1 STATE OF NEW MEXICO COUNTY OF SANTA FE 2 FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT 3 4 STATE OF NEW MEXICO, ex rel., MARCO WHITE, MARK MITCHELL, 5 and LESLIE LAKIND, Plaintiffs, 6 7 NO. D-101-CV-2022-00473 VS. 8 COUY GRIFFIN, 9 Defendant. 10 11 12 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 13 On the 15th day of August, 2022, at approximately 9:00 14 15 a.m., this matter came on for hearing for Trial on the Merits before the HONORABLE FRANCIS J. MATHEW, Judge of the First 16 Judicial District, State of New Mexico, Division I. 17 The Plaintiffs appeared in person and by Counsel of 18 Record, JOSEPH GOLDBERG, FREEDMAN BOYD HOLLANDER & GOLDBERG, 20 19 First Plaza NW, Suite 7800, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102; and 2.0 CHRISTOPHER A. DODD, DODD LAW OFFICE, LLC, 20 First Plaza, 21 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102; DANIEL A. SMALL, COHEN MILSTEIN 22 23 SELLERS & TOLL, PLLC, 1100 New York Avenue NW, Fifth Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005; NIKHEL SUS, CITIZENS FOR RESPONSBILITY 24

AND ETHICS IN WASHINGTON, 1331 F Street NW, Suite 900,

25

1	INDEX	
2		Page
3	APPEARANCES	4
4	PRELIMINARY MATTERS	5
5	OPENING STATEMENTS	
6	Mr. Goldberg	20
7	Mr. Griffin	34
8	CUOY GRIFFIN	
9	Direct Examination by Mr. Goldberg	45
10	Statement by Mr. Griffin	104
11	NATHANIEL GOWDY	
12	Direct Examination by Mr. Dodd	106
13	Cross-Examination by Mr. Griffin	134
14	DANIEL HODGES	
15	Direct Examination by Mr. Sus	147
16	Cross-Examination by Mr. Griffin	182
17		
18	Certificate of Court Reporter	205
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

At which time, the following proceedings were had: 1 * * * * * * * * * * * 2 THE COURT: We're on the record in the matter of 3 State of New Mexico, ex rel., Marco White, Mark Mitchell and 4 5 Leslie LaKind versus Couy Griffin, Santa Fe County Cause No. D-101-CV-2022-000473. 6 7 May I have appearances, and I'll take the plaintiff first, please. 8 MR. GOLDBERG: Good morning, Your Honor. Joseph 9 Goldberg, Freedman Boyd Hollander --10 THE COURT: Sir, you're going to have to speak into 11 12 the microphone. MR. GOLDEBERG: I'm sorry. Yes. Good morning, Your 13 Joseph Goldberg, Freedman Boyd Hollander & Goldberg, for 14 15 the Plaintiffs. With me at counsel table is Joe Simons, who is I call the hot seat person, but the person who will be handling 16 the technology. With me as counsel are Daniel Small, 17 Christopher Dodd, and Nik Sus, S-U-S. In addition, Your Honor, 18 all three Plaintiffs, Mr. Mitchell, Mr. White and Mr. LaKind, 19 are here in the courtroom. 20 THE COURT: Thank you. Now for the defendant. 21 MR. GRIFFIN: Good morning, Your Honor. Couy 22 23 Griffin, pro se, defendant. THE COURT: Thank you. We're here on a trial on the 24 25 merits on a petition to remove Mr. Griffin. We have some

preliminary matters. Based upon my review of those preliminary 1 matters, it would appear that the Plaintiffs' motion to quash 2 should be taken first. 3 Mr. Goldberg. 4 MR. GOLDBERG: It's not our motion to quash. It's 5 Mr. Griffin's motion to quash. 6 7 THE COURT: You have a motion to quash his response. MR. SUS: Good morning, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: Good morning, sir. 9 10 THE COURT REPORTER: Can you give me your name, 11 please. Nikhel Sus. Do you need me to spell it? 12 MR. SUS: THE COURT REPORTER: 13 No. MR. SUS: So, Your Honor, are you referring to the 14 15 motion to strike the reply brief, the surreply brief? THE COURT: Yes. 16 MR. SUS: Well, Your Honor, there is -- there is 17 some arguments that Mr. Griffin had raised for the first time in 18 his reply brief in support of his motion to dismiss. We have 19 2.0 filed a motion for leave to file a surreply or in the alternative to strike the untimely raised arguments. 21 Is that what you'd like to hear? 22 23 THE COURT: Yes. 24 MR. SUS: In the first instance, Your Honor, 25 Mr. Griffin's Motion to Dismiss/Motion to Quash was untimely.

The Court set a July 5 deadline for the parties to -- or for 1 Mr. Griffin to file a motion to dismiss. He filed his motion on 2 July 25, which was over two weeks late. 3 It's particularly inappropriate for Mr. Griffin to 4 file a late filed motion because of the context of this 5 proceeding. It's a Quo Warranto proceeding. And as Your Honor 6 knows, the statutes sets an timeline for the proceedings. 7 So the motion to dismiss itself is untimely. 8 In addition, as mentioned, Mr. Griffin's reply 9 raises, for the first time, an argument that the United States 10 should be joined in this case as an indispensable party. Our 11 12 position, Your Honor, is that that argument is forfeited because Mr. Griffin raised it for the first time in his reply brief. 13 Would you like to hear arguments on the merits of 14 15 the motion to dismiss? THE COURT: No. I want to hear from Mr. Griffin on 16 this. 17 MR. SUS: 18 Sure. THE COURT: Mr. Griffin. 19 20 MR. GRIFFIN: Thank you, Your Honor. In response to the Plaintiffs' defense, as far as 21 time constraints, we know that this particular motion that you 22 23 have before you is in regard to subject matter jurisdiction. These motions, as you know, Your Honor, can be filed at any 24

time, even after a verdict is read, in regards to subject matter

25

1	jurisdiction. So the argument that this is under fails to
2	meet a deadline, I believe, falls short.
3	Inside the meat of the motion that you have before
4	you, in regard to subject matters jurisdiction
5	THE COURT: I am not arguing I don't want to hear
6	argument on the meat yet. I want to hear argument about why I
7	shouldn't strike your pleadings.
8	MR. GRIFFIN: Then I would rest on that, Your Honor,
9	that the subject matter jurisdiction does not fall under a time
10	constraint.
11	THE COURT: Mr. Griffin, who drafted those
12	pleadings? You didn't draft those pleadings.
13	MR. GRIFFIN: Sir?
14	THE COURT: Who drafted those pleadings?
15	MR. GRIFFIN: A friend of mine and myself drafted it
16	together, yes, sir.
17	THE COURT: I want to know his name.
18	MR. GRIFFIN: A friend of mine from Roswell, New
19	Mexico.
20	THE COURT: Mr. Griffin, raise your right hand,
21	please.
22	MR. GRIFFIN: Yes, sir.
23	(Mr. Griffin sworn by the Court.)
24	MR. GRIFFIN: Yes, sir.
25	THE COURT: I am going to ask you again. Who

drafted those pleadings? 1 MR. GRIFFIN: A friend of mine in Roswell, New 2 Mexico, whose name is Hiram. 3 THE COURT: Hiram what? 4 MR. GRIFFIN: As God as my witness, I do not know 5 his last name. I only know him by his first name, which his 6 7 first name is Hiram. And he's not a licensed attorney. He's not a bar-certified attorney. He's a friend of mine who knows 8 the law and has helped me to draft this motion. 9 THE COURT: Okay. You can tell Hiram that I am 10 referring this to disciplinary counsel for investigation and 11 12 appropriate action. MR. GRIFFIN: Okay. 13 THE COURT: And I'm striking your pleadings. 14 15 MR. GRIFFIN: Can I ask you under what -- under -why you are striking it, sir? 16 THE COURT: Because a nonlicensed person who is 17 practicing law without a license in New Mexico. 18 MR. GRIFFIN: He's not practicing law, sir. He 19 20 just --THE COURT: We'll let the disciplinary counsel look 21 at that and decide whether he's practicing law or not. 22 23 MR. GRIFFIN: Okay. But in response to the time 24 constraint of -- the a subject matter jurisdictional time constraint, what would your response be? 25

THE COURT: You can sit down, sir, if that's the end 1 2 of your argument. Mr. Sus, do you have anything further? 3 MR. SUS: No, Your Honor. 4 THE COURT: I'm striking the motion to quash and 5 dismiss as well as Defendant's reply, as they were drafted and 6 7 submitted by a nonlicensed person --MR. GRIFFIN: I object to that, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: Sir, I'm making my ruling. 9 And they were, I believe, filed in violation of Rule 10 So the motion to dismiss pleadings and the -- to quash and 11 12 dismiss, as well as the Defendant's reply are quashed for purposes of this proceeding. 13 Would you like to make another motion, Mr. Griffin? 14 15 MR. GRIFFIN: Not at this time, Your Honor. may, Your Honor, I would like to -- if I may approach. 16 17 THE COURT: You may. MR. GRIFFIN: Your Honor, in regards to the matter 18 that's before us today, as you full well know in regard to a 19 civil lawsuit, there must be -- the Plaintiffs must have injury. 20 And in this case right here, the Plaintiffs, two reside in Santa 21 Fe County, and one resides in Los Alamos County. So my position 22 23 as a County Commissioner in Otero County has no influence or injury to the Plaintiffs that are ascribed in this lawsuit 24 25 today. That in itself should be grounds for removal because

there is no injury to the Plaintiffs.

As well as I have already been through the federal system. I have already been through a criminal trial. And in that trial, I was acquitted of anything that was disorderly or disruptive on January 6. The only charge that I was convicted of was a misdemeanor trespass charge.

Through this lawsuit today, I feel like I'm reliving this all over again. My actions on January 6 were well inside the bounds of the Constitution, and everything that I did on that day I felt I had full right as a free American to do. The misdemeanor trespass charge, I right now have under appeal in the federal system, because the area in which I crossed was not posted. There was no signs. There was no indicators that that was even off limits, let alone an unauthorized zone.

But again, I revert back to the first point that I just made, that the Plaintiffs fail to show injury. My actions as a County Commissioner do not affect anybody that lives outside of my county.

In regards to removal from office, I have already been through a recall process in Otero County. The petitions were made, the move was made, and the recall failed by 28 percent. They could only get 28 percent of the people of my district to sign a recall petition.

So now -- so now we sit in a civil courtroom with the Plaintiffs with a stacked deck, with counsel and attorneys

and with a sole motive to subvert the will of the people of 1 Otero County. I believe it's very unfair to the people of Otero 2 County, and a total disgrace to the system that we're in today. 3 I believe the people of Otero County spoke whenever that recall 4 failed, and I believe that the Plaintiffs have no injury in this 5 case, Your Honor. 6 7 And for that, I move for you to dismiss this lawsuit 8 today. 9 THE COURT: Thank you, sir. Mr. Sus? 10 MR. SUS: Yes, Your Honor. 11 12 Mr. Griffin just argued the motion to dismiss that Your Honor struck from the docket. If you'd like a response to 13 any of the points he made, I would be happy to provide those. 14 15 THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Sus, and make your points. MR. SUS: In the first instance, the law of New 16 Mexico is that standing is not a jurisdictional doctrine and 17 does not go to the subject matter jurisdiction. Instead, it is 18 defined by statute. The Quo Warranto statute expressly 19 authorizes any resident in the State of New Mexico -- private 20 resident in the State of New Mexico to bring a Quo Warranto 21 against a county official. 22 23 The Plaintiff need not file a complaint --24 THE COURT REPORTER: You're going to have to step 25 closer to the microphone. I cannot hear you very well.

MR. SUS: Sure.

The private Plaintiff need not file a complaint with the Attorney General or the District Attorney. The Plaintiff may file suit directly. And there is no requirement in the Quo Warranto statute that the Plaintiff reside in the same county as the county official -- where the county official sits, as Commissioner Griffin claims. So that is simply not a part of the statute, nor does it deprive the Court of subject matter jurisdiction.

With respect to Commissioner Griffin's argument that his federal criminal prosecution has any bearing on this State law *Quo Warranto* proceeding, Your Honor, we would refer you to the relevant portions of our pre-trial brief which address and refute that defense.

And the short answer to that defense is that Section 3 of the Fourteenth Amendment is not a criminal penalty or punishment, nor have either the Courts or Congress historically ever required a prior criminal conviction for an individual to be disqualified under Section 3 of the Fourteenth Amendment. That is because Section 3 is a qualification for office. It is not a criminal punishment. And so it's based on the simple proposition that one who takes an oath to support the Constitution and then violates that oath by engaging in an insurrection against the Constitution is disqualified from office.

So Mr. Griffin's federal criminal prosecution, while it did concern his conduct on January 6, 2021, is not dispositive or does not have any legal bearing on whether he engaged in an insurrection in connection with the January 6 attack on the Capitol.

THE COURT: Thank you, sir.

MR. SUS: Any other points you'd like?

THE COURT: No.

Mr. Griffin?

MR. GRIFFIN: Your Honor, for the record, I would just like to point to the fact that, as the Plaintiffs point to an insurrection, that there has not been one individual to date charged with insurrection. The only people that call January 6 an insurrection is those who want to undermine or destroy an opposing political party.

On my account of January 6, it was not an insurrection. But again, as you just heard the Plaintiffs speak — the opposing counsel speak. He did not mention one area in which the Plaintiffs have suffered any injury to my actions of a County Commissioner. Because that's what this case is about. We are already getting into January 6, but this — this lawsuit is about removing a duly-elected County Commissioner from office through the civil courts.

You're -- by allowing this case to move forward, you are going to set very dangerous precedence moving forward into

the future if you allow this to move forward. This is going to 1 set a precedence whenever -- if somebody that has enough money 2 and has enough legal -- legal horsepower behind them and they 3 don't like somebody in an opposing county's politics to put them 4 in the very seat that I'm sat in today. And I feel it's very 5 unfair. I feel it's very un-American. And if it proceeds 6 7 forward, it will be a pure and clear example of peering. THE COURT: Mr. Griffin, I do have questions for 8 9 you. MR. GRIFFIN: Yes, sir. 10 There was a mandamus action that was 11 THE COURT: 12 filed against the Otero County Commission in the Supreme Court in June of this year for their refusal to certify election 13 results. 14 15 MR. GRIFFIN: That's correct. THE COURT: And that affected the entire state. 16 So it's not a local -- you are not just local in what you do. 17 MR. GRIFFIN: Sure. And if I may address that 18 decision as you bring it forward, Your Honor. 19 20 THE COURT: Yes, sir. MR. GRIFFIN: Whenever we voted to not certify, we 21 had questions about the elections. And those questions that we 22 23 had about the election -- the primary election were proven to be factual through a recount in a District 2 race. If I may, the 24 vote tally that we were forced to certify changed after the 25

1 recount. So the Commission Board was correct in their refusal 2 to certify that vote because that vote was not the legitimate 3 nor legal vote. At that time, there was three votes that were 4 legally cast --5 THE COURT: Mr. Griffin, I'm not asking about the 6 What I'm focusing on is your argument that you are a 7 local official and these Plaintiffs are not in your county. 8 MR. GRIFFIN: Yes, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: But this mandamus action was because 10 your actions, whether they were right or wrong, affected the 11 12 entire state of New Mexico. MR. GRIFFIN: You know, and that might be a valid 13 argument, but it's a shame it's not coming from counsel, but now 14 15 it's coming from the bench. THE COURT: I'm asking you --16 MR. GRIFFIN: I know, but you are arguing the 17 counsels' case for them. 18 THE COURT: I am asking you to respond to it because 19 20 it's an issue that is in my mind. MR. GRIFFIN: I believe that the oath that I hold in 21 my office is held to my constituents. I have reservations about 22 23 the legality of the election and, therefore, I voted no on the certification. And come to find out, I was right in my vote. 24 THE COURT: I'm not asking about the vote. I'm not 25

asking about whether you were right or whether you were wrong.

I'm focusing on your point that these Plaintiffs were not
injured by your actions as Otero County County Commissioner. I
have that issue that I need you to address.

MR. GRIFFIN: And I will address that, Your Honor, because my actions of that vote had no injury to anybody else in the state. Why is that? Because we were forced through the New Mexico Supreme Court to certify that vote. That vote was certified. So there was no injury. Your argument falls short, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I'm not arguing, Mr. Griffin. I'm asking you about -- I want your input on that point.

MR. GRIFFIN: And my input is given. My vote -- we certified that vote, so there was no injury. There was no injury to anybody else in the state nor outside of the county. And if we would have not certified that vote, the Secretary of State and the Supreme Court already had an ad hoc program in place to certify the vote. They were going to override the Otero County Commission and certify the vote anyways.

I believe you are probably aware of that, Your Honor.

So by us -- we did not have the option in that. So therefore, we did not affect anybody else outside of the county. If we would have continued to vote no on the certification, then we still wouldn't have affected anybody. And anybody wouldn't have had injury because the vote was still going to be

1	certified.
2	THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Griffin.
3	MR. GRIFFIN: Thank you.
4	THE COURT: Gentlemen, thank you for your
5	presentations on this point.
6	I don't believe the motion is well taken, so I'm
7	denying the motion.
8	Mr. Sus, would you prepare the appropriate form of
9	order?
10	MR. SUS: Yes, Your Honor.
11	THE COURT: Any other preliminary items that we need
12	to take up before review of the merits?
13	MR. GOLDBERG: Just one housekeeping matter, Your
14	Honor. Joseph Goldberg.
15	The status conference we held on Friday we raised
16	the issue
17	THE COURT: You need to speak into the
18	MR. GOLDBERG: I'm sorry, Your Honor.
19	THE COURT REPORTER: Can you come to the podium,
20	please.
21	MR. GOLDBERG: I will.
22	Just a housekeeping matter, Your Honor. In the
23	status conference on Friday, we addressed the issue that
24	Mr. Griffin has not objected to any of our exhibits. And Your
25	Honor indicated that the Your Honor's orders both

pre-trial orders which said that any exhibits that were not objected to were deemed admitted. I just want the record to reflect that all of our exhibits are admitted into evidence.

THE COURT: As I said on Friday, my orders stand.

Any exhibit that was not objected to in a timely fashion in accordance with those orders are admitted.

MR. GRIFFIN: Your Honor, if I may.

THE COURT: Yes, sir.

MR. GRIFFIN: I would like to ask Your Honor and the Court to strike anything that the Defendants [sic] present that has to do with the deposition that I took or that Matt Struck took. And I ask the Court this because they were supposed to send me a copy of the deposition so I could sign the deposition and verify the deposition. I was never sent a copy of the deposition, nor was Matt Struck, who was deposed as well. We were never sent a copy of the deposition to verify and sign and send back. And the attorneys told us that we would receive that to look over, to agree with, to sign and to send back.

THE COURT: With respect to the depositions,

Mr. Griffin, your deposition and Mr. Struck's deposition are two
different things. Yours are a party opponent. Your statements
in any deposition are outside of a deposition are statements of
a party opponent. That may be admitted.

Mr. Struck's, I don't know what exhibit Mr. Struck may be referred to in. So is it an exhibit that was previously

tendered to you and you didn't object?

MR. GRIFFIN: No. It was the full six-hour deposition that I took with the attorneys. After the deposition, Mr. Goldberg told me he was going to send me the deposition so I could verify it, sign it and send it back.

THE COURT: Okay. Let me hear from Mr. Goldberg.

MR. GOLDBERG: It's actually irrelevant, Your Honor, but I will respond to what Mr. Griffin said. I didn't tell him I would send him the deposition. I told him he would get a copy of the deposition. As usual, that always comes from the court reporter. I cannot say one way or the other what the court reporter's office did.

I will call to the Court's attention that there were numerous documents that our office sent to Mr. Griffin that he claims he never received. And I can't say one way or the other whether he received it.

THE COURT: Mr. Goldberg, when was this deposition taken?

MR. GOLDBERG: Mr. Griffin's deposition was taken, I think, on the 21st of July. And Mr. Struck's deposition was taken later because of some -- I'll stay with Mr. Griffin's deposition. Mr. Griffin's deposition is listed as an exhibit. He didn't object to it. Mr. Struck's deposition was listed as an exhibit, and Mr. Griffin didn't object to it.

THE COURT: Mr. Griffin, your reply?

1	MR. GRIFFIN: Maybe I overlooked it and if I
2	didn't object to it at the right time, then I would accept that
3	that burden falls on me. But I don't see how any burden can't
4	fall on the Plaintiffs as well for not sending that I can
5	tell that you don't it's okay. It's fine.
6	I'd just like to say that by this moving forward and
7	by me being removed is going to subvert the will of the people
8	of Otero County, and I feel it's extremely unjust.
9	THE COURT: Any other housekeeping matters from
10	anyone?
11	MR. GOLDBERG: Your Honor, I'm going to violate the
12	cardinal principle of speaking after the Court has ruled, but I
13	do want to call to the Court's attention that upon
14	certification
15	THE COURT: If you are going to argue something, you
16	have to come to the podium.
17	MR. GOLDBERG: I just want to read into the record.
18	On the certification of the court reporter
19	THE COURT: I've already ruled on that, sir.
20	MR. GOLDBERG: Okay. Great.
21	THE COURT: Thank you.
22	MR. GOLDBERG: We have nothing else, Your Honor.
23	THE COURT: Thank you.
24	With respect to all exhibits that were not objected
25	to, they are admitted.

Do you have an opening statement, Mr. Goldberg? 1 MR. GOLDBERG: I do, Your Honor. 2 Good morning, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Good morning. 4 MR. GOLDBERG: This trial focuses on the shocking 5 and violent events that occurred at the United States Capitol in 6 7 Washington, D.C., on January 6, 2021, as well as the events that led up to January 6 and the Defendant Couy Griffin's 8 participation in those events. 9 The Court, as fact finder, must determine on the 10 basis of this trial: One, is Mr. Griffin, as an Otero County 11 12 Commissioner, subject to the requirements of Section 3 of the Fourteenth Amendment as a state officer who exercises executive 13 or judicial powers and took an oath of office to support the 14 15 United States Constitution? That's the first question Your Honor must decide. 16 The second question that Your Honor must decide is: 17 Were the events at the United States Capitol on January 6, and 18 the events leading up to that day, an insurrection within the 19 meaning of Section 3? 20 And the third question that Your Honor must decide 21 as the fact finder here is: Did Mr. Griffin, the Defendant, 22 23 participate, that is, engage, in that insurrection? Since what happened on January 6, 2021 at the United 24 States Capitol building is the centerpiece of this trial. 25

want to start out by trying to orient the Court physically to the key locations using some enlarged photographs that are to scale. I have four of them, but I have two of them up.

THE COURT: Mr. Goldberg, you are going to have to take the microphone with you.

(Note: Discussion held off the record.)

THE COURT: I'm going to start with this board on the left that has the National Mall. This is like a -- an aerial photograph, a Google photograph, although the source is listed on it. In the upper left-hand corner is the White House, Your Honor. You can see it up there. And we have surrounded it. And then the Stop the Steal rally, which the president spoke on the morning -- early afternoon of January 6, is encircled there. And that's just south -- yeah, south of the White House.

The Capitol is on the far right over here. The crowd from the Stop the Steal rally migrated from the rally over there either along the streets or along the mall, which is that green grassy area over there. And the videos strongly establish that Mr. Griffin went along the Mall towards the U.S. Capitol.

In order to get at the Capitol, where eventually he was, and we'll describe that -- in order to get to the Capitol, he had to breach three separate barriers, each one of which was erected to prohibit people from the public, including

Mr. Griffin, from being on the Capitol grounds or on the

Capitol.

I want to point out the first barrier. First, let's show where the Peace Monument is. The Peace Monument will show up in some of the videos and show up in some of the testimony. And Mr. Griffin breached the first barrier right around the Peace Monument. It's right over there. And from there, you get from the public area into the Capitol grounds, the grassy grounds there. There is a -- as part of the barrier, there is a physical wall, a stone wall. Your Honor will see a video where Mr. Griffin is, in fact, using a motor scooter seat as a step to help him climb over that wall to get into -- illegally to get into an area where he is not supposed to be.

Then the second barrier that he breaches is where Mr. Dodd is pointing out, which is from the grassy area to where you have a stone or a closet area, which is also a barrier. You will see a video where Mr. Griffin, again, illegally breaches that barrier, which is another fence, by using a bike rack like a ladder to climb up the bike rack to get over the fence barrier to get into -- close to the Capitol. That's the second breach.

The third breach is -- as you approach the Capitol -- Chris, why don't you move over to the second.

As you approach the Capitol, there was a third barrier that was not part of the Capitol grounds but was erected by the Capitol authorities when they built the inaugural stages.

Chris, why don't you just circle around what the

inaugural stuff is there.

As part of that, they built a third barrier. And you'll see a video of Mr. Griffin breaching that barrier, again, erected to keep people out.

You heard Mr. Griffin at his deposition and you heard Mr. Griffin right here in the courtroom just ten minutes ago saying, well, I never knew I was going somewhere where I wasn't supposed to go. That didn't fly in the Federal Court in Washington where he was, in fact, convicted of trespass. But you will see videos where Mr. Griffin states -- not what he states here in the courtroom, but what he states immediately after, the next day after January 6, and very shortly after that, he says, we all knew that we were going where we were not supposed to go. And he, in fact, is happy about that.

With reference to the second board, we put a legend on there to give you a sense of the scale here, Your Honor. That looks like a four or five-inch carries 100 feet. That shows you where the inaugural platform is. As part of the inaugural platform -- that inaugural platform, Your Honor, is also on what's called the West Terrace of the Capitol. The facade that we're seeing here is looking west. It's called the West Facade. And you'll hear some testimony about that. The platform is built on the West Terrace. It actually covers the West Terrace and it elevates the West Terrace a little bit. And it also -- it also juts out about a foot or a foot and a half

beyond the actual terrace itself.

Mr. Griffin, after he breaches the third barrier, then improperly goes up some steps that takes were there that takes you from the to the plaza level to the terrace level, and he gets up on the platform, when is then on top of the West Terrace just where Mr. Dodd's pointer is, which is right towards the middle of the balustrade. And it is, as you'll hear in videos and perhaps in Mr. Griffin's testimony, just about where President Biden is slated to take the oath of office. That's where he gets onto what I'm going to call the terrace, but is actually technically the inaugural platform. And that's where he stays.

The time here is about -- just around 2:00, 2:30 or so -- no, I'm saying that wrong. It's about 2:50 or so. He stays there for more than an hour and a half, and he largely doesn't move from there. He's enjoying a prominent physical position, but he's also taking a prominent role in the activities there. He leads -- he leads the mob in a prayer. He -- he speaks to them using a bullhorn. You'll see videos of him physically egging and cheering them on.

Certain activities occur in what is called the tunnel. The tunnel is the entrance from the west plaza to the crypt of the Capitol, which is down one level from the main floor of the Capitol. That tunnel is right where Mr. Dodd is pointing. It's almost directly behind where Mr. Griffin spends

his hour and a half or hour and three-quarters on the terrace level. And it's about -- I'd say about 35 or 40 feet behind him. You will see videos of him turning around and looking at what goes on there.

And again, you heard Mr. Griffin say he -- and he says in his deposition and he says here, he didn't see violence, this was not violence. You'll see -- you'll hear testimony that there was a mob of people that pushed, forced themselves into that tunnel. You'll hear testimony from a District of Columbia police officer who was injured in that tunnel.

And as the people are going into the tunnel, they are yelling "Heave-ho. Heave-ho." And Mr. Griffin states that -- not here and not in his deposition, but immediately after January 6, that he joined in with them saying "Heave-ho. Heave-ho."

That gives you a sense physically of what you see in the Capitol.

We have two other -- we just enlarged the first photograph. It gives you a greater sense of that grassy area and where they are. This photograph over here on the right gives you a much clearer picture of the platform, the inaugural platform. It gives a clearer picture of the crypt that's right behind where Mr. Griffin was standing. And it gives you a sense of a distance between Mr. Griffin and activities that involve a lot of violence.

Let's turn to the testimony and witnesses. We will be presenting five live witnesses and two witnesses, law enforcement officials, who testified at Defendant Griffin's criminal trial in Washington this last winter by their previous trial testimony. I will describe first the live witnesses' testimony.

The first witness, Your Honor, will be Defendant Griffin. And we will show through Mr. Griffin's testimony that his office satisfies the -- being subject to the requirements of Section 3 of the Fourteenth Amendment. We will show that he took an oath of office to support the United States Constitution, that he was elected to the office of Otero County Commissioner, and that that office satisfies the requirements of Section 3. We will show that the office that he holds was created by the State of New Mexico as a state office that carries out executive functions and thereby satisfies Section 3.

We will show through his testimony that Mr. Griffin traveled to Washington, D.C. with at least one, and perhaps two, weapons. And over several days, he made various stops on his way to Washington and gave various speeches. During those speeches, Mr. Griffin encouraged people to go to Washington, D.C. on January 6 in order to stop the peaceful transfer of presidential power from Donald Trump to Joseph Biden, imploring inflammatory language, such as references to war, "winning at all costs" and "hunting down the rhinos."

_

Mr. Griffin was at the Capitol building on January 6, ultimately taking a prominent position on the balustrade of the inaugural platform. And to get there, as I indicated, he had to breach barriers, not once, twice, but three times.

After the insurrection on January 6, Mr. Griffin touted the force and violence that had occurred at the Capitol that he had witnessed, and continued to foment people to resist the transfer of presidential power by suggesting further violence at the Capitol on January 20th. And threatened that — and these are his words, Your Honor — "blood will run from the Capitol" if the transition of power went forward.

The second witness is a photo journalist by the name of Nate Gowdy. Mr. Gowdy is a freelance photo journalist who was in Washington, D.C. to cover the events of January 6 for the Rolling Stone Magazine. Mr. Gowdy was in close proximity to Mr. Griffin all afternoon on January 6 at the Capitol and took hundreds of photographs of Mr. Griffin holding at the Capitol building.

Mr. Gowdy will provide a firsthand account from a perspective from within the mob. He will testify that the mob was extremely violent towards the police and others. And that as a member of the press, Mr. Gowdy himself has threatened and attacked. Mr. Gowdy will explain that based on his observations, the mob was there to stop the certification of Joe Biden's election and that the mob used violence, force and

intimidation to achieve that goal.

Mr. Gowdy will further testify to Mr. Griffin's participation in the events on the West Terrace and that Mr. Griffin reveled in the events and encouraged the mob's actions. He will testify to seeing Mr. Griffin take up the prominent leadership position within the mob, and that due to this, Mr. Griffin is present in approximately 5 percent of the photographs that Mr. Gowdy took that day. Mr. Gowdy will testify that Mr. Griffin's presence on the platform was from approximately 3:00 p.m. to 4:30 p.m. on that day.

This is a good time to stop. I want to give Your
Honor some sense of what it looked like on that West Facade of
the White House -- of the Capitol. The Capitol, of course, has
surveillance cameras all over the Capitol, inside and out. And
it had a surveillance camera right above the West Terrace. And
we have -- we took that surveillance video for five hours, from
about 12:30 in the afternoon until 5:30 in the afternoon. Since
Your Honor didn't give us five hours to play it, we have
compressed that whole video in sort of like a time lapse
fast-forward that you see sometimes on the weather forecast on
the TV. And I asked Joe Simons to do that. This is the five
hours compressed to 17 seconds, Your Honor.

Please play it, Joe.

(Note: The video is played to the Court.)

MR. GOLDBERG: So what you saw there in 17 seconds

was the five hours before anybody breached the Capitol grounds before the mob went there. You saw the breach and then you saw where the police, after many hours of terrible fighting and injury, finally gained control of the mob and pushed them back off of the Capitol grounds.

The next witness will be Officer Dan Hodges.

Officer Hodges is a police officer of the DC Metropolitan Police

Department. He was called to defend the Capitol on January 6,

to reinforce the Capitol police. Officer Hodges will testify

that the mob was brutally violent and attacked him and his

fellow officers with a variety of weapons and inflicting serious

physical and emotional injuries.

Officer Hodges will testify, and his body camera footage will show, that many in the mob came prepared for violence, adorned in full tactical gear. He will testify that the mob made it clear through their words, their chants, their flags, their banners, that they came to the Capitol with a purpose of stopping the certification of the 2020 election.

Mr. Hodges will testify that the sheer size of the mob was the most important factor in their success in seizing the Capitol grounds and breaching the Capitol. The thousands in the mob were overwhelmed and outnumbered to law enforcement.

Because of the mob size and the chaotic atmosphere created, law enforcement officers were impeded by both violent and nonviolent members of the mob. Officers could not tell in the moment which

individuals were going to be violent and, therefore, every trespasser was a threat.

The next two witnesses are expert witnesses, Your

Honor. The first expert witness will be Mark Graber. He is a

professor of law, constitutional law, at the University of

Maryland Law School. He is one of the country's leading expert

in the history of Section 3 of the Fourteenth Amendment.

Professor Graber has performed a thorough investigation into the

historical antecedents and context of Section 3, its historical

applications and the relevant events of January 6.

On the basis of his investigation, Professor Graber reports that among knowledgeable people in the 19th Century when Section 3 was drafted and adopted, there was a strong consensus that Section 3 should be broadly construed and applied, that its requirement should be applicable and were applied to county offices like Defendant Griffin's office here. And that a group of persons who sought to accomplish a common purpose to resist or frustrate the application of lawful authority with force, violence or intimidation by numbers, constituted an insurrection, as understood by those people at the time.

Professor Graber offers opinions that, one, the events of January 6 would be viewed by knowledgeable persons as an insurrection. And two, that Mr. Griffin's activities would be viewed as engaging in that insurrection.

The last live witness, Your Honor, would be

Dr. Rachel Kleinfeld. Dr. Kleinfeld is a senior fellow at the Carnegie Endowment for the Humanities, and is one of the world's leading experts on democracy and violence globally. And she is the foremost expert on U.S. political violence. She will present her findings and expert opinions on the basis of her thorough investigation of the events of January 6 and the lead-up to those events.

Dr. Kleinfeld will testify that to prevent the transfer of the presidential power, Mr. Griffin helped to mobilize the credible use of force at three stages at the state level on January 6, the day of the events, and on inauguration day. Mr. Griffin consistently normalized violence before and after the election until the inauguration. Mr. Griffin mobilized people to come to Washington on January 6, using language to normalize and incite violence. Mr. Griffin continued to incite and normalize violence on January 6 while that was happening. And on the basis of her experience and investigation here, Dr. Kleinfeld offers her opinions that the purpose of the insurrection, including the January 6 attack on the Capitol, was to prevent the transfer of presidential power through violence or intimidation, and that Mr. Griffin's actions and comments suggest that he shared that objective.

Violence predictably occurred at the Capitol on January 6 and Mr. Griffin likely knew there was a substantial threat of violence, and helped to create that threat.

Mr. Griffin was an insurrectionist and not a protestor. Over several weeks, he participated in multifaceted efforts to prevent this transfer of presidential power. He engaged in the insurrection, including on January 6 as a mobilizer and inciter of the mob and as a normalizer of violence.

The last two witnesses which we are providing the transcripts for to Your Honor are Inspector John Erickson and Inspector Lanelle Hawa. U.S. Capitol Police Inspector John Erickson is a 32-year veteran of the force. He will testify that the area that Mr. Griffin entered on the Capitol grounds on January 6 were restricted to the public. Inspector Erickson will testify that due to the mob that gathered on the Capitol grounds that day, it took several hours for the Capitol police to clear the Lower West Terrace, and did that only with the assistance of the National Guard.

Inspector Erickson will testify that the mass of people occupying the Capitol grounds included those trying to breach through the Lower West Terrace door that led to the significant obstruction of the Capitol ground. Inspector Erickson's testimony also confirms that the mob's presence on the Capitol grounds at the time that Mr. Griffin was there coincided with the evacuation of Vice President Pence from the ceremonial office in carrying out the official functions that he was designated to carry out for a period of time.

THE COURT: You have three minutes left,

Mr. Goldberg, three minutes left of the 30.

MR. GOLDBERG: Your Honor, great.

Then Inspector -- the last witness is Inspector

Lanelle Hawa. She is a 23-year veteran of the Secret Service.

She is going to testify to the -- access to the Capitol grounds were restricted because of Vice President Pence's participation in the election certification.

On the afternoon of January, 6, she received alerts of security breaches indicating that there were groups of individuals that had broken through. The breaches continued and there was a large group of individuals who were breaking through. These breaches resulted in the certification of the electoral college vote being stopped because -- and because of the breaches, the House of Representatives and the Senate were suspended for a period of time. The Capitol went into lockdown. Vice President Pence was evacuated from his office and taken to a secure location and did not return to the Capitol for several hours. Inspector Hawa will testify that individuals breached security barriers at the Capitol after 2:25 p.m. and the evacuation of the past vice president.

If Mr. Griffin's testimony to this Court is at all similar to his deposition testimony, Mr. Griffin will try to downplay his conduct when portraying the events at the Capitol on January 6 as peaceful. The videos at the time contradict that. The videos at the time show that he admits he was aware

that he was gaining access to the Capitol grounds illegally,
that he did, in fact, witness violence, that he compares to his
exaggerated characterization of Black Lives Matter and
alternatively blames on Antifa and characterizes the events on
January 6 -- these are Mr. Griffin's own words -- as a whirlwind
and a shot across the mouth.

And finally, as you will see in the videos, he threatens further violence predicting that, quote, "Blood will run," closed quote. That's what this trial is about, Your Honor.

Thank you very much.

THE COURT: Mr. Griffin?

MR. GRIFFIN: Yes, Your Honor.

I'd probably first like to start out by saying I'm not prepared as I should be today, and I believe the reason for that is because I had too much confidence in the law being followed. As you read the dispositive motion in regards to subject matter jurisdiction, it was very clear in that motion as far as exactly the subject matter jurisdiction and what's going on here today. I didn't think that we would be here. But for you to make a judgment on that motion just by saying you didn't write this, I think is very disrespectful.

I put a lot of time and I drove to Roswell every weekend, taking time away from my family and not wanting to do it. I -- I -- it was something that I forced myself to do. But

I had a friend that is knowledgeable as well, who now you know his name, Hiram, help me through that and helped me to write that. But for you to throw that motion out on a judgment that comes from the bench that you didn't write this whenever this motion is full of the law and anybody out there who is following this case, following these proceedings, I would encourage them to read through that dispositive motion because I wouldn't be having to stand before you here today if that motion would have been considered and respected.

And then for Your Honor to demand the name of a friend of mine so he can be singled out and attacked is evidence in itself of how un-American our system is today. And it's very disappointing to see one of our highest courts in New Mexico act in such a way.

But thank God for the opportunity to appeal, because we can only trust and have faith that if it goes to that point, that the next Court will be more or possibly, I should say, less judgmental towards me and what my abilities are in regards to being able to look at the law that's available to every American.

In regards to my actions on January 6, everything that Mr. Goldberg just said a minute ago trying to portray me as an insurrectionist is false. It's not why I went to Washington, D.C. on January the 6th. Why I went to Washington, D.C. on January 6th is to protest what we now know was illegitimate

elections. The evidence is in that our elections were tampered with. And I went to exercise my constitutional right to peacefully protest what we now know were fraudulent elections.

I didn't even plan on going down to the Capitol on January the 6th. I traveled to Washington, D.C. to attend the rally at the Ellipse. And that morning at the rally at the Ellipse, I was contacted by a friend, a dear brother in Christ by the name of Gary Chapman. And Gary encouraged me to pray with people on that day. I thought I was going to be able to have the opportunity from the main stage to possibly be able to speak. And I was going to encourage that crowd to take a knee, not in protest of the government, not in unified solidarity, but I was going to ask them to take a knee to declare that Jesus Christ is King and Lord.

I wasn't afforded that opportunity during the Ellipse. And I texted Gary that morning telling him that I didn't have the opportunity to pray from the main stage. And Gary said, "Couy, you don't need the main stage, just find a group of people and a bullhorn." That's what sent me on my walk down to the Capitol.

When I got down to the Capitol as we are going to see, and as I'm sure Mr. Goldberg will show, the area in which I crossed over into the restricted zone. There was a man that was standing up on the wall, a black man, and he was preaching the gospel and he was hitting on every cylinder and he was preaching

in the purest form. And as he looked at me and as he engaged me, there was a bicycle that was set up against the wall and there was people sitting all along the wall. They were already all in the grass all behind the wall. I had no idea that that wall was any type of a restricted zone.

But as that black man looked at me, he told me,

"Step up on the bike." Whenever he said, "Step up on the bike,"

Matt Struck, who was with me, thought that he said "step up to

the mic." And Matt looked at me and said, "Go, Couy, go, he's

calling you. He's calling you." And so I said, "All right."

So I pulled my pants up and I stepped up on the bicycle seat. I

stepped up on the wall and then I tried to engage the man again

to see if I could borrow his microphone -- his bullhorn to pray

with the people that were there, but he never looked back at me

again and I never did feel like that was the place.

And so we just ventured on up toward the Capitol.

It was peaceful all around me. There was never any -- and mind you, might I add, whenever I crossed over that wall, it looks the exact same as it does right now today. There was no signage on it that said restricted zone. There was nothing that said no trespassing. There was nothing to indicate to me that that was a place that I couldn't go to.

And as we walked on up, and as Mr. Goldberg will show, there was a time where there was one ledge to another ledge, and it looked like a metal step at the time. And I

crossed up that step. He says it was a barricade, like I was crashing another barricade. It wasn't that. Then as I got on up, there was a piece of plywood that led to -- that crossed over to another area. And that's another one that Mr. Goldberg will say is another part of the barricade. Whenever at my criminal trial, the Capitol Police officer identified that as a handicap ramp. It's in the transcripts.

So I had no intention of breaking the law on that day. If I would have known that I was breaking the law by trespassing or going somewhere that I didn't have full right to go as an American -- we used to call the Capitol the people's house. We used to call the Capitol grounds the people's ground. I thought it still was.

As I walked up there, as Mr. Goldberg stated, there was an outside staircase that led up. I walked up the outside staircase, I looked over and I saw a crowd of thousands below me. As I stood there for a minute, I felt a bullhorn tug on the back of my leg and I felt the Lord say this is your place. I took the bullhorn, I turned away from the Capitol building and I told the people that "we've been fighting too much. We need to pray." And I prayed 2 Chronicles, Chapter 7, Versus 14 over our nation.

I never did anything that was violent. I didn't feel like I did anything illegal. I left on my own accord. I was never told to leave. I left at my own will. And the only

time I smelled or felt the effects of pepper spray or tear gas or whatever was whenever I was leaving. Whenever we went back down that staircase, there was tear — there was pepper spray or something inside the staircase, and it got me a little bit. But I never — as God as my witness, I did not know that I had done anything illegal on that day. I thought that we still had a right in this country to peacefully protest and to stand in unity in what I believe, and still do believe, and evidence has proved that our elections of 2020 were fraudulent elections.

And I stand on that ground. That's why I get attacked the way I do because my knee hasn't bent to the tyrannical system that is right now in place, in my opinion, which I still believe that I still have a right to an opinion and to free speech and to freedom of expression in our country without being criminally charged for so.

But as you are fixing to see through the discovery, over the last three years I have lived an extremely transparent life. I have hidden nothing. I would give anybody access to anything as long as it was meant for good. And -- but by doing that, I had a friend, Matt Struck, who was not hired by Cowboys for Trump, who was not instructed by Cowboys for Trump, who worked on his own accord in his own private capacity as a private individual. And Matt had his camera out all the time. All the time. Many times whenever I didn't even know that he was filming, Matt was filming.

Well, through the discovery process, the Plaintiffs acquired over 2,400 videos from Matt Struck. Many were just conversations, random conversations with people that were standing around. They have gleaned through 2,400 videos to grab as many little sound bites and as many little statements as they can. A lot of people call it digging through dirty laundry. Other people like myself call it dumpster diving. But by doing this, they have -- they have tried to find content to fit the narratives that Mr. Goldberg just proposed. And that's the insurrection.

Insurrection is something that is a collaborated effort to overthrow the government, to replace the government with another form of government. And that would never be something that I would -- I'm trying to save America, not destroy America. I'm trying to protect America through protecting our electorate, which is compromised.

But I would like to ask Your Honor, as well as anybody else, if somebody that is wanting to destroy you could look through every text message you have ever sent, every e-mail that you have ever sent, every statement that you've ever made in possibly a private conversation, what kind of narrative could they build against you? Because that's what's going on today. We live in a different world today. We live in a world of social media and cell phones and e-mails and all the things that the enemy can pick and pick and pick and try to build you into

something that you're not.

I'm not a violent man. I hate violence. I would never condone any acts of violence. But I do pray to be a righteous man, and I pray to always stand behind our county sheriff and our local governments, which is the first line of defense for we the people. Because right now, we the people are under siege, and our judicial systems have been weaponized. And those that have the courage to speak up and speak out and stand strong are singled out and taken down through slander and through much of what you're going to see today.

I'm glad that Special Agent Hawa is a witness today.

Because during my trial -- my criminal trial, whenever the front of the Capitol was shown and Special Agent Hawa was shown videos and images of the front of the Capitol that day, a 22-year veteran of the Secret Service, and she was asked to identify where the restricted zone was, she could not even identify where the restricted zone was. She didn't even know where the restricted zone was.

How was I or others like me supposed to know? And there is videos of the Capitol Police officer taking down the barricades, waving people in. And if we are going to use videos and statements that have been made that were inciting, why isn't Ray Epps sitting right here today? Why isn't Ray Epps sitting in Washington, D.C. on a criminal trial? Because his actions and his rhetoric directly promoted violence on January 6. Yet,

Ray Epps hasn't even been criminally charged.

There is a lot of lies that have come out of January 6. One being Officer Brian Sicknick, the Capitol Police officer that the government and the media told us were bludgeoned to death by a fire extinguisher. That was the headline. Officer Sicknick was bludgeoned to death with a fire extinguisher, which that was a flat out lie. Officer Sicknick was not bludgeoned to death. He was -- died of a stroke, natural causes. Natural cause is what the corner's doc said the next day.

Rosanne Boyland is another lie. The media and the government told us for months afterwards that Rosanne Boyland died of a drug overdose, which was a flat out lie. She was viciously and savagely beaten by a D.C. Capitol Police officer named Lila Morris. The video evidence shows it. I don't know if Lila Morris was the cause of Rosanne's Boyland's death, but Lila Morris viciously and savagely beat Rosanne Boyland in the West Tunnel. Not a whole lot of people -- maybe have the ignorance maybe or stupidity or possibly the courage to tell you the things that I'm telling you today. But the things that I'm telling you today are fact, are the truth.

I had no violent intent on January the 6th.

Whenever I left my car that morning and we went to attend the rally, I had remembered that I left -- I had my pocketknife in my pocket because I carry my pocketknife everywhere. And I went and I found a place to hide my pocketknife to later retrieve

because I didn't want to have anything on my person that could be translated as having a weapon on you.

I know that I -- I pray that I operate off the conviction of God. I pray that I do all things to honor my Lord and Savior Jesus Christ, who many want to deny, many want to disregard. But one thing is a fact, Jesus is King and one day every knee will bow and every tongue will confess that Jesus Christ is Lord. And that's who I trust in today. And that's why I sit there by myself. But trust me, Your Honor, I'm not alone.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Griffin, one second, please. Thank you for your presentation.

But since you brought Hiram up, what's his telephone number and address?

MR. GRIFFIN: I can get it to you.

THE COURT: Please do.

MR. GRIFFIN: Again, I'd like to say for the record it's -- it's a disgrace that Hiram is even being brought up and singled out in this. Hiram is a friend. He's pro se. He's not licensed. He's just a man that loves the law and he wants the law to be honored and he wants the law to be upheld. And by you disregarding that motion, you disregarded the law, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I understand and I accept your argument, but I'm going to take a 15-minute break. And at the end of the

1 break, please have that information for me. 2 MR. GRIFFIN: Absolutely. THE COURT: Ladies and Gentlemen, we're going to 3 take a 15-minute break. We'll be back on the record at 25 till 4 5 11:00. (Court in recess at 10:23 a.m.) 6 7 THE COURT: We're back on the record. Mr. Goldberg, your next witness. 8 MR. GOLDBERG: Yes, sir. We call the Defendant 9 Griffin. 10 THE COURT: Mr. Griffin, come to the witness stand, 11 12 please. Mr. Griffin, I'll remind you that you remain sworn. 13 Have a seat and speak right into that microphone. 14 15 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. GOLDBERG: 16 Mr. Griffin, will you state your full name, please. 17 Q. Couy Dale Griffin. 18 Α. MR. GOLDBERG: Your Honor, I apologize. I have the 19 20 wrong questions here. I have to go into the other room to get the right ones. I apologize, Your Honor. 21 (Note: Pause in proceedings.) 22 23 THE COURT: You may proceed. BY MR. GOLDBERG: 24 25 Before we start on the questions, I want to orient Q.

1	you to what you have in front of you. You have four white
2	binders. Each binder contains some of the trial exhibits.
3	Which trial exhibits are on the binders is stated on the binder
4	and then they're tabbed. Then there is a brown expand-out
5	folder, and that contains your deposition transcript.
6	MR. GOLDBERG: Your Honor, I have a copy of the
7	deposition transcript for Your Honor.
8	THE COURT: Please. Give it to the bailiff, please.
9	MR. GOLDBERG: I'm expecting to bring up for
10	impeachment purposes the pages and lines on the screen also, but
11	I want to make sure you have them and that Mr. Griffin has them.
12	BY MR. GOLDBERG:
13	Q. You are the Defendant in these proceedings, are you
14	not?
15	A. Correct.
16	Q. You are currently a County Commissioner for Otero
17	County?
18	A. That's correct.
19	Q. When is your term up?
20	A. The end of this year.
21	Q. And you don't intend to run again for reelection to
22	the County Commission, do you?
23	A. No, no intention to.
24	Q. And you've stated from time to time that you may be
25	interested in running for sheriff; isn't that correct?

1 Α. Possibly. And you're a founder of an organization called 2 0. Cowboys for Trump; isn't that correct? 3 I don't know if I necessarily call it an 4 organization, but I founded a group called Cowboys for Trump. 5 And you founded that organization sometime in 2019, Q. 6 7 didn't you? That's correct. Α. 8 MR. GOLDBERG: Joe, pull up Exhibit -- Plaintiffs' 9 Exhibit 135, please. 10 BY MR. GOLDBERG: 11 12 I'm showing you what is Plaintiffs' Exhibit 135, a filing for the organization Cowboys for Trump. Go ahead and 13 take a look at that. 14 15 Α. I see it. That is a registration of Cowboys for Trump with the 16 Q. Secretary of State in 2019; is that not correct? 17 That's correct. I opened that account so -- I 18 formed that so I could open a bank account to deposit any 19 supporting checks. 20 And your name is on the exhibit, is it not, down 21 towards the bottom? 22 No, I'm not seeing it. No. It says my sister, Kay 23 Α. Griffin. Oh, there's -- as manager. 24

Q. And that's your sister?

Α. No, that's me. I'm listed as manager. 1 You're listed there as manager? 2 Q. I'm listed as manager. 3 Α. 0. And you caused Exhibit 135 to be filed with the 4 Secretary of State, correct? You arranged it? You authorized 5 it? 6 7 A. No, I can't say that I did. What do you mean, did I authorize it? Did I --8 Q. You instructed people to fill out this form and file 9 it with the Secretary of State; isn't that true, sir? 10 I don't -- I don't know if -- I can't remember if I 11 12 did or not. Q. Is capital C numeral 4 capital T a shorthand that 13 you use for Cowboys for Trump? 14 15 Yeah. C4T, that's correct. If you go back to the document as well --16 MR. GOLDBERG: Bring it back up again, Joe, please. 17 A. Go down to where my name was, that address right 18 there. I don't know where that address is or where it came from 19 or who came up with it. 20 BY MR. GOLDBERG: 21 Is that your sister's address in Santa Fe? 22 Q. 23 Α. No. It's not? 24 Q.

A. Uh-huh.

When you said "the big lie is Joe Biden's

presidency," you were saying the election was fraudulent,

24

25

Q.

weren't you?

- A. Well, I guess you could assume that, but I do believe that the 2020 elections were fraudulent and I question Joe Biden's presidency. I feel like that's all protected under my First Amendment. I think that as an American we still can have individual opinions on issues. I don't think that we should be forced to submit to whatever is coming down the pipe. So I feel like -- yeah, that's the way I feel.
- Q. It would be helpful, Mr. Griffin, if you listen to my questions and answer my questions. I know that Judge Mathew will give you an opportunity at the end of my questions to make whatever statements that you want to make. But I would like you to answer my questions.

I want to turn to your office as an Otero County Commissioner. When you first took your seat as Otero County Commissioner you took an oath of office; is that correct?

- A. And one that I take very seriously.
- Q. So the answer is yes?
- A. Absolutely.
- Q. And in that oath of office you swore that you would support the New Mexico Constitution and the Constitution of the United States of America; is that not correct?
- A. Absolutely. I was the only elected official at that time that took my oath on a Bible.
 - MR. GOLDBERG: Would you bring up Plaintiffs'

Exhibit 1. Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1 is a document filed in the 1 12th Judicial District Court Clerk. 2 BY MR. GOLDBERG: 3 Would you read the oath into the record. 4 "I, Couy Griffin, having been elected to serve as 5 County Commissioner for the County of Otero, State of New 6 Mexico, do solemnly swear and affirm that I will support and 7 uphold the Constitution and laws of the State of New Mexico and 8 the Constitution of the United States, and I will faithfully and 9 impartially discharge the duties of the office to which I have 10 been elected to the best of my ability, so help me God." 11 12 0. And that is your signature on the line with your name under it, Couy Griffin, down at the bottom? 13 Α. Correct. 14 15 You took that oath and signed Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1 at the end of 2018, correct --16 Α. Correct. 17 -- in December of 2018? 18 0. You agree, don't you, Mr. Griffin, that the Otero 19 County Commission exercises the ultimate executive functions for 20 the Otero County government? 21 I'm sorry. Repeat the question. 22 23 0. You agree, don't you, that the Otero County Commission exercises the ultimate executive functions for the 24 Otero County government? 25

1	correct?
2	A. The Commission's duties are in those regards.
3	MR. GOLDBERG: Would you bring up Plaintiffs'
4	Exhibit 5. Plaintiffs' Exhibit, which comes, Your Honor, from
5	the Otero County Commission website.
6	BY MR. GOLDBERG:
7	Q. This is an agenda for a May 12, 2022 meeting of the
8	County Commission; isn't that correct?
9	A. It looks like it, yeah.
10	Q. And you attended that meeting, didn't you?
11	A. Yeah.
12	Q. You've seen that agenda and agendas like that
13	before?
14	A. Sure.
15	Q. Let's turn to the second page of the agenda.
16	At the top of the second page, it talks about CA,
17	consent agenda.
18	Do you see that?
19	A. Yeah.
20	Q. I'd like you to read into the record the fourth item
21	on the consent agenda. Just read it into the record.
22	A. "Request approval of Resolution Number
23	05-2-22\110-44"
24	THE COURT REPORTER: Wait a minute.
25	BY MR. GOLDBERG:

consent agenda items like those?

Α.

23

24

25

We do, but these items are not discussed

individually. They're typically on our consent agenda. We get

Commission sitting as the canvassing board was asked by the county clerk to certify the results of the primary election for Otero County offices, all three commissioners, including you, refused to certify the results; isn't that correct?

- A. That's correct, because we had -- we had questions about the way the machines were counting the votes.
- Q. Again, I'll remind you, if you'll listen to my question and answer my question. Again, I'm confident that Judge Mathew is going to give you an opportunity to make your statements after I finish my questions.

Your refusal to certify the election results caused the New Mexico Secretary of State Maggie Toulouse Oliver to go to our State Supreme Court to get an order requiring you and the other two county commissioners to certify the election results? You remember that, don't you?

- A. Yeah, I remember a board vote. It was a yes, no vote. It was a board decision.
- Q. I'm going to get there, Mr. Griffin. All I asked you is you remember that you received an order from our State Supreme Court that said you and the other two county commissioners must certify the results of those primary election? Do you remember that?
- A. I remember that it went against our convictions as county commissioners. Yes, I do remember being forced to vote yes.

A. I would. As long as the Dominion voting machines are being used, I would absolutely challenge it.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. I want to turn to the events leading up to January 6, 2021. From immediately after the November 2020 election until today, you have supported President Trump's Stop the Steal movement; isn't that correct?
- A. I don't know if I would necessarily say I've supported a Stop the Steal movement, but I would say that I have been a strong advocate for transparency in our electorate, and I

still believe there is discrepancies.

Q. Let's see how you actually said it at the time.

MR. GOLDBERG: Joe, will you bring up Plaintiffs' Exhibit 127.

BY MR. GOLDBERG:

- Q. 127 is a tweet from Couy Griffin at Couy Griffin C4T, the 5th of November, 2020. That's again one of your tweet -- Twitter accounts, is it not?
 - A. I don't remember the Couy Griffin C4T.
- Q. Are you testifying under oath that this is not one of your Twitter accounts?
- A. I'm not going to say that it's not, but I don't -if it is, it was one that maybe was created that I used maybe
 for not very long. I don't know. I don't remember Couy Griffin
 C4T.
- Q. Read into the record the tweet it itself up to the four flags like we saw in the last tweet that was Exhibit --
- A. "If Democrats think they can steal our election and patriots are going to quietly go away, you have gravely underestimated the spirit of the American people. We will not be beat."
- Q. You were saying in that tweet that the election was fraudulent, that it was stolen from President Trump; isn't that true?
 - A. I'm not -- I don't remember -- I don't know if you

Q. You did say that the first sentence that "If

Democrats think they can steal our election and the patriots"

are going to -- "are quietly going to go away, you have gravely underestimated the spirit of the American people." Three exclamation points.

You say that is something that you agree with?

A. I can't say that I think that the Democrats stole our elections. I can't say that I -- I can't sit here and say that I think that anybody or anybody in particularly stole our elections. My dad, who is sitting right here with me today, raised me under the context that you never accuse anybody of stealing from you unless you have dang good evidence of it. And so I don't -- I have never accused anybody personally, I don't believe or remember anyways, but I do believe that our elections were fraudulent.

MR. GOLDBERG: Bring up Plaintiffs' Exhibit 112, will you, Joe.

BY MR. GOLDBERG:

- Q. And one of the reasons why you decided to go to Washington, D.C. on January 6 was because you were responding to President Trump's call to his supporters to go to Washington, D.C.?
 - A. Yeah. He was calling a rally.
- Q. And you knew that January 6 was the day that Congress was to certify Joseph Biden as president of the United States, correct?
- A. I think I learned it along the way maybe, but it wasn't -- it wasn't the reason for going. The reason for going is because I was afforded an opportunity to speak on the Women for America First platform, to follow the bus out there. And I try to capitalize on an opportunity to speak, but I wasn't going because of the certification. I didn't -- yeah, I had no intention of even going down to the Capitol on the morning of January the 6th.
- Q. I am going to ask the question again, Mr. Griffin, so please listen to my question and answer my question.
 - A. Okay.

person.

Q. You knew that January 6 was the day that Congress was set to certify Joseph Biden as president, right?

Α. There was a point, yes. When, exactly, I don't 1 2 know. Let's see your deposition again. 3 0. MR. GOLDBERG: Joe, will you bring up page 143, 4 lines 8 through 16. 5 BY MR. GOLDBERG: 6 7 And I'm going to read them to you, Mr. Griffin. Q. "Question: And when you decided to go to 8 Washington, D.C., you knew that was the day that the election 9 was going to be certified and that's why the rally was there? 10 You didn't know what the mechanics were, what the details of 11 12 certification were, correct? "Answer: That's correct. I knew that Mike Pence 13 would be the final certification on the election, but, yeah, I 14 15 didn't know the dynamics of it." Do you remember that question and answer? 16 Yeah, I guess I do, but was that --17 Α. Was that a truthful answer? 18 Q. But I didn't know when I knew. 19 Α. The question says "And when you decided to go to 20 Q. Washington, D.C., you knew that was the day that the election 21 was going to be certified?" Is that a truthful answer to that 22 23 question? I'm just trying to process. 24 Α. 25 You can say yes, no or you can't answer, Q.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. It's not clear. I can't answer.
- Q. Okay. Great. Let's turn -- lt's move on.

On the way to Washington and while you were in Washington and on your return to New Mexico, by way of California, Mr. Struck took many videos of you, correct?

- A. A lot of which that I didn't even know he was filming. Yes, sir.
- Q. As you said in your opening, there were over 2,000, of them, correct?
 - A. Yes, correct, apparently.
- 12 Q. You and Mr. Struck left for Washington, D.C. on or around January 1st, right?
 - A. I believe so.
 - Q. And you rented a Toyota Camry in El Paso to drive to Washington; isn't that correct?
 - A. Matt rented the car.
 - Q. Matt rented the car. And then in Houston, you and Mr. Struck determined to change cars and you upgraded to a black Cadillac Escalade; isn't that correct?
 - A. It was -- at that time, it was one of the worst snowstorms that South Texas had ever had and we were driving down the interstate with ice that was dragging the back -- the bottom of that little car. And all we wanted to do when we got to the airport was rent an SUV or something bigger to handle the

- Q. All the stuff that you had with you in that small Camry was making the back of it drag down?
- A. No, that's not correct. The reason why is because the Camrys don't sit very high up off the ground. There -- as I said, there was a massive snowstorm at that time, and it was very dangerous to be on the road in something so small.
- Q. You took firearms with you to Washington, D.C., didn't you?
- A. You know, I -- travel -- no. I travel with -- with typically always up until the Court order refused me to bear arms, but I typically always -- always, I have a firearm with me. And the only reason because is because, in my capacity, with as much as I'm in the media and as high controversy as I am, I receive massive amounts of death threats. Like, you couldn't imagine the type of threats that come in to me. In today's world, I don't know what threats are real or what threats are not real, but I have reached out to our county sheriff trying to ask him for protection, as well as the FBI. I have received no protection from any authorities as far as death threats go.

So yes, I travel for my own protection, but I always respect and obey all gun laws and travel with firearms and keep the ammunition separated and the firearm separated. I try to respect all gun laws.

You referenced a court order in the beginning of 0. 1 that answer, Mr. Griffin. The court order that you mentioned at 2 the beginning of that answer was a court order entered you in 3 your divorce proceedings, correct? 4 Α. No, that's false. 5 What court order are you talking about? 6 7 Whenever I was convicted of the misdemeanor trespass Α. charge from Washington, D.C., I was -- one of the restrictions 8 on the -- there's a misdemeanor by Judge Trevor McFadden that I 9 was to relinquish all my firearms, which I very quickly and 10 willfully did, because I respect the law --11 MR. GOLDBERG: Joe, bring up Plaintiffs' Exhibit 12 155. 13 BY MR. GOLDBERG: 14 15 This is a video that Mr. Struck took. That's the Camry that you mentioned in your previous testimony, correct? 16 That's correct. Α. 17 You're taking stuff out of the trunk to move into 18 0. the Escalade, correct? 19 That's correct. 20 Α. What's that in your hand? 21 Q. That's a .357 Ruger Vaccaro. 22 Α. 23 Q. It's got a white handle on it, correct? That's correct. 24 Α. 25 MR. GOLDBERG: Go ahead and play the video, please,

1 Joe. The video is played to the Court.) 2 BY MR. GOLDBERG: 3 Was that the only gun you brought to Washington, 4 5 D.C. or did you bring another gun to Washington, D.C.? I had a lever-action rifle as well that I had Α. 6 7 stored in the back in the trunk. So you had a rifle as well; is that correct? 8 Q. Α. That's correct. 9 Did you have any other weapons with you? 10 Q. No, sir. 11 Α. 12 MR. GOLDBERG: Would you play Exhibit 154. And if you will, Mr. Goldberg -- go ahead. 13 Α. (Note: The video is played to the Court.) 14 15 BY MR. GOLDBERG: This is a video from Mr. Struck. This is January 2, 16 0. the night of the Escalade in Houston. Do you see what's on the 17 dashboard there? 18 Α. Yes, sir. And --19 20 Q. Let me ask the questions. You see that? Α. Yes. 21 What is that that's on the dashboard? 22 Q. That's a .38. 23 Α. That's a different gun? 24 Q. 25 Α. That's correct. And I'm sorry, I forgot. Yeah, I

had a .38 with me as well.

- Q. So you had two guns and a rifle? That's quite an arsenal you brought with you, isn't it?
 - A. Sir?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. That's quite an arsenal you brought with you?
- A. I wouldn't call it an arsenal, but I would call it personal protection. I would call it well inside of my Second Amendment right, which is an inalienable right and which the Constitution says should not be infringed upon. I have no violent -- I would never have any violent intent with any firearm. Firearms are only for my protection and they only remain inside my vehicle.
- Q. On your way to Washington, Mr. Griffin, you and Mr. Struck stopped at a number of cities and towns where you made speeches on that Women for America First platform, correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. At those speeches, you encouraged the people assembled there, as well as others, to go to Washington on January 6 and to join you and others in Washington?
 - A. Sure, to peacefully protest.
- MR. GOLDBERG: Would you bring up Plaintiffs'
 23 Exhibit 169, please.
- 24 BY MR. GOLDBERG:
 - Q. Again, 169 is a Struck video.

MR. GOLDBERG: Would you play page 5, lines 5 1 2 through 9. This is, Your Honor, one of the transcribed videos 3 because the sound wasn't great. 4 (Note: The video is played to the Court.) 5 BY MR. GOLDBERG: 6 7 Then you gave a speech in Little Rock, Arkansas, didn't you? 8 Α. 9 Possibly. That again was on the way to Washington, D.C., 10 Q. correct? 11 12 Α. Yes. MR. GOLDBERG: Would you bring up Exhibit 165, 13 please, Joe. And would you play the fifth minute to 54 seconds 14 15 to the sixth minute. (Note: The video is played to the Court.) 16 BY MR. GOLDBERG: 17 Then in Woodlands, Texas, you gave a speech there 18 19 also, correct? 20 I did. And as I can see as -- as I can see now, now you've trimmed these videos. The videos that we have already 21 authenticated and approved for you, Mr. Goldberg, now you have 22 23 even cut these videos down even more where people can't see the context or the message behind what I'm saying. I think it's 24

very strategic of you, but I don't think that it gives a full

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

account of what these videos and speeches say. You are minimizing them even more than you have already have.

- Again, I didn't ask that, but I will tell you, Ο. you're more than -- we have all these videos, all of the exhibits, they're all here. The full videos, every one of them is here. When you get to put on your case, put on any of those videos that you want. Mr. Simons will help you on that.
 - Α. But --
- No, I'm saying that, but I haven't asked you a Q. question. I'm just telling you how this game is played. You get to put on your case and Mr. Simons will help you put on whatever videos you want to put on.
- As we very willingly and trusting you to turn all of Α. our videos over to you, everything you asked for. Whenever you asked us to authenticate the videos, you had longer clips of the videos which put what I said in a more full context. Now you're cutting these videos down even further only to try to portray me out to be something that I'm not. I'm not a violent man. have no violent intent, and I never would.
 - I'm just showing --Q.

THE COURT: Pardon me, gentlemen. This isn't a discussion about the videos. Mr. Goldberg will ask you questions. Mr. Griffin, you will have an opportunity to respond. Then you will have an opportunity to make your statements on cross-examination with respect to whatever the

direct examination focuses on. 1 BY MR. GOLDBERG: 2 And one of the reasons you went to Washington, D.C. 3 0. is because the election in November 2020 was fraudulent; isn't 4 that correct? 5 Α. Suspected to be fraudulent. 6 7 MR. GOLDBERG: Would you pull up 170, please, Joe. BY MR. GOLDBERG: 8 This is a speech that you gave in Birmingham, 9 Q. Alabama. 10 MR. GOLDBERG: Joe, play page 3, lines 4 through 7. 11 12 (Note: The video is played to the Court.) BY MR. GOLDBERG: 13 That's you talking, isn't it? 14 Q. 15 And there is strong evidence without a reasonable doubt that our elections were fraudulent, as we sit right here 16 today. 17 Listen to my question. I didn't ask you that. I 18 0. asked you: That's you talking on the video? 19 That's right. 20 Α. And that was in Birmingham, Alabama on the way to 21 Washington, D.C., correct? 22 That's correct. 23 Α. And what you stated there was your true belief at 24 Q. the time, the election was fraudulent? 25

- Α. Yeah, you know --1 Without a doubt? 2 0. And we also -- I also am a man, and just like you're 3 Α. a man and just like everybody out there, can have opinions and 4 make statements that may change at different times. It may be 5 that -- you know, I might have just read something, I may have 6 just seen something that sparked the emotion in me to make that 7 statement. But now I'm going to be tried and accused because of 8 a statement that I made that might have been emotionally driven? 9 I believe it's unfair. But that's what this whole case is 10 about. 11 MR. GOLDBERG: Let's bring up another video, Mr. 12 Griffin. Please bring up Plaintiffs' Exhibit 173, please. 13 Let's stop here -- I want you to do, Joe, page 1, lines 4 14 15 through 14. BY MR. GOLDBERG: 16 Before we do this, this is you talking along the 17 Q. side of the road along with some other Trump supporters, 18 19 correct? 20 Α. Yes. On the way to Washington, D.C., correct? 21 Q. For what I know, they're Trump supporters. For --22
 - Q. You don't know that they're FBI informants?

are Trump supporters or --

who knows, they might be FBI informants. I don't know if they

23

24

A. I know. We didn't know a lot of the FBI informants were on that day. I don't know these people personally. So I can only assume they are Trump supporters because they're wearing sweatshirts, but in today's world, like with Ray Epps, who knows?

MR. GOLDBERG: Let's go ahead and play it, Joe, please.

(Note: The video is played to the Court.)

BY MR. GOLDBERG:

- Q. You stated you went there to support President -Vice President Trump [sic] because you know the election is
 fraudulent?
- A. I stated right there that I support Vice President Mike Pence. And that was the message going up to Washington, D.C., was that we support President -- Vice President Mike Pence, that we support the electorate process. I stated right there that we weren't -- if I had intention to cause an insurrection, my message right there would have been much different. I would have been saying we are going to Washington, D.C. to overturn the government. I wasn't saying that in this video right here. I was saying we're going to Washington, D.C. to support Vice President Mike Pence.
- Q. "To stop the transfer of power because the election was fraudulent," and you stated "we won't take anything less"?
 - A. Yeah.

1 Q. You said that?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- A. That was my heart. We won't take anything --
- Q. That was your heart?
- A. Yeah, but that doesn't mean that I would -- that I wanted violence if we didn't get our way. That just means when you go into a fight, you expect -- or go into a competition or an election, then you expect to win. You want to win. That's your -- that's what -- that's what winners do, they focus on winning and nothing less. That's all I was saying right here. But there is nowhere in there that I said we're going to Washington, D.C. to cause trouble and to overturn and replace our government, which is what insurrection is.
- Q. You spoke in Monroe, Louisiana, didn't you, on the way to Washington?
- A. Possibly. I spoke at a lot of places, I imagine.

MR. GOLDBERG: Would you bring up Exhibit 164,

- please, Joe. We're going to play from minute three, second 56, to minute four, second three.
- 19 (Note: The video was played to the Court.)
- 20 BY MR. GOLDBERG:
- 21 Q. "We're talking about President Trump being confirmed"?
- 23 A. I'm sorry? Run it back.
- MR. GOLDBERG: Will you run it again, please, Joe.
- 25 BY MR. GOLDBERG:

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

- Yeah. But that just goes to show right there that I Α. didn't even know what I was talking about. I was saying that "We need the states to confirm our president on January" -that's not how the process works. Play it one more time, please, sir.
- No. I'll ask the questions. You get to make your statements.
 - Α. Yeah.
- All right. You stated, did you not, and you Q. understood, that what the goal was on January 6 was to stop the certification and to send the process back to the states?
- Α. I wanted -- I wanted the law to be followed, and the law that I understood was that Mike Pence could question the election and turn that question back over to the states, yes. That's what I was hoping would happen, just so we could look deeper into our election and make sure there wasn't the type of fraud that was suspected, and now proven.
- You didn't tell these people -- when you were giving them speeches and encouraging them to go to Washington, D.C., you didn't tell them that everything was going to be peaceful and quiet, did you?
 - Α. Well, I -- restate your question. Did I do --
- In those speeches that you gave them, you didn't Q. tell them we're going to go to Washington, D.C. and we're going

to have a nice, peaceful, quiet, you know, silent, prayerful, wonderful time? You didn't tell them that, did you?

- A. I may not have told them that, but I didn't tell them to do anything illegal or disruptive or disorderly or -- especially in the realm of insurrection, because, as you and I well know, insurrection is a collaborated effort to replace the government with another form of government. And I never did that.
- Q. In fact, you told the people in almost every one of the stops where you gave speeches, you told them the opposite. You told them that there were no limits on what was necessary to do in order to accomplish the objective of stopping the certification of President Biden; isn't that correct, there were no limits?
- A. No, you know, by saying there is no limits, no -- by saying there's no limits, I never, ever, one time encouraged any acts of violence or anything disorderly or disruptive.
- Q. You told the people assembled at these various stops that what was going to happen in Washington was a war? Didn't you use that word over and over again?
- A. Absolutely. And I still believe that there is a war going on in our country today, but it's a political war. More important than that, it's a spiritual war. It's good and evil right now.
 - MR. GOLDBERG: Let's bring up Plaintiffs' Exhibit

162, Joe. 1 2 BY MR. GOLDBERG: 162 is a speech you gave in Woodlands, Texas. 3 That's right around Houston, correct? 4 5 Α. Yes. MR. GOLDBERG: Play, Joe, from second 42 to minute 6 one, second 44. 7 (Note: The video was played to the Court.) 8 BY MR. GOLDBERG: 9 You said that to the assembled crowd in Woodlands, 10 Q. Texas on your way to Washington, D.C.? 11 12 Α. Yes, sir. These are your words, "A war we cannot lose"? You 13 said that to them? 14 15 Yes, sir. Political war. I meant nothing in the means of violence or physical confrontation. I mean, it's a 16 spiritual battle. 17 Q. Let's see what you said in Little Rock, Arkansas on 18 your way to Washington. 19 MR. GOLDBERG: Can we bring back 165, please, Joe. 20 At second 48 to minute one and seven seconds. 21 (Note: The video was played to the Court.) 22 BY MR. GOLDBERG: 23 "Every card is on the table," you said that to them, 24 Q. 25 correct?

I was, I believe.

Α.

You spoke to a small assembly of people in the Q. 1 2 parking lot? I spoke to a lot people. I don't know. Α. 3 MR. GOLDBERG: Pull up Exhibit -- Plaintiff's 4 Exhibit 67, please, Joe. 5 BY MR. GOLDBERG: 6 7 This was, again, on your way to Washington, D.C., Q. right? 8 Α. Yeah. 9 MR. GOLDBERG: Would you play page 7, lines 3 10 through 9. 11 12 We'll come back to this, Joe. We don't need to spend a lot of time on this. I'll write myself a note on here. 13 Can you find page 7, line 20 to page 8, line 2. Or 14 15 is it still a problem? (Note: Video played to the Court.) 16 BY MR. GOLDBERG: 17 You told assembled people that you were going to 18 0. "hunt down the rhinos," correct? 19 Politically speaking. 20 Α. Politically speaking? 21 Q. And I'd say that right now. Those -- as I said, 22 23 they are sell-outs and are Republican in name only. I believe that we should hunt them down politically. I'm sorry if I speak 24

in a way that some don't, but I didn't mean it literally.

MR. GOLDBERG: Can you go to page 8, lines 3 to 9. 1 The video was played to the Court.) 2 BY MR. GOLDBERG: 3 So you stated to that fellow in Atlanta, Georgia on 4 your way to Washington that you are hoping it will be peaceful, 5 you hope you can win it in the ballot box, but if you didn't win 6 it in the ballot box, you would win it in the streets? You said 7 that to him? 8 Α. And I think, if I remember right, the conversation 9 that I was having with that guy was like having a full Communist 10 Chinese takeover of our country. I would say that to put that 11 12 in context, I would be talking about people that really were trying to overthrow our government and replace our government 13 with a tyrannical government. 14 15 And if you couldn't stop --THE COURT: Excuse me. 16 BY MR. GOLDBERG: 17 If you couldn't stop them on the ballot box, you 18 0. would stop them in the streets? 19 If it was a Communist takeover, absolutely --20 Α. MR. GOLDBERG: Let's turn to Plaintiffs' Exhibit 21 164, please. This is in Monroe, Louisiana. Joe, from minute 3 22 seconds 56 to minute 4 seconds 14. 23 (Note: The video was prayed to the Court.) 24

BY MR. GOLDBERG:

3

4

5 6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

Α. Correct.

violent mob, wasn't it?

So you are saying that after you stopped the steal, Q. after you prohibited the transfer of power to Mr. Biden, you wanted to have martial law declared, correct?

As you can see, I'm not speaking off of a script. I'm speaking off cuff. And I don't really know what I'm speaking even about at the time, talking about the states certifying the election on January the 6th. But in regards to martial law, I feel like that is what we're under today. I feel like whenever you have a society that doesn't have a choice like with these masks, I think that that's a form of martial law. Whenever you have political leaders that say do as I say or else, I believe that's martial law. And I think we are -- I think we're still living under it right now.

- And you're -- and what you are advocating was 0. martial law to get rid of them, correct?
- I would rather get rid of it through constitutional law, which gives us the freedom to be able to live free as Americans and not under the rule of governors or presidents.
- After all of these stops and all of your speeches, you and Mr. Struck ended up in Washington, D.C. for the events on January 6, correct?
 - What happened on January 6 in Washington, D.C. was a Q.

Not where I was, it wasn't. It was peaceful. 1 Α. Everywhere where I was, all around me in my direct vicinity, was 2 peaceful. I didn't see one violent act inside of my area the 3 whole time I was there. Now, at a distance, I saw chaos at 4 5 times, but I still stand on the precept that people are responsible for their own individual actions. 6 7 Ο. You saw chaos? Α. I saw --8 Q. Did --9 Well, I thought -- yeah, I did, I saw from a 10 Α. distance. 11 12 0. You saw chaos from a distance. But what you were seeing was that mob that you were part of? 13 Α. I wasn't a part of that. I never -- if I would have 14 15 been -- if I would have been a part of it or wanted to be a part of it, I would have gravitated towards it. I wouldn't have kept 16 distance from it. I had no intention on that day of doing 17 anything violent or disruptive, and I did not do anything 18 violent or disruptive, which was proven through my acquittal in 19 the Federal Court system. 20 You and Mr. Struck got to the Capitol grounds around 21 2:00 or so? 22 23 Α. Roughly. When you were there, the mob was very large, wasn't 24 Q.

it?

- Q. That's you there climbing over the wall, isn't it?
- A. To reach the man with the bullhorn that's right in front of me right there.
 - Q. That's you?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. That's me. And there was people sitting all along the top of the wall. There is no signage. There's nothing posted that it was unauthorized. There was no -- I had no idea that that was an unauthorized zone.
- Q. We'll get there, Mr. Griffin. I'm just asking this question right now.
 - MR. GOLDBERG: Please go ahead and --
- A. That's me.
 - MR. GOLDBERG: Let's go ahead and play this.
- BY MR. GOLDBERG:
 - Q. And now you're on the Capitol grounds, right?
 - A. I didn't know I was anywhere that -- where I shouldn't be, but I was trying to get this man's attention so I could pray with him to the people he was preaching to. That's why I am still there. I had no -- I wasn't trying to get to the Capitol. I was trying to get this man's attention, like I explained earlier, because I was hoping to get an opportunity to pray with people because that's the only reason why I was there.
 - Q. The only reason you were there was to pray?
 - A. That's right.
 - Q. And you climbed over other walls in order to get

No, I didn't climb other walls. 2 The first wall that we just saw you, that's the wall 3 0. where -- you called it a bicycle, but it's actually a motor 4 scooter. You stepped on the seat of the motor scooter? 5 THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. I didn't hear --6 7 BY MR. GOLDBERG: In that last tape that we saw, that first wall that 8 you climbed over, that's the wall that you stepped on the seat 9 of the motor scooter to get on the wall? 10 That's where the man told me to step up on the bike, 11 12 and Matt Struck thought he said step up to the mic. And Matt was telling me, go, go, he's calling you. 13 MR. GOLDBERG: That's, Your Honor, where -- Chris 14 15 needs to come over here because I don't want to leave the screen. Just point out where that wall was, please, Chris. 16 Your Honor, that's right around the Peace Monument. 17 Thank you, Chris. 18 BY MR. GOLDBERG: 19 20 0. You climbed over other walls in order to get to the Capitol, didn't you? 21 No, I didn't. I didn't climb over any other walls. 22 Α. 23 MR. GOLDBERG: Would you play Plaintiffs' Exhibit 25, Joe, from the 57th second to one minute and 20 seconds. 24 25 (Note: The video was played to the Court.)

closer to the Capitol, didn't you?

BY MR. GOLDBERG:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. That's you, Mr. Griffin, climbing over that wall, isn't it?
 - A. Walking up a step. Those were steps.
 - Q. Those were steps?
- A. But I wasn't crawling over a wall. I was going up steps. And it was peaceful all around. There was nobody telling us to leave. There was no signage telling us we couldn't be there. There was no loud speaker telling vacate the area. Nothing of the sort. It was a big peaceful crowd.
- Q. That's what you -- you said that a number of times now in this court. Let's see what you said the very next day, Mr. Griffin.
- MR. GOLDBERG: Joe, would you bring up Plaintiffs' Exhibit 37. Let's play it from the third minute and 33rd second to the fourth minute and the 11th second.
- 17 BY MR. GOLDBERG:
 - Q. This is in Roanoke, Virginia, isn't it?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. This is the very next day after the riots and the insurrection in Washington?
 - A. That was the evening after we saw Ashli Babbitt get murdered in the Capitol building, so to put it in a little bit of context.
 - Q. That was violent, wasn't it?

That was you telling them that, right?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- A. I was talking about an area of the grass that they had the yellow ribbon up for. And I thought that that was an area that they had cordoned off to preserve the grass for Biden's inauguration. That's a far cry from a restricted or unauthorized zone.
- Q. And then after Roanoke, you went on your way back to New Mexico -- you went by way of San Diego because you wanted to see the family of this woman who got shot at the insurrection, correct?
- A. As soon as we found out that Ashli Babbitt had been murdered that evening before, I drove from Washington, D.C. to San Diego, California to pay my respects to Ashli Babbitt's family because I would only hope and pray that if that would have been me on that day, somebody would have done the same with my mother.
- Q. And when you were there in San Diego, you also talked to a lot of people there and described to them what you were engaged in in Washington, D.C., didn't you?
 - A. I talked to a lot of people along the way.
- MR. GOLDBERG: Joe, bring up Plaintiffs' Exhibit
- 22 | 152, page 1, lines 9 through 21.
- 23 (Note: The video was played to the Court.)
- 24 BY MR. GOLDBERG:
 - Q. Were you lying to that fellow when you told him you

were there and the police told you you couldn't go there?

- A. Where did I hear that -- I said the police said that we couldn't be there?
 - Q. Yes.

A. Where?

MR. GOLDBERG: Play it again.

A. The police had never told me -Note: The video was played again.)

BY MR. GOLDBERG:

- Q. You said that to them, didn't you?
- A. Yeah, but I didn't say that the police told us that they were -- I just said that -- I guess whenever I was talking I just interpreted it to say hey, but that doesn't -- I didn't mean directly. All I'm doing is describing the events of the day. How does that translate to insurrection? Insurrection is a violent rebellion against the government. All I'm doing is just explaining what was taking place.
- Q. And you were subsequently convicted of criminal trespass for illegally being in a restricted area on the Capitol grounds; isn't that correct?
- A. Yes, but that's not saying that I knew that that area was restricted or unauthorized. That's -- you are a man of the law. You know that there is -- you know, just an area that is roped up because they don't want you to walk on the grass and you do is a much different offense than crawling over the fence

of the White House and going into a restricted and unauthorized zone. So I don't buy your comparison.

MR. GOLDBERG: Would you bring up Plaintiffs' Exhibit 47, please.

BY MR. GOLDBERG:

- Q. You've seen this before. This is the judgment of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia that finds you guilty of Count I of the Information, and that is of trespass, criminal trespass, correct?
- A. That's correct. And I currently have that conviction under appeal because I feel like Trevor McFadden did not make an evidence-based decision on that.
- Q. And you and Mr. Struck ultimately ended up on the platform built for the inaugural events that were on top of the West Terrace of the Capitol that afternoon of January 6, correct?
- A. That's correct. I never saw a sign that said we couldn't be there. I never was told by Capitol Police officers that we couldn't be there. You know, we never were told we needed to leave.
- Q. That's -- would you point out where -- is this about where you were for that afternoon on January 6?
 - A. Probably. Yeah, I would say.
- Q. You didn't move much from there? You stayed there most of the time?

Q. Did you hear that just now?

I heard it just now, but, you know, that's --Α. 1 That was you on the street? 2 0. This is a prime example of what this trial is about. 3 Α. You are showing videos of me just walking around and somebody 4 saying something and now you're persecuting me because I'm not 5 saying that I remember hearing that. 6 7 I haven't started persecuting you, Mr. Griffin. Ο. THE COURT: Gentlemen. Gentlemen. I think now 8 would be a good time to break for lunch. 9 MR. GOLDBERG: I apologize to the Court. 10 THE COURT: It's happened before, Mr. Goldberg. 11 12 We'll break for lunch now, and be back at 1:15. For those of you who have joined by Google Meets, I 13 will hang up my connection, otherwise this meeting will time out 14 15 before the end of the day. So to try to ensure that you have a connection throughout the day, I will be back on the record at 16 1:15 on Google Meets. 17 (Court in recess at 11:57 a.m.) 18 THE COURT: We're back on the record. 19 Mr. Griffin, would you mind coming back to the 20 witness stand, please. Mr. Goldberg. 21 BY MR. GOLDBERG: 22 23 0. Before we broke for lunch, Mr. Griffin, we were talking about the violence that you saw in the mob that you were 24 a part of on January 6th at the Capitol in Washington, D.C. 25

My recollection is that you testified that you saw 1 chaos there, but that was far away and you weren't part of it. 2 After you left the Capitol, you went to San Diego 3 and we saw some clips of you talking to folks in the parking 4 5 lot. MR. GOLDBERG: Joe, would you bring up Plaintiffs' 6 7 Exhibit 152 and go to page 2, lines 4 through 10. The video is played to the Court.) 8 BY MR. GOLDBERG: 9 That was you in San Diego making those statements, 10 Q. wasn't it, Mr. Griffin? 11 12 That was me recollecting things that I had seen, just recounting, nothing that I was a part of, as I stated right 13 there. 14 15 I didn't suggest that you were part of it. You saw So you saw, in fact, the windows getting broken out of --16 the windows that you see at the back of the inaugural terrace, 17 correct? 18 I saw a guy that was dressed in Antifa hit a window 19 and I saw him get tackled by a lady that was wearing a red, 20 white and blue scarf. That's what I accounted. I saw him break 21 a window and I saw him immediately get stopped by what looked 22 23 like a Trump supporter. 24 Q. The window that you saw was right about here, wasn't it? 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Exhibit 153, Joe, at page one, lines 1 through 8.

(Note: The video is played to the Court.)

BY MR. GOLDBERG: 1 That was you talking, wasn't it, Mr. Griffin? 2 0. 3 Α. 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

- Yeah. It sounded like it. 0.
- You were in the car and you were describing what you participated in that mob at the Capitol in the inaugural terrace?
 - Α. Yeah.
 - You were joining in going "Heave-Ho. Heave-Ho"? 0.
- There was different chants at different times. You Α. know, I don't know what exactly I was referring to right there, but yeah.
- 0. You said to this woman Stefanie -- who is Stefanie, by the way?
 - Α. I'm not sure.
- You said if you were there, you too would have been screaming and hollering and celebrating. Is that how you felt about the events that occurred at the Capitol on January 6, something to scream --
 - Whenever you have a protest --THE COURT: Let Mr. Goldberg finish his question.
- BY MR. GOLDBERG: 21
 - Is that how you felt that there was a type of activity that would cause you to scream and holler and celebrate?
 - You know, whenever you have a protest, you know, you

MR. GOLDBERG: Let's put up Plaintiffs' Exhibit 38,

Oh, yeah, let's look at that one.

24

25

Α.

- page 2, lines 2 through 8. 1 The video is played to the Court.) 2 BY MR. GOLDBERG: 3 Is it your testimony that you were asking that 4 because he was dressed like a soldier? 5 Absolutely. He was dressed like a toy soldier. 6 Α. That's all I was saying was where was the rest of -- I should 7 have said, so I didn't have to suffer this today, I should have 8 just said where is the rest of your costume, but since I used 9 that "gun" word, then I guess I have to pay for it. 10 MR. GOLDBERG: Well, let's look at Plaintiffs' 11 12 Exhibit 24, Joe, page 3, lines 2 through 14. BY MR. GOLDBERG: 13 This is another person that you're talking to. 14 Q. 15 (Note: The video is played to the Court.) BY MR. GOLDBERG: 16 Was that fellow wearing a toy soldier outfit? 17 Q. No, but that right there is direct evidence that we 18 weren't armed because I said we could all be armed, but we 19 could. That means that we weren't. 20
 - Q. You just testified you weren't wearing a gun?

22

23

24

- A. We weren't. I wasn't carrying firearms and neither was the people that I was talking to. If they would have been, I would have said I'm glad we have our guns on us.
 - Q. What was your assessment of the events on January

6th at the Capitol? Was it a great day for America?

A. Currently at the time at the present whenever I made those statements that I just made right there, I didn't know that an unarmed woman named Ashli Babbitt had been shot. I didn't know that Rosanne Boyland had been beaten in the tunnel. I didn't know of the loss of life. I didn't know of the violence that I saw later on TV. So my assessment during the time in which I made many of these statements was that it was a totally peaceful protest.

As you can see in the very few videos that you played all around me the whole time was peaceful. So looking back now that I've seen the violence, Ashli Babbitt being shot and killed, no, I can't say that it was a great day. Anytime there is violence against police officers or the loss of life can't go down as a great day.

- Q. Let's focus right now. I want you to say on that day, not after you have been sued. That afternoon, on January 6, while you were still illegally on the inaugural platform, you touted that the insurrection was a great day for America, didn't you?
- A. An insurrection is whenever you overthrow the government and you replace it with another government. So your question right now or even referring to it as an insurrection is not fair.

MR. GOLDBERG: Joe, bring up Plaintiffs' Exhibit 27

and play page 2, lines 2 through 17.

(Note: The video is played to the Court.)

BY MR. GOLDBERG:

- Q. This is what you're going to get or you're going to get more of it. That was your assessment of what was going on at the Capitol on that day. That was something you said on the Capitol terrace on January 6?
- A. Referring to peaceful protest. Referring to if we don't have free and fair elections, there will be more peaceful protests. And I still stand on that. There will be. We will continue to peacefully assemble and peacefully protest until we have total transparency in our elections. And right now, we don't have total transparency in our elections because Dominion will not let us inspect the machines that we're forced to use.
- Q. That's not what you said on January 6. You didn't say what we're doing is peaceful protesting. In fact, you said the opposite. You said what was happening on January 6 was you would do anything; isn't that correct?
 - A. Anything inside the law.
 - Q. That's not what you said, did you?
- A. Well, I never instigated breaking the law. I never tried to encourage violence. And I have never ever tried to promote an insurrection where we topple the standing government to replace it with another form of government. That's what an insurrection is.

cross-examination. I hope I don't need to tell you that again. 1 BY MR. GOLDBERG: 2 You said it was a shot across the bottom. 3 0. You remember that, don't you? 4 No, I don't, but apparently I did. Α. 5 Do you remember saying it was unleashing the 6 0. 7 whirlwinds? Α. 8 Okay. Do you remember that? 9 Q. No. But I could say that -- I might have. I don't 10 Α. remember physically saying it, no, I'm sorry. 11 12 0. That's the answer. I'm just asking you --And I'm responding. 13 Α. Do you remember you said to them on January 7 when 14 Q. 15 you were talking to the people that they should rise up? you tell them that? 16 I don't know. I may have. 17 Α. Did you tell them they should attend another rally 18 0. at the White House on inauguration day. Not the White House --19 the Capitol, on inauguration day? 20 Α. I'm not sure. 21 Did you tell them if they did that, you would plant 22 0. 23 the flag? Do you remember saying that?

24

25

Α.

don't remember exactly how I said it.

I remember saying something to that effect, but I

1	Q. Do you remember saying there was going to be a whole
2	other revolution?
3	A. No.
4	Q. Do you remember predicting that blood would run from
5	the Capitol?
6	A. I remember saying it would be a very sad day, a day
7	nobody would want to see.
8	MR. GOLDBERG: Would you play that, Joe.
9	(Note: The discussion between Mr. Goldberg and Joe on page and
10	line of the next video was inaudible.)
11	(Note: The video was played to the Court.)
12	BY MR. GOLDBERG:
13	Q. That's you talking on January 7, the day after the
14	events at the Capitol, right, Mr. Griffin?
15	A. That's right.
16	MR. GOLDBERG: I have no further questions, Your
17	Honor.
18	THE COURT: Mr. Griffin, this is your opportunity to
19	cross-examine or make a cross-examination statement, but it has
20	to be focused on the direct examination. You don't have to do
21	it and you can wait until it's your time at the conclusion of
22	the Plaintiffs' case.
23	MR. GRIFFIN: I'd just like to say that a lot of
24	statements that I've made can be taken out of context, but yet a
25	lot of the statements that I made were very emotionally driven,

It's not any secret that there was FBI informants that were involved in January 6th. There is agitators like Ray Epps that still have not been charged or brought to justice or have to sit in the seat like I'm sitting today.

I want Your Honor and the Court and the public to know that most all of the statements that you've seen have just been recount, a recount, of what I saw on that day. And like I said in the opening, I've tried to live very transparently, to be an open book, to not be afraid to speak and exercise my First Amendment. But never in anything that the defense has tried to bring up against me was there ever anything that showed any kind of a coordinated or collaborated effort with any other person or any other organizations.

And in order to truly have an insurrection and to find me guilty of what you're trying to find me guilty of, then those are the very ingredients that you have to have. I had to have a willful intent to overthrow the government, and that's not what was taking place on that day. I was frustrated then, as I am still frustrated today. But just because I'm frustrated and just because I voiced those frustrations and just because I give a verbal account of the things that I've seen and the things that I've seen go on doesn't mean that I am an insurrectionist and doesn't mean that I was trying to overthrow

my government.

I love my government. I love my country. I want to see our country blessed. You know, I live in a state where I'm all of a sudden the criminal whenever you have organizations like Jeffrey Epstein's Zorro Ranch that never is investigated. The sex crimes that Jeffrey Epstein Zorro Ranch are never even looked at, but yet I'm made out to be the criminal. This is where our frustrations come out today because, again, our judicial system is being weaponized to be used on people like me

I'm not saying I'm perfect. That's why I follow

Jesus. I know that I say things driven out of emotion at times,

like we all do, and maybe some foolish things that I shouldn't

say. But I'm human and that's the fallible part of being a

human being. But anybody who wants to sit in the place of

judgment needs to take a look at their own lives and see if

they're righteous enough to cast the first stone. And I dare

say there is anybody in this room that can do so.

That's all I have to say.

THE COURT: Just to clarify, this isn't a criminal proceeding. It's a civil proceeding. So you mentioned criminal conduct before. That's not this trial.

Mr. Goldberg, did you have redirect?

MR. GOLDBERG: I do not, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Sir, you may step down.

Your next witness?

1	MR. GOLDBERG: The next witness is Mr. Gowdy, and I
2	will not be examining the witness.
3	THE COURT: Come forward. Raise your right hand.
4	(NOTE: Witness sworn by the Court.)
5	THE COURT: Please have a seat and speak into the
6	microphone.
7	DIRECT EXAMINATION
8	BY MR. DODD:
9	Q. Good afternoon, sir. Could you please state your
10	name for the record.
11	A. Nathaniel Gowdy.
12	Q. Could you spell that for the court reporter.
13	A. N-A-T-H-A-N-I-E-L, G-O-W-D-Y.
14	Q. Where do you live?
15	A. I live in Seattle, Washington.
16	Q. What do you do for a living?
17	A. I'm a photographer.
18	Q. Have your photographs been published anywhere that
19	you may have heard of?
20	A. Yes. In 2016, an image of mine was on the cover of
21	Time Magazine portraying Bernie Sanders. More recently, I have
22	images on Rolling Stone and Mother Jones Magazines.
23	Q. As a professional photographer, have you
24	photographed political events and demonstrations?
25	A. Yes.

1 Q. How many political events would you estimate you 2 have photographed? Since summer of 2015, roughly off the top of my Α. 3 4 head, 340 events across 25 states. 5 Why do you cover those kinds of events? Α. It seems we've entered a really dangerous time 6 7 politically in this country, and I'm drawn to documenting it. I want to turn to the events of January 6, 2021. 8 Where were you on January 6, 2021? 9 10 Α. I was in Washington, D.C. What were you doing in Washington, D.C. on that day? 11 0. 12 Α. I was there to photograph President Donald Trump's Stop the Steal at the Ellipse. 13 Q. Did you end up photographing the event? 14 15 Α. I did not. What did you end up photographing on January 6, 16 Q. 2021? 17 The attack on the U.S. Capitol. 18 Α. How many photographs did you take on that day? 19 Q. More than 2,800. 20 Α. MR. DODD: I'd like to pull up Plaintiffs' Exhibits 21 208, 210 and 215 through 243. Joe, could you pull them up and 22 23 go through them slowly so Mr. Gowdy can see them. BY MR. DODD: 24 What are Plaintiffs' Exhibits 208, 210 and 215 25 Q.

- What kinds of things were those people wearing? 0.
- They were dressed in paramilitary outfits, tactical gear outfits -- excuse me. They were wearing bulletproof helmets, flak jackets, you name it.
 - Did you end up following them? Q.

19

20

21

22

23

24

- I did. I went with them, yes. Α.
- Q. Why did you choose to follow them?
- I had a decision to make and figured all the rest of Α. the press was at Trump's rally. And he hadn't even spoken yet.

are?

Α.

Q.

Yes.

How so?

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

TR-109

optics. So in my experience, they had sort of a, quote unquote,

I felt, as a member of the press with cameras, I

felt safer than I should have. I work, you know, photographing

these types of groups. The leader that day was Ethan Nordean,

immediately from Proud Boys rallies in the Seattle area. So I

had worked around these people. They are very, very aware of

the one with the bullhorn out in front. I recognized him

"don't shoot first ethos" where they would instigate the confrontations they want to have, but not necessarily physically initiate them, especially against members of the press.

- Q. Now, did you suffer any violence towards you that day?
- A. Within just about exactly ten minutes, I was lunged at and attacked and I put my arms up and said, "Hey, I'm press, Rolling Stone," and they proceeded to make wisecracks about me, laughed at me, called me fake news, called me Antifa, and then relayed my coordinates with regard to the group. It was scary.
 - Q. Later, were you ever physically assaulted?
- A. Yes. I was again assaulted when the demonstrators became a mob at the Peace Monument. I was shoved from a three foot balustrade at the base of the stairs because of my cameras, and I -- he pointed at me in the lens of my camera and declared, "Fuck you," shoved me and, luckily, I'm a good faller.
- Q. The group of paramilitary men had these food trucks. Did they go somewhere, and if so where?
- A. Yes. They broke for lunch for hot dogs and tacos for roughly 45 minutes. By this time, there were demonstrators from -- there was a steady trickle of people coming from the direction of the Ellipse, and so they were kind of glomming onto the pack. But they got in formation and started chanting again and faces grew serious. Facial expressions grew serious. And the mood took on a darker tone and they marched to the Peace

1	Monument.
2	Q. When you say the Peace Monument, I'm going to try to
3	look with the laser printer here. Is this the traffic circle
4	here with the statue in the middle?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Is this here the Peace Monument?
7	A. Yes, it is.
8	Q. How many people were in the group at the Peace

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

- - t is.
- How many people were in the group at the Peace Q. Monument?
- I was mesmerized by how many people had followed the militants to the Peace Monument. It was endless. Hundreds -thousands. I mean, as far as I could see.
- Ο. What is the demeanor of the group at the Peace Monument?
- Unruly, aggressive. It was scary. They were chanting -- well, there were a lot of obscenities directed toward President Elect Biden and a lot of chants. "We want Trump." "Whose house? Our house." "1776." "Fight for Trump. "Fuck Antifa." Yeah, it was -- yeah.
- Based on your observations, why are they angry and 0. yelling and screaming?
- They are angry and yelling about the election of Joe 22 23 Biden as president.
 - Q. Now, at the Peace Monument, is there a police presence?

There were -- I saw four or five officers without 1 Α. 2 riot gear behind the waist-high bike rack barrier that they were using as a perimeter around Capitol grounds. 3 0. Looking at the big board. Are those officers set up 4 5 right around here blocking this pathway? Yes. Right in front of the stairway. 6 Α. 7 Are these the stairs here? 0. 8 Α. Yes. So they're here, just in front of the stairs? 9 Q. Yeah. 10 Α. What happens with the mob at the Peace Monument? 11 Q. 12 They use their numbers to overwhelm and overpower and overrun the peace officers who are patrolling the northwest 13 approach of the Capitol grounds. 14 15 0. Did you observe violence? Α. I did. 16 What about force, did you observe use of force? 17 0. Yeah. 18 Α. How about intimidating by numbers, did you see that? 19 Q. Yeah. 20 Α. MR. DODD: I'd like to look at Plaintiffs' Exhibit 21 215 and 216, please, Joe. If you could pull up 215 first. 22 BY MR. DODD: 2.3 Mr. Gowdy, Exhibit 215 is a photo you took? 24 Q. It is. 25 Α.

What do we see in Exhibit 215? Q. 1 We see the moment -- these demonstrators exercising 2 Α. their First Amendment rights, the moment the crowd becomes a 3 mob. They're crossing a hard line. They're walking over 4 toppled property of Capitol Police barriers and pass the "area 5 closed" signs. 6 7 So were there signs indicating that protestors were not to come past a certain point? 8 Yes. They were posted all over. 9 Α. MR. DODD: Could we go to 216, please. 10 BY MR. DODD: 11 12 0. What do we see in Plaintiffs' Exhibit 216? Another still from that moment when the people are 13 Α. crossing the perimeter fencing that has been toppled. 14 15 I want to take a break and speak about Plaintiffs' Exhibit 20. 16 MR. DODD: Joe, if you could pull that up, but 17 don't play it, please. 18 BY MR. DODD: 19 Mr. Gowdy, prior to your testimony today, did you 20 Q. have an opportunity to review Plaintiffs' Exhibit 20? 21 22 Α. Yes. What is Plaintiffs' Exhibit 20? 23 Q. It's a video compilation of the attack on the 24 Α.

Capitol, on the Capitol's west side.

1	Q. Just to be clear, did you record the footage
2	contained in Plaintiffs' Exhibit 20?
3	A. No.
4	Q. But you have reviewed it, correct?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. And were you present when that footage was being
7	reported?
8	A. You can actually yes. You can actually see me in
9	the footage throughout here and there.
10	Q. Based on your review of Plaintiffs' Exhibit 20 and
11	your memory of January 6, 2021, is that a true and accurate
12	depiction of events that you observed at the Capitol?
13	A. Yeah.
14	Q. I want to turn back to the events at the Peace
15	Monument.
16	MR. DODD: Let's take a look at Plaintiffs' Exhibit
17	20, from one minute 10 seconds to one minute and 55 seconds.
18	(Note: The video is played to the Court.)
19	BY MR. DODD:
20	Q. Looking at this portion of the video, where is the
21	perimeter barrier that's erected?
22	A. It's been toppled. If it's still there, it's only
23	waist high so you can't see it.
24	Q. Is it in front of this group of people?
25	A. Yes.

Q. Mr. Gowdy, do you see this individual at the top of 1 2 the stairs wearing a red cap? Α. I do. 3 0. Has he passed that barrier at that point? 4 Yes, he has breached the barrier. 5 Α. Is this segment of Exhibit 20 an accurate depiction 6 0. 7 of what you observed at the Peace Monument? Yeah, it's -- yes. 8 Α. After breaking through the barrier at the Peace 9 Q. Monument, where does the mob go next? 10 They continue advancing toward the inauguration day 11 12 stage area. Was there another barrier set up towards the 13 0. inaugural area? 14 15 Α. Yes. At the ground level, there was, yes. What happened at that barrier? 16 Q. The same. 17 Α. Did the mob swarm the police and overwhelm them? 18 Q. Yes. They attacked peace officers in an effort to 19 20 get past them, yes. MR. DODD: I'd like to now watch Plaintiffs' Exhibit 21 20, from three minutes and 26 seconds to four minutes and 30 22 23 seconds. Joe, if you could play that. (Note: The video is played to the Court.) 24 BY MR. DODD: 25

standing. First of all in this photo, we see a structure on the

left-hand side of the photo. What is that structure? 1 That's a media tower called the Crow's Nest so 2 select cameras get to view the president-elect straight on on 3 4 January 20. 5 Ο. That media tower, that is what we see right there. We see its shadow and it's right there in the center; is that 6 7 right? Yeah. 8 Α. So you are -- are you standing here on the retaining 9 Q. wall? 10 Yes. 11 Α. 12 Q. This photograph is taken towards the Capitol? To the west front of the Capitol. 13 Α. MR. DODD: I'd like to look at Plaintiffs' Exhibit 14 218. 15 BY MR. DODD: 16 What do we see in Plaintiffs' Exhibit 218? 17 Q. A member of the mob spray bear mace toward the 18 Α. officers. 19 20 Q. When you took this photo, was there violence going on? 21 22 Α. Yes. 23 MR. DODD: I'd like to turn to Plaintiffs' Exhibit 219. 24 BY MR. DODD: 25

- Q. What do we see in Plaintiffs' Exhibit 219?
- A. We see police reinforcements behind the barrier. In front of me, members of the mob.
- Q. Mr. Gowdy, around what time is this portion going on?
- A. This is roughly -- I'd say this is roughly around 1:25, 1:30, you know, over or under.
 - Q. Did the mob eventually overrun this barrier?
 - A. Yeah.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

17

18

21

22

23

24

- Q. Is the mob continuing to yell similar things like you were saying earlier, "We want Trump," "Move as one," that kind of thing?
- A. "Stop the Steal," lots of obscenities, lots of men hollering, "We need fresh patriots," as others fell back and were compromised, and "hold the line," and things of that nature.
 - MR. DODD: Now I'd like to watch Exhibit 20 from 29 minutes and 40 seconds to 33 minutes and 40 seconds.
- 19 (Note: The video is played to the Court.)
- 20 BY MR. DODD:
 - Q. Mr. Gowdy, as we're watching this we see puffs of white smoke looking stuff. What is that?
 - A. That is pepper spray or bear mace being sprayed, you know, from police officers and also from members of the mob.
 - Q. Are members of the mob spraying the police officers?

Α. Yes. 1 Do we see the protestors throwing things at the 2 Q. police during this? 3 Α. Yes. 4 (Note: The video is played to the Court.) 5 BY MR. DODD: 6 7 What were they saying right there? Q. That man was saying "He can't stop a million." 8 Α. What did that mean to you? 9 Q. That they had the numbers to keep going forward, 10 Α. breach the interior of the Capitol, stop the democratic process, 11 12 you know, the certification of the presidential election and to -- sorry. 13 Here we see the police up above. What are the 14 15 police doing at this point? Retreating to the high ground. 16 Mr. Gowdy, is this portion of Plaintiffs' Exhibit 20 17 0. a true and accurate depiction of what you observed as the mob 18 occupied the lower inaugural area? 19 It is. 20 Α. Just so the Court is aware of what we're looking at 21 here, in this image that's on the screen right now, we see a 22 23 balustrade and stairs that go along. Is that this right here? Α. 24 Yes. 25 And this area here, this lower section, is this Q.

1	where the m	nob is currently in this video?
2	Α.	Yes.
3	Q.	On this diagram, that is this area down here,
4	correct?	
5	Α.	Yes.
6	Q.	Were the police inviting the mob in?
7	Α.	Absolutely not.
8	Q.	Was the mob's behavior peaceful or violent?
9	Α.	Violent.
0	Q.	What happens after this point?
_1	Α.	They overrun the West Terrace, or otherwise known as
2	where the i	naugural platform excuse me.
_3	Q.	And that is this area, the elevated area up here; is
_4	that right?	
_5	Α.	Yes.
-6	Q.	And on the overhead view, that's the semicircle
_7	here; is th	nat right?
-8	Α.	Yes.
9	Q.	After they force their way on to the inaugural
20	platform, v	what does the mob try to do next?
21	Α.	Keep going, try to continue to breach the inside of
22	the Capitol	- •
23	Q.	Where do they go to do that?
24	Α.	To the tunnel, otherwise known as the chute where
25	the preside	ent-elect will walk out of on inauguration day.

Q. Is that here in the center at this point? 1 2 Α. Yes. I'd like to speak about the defendant, Mr. Griffin. 3 Q. Do you know who Mr. Griffin is? 4 Α. Yes. 5 Do you see him in the courtroom today? 6 Q. 7 Α. Yes. Could you point him out and identify him by a piece 8 Q. of clothing? 9 Α. Yeah. He's right there with the blue mask in front. 10 MR. DODD: Your Honor, I'd ask that the record 11 12 reflect that Mr. Gowdy has identified the defendant THE COURT: It so reflects. 13 BY MR. DODD: 14 15 Q. Did you see Mr. Griffin at the Capitol on January 6? I did. Α. 16 When did you first see him? 17 0. Around roughly 3:00 p.m. until 4:30 p.m.. To be 18 Α. exact, 4:24 p.m. 19 When you observed him, where was he? 20 Q. He was feet from -- he was to the left of that 21 semicircle leaning against the balustrade, just a couple of feet 22 23 over. Right here? Would that be an accurate location for 24 Q. him? 25

Α. Yes. 1 Was he on the inaugural platform? 2 Q. He was. 3 Α. Q. How long did you observe Mr. Griffin for? 4 You know, roughly an hour and a half. 5 Α. You may have already said this, but what time did 6 Q. 7 you last observe Mr. Griffin? 4:24 p.m. Α. 8 Did you take photographs of Mr. Griffin? 9 Q. Yes. 10 Α. How many photographs of Mr. Griffin did you take? 11 Q. 12 Α. I counted 136 images. How many total images did you take on that day? 13 Q. Α. 2,851. 14 15 Would it be fair to say Mr. Griffin appeared in 5 Q. percent of the photographs you took? 16 Α. Yeah. 17 Why is Mr. Griffin in so many of the photographs 18 0. that you took that day? 19 He placed himself in being front and center and 20 Α. ended up being in my wide shots by virtue of having a front row 21 seat and being in the middle of the chaos. 22 23 0. You said he put himself "front and center." What do you mean by that exactly? 24

25

He was attempting to insert himself in a leadership

role.

- Q. What was his demeanor on January 6 at the Capitol?
- A. He appeared to be reveling in everything that was happening, smiling, pumping his fists, laughing, just having a good time.
 - Q. What was he doing within the mob?
- A. He had a bullhorn and his is the voice that made an impression on me that afternoon as he tried for a very long time to get the mob's attention using the bullhorn. Then he finally did, and he spoke. I don't remember his words, but he led the mob in some sort of pro Trump prayer, and the people around me seemed to be listening. But the whole scene was so chaotic that I can't say that the rest of his audience were paying attention.
- Q. Was Mr. Griffin's conduct such that it was advancing the goal and purpose of the mob?
 - A. Yes. It was very encouraging, was my impression.
- Q. Did you observe him do anything that was inconsistent with the mob's actions or objectives?
 - A. No, I didn't.
- Q. I'd like to look at Plaintiffs' Exhibit 208. What do we see in Plaintiffs' Exhibit 208?
- A. We see the Defendant taking on a -- excuse me. We see him addressing the mob using a bullhorn.
- Q. I'd like to look at Plaintiffs' Exhibit 210, please. How about in this photo?

Α. Same. 1 I'd like to pull up Plaintiffs' Exhibit 54. Don't 2 Q. play it yet, though, Joe. Mr. Gowdy, prior to your testimony 3 did you have an opportunity to review Plaintiffs' Exhibit 54? 4 Α. Yes. 5 What is Plaintiffs' Exhibit 54? 0. 6 7 It's security surveillance of the west side of the Α. U.S. Capitol on January 6th. 8 Is it a true and accurate depiction of the events 9 Q. that you saw at the Capitol on January 6th? 10 Α. 11 Yes. 12 MR. DODD: I'd like to watch Plaintiffs' Exhibit 54 from time stamp 2 hours 57 minutes and 40 seconds to 2 hours 57 13 minutes and 54 seconds. 14 15 Your Honor, at this point could I approach the witness and hand him a pointer so he can use the pointer. 16 THE COURT: Actually this is an interactive screen, 17 so he can point and draw. 18 (Note: The video is played to the Court.) 19 BY MR. DODD: 20 Mr. Gowdy, could you mark for us the location 21 Mr. Griffin appears in this surveillance footage? 22 23 THE COURT: You can change the color if you hit 24 menu. 25 (Witness complies.) You can see it, right? Α.

1	Q. Would it be helpful to look at Mr. Griffin's
2	zoomed-in position.
3	MR. DODD: Could you play from 2 hours 57 minutes
4	and 54 seconds zoomed in on Mr. Griffin's position, please.
5	Could you pause it there, please.
6	(Note: The video is played to the Court.)
7	BY MR. DODD
8	Q. At this moment, have you seen Mr. Griffin yet?
9	A. No. I didn't see him until he rose from the
10	stairway right there and looked out over the balustrade.
11	Q. Keep watching.
12	(Note: The video is played to the Court.)
13	MR. DODD: Pause it there, please.
14	BY MR. DODD:
15	Q. On your screen, could you circle his location?
16	A. My screen is not on.
17	MR. DODD: Your Honor, why don't I go old school and
18	hand him the pointer. May I approach the witness, Your Honor?
19	THE COURT: You may
20	BY MR. DODD:
21	Q. If you could identify Mr. Griffin on that video?
22	A. (Witness complies.)
23	Q. That dark figure there?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. What time does Mr. Griffin take his position on the

inaugural platform? 1 2 Α. Around 2:57 p.m. MR. DODD: Now I'd like to play Exhibit 54 from 3 3 hours and 5 minutes 16 second to 3 hours 5 five minutes and 31 4 5 second, zoomed in on Mr. Griffin's position. The video is played to the Court.) 6 7 BY MR. DODD: What did we see in that portion of Exhibit 54? 8 0. Α. He's pumping his fists and cheerleading. 9 MR. DODD: Now I'd like to turn to Exhibit 54 at 3 10 hours 58 minutes and 25 seconds to 3 hours 59 minutes and one 11 12 second. If you could play that. (Note: The video is played to the Court.) 13 BY MR. DODD: 14 What do we see him doing with his hand right there? 15 0. Α. He appears to be pretending to be a conductor to a 16 17 song. Kind of like waving his hand back and forth? 18 Q. Yeah. There was a lot of performative patriotism 19 and prayer in the mob where people would out of nowhere begin 20 the Pledge of Allegiance, the Star Spangled Banner or the Lord's 21 22 Prayer. 23 Q. What do we see toward the end of that footage? The Defendant pumping his fist, facing out toward 24 Α. the mob. 25

The demeanor of Mr. Griffin that is depicted in this 0. 1 surveillance footage, is that consistent with his demeanor the 2 entire time he was on the inaugural platform? 3 From my perspective, yes. 4 MR. DODD: I'd like to look at Plaintiffs' Exhibit 5 54 from 4 hours 24 minutes and 10 seconds to 4 hours 23 minutes 6 7 and 10 seconds. Pause it there. The video is played to the Court.) 8 BY MR. DODD: 9 Mr. Gowdy, we saw a hazy white kind of move across 10 the screen. Do you know what that was? 11 12 Α. Was that my flash? MR. DODD: Now if you could replay from the 13 beginning. 14 15 BY MR. DODD: Watch the hazy white kind of move across the crowd 16 in the lower right corner. 17 (Note: The video is played to the Court.) 18 BY MR. DODD: 19 20 0. Did you see that? Α. I did. 21 Was that tear gas or something of that nature? 22 Q. 23 Α. Yes. 24 MR. DODD: Keep playing, please. 25 (Note: The video is played to the Court.)

- BY MR. DODD: 1 In this portion of Plaintiffs' Exhibit 54, is this 2 0. when Mr. Griffin leaves the inaugural platform? 3 Α. It is. 4 What time does he leave the inaugural platform at? 5 0. 4:24 p.m. 6 Α. 7 MR. DODD: Now I'd like to turn to Plaintiffs' Exhibit 224 through 230. If you could pull those up and move 8 through them slowly so everyone can observe them. 9 The video is played to the Court.) 10 (Note: MR. DODD: If you could go back to that first one, 11 12 please. BY MR. DODD: 13 Mr. Gowdy, could you circle where Mr. Griffin is in 14 Q. this photograph? 15 Α. (Witness complies.) 16 Is that him there in the cowboy hat? 17 0. Yes. 18 Α. What do we see in these photographs? 19 Q. We see everyone who is in the inaugural platform who 20 Α. had previously been looking at into the mob with their backs to 21 the mob and facing toward the tunnel, which was the primary 22 23 entrance inside the Capitol.
 - Q. Is the same true for each of these photos that we looked at?

Α.

1

Yes.

What do you mean by "weaponizing" everything and Q. 1 2 anything they could? Well, throughout the day you have flag poles that 3 Α. become flag spears. They're hurling things. And yeah, bear 4 mace, baseball bats. You name it. Anything and everything. 5 MR. DODD: I'd like to look at Exhibit 20 from one 6 hour and 30 seconds to one hour and 50 seconds. 7 (Note: The video is played to the Court.) 8 BY MR. DODD: 9 Mr. Gowdy, could you clear your screen. Hit menu to 10 Q. close it. 11 12 What do we see during this portion of Plaintiffs' Exhibit 20? 13 The mob working in tandem to push against the wall 14 15 of thin blue line of police officers protecting the Capitol. They're chanting "Heave-ho, heave-ho," and moving their bodies 16 in unison. 17 Are there police officers inside the tunnel trying 18 to hold the mob out? 19 20 Α. There are. You say they were chanting "Heave-ho, heave-ho"; is 21 Q. that right? 22 Α. 23 Yes. Were the members of the mob coordinating to attempt 24 Q. to force their way into the Capitol? 25

1	A. They were working together, yes.
2	MR. DODD: Now I'd like to look at Plaintiffs'
3	Exhibit 20 from one hour 16 minutes and five seconds to one hour
4	and 17 minutes.
5	(Note: The video is played to the Court.)
6	BY MR. DODD:
7	Q. Is that a hockey stick that we see in this portion
8	of the video?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. Is that what you meant by anything and everything
11	being used as a weapon?
12	A. Yes. Anything they could get their hands on.
13	Q. What did we see in this portion of Exhibit 20?
14	A. The mob continuing to use force to break into the
15	Capitol.
16	Q. How long did the battle in the tunnel go on for?
17	A. I couldn't watch. Everyone turned around 3:45, but
18	I didn't have eyes on it until 4:10, until 5:10 when police
19	reinforcements went on the offensive.
20	Q. Did the battle for the tunnel eventually end?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. How did it eventually end?
23	A. It ended with law enforcement having the numbers to
24	go on the offensive and take back the Capitol.
25	O Were they using any kind of weapons and things like

that to retake the Capitol?

A. Yes. So it was very windy and there is lots of

yellow smoke, which was tear gas. So basically, they were using

crowd control munitions and less lethal munitions to herd the

crowd away.

MR. DODD: I'd like to turn to Plaintiffs' Exhibit

MR. DODD: I'd like to turn to Plaintiffs' Exhibit 231 to 233. If you could pull those up and go through them slowly.

9 BY MR. DODD:

7

8

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. This cloud that we see here in Plaintiffs' Exhibit 236, what is that?
 - A. That's a cloud of tear gas.

MR. DODD: Keep going, Joe

(Note: The video is played to the Court.)

15 BY MR. DODD:

- Q. In Plaintiffs' Exhibit 231 through 233, what did we see?
- A. Lines of police reinforcements, Virginia State

 Police. And in this one, the National Guard helping in

 assisting them in recreating a secure border around the Capitol
 building.
- Q. Were the police ultimately able to put down the mob and get back control of the Capitol grounds?
 - A. They were.
 - Q. Based on everything that you saw and heard on

January 6, 2021, did the members of the mob have a common 1 purpose in attacking the Capitol? 2 Α. Yes. 3 Based on your observations, what was that purpose? 4 To stop the certification of the presidential 5 Α. election and to intimidate elected representatives to do what 6 7 they wanted. How did you come to that conclusion? 8 0. I heard it throughout the day. "Stop the Steal." 9 Α. "Fuck Joe Biden." "Hang Mike Pence." "1776," which is a 10 rallying cry to overthrow government. 11 12 Did the mob use force in their effort to stop the certification of the Joe Biden certification? 13 Α. Yes. 14 15 Did the mob use force to stop the certification of Joe Biden's election? 16 Α. Yes. 17 Did the mob use numbers to stop the certification of 18 0. Joe Biden's election? 19 20 Α. Yes. You testified that you took many photos of the 21 attack on the Capitol, correct? 22 I did. 23 Α. Have you done anything noteworthy with those photos? 24 Q. I've curated and self-published a book of my images 25 Α.

from that day. 1 Is this that book? 2 0. It is. 3 Α. What did you title that book? 4 Q. "Insurrection." 5 Α. Why did you title it that? 6 0. 7 Because that's what happened on January 6. It was Α. an insurrection. 8 9 MR. DODD: I have no further questions. Thank you, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Mr. Griffin? 11 12 MR. GRIFFIN: Yeah. CROSS-EXAMINATION 13 BY MR. GRIFFIN: 14 15 I'd like to start out -- if you're from Seattle, you must be an expert witness on violent mobs and protests, as we've 16 seen Seattle, Washington be thrown in the center of some of the 17 most violent mobs throughout the last few years. 18 MR. GRIFFIN: I guess I'd probably like to start out 19 by seeing if I could have a video pulled up that was played a 20 minute ago. It's not video Number 20. It was the one before it 21 where the man was standing at the top of the stairs right before 22 23 the breach of the barriers. I'd like to point out possibly one 24 thing that maybe was missed. If you could pull that video up. 25 It was the one with the guy with the red cap on. Yes, sir. If

you could roll it back to the first of it and go ahead and play it.

(Note: The video was played to the Court.)

BY MR. GRIFFIN

2.0

Q. If you can see the Capitol Police officers at the top were abandoning their position. But what I'd like to notice is --

MR. GRIFFIN: You can stop it.

BY MS. GRIFFIN:

Q. Whenever this crowd that was unarmed was coming in loud but the Capitol Police officers, they turned their backs to the crowd and they left.

MR. GRIFFIN: It's unfortunate that I'm not more prepared today, Your Honor, because we can see in many videos where Capitol Police officers took down barriers.

They took down barriers and they motioned for the protestors to come in. One thing I'd like to also note for the record is that the front door of the Capitol is equipped with a 20,000 pound magnetic lock prison grade door, the same kind that you have in prisons. That door cannot be breached. That door can only be opened from a secure place inside of the Capitol. That's a question and a part of this investigation that has never been looked into. That's a question that's never been asked.

BY MR. GRIFFIN:

But I'd like to start off with, Mr. Gowdy, by 1 Q. asking -- I'm sorry. 2 My name is Gowdy. With a G, like "howdy." 3 0. Mr. Gowdy, I'd like to ask you, do you know any of 4 the Plaintiffs in this case? 5 Α. I do not. 6 7 You don't know any of the Plaintiffs that have filed this lawsuit or anybody that's involved with them? 8 Α. No. 9 You have never met them? 10 Q. I just answered that no. 11 Α. 12 I thought I might have seen you in a photograph with Q. one, but okay. 13 I'd also like to ask you if -- you say that you 14 15 spent so much time focused on me during that day, but I'd like to ask, did you know anything about me before January 6? 16 I did. Α. 17 So you knew who I was before January 6? 18 0. Yeah. You were that dude who has the Cowboys for 19 Α. Trump group and is very outspoken and open. 20 That's right. What would be your political opinion 21 Q. of me? 22 23 How do you mean? I mean, you say that I'm the dude that has the 24 Q. Cowboys for Trump thing, that's very outspoken. If you know 25

A. As far as liking your position, I just document people at these rallies and demonstrations. You know, it's not whether I like it or not. I go to them and take pictures and see what I see.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. But it's important as far as why you focused on me on that day. Do you agree -- would you call yourself a conservative or would you call yourself a progressive?
- A. If you're asking my political leniency, I would call myself a progressive.
- Q. A progressive. So you would say you're on the other side of the aisle from my political position?
 - A. I would not align myself with you.
 - Q. But you would go as far as to say that I was a part

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q.

What's that?

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. You were doing your job by crossing into an
- 3 | unauthorized zone?
 - A. As a member of the press, that's my duty, yes.
 - Q. What exactly gives you the criteria to become a member of the press?
 - A. I was working on assignment for Rolling Stone that afternoon.
 - Q. So you were working for Rolling Stone, which is a media platform; is that correct?
 - A. Yeah.
 - Q. What if I had a media platform called Cowboys for Trump and I was on assignment, would I have a free pass to cross into an unauthorized zone, as you claim to?
 - A. First of all, I'd love to see your work.
 - Q. We're not discussing work. The gist of my question is: What gives you the right to come down and be a part of this big crowd which you call a mob, and you feel like you're entitled to because you say you're on assignment, but yet myself and many others like me had media platforms with a large reach, and we didn't get the same pass as you. Do you know what I'm saying? You're so quick to condemn me, but yet you were a part of the same crowd. Am I wrong or am I right?
 - A. I was amongst the crowd, yeah, documenting what I see and doing my job.

A. I was not part of the mob. I was not acting unruly,

I wish I would have been close to you because I

3

1

aggressively. I was not shouting. I was not chanting, no. I

4

was trying to survive among the mob.

5

would have came first to your Savior and saved you from whatever

67

it is that you said that was attacking you on that day. I'm

8

sorry that you were put in a scary position and it must have

9

been very difficult for you. But I think it's very unfair for

10

you to say that I was a part of a violent mob whenever I would

11

like to ask you what actions did you see me take on that day

12

that would classify me as to have been acting violent in nature.

13

A. The mob.

14

Q. Not the mob. Myself.

15

A. Can you ask that again?

16

Q. Yes. I would like to ask you if you say that I was

17

a part of a violent mob and I was violent on that day, I'd like

18

to ask you what actions did I take on that day that would lead

19

you to that assumption?

20

21

seemingly cheerleading it, pumping your fists and having a great

You had a front row seat to the violence and were

22

time.

23

THE COURT: One person at a time, please.

24

BY MR. GRIFFIN:

25

Q. That was after we got through singing the National

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But I'd like to ask you: Did you see me do anything that was violent in any way on January 6, since you watched me very intently? How many pictures you took of me and your focus was on me because as you already stated, you already knew who I was. You already had a strong political opinion of me. And I believe you were sitting back with your camera going, "All right, we got him now."

But I'd like to revert back to my question. What actions did I take on that day that were violent in nature?

- A. You took a leadership role among a mob to speak to the mob and insert yourself as a leader.
- Q. So standing in a crowd in a place where I was visible, would that qualify as being a leader?
 - A. With a bullhorn.
 - Q. How long did I have the bullhorn for?
- A. I don't recall the specifics at the moment, but I would be happy to look at my photos.
 - Q. Two minutes? Three minutes?
- A. No. You spoke for longer than that. You tried to get the crowd's attention for longer than that.
 - Q. I did. And you are correct in that. I did try to

And whenever I took the bullhorn, if I was going to incite violence with a bullhorn from the position I was standing at, which direction do you think I would turn to incite the violence?

A. Say that one more time.

- Q. You answered it right, go ahead.
- A. Repeat your question, please.
- Q. If I was going to incite the violence with a bullhorn from where I was standing at, which direction do you think that I would face with a bullhorn? Do you think I would face towards the west tunnel where the fighting is going on at or would I turn away from it to the people who are coming up and to tell them that we've been fighting long enough? What would your response be?
- A. These are a lot of hypotheticals, but I think the violence was surely happening when people overran that platform, and it probably wasn't long after. I didn't have my eyes on it. I was on the ground level. But I guess perceiving you with a bullhorn faced out into the mob to get more people

Q. And see how perceptions can be wrong, which yours was definitely wrong on that day because I turned to the people and I told them that they'd been fighting too long, it's time to pray, its time to seek peace. That was my message to them. If you could have heard it on that day, maybe your perception wouldn't have been so off on me.

I'd like to ask you on, you know, being an expert from Seattle as far as violence goes in the streets, do you believe that people can take on the roles of maybe an Antifa member that's not a member?

- A. Antifa isn't a real thing.
- Q. That's not my question. My question is: Say an Antifa rally where everybody is dressed in black, everybody dresses the same, could somebody like myself dress all in black and cover my face like Antifa does and go to an Antifa protest with a black umbrella that could be used as a spear, and do you think I could pass as an Antifa member?
 - A. I don't know what an Antifa member is.
 - Q. Do you know what a Trump supporter is?
 - A. Someone who supports Trump.
- Q. Do you know of the way different people dress at different groups? If you go to a Trump rally, do you typically see maybe a red "Make America Great Again" cap or maybe a Trump shirt or a flag? Would that be common? I mean, you don't have

- A. I know what people who wear Trump merchandise look like.
- Q. Do you think it would be possible for somebody who does belong to the Antifa movement, maybe not a membership but the Antifa movement, do you think somebody like that could put Trump gear on and blend into the crowd and look like a Trump supporter?
 - A. I only saw Trump supporters that day.
- Q. That's not my question. My question is: Do you think somebody could put on Trump gear -- I'm afraid that you are so blinded by your political bias that you can't answer the questions with clear conscience and honesty. It's just an honest simple question.
 - A. What's your question?
- Q. My question is: Do you believe that if somebody is a part of Antifa and they typically dress in black, which we know they do, and they -- if that same person could put a red "Make America Great Again" cap on and dress in Trump gear and dress up to look like a Trump supporter when all they really want to do is hurt President Trump, could that be possible?
 - A. No.
 - Q. No, that could not be possible?
 - A. That's not what I saw that day.
 - Q. I think you're discredited. I didn't ask you if you

saw it on that day. I only asked you if it would be possible 1 that that could happen. 2 Α. Why would that happen? 3 0. I think you are discrediting yourself. 4 THE COURT: Just answer his question as he's asking 5 it. 6 7 I don't think it's possible. No. Α. BY MR. GRIFFIN: 8 And that's fine, I'll take that response because all 9 Q. it will does, I'm sorry to tell you, all it does is discredit 10 you as a witness because you are so politically biased and 11 12 you're so arrogant --THE COURT: Please just ask the question. 13 MR. GRIFFIN: I'd like to ask you, if you will, 14 15 please, sir, if you can play video 20 at 2940. The video is played to the Court.) 16 BY MR. GRIFFIN: 17 As you heard me mention Ray Epps earlier today. 18 are going to have a good shot at him right there. That's Ray 19 Epps. Ray Epps, the night before January 6, was in the street 20 directly inciting the crowd to go down to the Capitol and enter 21 inside of the Capitol. 22 23 MR. GRIFFIN: Go ahead and play it if you would, 24 please. (Note: The video is played to the Court.) 25

1 BY MR. GRIFFIN: Watch the behavior of Ray Epps. He was waving to 2 Ο. the crowd. 3 MR. GRIFFIN: You can turn it off. 4 5 BY MR. GRIFFIN: This is the hypocrisy of what's going on today. 6 Q. 7 on trial --THE COURT: Again, this is not a time for a speech. 8 It's time to ask this witness questions. 9 MR. GRIFFIN: I don't think that I am going to be 10 able to get serious responses out of witness. I think that his 11 12 political bias is extremely evident in his failure to ask -answer even the most simplest of questions if a person can put a 13 different kind of attire on and dress up as something that 14 15 they're not. I believe the witness knows the point that I was trying to make and failed to answer the question and only in 16 attempt to sabotage what he knew I was fixing to point out as 17 evident. 18 I think it's evident to point out to Your Honor and 19 the Court to see the fact that Mr. Gowdy stood out with his 20 camera for such a long time only focused on me. 21 THE COURT: Mr. Griffin, do you have further 22 23 questions for this witness? MR. GRIFFIN: I don't believe I do. I don't think 24 25 that I can get any answers out of him if I wanted. Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Dodd, do you have any further 1 2 questions? MR. DODD: I don't have any further questions, thank 3 4 you. 5 THE COURT: Mr. Gowdy, you are excused. We'll take a 15-minute break and then you can call 6 7 the next witness. We'll be in recess for 15 minutes. (Court in recess at 3:05 p.m.) 8 9 THE COURT: We're back on the record. 10 MR. SUS: Your Honor, Plaintiffs call officer Daniel Hodges. 11 12 THE COURT: Is he here or is this a video? Officer, come forward, please. 13 Witness sworn by the Court.) 14 (NOTE: 15 THE COURT: Please have a seat, Officer. DIRECT EXAMINATION 16 BY MR. SUS: 17 Could you state your name for the record. 18 Q. My name is Daniel Patrick Hodges. 19 Α. Where do you currently work? 20 Q. Currently work with the Metropolitan Police 21 Α. Department in Washington, D.C. 22 23 Q. What is your rank? I'm a police officer. 24 Α. 25 How long have you worked at the D.C. police Q.

department?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

- A. I've been with the Metropolitan Police Department in Washington, D.C. since December 2014, so approximately seven and a half years.
 - Q. What divisions are you assigned to?
- A. I'm assigned to Patrol, Fourth District. I'm assigned to the Civil Disturbance Unit 42.
 - O. What is the Civil Disturbance Unit?
- A. The Civil Disturbance Unit, or CDU, is an organization within MPD -- we are tasked with policing First Amendment assemblies, as well as civil disobedience and riot behavior within the District of Columbia.
- Q. As a member of the Civil Disturbance Unit, do you use special equipment?
 - A. We do.
 - Q. What do you use?
- A. CDU members are all assigned helmets, ballistic helmets, gas masks, riot batons. And I was assigned as a member of C42, which is a rapid response team. That means that we are given hard gear or pads that we are equipped with to defend against attacks.
 - Q. Do you receive special training?
- 23 A. We do.
 - Q. What training?
 - A. We are trained in formations, how to move as a group

- Q. Does the Civil Disturbance Unit respond to any and all civil disturbances in Washington, D.C.?
- A. The CDU portion of MPD responds to all civil disturbances occurrences within D.C. that falls within our jurisdiction. There are properties within the District of Columbia that are under federally -- that are owned federally. However, we can respond to those when the appropriate federal agencies request our backup.
- Q. What was your job prior to joining the D.C. police department in 2014?
- A. In 2012, I joined the Virginia National Guard as an indirect fire infantryman. And I was honorably discharged in 2018.
- Q. I'd like to turn to the morning of January 6, 2021. Were you on assignment with the Civil Disturbance Unit that morning?
 - A. I was.

- Q. What were your initial orders?
- A. Initially we were ordered to go down to the 1100 block of Constitution Avenue and monitor the crowds that were going into the Ellipse on high visibility capacity. That means

else?

- A. Yes. I was afraid they were wearing that equipment and had it equipped because they anticipated violence later that day and they wanted to be prepared for it.
- Q. Did you hear anything on your police radio that morning indicating a potential for violence that day?
- A. I did. Our Gun Recovery Unit, or GRU, was on the radio during the rally. They were talking about identifying people in the crowd that they suspected of carrying weapons, firearms. They were receiving reports of people carrying firearms and attempting to identify those people in the crowd.

Also, our Explosive Ordinance Disposal Unit, or EOD, had identified what they termed a device, and they also described that device as viable over the radio. I took that to mean they had found a bomb. Finally, after the rally and people were making their way toward the Capitol, our incident commander, our special operations division commander, was on the radio getting more and more agitated, becoming more and more concerned and overwhelmed by the people that were gathering there and the violence they were experiencing.

- Q. When you say "there," are you referring to the Capitol?
 - A. I am.
- Q. Were you aware of any proceedings happening at the Capitol building that day?
 - A. I was. I was aware that members of Congress and the

- Q. Could you describe what happened when you initially arrived at the Capitol and when you approached the Capitol building?
- A. When we arrived at the Capitol, we got out of the vans, organized in two columns, started marching toward the Western Terrace. At that point, we were still away from the building itself. The crowd was more thin, but very present. When the crowd saw us, they started throwing insults at us. They called us traitors, oath breakers, telling us to remember our oaths, calling us storm troopers, telling us to be on the right side of history. We kept going.

As we got closer to the West Terrace, the crowd became more dense and more aggressive. We filed into a single file and we put our arms on the shoulders of the man in front of us in attempts to not get separated. Unfortunately, as we met our way through the more dense and aggressive portion of the crowd, we were attacked. Our assailants cut our platoon in two, so-to-speak. Our leadership at the head of our column was separated from the rear of us. Our leadership was able to continue forward, and the rest of us at the rear were being assaulted.

They attempted to steal my baton, or one member

- Q. Officer Hodges, did you fear for your life at any time on that day?
 - A. I did.

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. When?
- A. When we were making our way toward the Western Terrace, I was kicked and knocked down to my hands and knees. The medical mask I was wearing at the time, to protect me from coronavirus, was pulled over my eyes and I was blinded,

surrounded by an aggressive mob. Also, when our defenses fell in front of the West Terrace and we were being attacked in earnest, and someone attempted to gouge out my eye. I thought I would be seriously disfigured then, if not killed.

Then later on, in the tunnel connecting the crypt to the West Terrace, when I was being crushed by the mob and assaulted with my own weapon, I knew that I sustained another injury like the one I sustained in there could very easily cripple me or kill me.

- Q. To your knowledge, what injuries did your fellow officers sustain?
- A. My fellow officers sustained a wide variety of injuries, everything from lacerations to pain and bruising, confusions, broken bones, broken teeth, broken nose. One of my sergeants had to have his fingertip surgically removed after it was crushed with a blunt instrument. Another one of my sergeants was shocked with a cattle prod. The much -- I'm sorry. There's the PTSD. There was many officers -- multiple officers who committed suicide in the following year. One officer died the next day from a stroke. Just a wide variety of injuries.
- Q. The officer that died from a stroke, was that Brian Sicknick?
 - A. It was, yes.

Q. Over the course of the day, did you see the

that Joe Biden had somehow won in an undemocratic manner and 1 that they needed to prevent the transfer of power and that they 2 were willing to use violence and intimidation to achieve that 3 goal. 4 5 Ο. Did the mob outnumber law enforcement that day? Α. They did. 6 7 By how much? 0. 50 or 75 to 1. 8 Α. Did the size of mob impact your ability to do your 9 Q. 10 job that day? Absolutely. 11 Α. 12 0. How? The size of the mob was the mob's greatest weapon. 13 Α. The size of the mob is what enabled them to achieve the level of 14 15 success that they did in achieving their goal. The sheer number of the mob made it so we were overwhelmed with potential 16 There were no uniforms differentiating those who were 17 threats. actively violent or those who were not actively violent in the 18 mob. So those who were violent would attack and then fall back 19 into the mob to where we could not effectively engage them 20 without leaving ourselves vulnerable to attack from the other 49 21 to 74 members of the mob that we had to deal with. 22 23 Q. Is it fair to say that the nonviolent members of the mob camouflaged the violent members of the mob? 24

Α.

25

It is.

- Q. How so?
- A. One of our general orders is that we cannot discharge our firearm into a crowd. While many members of the mob did at times use force that was likely to cause serious bodily injury or death, the fact that they only existed within the mob meant that we were unable to engage them with that level of force in a lawful manner.
- Q. Did the size of the mob impact your ability to make arrests?
 - A. It did.
 - Q. How?
- A. As I previously testified, the size of the mob was the mob's greatest weapon. We needed -- in order to make an arrest, we are legally obligated to the safety, security and medical well-being of our prisoner. And these are things that we could not guarantee for ourselves, let alone people inside the building, such as members of Congress, the Vice President, Congressional staff. And it was certainly was not something that we could guarantee for any prisoner that we attempted to take.

We also had no means of removing the prisoner from the premises, so -- because of the size of the mob and how they had surrounded the Capitol, which is something that we would

have to do.

- Q. Did the size of the mob impact the ability of emergency medical personnel to render aid to individuals on the Capitol grounds?
 - A. It did.
 - Q. How so?
- A. Part of our duties is to call for medical services when we find someone who needs it or to render aid when possible. Unfortunately, as I said, we could not guarantee our own safety. We were under constant attack. Emergency medical personnel had no safe means of getting to the Capitol to render aid to individuals who needed it. They had no way to remove people from the Capitol who needed transport to a medical center.
- Q. What about nonviolent people in the mob, did they impact your ability to do your job that day?
 - A. Absolutely.
 - Q. How so?
- A. The sheer number of them was what made it particularly impossible to deal with the situation effectively. They provided cover and support for the most violent members. They housed, so-to-speak, the most violent members who came forward to take the places of the members of the mob who sustained injuries and fell back so that it was just a sea of potential threats and something that we did not have the numbers

to deal with. 1 Is it fair to say every member of the mob was a 2 0. potential threat? 3 Α. Absolutely. 4 What about members of the press who were documenting 5 the days of events as a part of their professional duties, were 6 7 they obstructing your job that day? No, no. Members of the press were not a threat or 8 an obstruction either in their behavior or their numbers. 9 Could you expand on that. 10 Q. I saw very rarely someone with a camera or someone 11 12 with press credentials who were documenting the scene. And they did not -- I never observed any members of the press assaulting 13 police, encouraging aggression or being disruptive. They were 14 15 simply there to document. Are D.C. Metropolitan Police officers required to 16 Q. wear body cameras when you're on duty? 17 Α. 18 We are. Were you wearing your body camera on January 6? 19 0. 20 Α. I was. Did you review your camera footage in preparation 21 0. for your testimony today? 22 Α. I did. 23 MR. SUS: Joe, please play Exhibit 147B. 24 25 (Note: The video is played to the Court.)

1	BY MR. SUS:
2	Q. Officer Hodges, can you see Plaintiffs' Exhibit 147B
3	on your screen?
4	A. I can.
5	Q. Is this your body camera footage from January 6?
6	A. It is.
7	Q. Does the footage accurately depict the events from
8	January 6 as you recall them?
9	A. It does.
10	Q. Do you see the numbers on the top right corner of
11	the screen?
12	A. I do.
13	Q. What are the first two sets of numbers?
14	A. The first set of numbers is the date on which the
15	recording was taken. The second set is the current time at
16	which the recording was taken.
17	Q. So the date shown is January 6, 2021; is that
18	correct?
19	A. That's correct.
20	Q. The time stamp says 13:58:59; is that right?
21	A. That's correct.
22	Q. So this is approximately 1:58 p.m.?
23	A. It is.
24	MR. SUS: Joe, please play Plaintiffs' Exhibit 147.
25	(Note: The video is played to the Court.)

1	MR. SUS: Let's pause the video at 13:59:53.
2	BY MR. SUS:
3	Q. Officer Hodges, at this point the video, is your
4	platoon walking towards the West Terrace of the Capitol?
5	A. We are.
6	Q. Did you hear the people shouting "traitors" and
7	"oath breakers"?
8	A. I did.
9	Q. How did you interpret that at the time?
10	A. We were mobilizing to the Capitol to protect the
11	building as well as the people inside and the process that was
12	going on inside the building, namely the certification of the
13	2020 Presidential election. The mob was there to prevent the
14	certification of the 2020 Presidential election.
15	Q. And why did you and your fellow officers have your
16	hand on each other's shoulders?
17	A. We had our hands on each other's shoulders because
18	the crowd was becoming dense and aggressive. They were
19	preventing our easy movement toward our objective.
20	THE COURT REPORTER: Can you start over, please, and
21	talk slower.
22	THE WITNESS: Yes.
23	A. We had our hands on each other's shoulders because
24	the crowd was becoming dense and aggressive. They were
25	preventing easy movement toward our objective. We had our hands

on each other's shoulders in an attempt to not get separated in 1 a crowd. 2 BY MR. SUS: 3 Was that something you had done prior to January 6, 4 2021? 5 It was not. 6 Α. 7 MR. SUS: Joe, please resume the video at time stamp 13:59:53. 8 The video is continued to be played to the Court.) 9 (Note: BY MR. SUS: 10 Officer Hodges, can you describe what we just saw? 11 12 Yes. While making our way toward the West Terrace of the United States Capitol, we were attacked by the mob. 13 Someone attempted to grab my baton and steal it from me. We 14 15 wrestled for control of the weapon, and I was able to retain it. Other members of the mob prevented our forward 16 motion. We were attacked, punched, kicked, pushed. After we 17 repelled the initial attack, we took a defensive posture. 18 MR. SUS: Please resume the video at 14:00:35. 19 20 (Note: The video is continued to be played to the Court.) MR. SUS: Pause the video at 14:01:20. 21 BY MR. SUS 22 Do you see the man wearing the vest? 23 0. I do. 24 Α. What kind of vest is that? 25 Q.

A. That is an exterior load-bearing tactical vest. It appears to be designed to contain within it a ballistic panel which would protect the wearer from firearms. It appears to contain such a panel.

MR. SUS: Please play Plaintiffs' Exhibit 147C.

(Note: The video is played to the Court.)

MR. SUS: Please pause the video at 14:02:41.

BY MR. SUS:

- Q. Could you describe what we just saw?
- A. Yes. After we were attacked by the mob and we repelled our attackers. I attempted to forge a path through the mob in order for the rest of my platoon to follow. I turned around and I noticed that my platoon was again under attack by the mob, preventing their forward motion. I turned around, made my way back to my platoon and started pulling members of the mob off of my platoon.

While I was doing that, a number of the mob came up and attacked me, tried to steal my baton. We wrestled for control of the weapon. We went to the ground. He kicked me in the chest. I was able to retain my weapon, but I ended up on my hands and knees. The medical mask I was wearing at the time was pulled over my eyes. I was blinded. Fortunately, the rest of my platoon at that time was able to free themselves from their attackers and had my back as I got back up to my feet.

Q. Looking at Plaintiffs' Exhibit 147C, at time stamp

14:02:41, what type of vest is the man wearing in the video? The man is wearing an exterior tactical load-bearing vest. It appears to be designed to contain a ballistic panel, which would protect the wearer from firearms. And judging from the way it's bulging out, it appears to containing such a panel. MR. SUS: Joe, please resume the video. The video is continued to be played to the Court.) (Note: MR. SUS: Stop the video at 14:03:20. BY MR. SUS: Did you hear the man in the video say "This is going to turn bad? You need to get out of here. The others are coming up from the back." Α. I did. What did you understand those words to mean? Q. I understood those words to mean that there was a level of coordination amongst the mob, that they were intentionally surrounding the building and that they planned on and expected and welcomed escalation of violence even further from the level of violence that we had already seen. 0. When the man asked what he could do to help, you said "Leave"; is that right? That's correct. Α. Q. Why did you say that? Because that's what he could do to help. The mob Α.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

being there was our greatest obstacle. That was their weapon,

their presence, their sheer numbers. And removing their numbers was the greatest -- the greatest thing he could have done for us.

MR. SUS: Joe, play Plaintiffs' Exhibit 147D.

(Note: The video is played to the Court.)

MR. SUS: Stop the video at 14:04:30.

BY MR. SUS:

- Q. Could you describe what we just saw?
- A. After we repelled our attackers a second time, I began making a path through the mob to the West Terrace. While I was making my way through the mob, I observed someone destroying one of the bike rack-style barriers, breaking it down into its constituent pieces in order to distribute the pieces amongst the mob and use as a weapon. I observed an agitator with a megaphone encouraging the mob to disobey our lawful orders to disperse. Eventually, I made my way up to the front of the Western Terrace where a secondary police line was being held, and we made our way to realign and joined the defense proper.
- Q. The people in the crowd you were running through, did every single one of them physically attack you?
 - A. They did not.
- Q. So did those people who were peacefully standing there impede your ability to do your job?
 - A. Yes.

1 Q. How?

A. They were a cohesive group with all the same objectives, whether they were actively violent at the time or not. Those who were actively violent could use them, and did use them, as support to overwhelm us, to make it so we could not safely engage them without considering the possible threat that the other members of the mob presented.

The more violent members of the mob fell back to the more peaceful, so to speak, members of the mob in order to recuperate when they were wounded or injured. And when they recovered, they joined the fight again. Those who were not actively violent in the mob prevented us from getting clear sightlines, prevented us from receiving medical attention, prevented us from receiving backup easily, and they prevented an avenue of egress should we have to retreat.

- Q. At this time of day at 2:04 p.m., did the surrounding environment indicate it was acceptable for people to be near the Capitol building?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Why is that?
- A. In order to get to where the mob was now, they had to overrun a police barricade that was far away from where they are. They ignored our lawful orders to disperse. They ignored barricades we had set up and were actively trying to overrun them. We had a loud speaker set up that was telling them, in no

uncertain terms, that their assembly was unlawful and that they 1 2 needed to disperse. Had the police deployed chemical irritants at this 3 point in the day? 4 5 Α. Yes. What sort of chemical irritants? 0. 6 7 Pepper spray and tear gas. Α. In your experience as a police officer in the Civil 8 Q. Disturbance Unit, do crowds disperse after the police spray 9 chemical irritants? 10 Α. Yes. 11 12 MR. SUS: Please resume the video at time stamp 14:04:30. 13 (Note: The video is played to the Court.) 14 15 MR. SUS: Let's pause at 14:04:45. BY MR. SUS: 16 Looking at the video at this time stamp, where on 17 the Capitol grounds are you located at this point in the video? 18 At this point in the video, we are located in front 19 of the West Terrace. 20 I'm looking at this big board demonstrative that we 21 have in the courtroom. Is this generally the area where you 22 23 were? It is. 24 Α. Is there a police line shown? 25 Q.

1 Did the mob break through the police line there that 2 0. day? 3 Α. They did. 4 MR. SUS: Joe, please pay Exhibit 147E. 5 The video is played to the Court.) 6 7 BY MR. SUS: There are a number of flags shown in the video. 8 you see the yellow "Don't tread on me" flag? 9 Α. Yes. 10 What does that flag signify to you? 11 12 I know that flag to be the Gadsden flag, a flag from the Revolutionary War -- the American Revolutionary War, rather. 13 And it signifies the bearer of the flag -- it signifies that 14 15 they feel that they were being oppressed by the government. Over the course of the day, did you see other flags 16 0. being held up referencing American wars? 17 Α. I did. 18 Which flags? 19 0. I saw the mob carrying flags that had 1776. 20 Α. is, of course, reference to the Declaration of Independence and 21 American Revolutionary War, an indicator of revolution against 22 23 the government. I also saw the Confederate flag in reference to the American Civil War, and I saw flags with cross rifles on 24 them, a common sign amongst military and military actions. 25

There is.

Α.

- they believed their actions were consistent with that of Christianity, that Christianity was their motivating factor. I was born and raised Catholic. I went to Catholic school for most of my life. I do not understand how any of the actions
- Q. Over the course of the day, did you see the mob holding up flags that reference stopping certification of the Presidential election?
 - A. I did.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. What flags were those?

that day is consistent with mob Christianity.

A. I saw flags that said "Stop the Steal" on it. As I previously testified, that means they were trying to stop the certification of the 2020 Presidential election. I saw flags that supported Donald Trump's 2020 Presidential run despite that election being over and there being no real reason for them to

support that election. I saw flags calling Joe Biden a tyrant, 1 insulting him, even though he wasn't in power. 2 MR. SUS: Joe, please play Plaintiffs' Exhibit 147F. 3 The video is played to the Court.) 4 BY MR. SUS: 5 Did you hear the man speaking in the video? 0. 6 7 Α. I did. How did you interpret his statements? 8 Q. The man in the video was attempting to get us to 9 Α. surrender to the will of the mob and allow them in the Capitol 10 building to achieve their goals of stopping the transfer of 11 12 power. He was using violence and coercion and threats to get us to surrender. He referenced that even if we decided to use 13 legal force against the crowd, that their sheer numbers would 14 15 overwhelm us, which was demonstrated that day. Understood -even the violent actors in the crowd understood that the sheer 16 number of the mob was the greatest weapon. 17 Did other people in the mob make similar statements 18 Ο. 19 that day? Α. They did. 20 MR. SUS: Joe, please play Plaintiffs' Exhibit 147G. 21 The video is played to the Court.) 22 23 MR. SUS: Let's pause the video at 14:30:13. BY MR. SUS: 24 Could you describe what we just saw? 25 Q.

9

8

11

12

10

13

14 15

16

18

17

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

Yes. Our defensive line in front of the West Α. Terrace was eventually breached. The crowd was able to force their way through. Once it was breached, there was no way for us to gain that ground back. They started to pour through the hole that they had made. They started attacking us in earnest. They started beating us, punching, kicking, pushing. One person tried to steal my baton again. And while I was trying to obtain my weapon, you heard me scream in pain while another member of the mob tried to gouge out my eye. We repelled the attackers as best we could, but their sheer numbers overwhelmed us and we were forced to fall back.

You also saw in the video as we were falling back a red smoke grenade. The Metropolitan Police Department does not use red smoke. I believe that to be -- come from the mob itself. And colored smoke in the military does not have a consistent meaning, however I am aware of instances of pretty popular culture where red smoke is used to signify the enemy. Ι believe that we were being marked by the mob as the enemy in order to give a beacon for those in the rear to go forward and attack.

- Did you hear the man in the video say "this is our 0. house"?
 - I did. Α.
 - What did you understand those words to mean? Q.
 - I understood those words to mean that they had the Α.

- defense perimeter in front of the West Terrace and we were falling back, I found other officers were attempting to detain a man with a large knife and a sheath on his belt. I ran over to help him keep him down while another officer disarmed the knife.
 - Was this man arrested at the scene? 0.
 - He was not. Α.
 - Why not? Q.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The circumstances, the sheer size of the mob and the danger present to everyone made it impossible for us to make arrests at the scene. There was just no way for us to do so without ensuring the prisoner's safety and security. And in

order to keep a prisoner, we have to typically detail two 1 2 officers to a prisoner, and we needed every officer we had to help in the defense. 3 MR. SUS: Joe, please play Plaintiffs' Exhibit 4 5 147I. (Note: The video is played to the Court.) 6 7 MR. SUS: Let's pause the video at 14:33:11. BY MR. SUS: 8 Just to orient us, can you describe where you 9 Q. started on the video and where you ended up? 10 At the beginning of the video, I was in front of the 11 12 West Terrace. We ascended a temporary staircase that was part of the inaugural stage and ended up on top of the inaugural 13 stage and on the West Terrace proper. 14 15 So looking at the big board demonstrative that we have in the courtroom. You started here and you took the 16 staircase up here? 17 Α. Correct. 18 And this is where you were? 19 0. 20 Α. Correct. Looking at the time stamp on the video, it says 21 Q. 14:33. Do you see that? 22 23 Α. Yes. 24 Is that approximately 2:33 p.m.? Q. It is. 25 Α.

1	Q. By 2:33 p.m., were the police in control of where
2	you were standing on the West Terrace of the Capitol?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Did the mob take control of the West Terrace later
5	that day?
6	A. Yes.
7	MR. SUS: Joe, please play Plaintiffs' Exhibit 147J.
8	BY MR. SUS:
9	Q. Officer Hodges, just to orient us again, can you
10	walk us through where you started at the beginning of the video
11	and where you ended up?
12	A. At the start of the video, I was on the Western
13	Terrace and walked through the tunnel connecting the Western
14	Terrace, through the crypt and ended up in the United States
15	Capitol at that time.
16	Q. Is that you coughing in the video?
17	A. It was.
18	Q. What were you coughing from?
19	A. Exposure to tear gas.
20	Q. Looking at the big board demonstrative, you had
21	mentioned the tunnel; is that right?
22	A. That's right.
23	Q. Is that the tunnel?
24	A. Correct.
25	MR. SUS: Joe, please play Plaintiffs' Exhibit 147K.

(Note: The video is played to the Court.) 1 BY MR. SUS: 2 Could you tell us where you're located at this point 3 in the video? 4 At this point in the video, I'm in the tunnel 5 connecting the West Terrace to the crypt. 6 Just to be clear, you're on the inside of the tunnel 7 that we had previously discussed that you walk through? 8 Α. Correct. 9 Can you describe what was happening in the video. 10 In the video, we are attempting to repel the mob who 11 12 was attempting to break our defenses inside the tunnel and make their way inside the Capitol building. 13 Could you describe the scene inside of that tunnel. 14 Q. 15 The scene inside the tunnel was a sensory overload. It was extremely loud, very densely packed. There was chemical 16 munitions in the air. There was a lot of pressure, physical 17 pressure. We were -- it was a fight of inches attempting to 18 push the mob out as best we could. 19 Now, by this point in the day, at 2:55 p.m., as 20 0. shown in the video, had the mob taken control of the West 21 Terrace? 22 23 Α. Yes. 24 What was your belief of what would happen if the mob Q. broke through the police line in that tunnel? 25

MR. SUS: Joe, please pull up what's been admitted

into evidence as Plaintiffs' Exhibit 148. 1 BY MR. SUS: 2 Officer Hodges, can you see Plaintiffs' Exhibit 148 3 on your screen? 4 5 Α. I can. Did you review this video in preparation for your 6 0. 7 testimony today? Α. I did. 8 Does this video show you in the tunnel on the 9 Q. Capitol's West Terrace on January 6, 2021? 10 Α. It does. 11 12 Does the video fairly and accurately depict the 0. events from January 6, 2021 as you remember them? 13 Α. It does. 14 15 MR. SUS: Joe, please play the video. The video is played to the Court.) 16 BY MR. SUS: 17 Could you describe what we just saw? 18 0. Yes. I was at the front of our defenses in the 19 20 tunnel connecting the West Terrace to the crypt. I was attempting to repel the attackers from their attempt to break 21 through our defenses and enter the Capitol building. Part of my 22 23 efforts -- excuse me. As part of my efforts, I braced myself against a door frame that's present inside the tunnel in an 24 25 effort to create and give a structural support in my efforts to

push the crowd back.

Unfortunately, the momentum had shifted. The mob was able to pin me against the door frame. My arms were pinned to my sides, and I was stuck in such a way that I had no functional strength in my legs, effectively rendering me completely vulnerable. A member of the mob took advantage of my vulnerability. He grabbed my gas mask, started beating me un the face with it, pushing it back and forth on my neck. He ripped my gas mask off, dislodging my helmet. He also was able to break away my baton, as I had no functional strength in my arms, and beat me in the head with it.

At the same time, the mob was accordioning their efforts, shouting "heave-ho" in an attempt to break through our defensive line. And their physical efforts were crushing me against the structure itself.

- Q. You mentioned that people shouting "heave-ho." Was it your understanding that they were synchronizing their movements?
- A. They were, yes. They were doing that to the force multiplier to make it so that their offensive was more effective and create as much pressure as possible on our defensive line.
- Q. The body camera video that we had previously marked, which is submitted as Exhibit 147K, showed you in the tunnel around 2:55 p.m.; is that right?
 - A. That's correct.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

continuing to arrive, and we didn't have to deploy outside the crypt. After that, we waited until we received orders that we were able to clear the Capitol building.

Those of us who needed immediate medical attention went to the hospital. Those of us who did not were still on duty because the members of the mob were now in the city, no longer in the Capitol grounds, but presenting a threat to the community. We stayed nearby the city center where we awaited further orders, until eventually we were given the okay to go home. From there, we went back to our district and went home.

- 0. What time did you get home?
- I got home at approximately 1:30 in the morning on Α. the morning of January 7, 2021.
- How would you characterize the events that you witnessed on January 6, 2021?
- The events of January 6, 2021 were a terrorist Α. attack on the Capitol of the United States of America. It was an effort -- a coordinated effort by a violent mob to install a dictator, to overturn a fair and free election that had been carried out and that they had disagreed with. They thought that -- without any evidence, that it was stolen, that they had the right to decide who gets to lead the country on their own.

Fortunately, we were able to defend the Vice President, defend Congress, defend Congressional staff. At no point did any member of the mob encounter a member of Congress that day thanks to our efforts. And ultimately, the mob failed.

We were able to certify the 2020 Presidential election and we preserved the Republic.

- Q. What were you fighting for that day?
- A. I was fighting for democracy. I was fighting for my colleagues fighting beside me. I was fighting for everyone inside the building, members of Congress, the Vice President, Congressional staff, and I was fighting for everyone who cast their votes in the 2020 Presidential election so that the will of the people could carry forward.

MR. SUS: Thank you. No further questions.

THE COURT: Mr. Griffin?

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. GRIFFIN:

Q. Officer Hodges, I'd like to first begin by sincerely, with great remorse, apologize to you, as well as the Capitol Police officers, that suffered violence on that day. I believe that I'd like to apologize to you not only on behalf of myself, but on behalf of millions of others that watched on TV, but also were present on those grounds on that day. Because I can tell you that was not my intent as I was there on that day, as I was there at the Capitol. And it was not the intent of many others like me to see any acts of violence and to see anybody be injured the way that you were.

I sincerely and deeply apologize to you for what you 1 2 had to go through. It looked traumatic, and it was a situation that you were unfairly put in, I believe. 3 And I believe the reason why you were put in that 4 position, maybe there is some different reasons -- some 5 different reasons why things happened the way that they did. 6 7 MR. SUS: Objection, Your Honor. Is there a question here? 8 THE COURT: Mr. Griffin, you may respond to the 9 objection. 10 MR. GRIFFIN: To -- what was it again? I'm sorry? 11 12 MR. SUS: What is the question? MR. GRIFFIN: I'm sorry. I didn't start off with a 13 I'm sorry that I started off with an apology. 14 question. 15 BY MR. GRIFFIN: So -- but I'd like to -- I quess as my questions 16 0. begin, probably one of the first questions that I have for you, 17 Officer Hodges, is as -- you know, you just testified earlier 18 that you attended the rally down at the Ellipse that morning of 19 January 6 and you saw some things that concerned you, as you 20 stated, with the type of gear people were wearing, the way 21 people were dressed, maybe the behavior of some people. 22 23 And as you saw that and as you noted it, I'd like to ask you, what was your response to those concerns? Did you 24 report back to your superiors? Did you pass that information 25

on?

- A. The people in tactical gear were known to my superiors and other law enforcement. Wearing the gear that I described is not a crime. There was no legal reason for us to stop them.
- Q. On the lead-up to January 6, was there -- was there concern inside your department that you may have had the type of crowd that was there on the day of January 6?
- A. Yes. We were deployed that day in order to control those crowds. So there was definitely concern about violence.
- Q. Was there any extra measure of security? Did the Capitol [sic] Police take extra measures of security on January 6?
- A. I'm a member of the Metropolitan Police Department.

 I'm not a member of the Capitol Police, so I don't know what the

 Capitol Police does or did do on January 6.

With regards to MPD, we were fully deployed that day. That means unless someone had leave or vacation prior approved from way back, literally, every officer we had was working that day. And that is not a common thing.

- Q. Sure. Were you employed by the Metropolitan Police during the Cavanaugh confirmation hearings in Washington, D.C.?
 - A. When was that?
 - Q. It was in 2017, I believe.
 - A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Have you seen videos of Capitol Police officers taking barriers down and removing them?
 - A. No.

barrier.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Well, I have, and those videos are out there.

That's just -- and that's not -- that's not to get in an exchange back and forth with you, but that's just some of the concerns that we have because those videos are available where it shows D.C. Capitol Police officers that are waving the protestors in. It's recorded in Judge Trevor McFadden's verdict in the Matthew Martin case where Judge Trevor McFadden acquitted Matthew Martin, and he referenced the fact that D.C. Capitol Police officers were --

MR. SUS: Objection. Mr. Griffin is testifying. 1 THE COURT: State your objection again, please. 2 MR. SUS: Mr. Griffin is testifying. There is no 3 question. 4 5 THE COURT: Mr. Griffin, you may respond. MR. GRIFFIN: I'll just move on. 6 7 BY MR. GRIFFIN: In regards to the security of the Capitol, are you 8 familiar with the mechanics of the security of the Capitol 9 building? 10 Α. 11 No. 12 You don't know any of the security or mechanics of the security, particularly the front door of the Capitol? Do 13 you have knowledge of the front door of the Capitol building? 14 15 Α. No. You -- you are under oath. You don't know how the 16 Q. door locks? 17 Α. 18 No. You've never been -- you have no inside information 19 on how that door locks? 20 Α. No. 21 Let me ask you this question. Did you know Officer 22 Brian Sicknick? 23 Α. 24 No. 25 Do you know who he is? Q.

Α. 1 Yes. On the afternoon of January 6, whenever you heard 2 0. that Officer Brian Sicknick had been bludgeoned to death with a 3 fire extinguisher, how did you react? 4 I did not hear that Officer Brian Sicknick was 5 bludgeoned to death with a fire extinguisher on the afternoon of 6 7 January 6. What about the next day? 8 0. I don't remember the next day. 9 Α. Do you remember hearing that Officer Brian Sicknick 10 Q. had been bludgeoned to death with a fire extinguisher? 11 12 Α. I heard that at some point, yes. What was your reaction when you heard that he was 13 0. bludgeoned to death with a fire extinguisher on January the 6th? 14 15 That seemed like an entirely likely outcome of what had happened. 16 And were you saddened? 17 0. Yes. 18 Α. Were you outraged? 19 Q. 20 Α. Yes. What about when you found out that was a lie? 21 Q. I never found out that was a lie. 22 Α. No? You didn't hear that Brian Sicknick died of 23 0. natural causes of a stroke? 24 I did read the medical examiner's report which said 25 Α.

MR. SUS: Objection, Your Honor. Mr. Griffin is testifying again. He needs to ask questions.

THE COURT: Your question, Mr. Griffin?

MR. GRIFFIN: Yes.

BY MR. GRIFFIN:

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- Q. You would agree as well that -- the front doors of the Capitol with the magnetic locks that were 20,000-pound prison grade doors, you would agree, Officer Hodges, that those doors can only be opened from the inside, from a controlled area where someone has to physically push the button to disengage the magnetic locks inside of the front door? You would agree with that?
- A. I have no basis of knowledge for that. I'm not a member of Capitol Police and I do not know how their security structure functions.
- Q. During your time on January 6 walking through the crowd, doing your best to secure the area, I'd like to ask you,

1	A. No.
2	Q. No?
3	A. No.
4	Q. You don't know Lila Morris?
5	MR. SUS: Objection, Your Honor. Asked and
6	answered.
7	BY MR. GRIFFIN:
8	Q. Do you remember being a VIP at this last year's
9	Super Bowl game?
10	A. Oh, yeah.
11	Q. And do you remember who you attended the game with?
12	A. Yeah, and I'm guessing Lila Morris.
13	Q. Oh, you're guessing now. You have pictures where
14	you've got your arm around her at the Super Bowl game. The
15	three VIP guests from the Capitol was Michael Fanone, Daniel
16	Hodges and Lila Morris. And you sit there under oath and you
17	tell me that you don't know who Lila Morris is.
18	I'll tell you have you seen the videos of the
19	west side of the Capitol of the violence on that day?
20	A. Yes. We just watched them, and I was there.
21	Q. Do you recall Lila Morris savagely beating Rosanne
22	Boyland in the west tunnel of the Capitol?
23	A. No.
24	Q. I have. The videos are out there. I can't say that
25	that was the cause of her death, but what I can say is that

Rosanne Boyland was savagely beaten by the same lady that you

Service would have had the competence to define the perimeter and establish the perimeter without backing down, without retreating, without withholding your ground.

And I would like to ask you, Officer Hodges, would it be possible for somebody to dress up as a supporter of President Trump that maybe possibly wasn't a supporter of President Trump and attack police officers?

- A. That is possible, yes.
- Q. The same way maybe that we saw an Antifa assault police officers in Portland and Seattle or Black Lives Matters' protestors assault officers in Louisville and Atlanta? To identify those on January 6 as Trump supporters only because they have a "Make America Great Again" hat on is dangerous. But I believe that people should be held to an account for their actions, especially if their actions are violent.

MR. GRIFFIN: But I would like to, if you would, please, sir, if you got your video deal pulled up, if you could run that video, 147H. Stop it for a minute. If you could run it back to the beginning.

If I can comment right quick and then you can run it.

22 BY MR. GRIFFIN:

Q. We look to our law enforcement to be professional, to be organized, to be coordinated and to provide security, especially for our political leaders.

- A. I am immensely proud, absolutely, yes.
- Q. So that's a sign of professionalism in the U.S.

 Capitol today, to take a man down, no telling how it all started. But in your own words, you said that he had a knife on him. So he had a dangerous weapon on him. There was a crazy crowd, and you guys let him get up and walk away because you can't spare two officers whenever I can see probably 100 of them just standing around?
 - A. Correct, yes.

Q. That's not professionalism, in my opinion. Maybe it is in Washington, D.C.. But it's not out here in New Mexico, not with the law enforcement officers that I know.

Please go to 147I. This is a video where you go from the bottom to the top, to the West Terrace. Now, I don't know if you are any kind of a student of battle, but in conflict or in battle, you always seek the high point because that's an easier place to secure. And right here, the D.C. Capitol Police officers could have pulled back and secured the west side. You guys could have stood shoulder to shoulder at the top of that and not allowed one person to get near the tunnel where the violence took place, where the chaos took place. But yet, you

failed to do that, as we can see here.

Instead of holding your ground, the high ground, what you don't do in battle is what you did in the other where you walked through the middle of the crowd --

THE COURT: You need to ask your question.

MR. GRIFFIN: Okay. All right.

BY MR. GRIFFIN:

- Q. Would you say, Officer Hodges, that in a crowd that you seem -- that may seem to be volatile, that may seem to be dangerous, would you think it's a good idea to take a small group of officers, as you did, and just walk randomly through this crowd that you consider dangerous?
 - A. That's not what we did.
- Q. It's what we saw in the videos earlier when you were -- you had the billy club taken away from you. You were a small group walking through a large crowd. And I don't think it -- right, but I'll go back to the video that I had pulled up.

And this is where if the Capitol Police, along with the Metropolitan Police, along with the efforts of the Secret Service, would have pulled back, you could have fortified the west side right here and prevented anybody from ever getting to the tunnel.

MR. GRIFFIN: Roll the tape, please.

(Note: The video is played to the witness.)

MR. GRIFFIN: That's good. You can stop there.

- Α. They did the best job they could considering the
 - Could they have done better?

circumstances.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Possibly. I'm not at that level of discussion in planning, so I can't say for certain what plans were set in motion and what they could have done better.
- If there is a rally in Washington, D.C. that's going to consist of over a million, possibly, if it's what intel is telling you, disgruntled Trump supporters descending in Washington, D.C. on one day, would that be a reason to bring in more forces and get better prepared for the could be's?
 - I wouldn't be against it. Α.
 - Was there any preparation done? Q.
 - Not anything specific --Α.
- Was there any extra security done, was there any Q. added security done on that day?
 - Added to what? Α.

But it failed. Would you say that it didn't fail? 3 0. Would you say that the security that was involved on January 6 4 5 including the Secret Service, the D.C. Capitol Police and Metropolitan Police, would you say that you guys did a good job? 6 7 Α. Yes. With five deaths? 8 0. Α. 9 Yes. You would say that that was a job well done even 10 Q. though a Capitol Police officer, as you say, died as a result of 11 12 it which we know he died of a stroke? Would you say the suicides that took place after, all the injuries that you say 13 took place on that day, but you would still say that it was a 14 15 good job or would you say we could have done better? Α. I think it was a good job, I think. 16 You say it was a good job? You couldn't have done 17 0. better? 18 I don't know how we could have done better. We had 19 literally everyone activated that we had. 20 21 What about calling the Guard? 0. At that time, I was not part of any National Guard. 22 23 I don't know what communications were made regarding the National Guard and I don't know what requests were made. 24 25 Q. Well, you've definitely become a spokesperson for

Added to a regular day's service.

Q.

Α.

Yes.

1

January 6. We've seen the hearings on TV. We've seen the 1 photos on that with Sean Penn. We've seen all the production, 2 and you're in the centerpoint of it. So you maybe should be 3 more well-rounded if you are going to be in a spokesperson place 4 to maybe speak more broadly on all points, maybe have a more 5 well-rounded opinion, possibly, if you will, find out who pushed 6 7 the button to unlock the front door of the Capitol. MR. SUS: Objection. There is no question. 8 BY MR. GRIFFIN: 9 Q. If you would --10 MR. GRIFFIN: I'll rephrase. 11 12 BY MR. GRIFFIN: Can you? Is it inside of your capacity, can you do 13 Q. America a favor? Not me, not the Trump supporters. Can you do 14 15 America a favor and can you find out who pushed the front button to release the magnetic locks on the front doors of the Capitol? 16 Α. Well, I'm personally really glad those doors were 17 open. If they weren't, we would have absolutely had no egress 18 and I likely would have been killed. 19 So by those front doors being opened, that prevented 20 0. you from being killed on the west side? 21 It's entirely possible, yes. 22 On that day on January 6, were you pushed out the 23 Q. front door? I don't understand. 24

I don't understand what you're saying.

25

Α.

- Q. But it was open and people were walking in casually? People were not being stopped at that door?
 - A. No. I'm talking about the tunnel.
- Q. I'm talking about the front door. I'm talking about the 20,000-pound magnetic lock prison grade door that somebody pushed the button to release the locks and open the door. That's the door I'm talking about being opened.
- A. Are you talking about the door that I was crushed against?
- Q. And the door that you were crushed against on the other side, no. I'm asking you if you would agree the traffic -- that people were being absolutely stopped on one side of the Capitol and allowed to walk in on the other side?
- A. You need to specify between east and west because I don't know what you're talking about.
- Q. The east door open, the west door closed down, would you agree with that? The east door open, the west side locked?
- A. No. I was on the west side of the Capitol the entire day. And when I went through the tunnel, the west door was open. I do not know what happened on the east side of the Capitol.
- Q. On that west side, since you are familiar with the door on the west side of the Capitol, is that a door that can be locked as well and secured?

Jake Lane was on the west side of the Capitol on

No.

Α.

Q.

24

Second question: Can you please look inside of your

capacity into Lila Morris and her actions on January 6 in

6. Both of those people have signed sworn affidavits that the only reason why they're alive is because Jake Lane pulled them out from underneath a crowd of being crushed and he tried and

fought diligently to save the life of Rosanne Boyland before she

was beaten to death or crushed to death, I don't know --

that day. Jake Lane is a patriot who saved two lives on January

MR. SUS: Objection, Your Honor. Mr. Griffin can make these statements in his closing argument. He's testifying.

BY MR. GRIFFIN:

He needs to ask questions.

Q. But what I'd like to ask you in regards to Jake
Lane, is do you know that Jacob Lane has been locked up in
solitary confinement for eighteen months? And I know what that
place is like. I've been there. I spent three weeks in the
D.C. Gulag on a misdemeanor trespass charge, and it was the
hardest three weeks of my life. I believe in justice, but what
we have rights now is not. But I just encourage you to keep the
fight. I appreciate your service to our country.

And I'd just like to ask you one more time -- I guess two questions. My first question is, is, would you please find out who pushed the button to unlock the magnetic locks on the 20,000-pound prison grade front door of the Capitol? First question.

regards to the beating of Rosanne Boyland on the west side of 1 the tunnel? Because history is watching very closely. 2 MR. GRIFFIN: Thank you for allowing me to question. 3 THE COURT: Thank you, sir. 4 Mr. Sus, any redirect? 5 MR. SUS: No, Your Honor. 6 7 THE COURT: Officer, you are excused from any further obligation here. 8 9 THE WITNESS: Thank you. THE COURT: All right, Ladies and Gentlemen. 10 This is a good breaking point. We are going to recess for the 11 12 evening and we'll start again at 9:00 in the morning. MR. GOLDBERG: Your Honor, before we recess, we have 13 now the binders for the demonstrative exhibits. We are actually 14 15 on track, as we had planned. We have two live witnesses tomorrow. With both of them, there are demonstratives and we 16 asked the Court if the Court wanted eight and a half by elevens 17 of the demonstratives. The Court said yes. So we have binders 18 for Mr. Griffin and for the Court. So I wonder if I can hand 19 those off. 2.0 THE COURT: Yes. 21 MR. GOLDBERG: I'll do that now. 22 THE COURT: We're in recess until 9:00 tomorrow 23 morning. 24 (Court adjourned.) 25

1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO)
2) ss. COUNTY OF SANTA FE)
3	
4	I, BRENDA CASIAS, Official Court Reporter for the
5	First Judicial District of New Mexico, hereby certify that I
6	reported, to the best of my ability, the proceedings in
7	D-101-CV-2022-00473; that the pages numbered TR-1 through
8	TR-205, inclusive, are a true and correct transcript of my
9	stenographic notes, and were reduced to typewritten transcript
10	through Computer-Aided Transcription; that on the date I
11	reported these proceedings, I was a New Mexico Certified Court
12	Reporter.
13	DATED at Santa Fe, New Mexico, this 15th day of
14	August, 2022.
15	
16	
17	ss // Brenda Casias
18	BRENDA CASIAS New Mexico CCR No. 119
19	Expires: December 31, 2022
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	