emailing, or speaking publicly about any members of my staff," emphasizing, quite clearly, that "personal attacks on members of my court staff are unacceptable, inappropriate, and I will not tolerate them under any circumstances." I further made clear that "failure to abide by this directive will result in serious sanctions."

.-X

Despite this clear order, last night I learned that the subject offending post was never removed from the website "DonaldJTrump.com," and, in fact, had been on that website for the past 17 days. I understand it was removed late last night, but only in response to an email from this Court.

Today, in open Court, counsel for Donald Trump stated that the violation of the gag order was inadvertent and was an "unfortunate part of the process that is built into the campaign structure." Giving defendant the benefit of the doubt, he still violated the gag order. <u>Conners v Pallozzi</u>, 241 AD2d 719, 719 (3d Dept 1997) ("[c]ontrary to defendants' claim on appeal, a finding of civil contempt does not require a showing that such disobedience was willful").

OTHER ORDER – NON-MOTION

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1584

Further, whether intentional or the result of mere "campaign structure" negligence, the effect of the post on its subject is unmitigated by how or why it remained on Donald Trump's website for 17 days. Moreover, a defendant may not evade liability for violating a court order by asserting that the violation was a result of the actions of one or more of the defendant's employees or agents.

In the current overheated climate, incendiary untruths can, and in some cases already have, led to serious physical harm, and worse.

Donald Trump has received ample warning from this Court as to the possible repercussions of violating the gag order. He specifically acknowledged that he understood and would abide by it. Accordingly, issuing yet another warning is no longer appropriate; this Court is way beyond the "warning" stage.

Given defendant's position that the violation was inadvertent, and given that it is a first time violation, this Court will impose a nominal fine, \$5,000, payable to the New York Lawyers' Fund for Client Protection, within ten (10) days of the date of this order.

Make no mistake: future violations, whether intentional or unintentional, will subject the violator to far more severe sanctions, which may include, but are not limited to, steeper financial penalties, holding Donald Trump in contempt of court, and possibly imprisoning him pursuant to New York Judiciary Law § 753.

		Æ	
DATE: 10/20/2023		ARTHUR F. ENGORON, JSC	
Check One:	Case Disposed	X Non-Final Disposition	
Check if Appropriate:	Other (Specify)

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1598

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK NEW YORK COUNTY

PRESENT: HON. ARTHUR F. ENGORON	PART	37
PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, BY LETITIA JAMES, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,	INDEX NO.	452564/2022
Plaintiff,		
- v -		
DONALD J. TRUMP, DONALD TRUMP JR, ERIC TRUMP, ALLEN WEISSELBERG, JEFFREY MCCONNEY, THE DONALD J. TRUMP REVOCABLE TRUST, THE TRUMP ORGANIZATION, INC., TRUMP ORGANIZATION LLC, DJT HOLDINGS LLC, DJT HOLDINGS MANAGING MEMBER, TRUMP ENDEAVOR 12 LLC, 401 NORTH WABASH VENTURE LLC, TRUMP OLD POST OFFICE LLC, 40 WALL STREET LLC, SEVEN SPRINGS LLC,		
Defendants.		

-X

On October 3, on the record, I imposed on all parties to this action a very limited gag order, "forbidding all parties from posting, emailing, or <u>speaking publicly</u> about any members of my staff," emphasizing, quite clearly, that "personal attacks on members of my court staff are unacceptable, inappropriate, and I will not tolerate them under any circumstances" (emphasis added). I further made clear that "failure to abide by this directive will result in serious sanctions."

Despite this unambiguous order, last week I learned that Donald Trump had failed to abide by it by not removing, for a total of 17 days, from the website of donaldjtrump.com an untrue, disparaging and personally identifying post about my Principal Law Clerk. Counsel for defendant stated in open court that the violation of the gag order was inadvertent. Taking counsel at his word, I imposed a \$5,000 nominal sanction against Donald Trump for the first-time violation of the gag order.

On October 25, during a break order from the trial, Donald Trump made the following statement to a gaggle of reporters outside the courtroom: "This judge is a very partisan judge with a person who's very partisan sitting alongside him, perhaps even more partisan than he is." Quite clearly, defendant was referring, once again, to my Principal Law Clerk, who sits alongside me on the bench.

Defendant's attorneys offered the explanation that Donald Trump was referring to Michael Cohen, who had been sitting on the witness stand. I then conducted a brief hearing, during

OTHER ORDER - NON-MOTION

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1598

which Donald Trump testified, under oath that he was referring to Michael Cohen. However, as the trier of fact, I find this testimony rings hollow and untrue. The Oxford English Dictionary defines "alongside" as "close to the side of; next to." Witnesses do not sit "alongside" the judge, they sit in the witness box, separated from the judge by a low wooden barrier. Further, Donald Trump's past public statements demonstrate him referring to Michael Cohen directly by his name, or by a derogatory name, but in all circumstances, he is unambiguous in making it known he is referring to Michael Cohen.

Moreover, the language Donald Trump used on October 25 mirrors the language he used in public statements to the press on October 2, wherein he inappropriately and unquestionably spoke about my Principal Law Clerk, stating: "this rogue judge is a trump hater, the only one that hates trump more is his associate up there, this person that works with him, and she's screaming into his ear."

Using imprecise language as an excuse to create plausible ambiguity about whether defendant violated this Court's unequivocal gag order is not a defense; the subject of Donald Trump's public statement to the press was unmistakably clear. As the trier of fact, I find that Donald Trump was referring to my Principal Law Clerk, and that, as such, he has intentionally violated the gag order.

This is the second violation of this Court's gag order in the less than one month since this trial commenced. Accordingly, this Court imposed a fine of \$10,000 on defendant Donald Trump, to be paid to the New York Lawyers' Fund for Client Protection, within thirty (30) days of October 25, 2023.

Further, Donald Trump is ordered to post proof of payment, of this fine and the one imposed on October 10, 2023, to NYSCEF within two days of making such payments.

DATE: 10/26/2023	<u></u>	ARTHUR F. ENGORON, JSC	<u> </u>
Check One:	Case Disposed	X Non-Final Disposition	
Check if Appropriate:	Other (Specify)

Transaction Record



TRACKING NO .:	SHIP DATE:	ESTIMATED SHIPPING CHARGES:
773879910885	Oct 26, 2023	22.62 USD
From address	To address	
Alina Habba	Michael J. Knigh	nt, Exec. Director
Habba Madaio & Associates LLP	Lawyers Fund for	or Client Protection
1430 U.S. Highway 206	119 Washington	I Avenue
Suite 240	12210 NY ALBA	NY
07921 NJ Bedminster	US	
US	Phone: 9088691	1188
Phone: 9088691188		
ringram@habbalaw.com		

Package information

Г

Pieces	Weight	Dimensions (LxWxH)	Declared value	Package options
1 x	1.00 lb			n/a
Package type:		Service:		Pickup / drop-off type:
FedEx Envelope		FedEx Standard	Overnight	Drop off package at a FedEx location
Billing informa				
Billing informa		****** 470		
Bill transportation	n cost to:	*****479	P.O. I	
Billing informa Bill transportation Bill duties, taxes	n cost to:			No.: e No.:

Please note: This transaction record is neither a statement nor an invoice, and does not confirm shipment tendered to FedEx or payment. FedEx will not be responsible for any claim in excess of \$100 per package, whether the result of loss, damage, delay, non-delivery, misdelivery, or misinformation, unless you declare a higher value, pay an additional charge, document your actual loss and file a timely claim. Limitations found in the current FedEx Service Guide apply. Your right to recover from FedEx for any loss, including intrinsic value of the package, loss of sales, income interest, profit, attorney's fees, costs, and other forms of damage whether direct, incidental, consequential, or special is limited to the greater of \$100 or the authorized declared value. Recovery cannot exceed actual documented loss. Maximum for items of extraordinary value is \$1000, e.g., jewelry, precious metals, negotiable instruments and other items listed in our Service Guide. Written claims must be filed within strict time limits; Consult the applicable FedEx Service Guide for details. The estimated shipping charge may be different than the actual charges for your shipment. Differences may occur based on actual weight, dimensions, and other factors. Consult the applicable FedEx Service Guide or the FedEx Rate Sheets for details on how shipping charges are calculated.