

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2016-05-17 10:49:54, Tue	OUTBOX	No, I work for him. I'm 100% not on the team. Most of "the team" wouldn't know who I am.
2016-05-17 11:00:53, Tue	INBOX	I, and to a lesser extent Jon, know exactly what you do. I think [REDACTED] is ambivalent, for a lot of reasons you understand. \n\nAnd as you said, you work for Andy. And you get exposure to and credit for a billion other things the team never will. Just happens that this one case is a big deal right now. It God willing won't be in a couple of months. If you want to tdy out you could be full time in a New York minute.\n\nI'm trying to understand the underlying source of your frustration - it's across everything that came up yesterday - my miscommunication as you drove in about talking to [REDACTED] to start the SW conversation, about my interaction with Bill about Jones, now about this. \n\nI think it's a struggle with recognition vs result, with soft power. And I worry a bit that your broad consideration of it is getting all distilled down and played out, fairly or unfairly, over this. [REDACTED]
2016-05-17 11:01:07, Tue	INBOX	Ok that may have been my longest text ever.
2016-05-17 13:13:58, Tue	OUTBOX	You in the ofc
2016-05-17 17:11:36, Tue	INBOX	Hey I came up to 7
2016-05-17 22:53:19, Tue	INBOX	Talked to [REDACTED] She said she wants to talk with you and Trisha before the meeting with DoJ tomorrow.
2016-05-17 22:55:34, Tue	OUTBOX	She disagrees with my view?
2016-05-17 22:59:43, Tue	INBOX	No. Standby for email
2016-05-17 23:00:29, Tue	INBOX	Think just wants to understand.
2016-05-17 23:10:16, Tue	INBOX	The main thing [REDACTED] mentioned in the conversation was whether [REDACTED] thought the director and Deputy should be on the line, because they were attorneys who thought that way. There was no mention of I don't agree with Lisa. My sense was she wanted to understand what you have read and thought, nothing more.
2016-05-17 23:11:27, Tue	OUTBOX	No way d or Dd should be on.
2016-05-18 09:16:48, Wed	INBOX	[REDACTED] Bill emailed last night wanting to meet at 7:15. Didn't say why. [REDACTED]

Strozk-Page Texts

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2016-05-18 10:15:24, Wed	OUTBOX	Just you? [REDACTED]
2016-05-18 10:44:40, Wed	INBOX	Yes just me with Bill
2016-05-18 10:45:12, Wed	OUTBOX	I'm sure it's all good.
2016-05-18 10:46:58, Wed	INBOX	I'm guessing some combination of the economic Espionage cases on 60 Minutes and tc
2016-05-18 10:48:34, Wed	OUTBOX	Yeah, that makes sense. I'm glad he has you. And that he knows how lucky he is that he does.
2016-05-18 10:50:49, Wed	INBOX	I'm also frustrated that I have to spend three and a half hours in a trp session for things that are already decided. We waste too much time on this process. It's important to do, but not this long, and not this complicated.
2016-05-18 11:43:51, Wed	INBOX	[REDACTED] I am so mad at [REDACTED] right now. He's claiming reimbursement for car for 14-28 April (Conf authorized 18-22), lodging in Auckland 16-17 (Conf was in Wellington), m&IE in Auckland 14-16.\n\nI mean wtf. Have some sense, man.
2016-05-18 11:44:40, Wed	OUTBOX	Do Not Let Him!
2016-05-18 11:45:02, Wed	OUTBOX	That's really outrageous.
2016-05-18 11:49:08, Wed	INBOX	I'm not going to. I've got to call him today. Since he didn't get business, he's entitled to a delay in route of one night, 3/4 days M&IE on each day. I'll let him explain that to me, though. \n\nThe rental car, no.\n\nOh, this was all in conjunction with his wife. Didn't use Carlson, "Contacted Carlson and determined there is no contract carrier to Nz. Due to this fact, and to ensure my wife could travel with me at am acceptable cost, I booked through other means."
2016-05-18 11:49:31, Wed	INBOX	In defense of his airfare, what he paid is much less than the Carlson fare.
2016-05-18 13:04:48, Wed	INBOX	I DO NOT WANT TO ATTEND THIS\n\nGrump grump grump
2016-05-18 15:57:15, Wed	INBOX	[REDACTED] You already leave? \n\nAlso, need to to let me in to [REDACTED] office
2016-05-18 16:02:10, Wed	INBOX	[REDACTED] can only do 4 or any time later. You want to try for 430, recognizing you have wrap at 5, or keep at 4?
2016-05-18 16:51:55, Wed	INBOX	Give me a call when you're done, I am in hell
2016-05-18 21:09:03, Wed	INBOX	Andy headed up. Told him you sat in conference call
2016-05-18 21:09:26, Wed	INBOX	I made wrong decision on which meeting to attend. Read in was HORRIBLE.

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2016-05-18 21:09:32, Wed	INBOX	Wrong focus/level
2016-05-19 01:11:09, Thu	OUTBOX	Note the bcc
2016-05-19 01:14:34, Thu	INBOX	He didn't copy me (which is fine), which I think is a function of editing he did after the fact. Would appreciate a bootleg (or show me, I'll give back) just to fact check.\n\nAnd yes. We're wildly lucky to have your support. \U0001f636\uU0001f636\uU0001f636\uU0001f636
2016-05-19 01:15:28, Thu	INBOX	And on the team ;)
2016-05-19 01:41:42, Thu	INBOX	\u263a\n\nWhat did you think of Bills email? [REDACTED]
2016-05-19 01:53:22, Thu	OUTBOX	It was very nice of him to say, which is obviously why I sent it. \U0001f636
2016-05-19 01:54:35, Thu	INBOX	Well, you saw my response! \n\n\uU0001f636\uU0001f636\uU0001f636 back at you
2016-05-19 01:55:57, Thu	OUTBOX	Got the briefing materials from [REDACTED]/trisha. They are WAAAAAY too long.
2016-05-19 01:59:33, Thu	INBOX	You gonna edit down or send back?
2016-05-19 02:01:02, Thu	OUTBOX	Edit down. It's very well written, just too detailed.
2016-05-19 02:08:38, Thu	INBOX	[REDACTED] is Trishas [REDACTED] ?
2016-05-20 02:21:12, Fri	INBOX	[REDACTED] DOJ playing games withbinfo, and Andy playing into it. Whatever
2016-05-20 02:40:09, Fri	OUTBOX	I know. I'll let him know to keep an eye out tomorrow, and let him know that it's annoying and that you and [REDACTED] need to review it first for accuracy.
2016-05-20 02:41:03, Fri	INBOX	I'm sure someone will send us a copy.
2016-05-20 02:43:09, Fri	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] You have every right to be frustrated. But this is partly DOJ'S doing as well, with their refusal to do anything without hearing it from on high. Hang tight. It's no reflection of you.
2016-05-20 02:44:49, Fri	INBOX	Yes. Just like [REDACTED] refusal to talk to us about interactions with [REDACTED] until George told Andy. Yes. Everyone learned all kinds of lessons. Great support to the team. Thanks. Goodnight.
2016-05-20 02:47:20, Fri	INBOX	I'm sorry. This isn't fair to you. I'm angry and I'm venting to you as a friend.
2016-05-20 02:47:45, Fri	INBOX	Let the work end play out as it will

Strozk-Page Texts

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2016-05-20 02:49:04, Fri	INBOX	I'm not asking you to do anything - in fact, the opposite - I'm sincerely asking you not to do or say anything about this. Please. \n\nIt will be fine. Thanks for letting me vent.
2016-05-20 10:34:38, Fri	INBOX	[REDACTED] And man I'm still really angry about the list. Thought I'd be better after sleeping on it, but I'm not
2016-05-20 10:40:27, Fri	OUTBOX	I'm going to ask andy to keep an eye out (know which system it's on?) and then just say it's one thing when there's a conflict, but for routine business like this, this is ridiculous.
2016-05-20 10:46:05, Fri	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-05-20 10:47:05, Fri	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-05-20 11:12:39, Fri	OUTBOX	Ugh. Have two nsib interviews today. Really don't feel like doing them.
2016-05-20 11:21:42, Fri	INBOX	Yuck indeed. You all take a long time with them, which is good, I guess. We do 15 minutes.\n\nAlso, I'm thinking you shouldn't mention the [REDACTED] nonsense to Andy. I'm irritated, but not bother the DD irritated.
2016-05-20 11:23:43, Fri	OUTBOX	Yeah, but you know these folks already. Or at least know someone who knows them. These are mostly cold interviews.\n\nWe'll see.
2016-05-20 11:29:58, Fri	INBOX	And I just realized I'm going to have to come back downtown after briefing at LX, to return all the materials to my office.\U0001f621
2016-05-20 11:31:23, Fri	OUTBOX	Leave them at lx! I'm certain [REDACTED] can find someone to bring them back for you. Seriously.
2016-05-20 11:31:57, Fri	OUTBOX	What time is your brief?
2016-05-20 11:35:21, Fri	INBOX	Not sure - 445, I think?
2016-05-20 11:36:14, Fri	OUTBOX	Oof. That's late. I'm SURE you can talk to [REDACTED] about leaving it in the ctd front office or somewhere.
2016-05-21 01:32:12, Sat	INBOX	In related news, Bill is fine with Jon covering brief next Wed

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2016-05-21 13:44:58, Sat	INBOX	Hey sent you some email going around this morning. Don't know if you noted one of them from last night, [REDACTED] said [REDACTED] never responded to his email for update. We're talking in an hour, I'm going to encourage him to speak to Andy and [REDACTED] about it, that it's undermining his position and effectiveness (to Andy) and that it's unprofessional (to [REDACTED]). Obvious problem is its not easy for any [REDACTED] to say that to the DD, nor [REDACTED] personality. \n\nThe best way may simply be for him to say to Andy, you know, I contacted [REDACTED] to follow up on the D's request and he didn't so much as send me an email, even to tell me he was going to talk to you. Let Andy infer the right leadership action / response.
2016-05-21 14:00:19, Sat	OUTBOX	Got it. And ha ha, just realized the D is out on Monday. So [REDACTED] won't be giving it direct to him anyway.
2016-05-21 14:07:25, Sat	INBOX	Ha ha indeed. I think a slight tweak to [REDACTED] message is, when Rybicki's request email went out, he asked who would do this. I said CD would. If that's what you want, then you have to help me with [REDACTED] \n\nI MEAN C'MON! HE'S A [REDACTED], AND CAN'T BE BOTHERED TO RETURN AN [REDACTED] EMAIL BECAUSE HE HAS THE DD ON SPEED DIAL. \U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621
2016-05-21 14:07:48, Sat	INBOX	Moving on.
2016-05-21 14:15:00, Sat	OUTBOX	Honestly I would suggest he say the second thing you said in that long text, just that [REDACTED] didn't bother following up with me at all, and just leave it at that. You can even tell [REDACTED] if you want that I got mad/frustrated at andy for agreeing to let it go straight to the DD, and I don't want it to seem like we're piling on the dd.
2016-05-21 14:17:00, Sat	INBOX	I think you're right re approach. I hesitate to say what you did, except it's an important point re the potential counterproductiveness of piling on.
2016-05-21 14:18:44, Sat	OUTBOX	Go ahead and tell him. Can just be a reflection of you getting angry re [REDACTED] and me telling you that I was mad too re email thing.
2016-05-22 19:06:51, Sun	INBOX	Hi. Got the ERAS on for the first time...\U0001f60a
2016-05-22 20:37:48, Sun	OUTBOX	That's good!\n\nI am STRESSED OUT. Have had an influx of prep emails about the [REDACTED] on Tuesday, I still haven't pulled all my docs for financial disclosure, and [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

Strozk-Page Texts

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2016-05-22 20:52:20, Sun	INBOX	[REDACTED] But this is ecpa, right? And there are decent ogc people (in addition to you) who know what they're doing?
2016-05-22 20:53:06, Sun	OUTBOX	Yes. But I still need to revise the papers in the morning. [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
2016-05-22 23:08:51, Sun	INBOX	Is Andy out at all next week?
2016-05-22 23:09:11, Sun	INBOX	(Ie, not this coming week, the one after, [REDACTED])
2016-05-22 23:27:08, Sun	OUTBOX	Not that I'm aware of.
2016-05-23 09:18:26, Mon	INBOX	Can you power up eras now amd work until [REDACTED]? Or you physically need to be in?
2016-05-23 09:36:33, Mon	OUTBOX	It's all on the unclassified side. \U0001f612
2016-05-23 09:37:20, Mon	INBOX	You can eras that, too. But not your work desktop/hard drive
2016-05-23 09:41:34, Mon	OUTBOX	Yeah, it's documents for Andy's prep today that I need to edit.
2016-05-23 17:35:00, Mon	OUTBOX	Yay. Andy just asked me to sit in on the 2:00.
2016-05-24 01:02:58, Tue	INBOX	And feel free to forward to Andy if you haven't already. Enough time passed...
2016-05-24 01:03:18, Tue	INBOX	I'm fortunate to work with you. \U0001f636
2016-05-24 01:11:44, Tue	OUTBOX	Bill did send them at 7:04. Andy sent them to me at the same time I sent them to him.
2016-05-24 01:22:19, Tue	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] just said that on the npr news bulletin tonight at like 5:00 that they reported that the fbi investigation into Clinton was almost completed. He doesn't remember the sourcing. Found it very curious given the timing of today. Just fyi.
2016-05-24 01:23:44, Tue	INBOX	Yeah saw that on a couple of Google News hits. Will go check it out. God I hope they're right. \n\nProbably going to pull the plug on my going to NOLA...\U0001f61e
2016-05-24 02:00:47, Tue	INBOX	This isnt good\n\nOfficial: Feds Are Investigating Gov. McAuliffe's Campaign Donations WAMU 88.5 - American University Radio\nhttp://m.wamu.org/#/news/16/05/23/official_feds_investigating_gov_mcauliffes_campaign_donations
2016-05-24 22:57:57, Tue	INBOX	K. Have some thoughts for your convo with JB tomorrow, they can wait I, of.course. also happy to relate intetview, though truly, not much there.

Strozk-Page Texts

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2016-05-25 22:05:15, Wed	OUTBOX	Traffic is really really really bad.
2016-05-25 22:37:50, Wed	INBOX	Just finished with Bill. [REDACTED]
2016-05-26 10:38:20, Thu	OUTBOX	I just sent you an extremely obnoxious opinion piece in the Times. You might get questions about [REDACTED], so just fyi.
2016-05-26 10:40:46, Thu	INBOX	Hi just saw it, and responded. [REDACTED] a douche. And a felon. \U0001f612 But thanks for the heads up. Kills me how much our agenda gets driven by adverse press coverage.
2016-05-26 14:27:32, Thu	INBOX	What time are you driving in?\n\nReviewing first section of final report now with [REDACTED]
2016-05-26 14:29:43, Thu	INBOX	Daily 830s until final report is done. Since Andy wants it substantively complete by the 15th
2016-05-26 14:30:55, Thu	OUTBOX	That stinks for all of you.
2016-05-26 15:44:59, Thu	INBOX	[REDACTED] Still red lining with [REDACTED] (wish you were here \U0001f636) [REDACTED]
2016-05-26 16:09:06, Thu	INBOX	[REDACTED] This report is going to be a bear to get done by the 15th
2016-05-26 18:48:26, Thu	INBOX	Hi! I HAVE TWO HOURS OF BUDGET DISCUSSION AND I MIGHT DIE!!!!
2016-05-27 11:41:13, Fri	OUTBOX	Oh hey remind me, let's talk state mou on the way in.
2016-05-27 11:41:17, Fri	INBOX	Yeah thank god its comparatively quiet. I likely have a 3 or 330 with Steinbach, [REDACTED]
2016-05-27 11:41:33, Fri	OUTBOX	Should work. [REDACTED]
2016-05-27 22:37:42, Fri	INBOX	[REDACTED] Hi. Just talked with Bill. Remind me [REDACTED] and convo this afternoon and earlier convo with him, Randy, you.
2016-05-27 23:21:56, Fri	OUTBOX	Headed home now, in the event you want to talk about convo with bill.
2016-05-28 11:52:09, Sat	INBOX	Headed off to interview now
2016-05-28 15:31:40, Sat	OUTBOX	Ask bill, should I reach out to andy with update? Will he? Wait til Tuesday?

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2016-05-28 15:54:50, Sat	INBOX	Bill said he was going to tell Andy today that everything went well, and that he'll provide him more info on Tues. Bill said you should feel free to talk about it if you want (after you and I talk about it - I framed it as we were going to talk later today).\n\nSo I'd wait at least until later today. And, plus, we can talk some more.
2016-05-28 22:10:03, Sat	INBOX	This phone keeps saying there's not enough device memory, and to delete files. I don't think it's emails on Knox. I hate these things...
2016-05-28 22:18:07, Sat	INBOX	I can't check for email.. [REDACTED]
2016-05-28 22:19:23, Sat	OUTBOX	I think it's knox. Can you go on 324 mail and do some deleting?
2016-05-29 00:55:10, Sun	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-05-29 00:55:44, Sun	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-05-29 00:55:53, Sun	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-05-29 00:56:24, Sun	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-05-29 00:57:05, Sun	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-05-29 00:58:07, Sun	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-05-29 01:36:54, Sun	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-05-29 10:59:09, Sun	OUTBOX	Paula Broadwell, David Petraeus and the Afterlife of a Scandal http://nyti.ms/1TOvjKS
2016-05-29 11:11:42, Sun	OUTBOX	Man, that article was depressing. Accurate and depressing.
2016-05-29 12:34:33, Sun	INBOX	And man, that article is depressing.
2016-05-29 12:38:25, Sun	OUTBOX	Yeah, it's depressing because it's true. [REDACTED]
2016-05-29 12:39:09, Sun	INBOX	It is. You think she should have been prosecuted?
2016-05-29 12:40:15, Sun	OUTBOX	No. We don't prosecute other journalists, recognizing that her situation is a little different.

Strozk-Page Texts

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2016-05-30 22:42:14, Mon	INBOX	How bad is your work week ahead? [REDACTED]
2016-05-30 23:25:48, Mon	INBOX	And god, going to thinking about work just makes me really mad about the [REDACTED] meeting...\U0001f621
2016-05-30 23:39:26, Mon	OUTBOX	You can't control it. [REDACTED] No idea. Haven't had a chance to check calendar yet.
2016-05-30 23:51:48, Mon	INBOX	Yeah, though I need to tell you about a bill - Paul convo he told me about. Not just the case, broader. And concerning.
2016-05-31 00:02:35, Tue	OUTBOX	Okay. [REDACTED]
2016-05-31 00:03:40, Tue	INBOX	[REDACTED] Not urgent, can certainly wait until tomorrow. Have just been thinking a lot about it and want to bounce off you. [REDACTED]
2016-05-31 00:58:51, Tue	INBOX	Ok. On eras. Let me finish up....
2016-05-31 00:59:31, Tue	OUTBOX	Want to talk about bill and [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
2016-05-31 01:00:53, Tue	INBOX	No, [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
2016-05-31 12:32:52, Tue	INBOX	Heading in to my 830. Will call you when I'm out
2016-05-31 13:30:52, Tue	OUTBOX	In with andy. Be by soon.
2016-05-31 13:38:11, Tue	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] I'm coming with my updates next.
2016-05-31 21:16:27, Tue	INBOX	Hey were just spit balling ideas for the D, wanted go give you the option to participate. [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
2016-06-01 00:20:35, Wed	INBOX	Remind me to tell you tomorrore or elsewhere tonight convo I had with Bill. [REDACTED]
2016-06-01 00:59:54, Wed	OUTBOX	Also remind me we need to talk nfpo still.
2016-06-01 18:13:28, Wed	INBOX	God. On an interminable job interview. [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
2016-06-01 18:14:03, Wed	OUTBOX	I'm on a call.
2016-06-01 18:14:17, Wed	OUTBOX	Where were [REDACTED] ?
2016-06-01 18:14:55, Wed	INBOX	I don't know. I told them both this morning and via email last nght (neither of which mentioned the DD...;))
2016-06-01 21:39:16, Wed	INBOX	You talkin' 'bout evidence yet?
2016-06-01 21:40:03, Wed	OUTBOX	Nope not yet.

Strozk-Page Texts

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2016-06-01 22:12:10, Wed	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-06-02 01:26:43, Thu	INBOX	[REDACTED] talked to GC at other agency, they were unaware of discrepancies, they will look into it tomorrow.
2016-06-02 14:53:41, Thu	INBOX	Just Td up State issue with Bill
2016-06-02 21:41:51, Thu	INBOX	[REDACTED] oing back to find [REDACTED], will call you after I talk to him.
2016-06-02 22:04:57, Thu	OUTBOX	I think I'm coming to work on Saturday. I just can't take this.
2016-06-02 22:07:22, Thu	INBOX	Leaving early/falling behind?\n\nGoing to talk to [REDACTED] now
2016-06-02 22:09:20, Thu	OUTBOX	Yes. [REDACTED]
2016-06-02 22:36:13, Thu	INBOX	[REDACTED] Just finished with JM, he went in to talk to Andy. Can give you dump if you want
2016-06-02 22:51:13, Thu	OUTBOX	Yeah I can get a dump
2016-06-02 22:57:06, Thu	INBOX	[REDACTED] Will call you in 5 from car
2016-06-02 23:34:50, Thu	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] And [REDACTED] just followed up on what you talked about with me.
2016-06-03 14:26:22, Fri	INBOX	God this is KILLING me. Jones is insistent on calling [REDACTED]
2016-06-03 14:27:31, Fri	INBOX	And [REDACTED] is on AL today....
2016-06-05 21:47:21, Sun	INBOX	Sorry, just talked to [REDACTED] They finally got the call done
2016-06-05 21:52:57, Sun	OUTBOX	To the lawyer? And?
2016-06-05 21:54:03, Sun	INBOX	No answer. Told her subpoena at COB tomorrow. She's going to confer with clients and get back. Please hold on info until I send to Bill.
2016-06-06 11:31:24, Mon	INBOX	[REDACTED] I have a 930-1030 with stupid dia. But will try and get all of mye done at the 830-9, [REDACTED]
2016-06-06 19:06:19, Mon	INBOX	Gotta call Bill, do you want to listen in for summary?
2016-06-06 21:52:32, Mon	INBOX	Done with edits, I have copies for you.
2016-06-06 21:52:42, Mon	INBOX	Going to talk to Bill
2016-06-06 22:04:43, Mon	OUTBOX	Hey are they ready? Where are you?

Strozk-Page Texts

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2016-06-06 22:22:56, Mon	INBOX	Was talking to Bill
2016-06-06 23:24:31, Mon	OUTBOX	Spoke to Bill. He's very appreciative.
2016-06-06 23:26:32, Mon	INBOX	Good. Thank you [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
2016-06-07 00:25:36, Tue	INBOX	Note bcc on email I just sent \U0001f60a
2016-06-07 00:27:32, Tue	OUTBOX	I did. Thank you.
2016-06-07 00:27:54, Tue	OUTBOX	Think I'm going to pull up eras in about 15, so we can always text there... \U0001f636
2016-06-07 00:34:45, Tue	INBOX	[REDACTED] Well of course you know I'll be on there (eras). [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
2016-06-07 10:07:00, Tue	INBOX	[REDACTED] Have to go in and go thru notes for brief to usdi this morning
2016-06-07 12:23:29, Tue	OUTBOX	Mtg with bill at 9 to talk 702
2016-06-07 12:26:28, Tue	INBOX	God I want to sit in but absolutely no reasonable reason to
2016-06-07 12:26:43, Tue	INBOX	Plus I have to either metro or drive to Pentagon....
2016-06-07 14:04:37, Tue	OUTBOX	Yes, I guessed that. \U0001f636\U0001f636\U0001f636 Just getting out now, though he feels better prepared so that's good.
2016-06-07 21:36:45, Tue	INBOX	Can you lync before you go tonight? Qs from Bill about direction from Andy coming out of [REDACTED] brief.
2016-06-08 09:38:13, Wed	INBOX	Reading the f*cked up first cut of consent letters. They need a lot of work.
2016-06-08 11:05:41, Wed	INBOX	Did I send you the draft consent letters last night? Thought I did but don't see it in sent folder. \n\nI have NO idea why we let counsel take first crack with pen.
2016-06-08 11:06:36, Wed	OUTBOX	No, you didn't. And I have no idea. Probably bc [REDACTED] aren't real prosecutors.
2016-06-08 11:08:48, Wed	INBOX	Just resent. No idea what happened first time. Maybe hung in eras
2016-06-08 11:23:10, Wed	INBOX	Lis, I'm not sure what I'm supposed to do. I was working until close to 1. \U0001f61e\U0001f614
2016-06-08 11:35:32, Wed	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] Was the eras a bad idea?

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2016-06-08 12:16:01, Wed	INBOX	I'm REALLY angry about the consent letter right now. Much worse than last night. \n\nIt's the little things, like her writing no agents will participate in the otd review. That sense of entitlement about how we staff is the result of Andy not simply saying "no" to doj about the obnoxious filter staffing demand
2016-06-08 12:17:02, Wed	INBOX	Filter says 2 atty one SA one IA but makes no mention of expanding if merited bu the volume of work.
2016-06-08 16:23:36, Wed	INBOX	Remind me I need to talk to you about Andy taking Moffa as his +1 to WH next Thurs
2016-06-08 20:07:50, Wed	OUTBOX	Ooh. Yes. \n\nI'm still waiting on Andy. Paul A. walked in 20 minutes ago. And now Steinbach is here...\U0001f621
2016-06-08 20:11:07, Wed	INBOX	K. You need to talk to Andy alone about media stuff, obviously
2016-06-08 20:17:31, Wed	OUTBOX	I'm still waiting for andy! Just leave it in my ofc?
2016-06-08 20:25:57, Wed	INBOX	█ just called, he's just leaving main justice. They all want to talk to me privately before group call at 4:40.
2016-06-08 20:26:17, Wed	OUTBOX	Okay that's concerning.
2016-06-08 20:27:40, Wed	INBOX	Did they all just kind of hop in front of you? I totally should have just buzzed in and walked it down to you...\n\nYeah, I know. Hoping they're just trying to enlist my service in calming OTD and not letting perfect be enemy of the good
2016-06-08 20:40:47, Wed	OUTBOX	Going into wrap. Will hit you at 5.
2016-06-08 21:00:30, Wed	OUTBOX	Hi. Walking back to baker call
2016-06-08 21:01:38, Wed	INBOX	Frustrated over this whole damn article issue. Where do we stand?
2016-06-08 21:01:57, Wed	OUTBOX	No go re █ Will share shortly.
2016-06-08 21:02:36, Wed	INBOX	Assume also no to some other third option. \n\nOn Conf call with doj
2016-06-08 21:02:42, Wed	INBOX	Pre-call was fine
2016-06-08 21:03:27, Wed	OUTBOX	No, not necessarily. That didn't come up. You might be able to go up there now and follow up with Kortan.
2016-06-08 21:05:51, Wed	INBOX	What did you tell Quinn?
2016-06-08 21:05:59, Wed	INBOX	I can't leave this call right noe
2016-06-08 21:06:16, Wed	OUTBOX	Andy just gave clear orders to Kortan. I will explain later.

Strozk-Page Texts

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2016-06-08 21:06:41, Wed	INBOX	And I sure don't want to do a single thing right now without Andy's blessing in advance
2016-06-08 21:07:05, Wed	INBOX	Well they're going to talk to the reporter soon and he's not going to do a damn thing once we say "never mind"
2016-06-08 21:07:30, Wed	INBOX	Thing not thigh
2016-06-08 21:07:54, Wed	OUTBOX	Hey I'm on a call. Ttyl.
2016-06-08 21:08:27, Wed	INBOX	Yep. I'm going to let this issue drop then...
2016-06-08 21:57:44, Wed	INBOX	Oh boy. Just yelled at [REDACTED]
2016-06-08 21:58:21, Wed	OUTBOX	Oh man. Why?
2016-06-08 21:59:01, Wed	INBOX	Tell you in person. Laughing with Moffa about it.
2016-06-08 22:02:01, Wed	INBOX	I called them outside the big group to say, look, we're giving on a lot of things on the very margins and don't have much left to bargain with, don't get in a huge back and forth with [REDACTED] [REDACTED] Moffa said, "you threw a brush back pitch, didn't even hit him, he throws off his helmet and charges the mounds."
2016-06-08 22:04:47, Wed	INBOX	Bottom line in their first counter offer DOJ is giving up a ton. I said fine, we can live with this, but this is about the most we can live with. So if you expect an extended back and forth with [REDACTED] don't give so much right out of the gate.
2016-06-08 22:20:26, Wed	OUTBOX	In with Andy now.
2016-06-08 22:21:20, Wed	INBOX	Cool. Just sent you email you should note to him. My (and his) recollection was right ;)
2016-06-08 23:03:21, Wed	INBOX	Hey Bill is here in my office, talking about WSJ thing
2016-06-08 23:05:43, Wed	OUTBOX	Kortan just came in. I have more. I'll call you from the car.
2016-06-08 23:06:29, Wed	INBOX	Ok. Anything to pass to Bill now, or that he should call andy? He thinks we should play for time.
2016-06-09 00:10:43, Thu	OUTBOX	Yup. Why? You mean with andy?
2016-06-09 00:11:22, Thu	INBOX	Nope. Me and you. But yes, I wanted to ask later about with Andy
2016-06-09 00:16:18, Thu	OUTBOX	Btw, Andy said let's hold in finding out the answer for now.
2016-06-09 00:21:30, Thu	INBOX	I want to know, have been talking about it with jon for a couple of days. You think I should wait? I don't know what we could do with it, but it seems like an opportunity.

Strozk-Page Texts

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2016-06-09 00:22:06, Thu	INBOX	Anything interesting out of convo you couldn't or didnt want to share in front of Bill?
2016-06-09 00:22:51, Thu	INBOX	(Perfectly fine if not, just following up before everything is OBE tomorrow)
2016-06-09 00:23:27, Thu	INBOX	Amd did you see [REDACTED] request to move meeting up 30 minutes? I kind of like 10-1130 but I think I can...
2016-06-09 00:23:39, Thu	OUTBOX	No, nothing additional. That was everything.
2016-06-09 00:23:47, Thu	OUTBOX	I can too. Np.
2016-06-09 13:08:59, Thu	INBOX	And man, EVERYONE'S angry. WF doesn't want to give people back for filter, radio silence from DoJ, [REDACTED] angry about the consent letter. [REDACTED]
2016-06-09 14:42:06, Thu	INBOX	Yikes! Have a meeting with D at 11 because apparently I'm the only one read in to a program (nfi)
2016-06-09 14:43:00, Thu	OUTBOX	How can you be going to brief on something for which you have nfi?
2016-06-10 00:43:15, Fri	OUTBOX	Call her now tell her andy is calling please.
2016-06-10 00:48:21, Fri	INBOX	Just talked to her she is at her desk - not cell - waiting for the call
2016-06-10 01:08:34, Fri	OUTBOX	He called trisha instead - I gave him both numbers. Don't know what came of the convo.
2016-06-10 01:12:53, Fri	INBOX	Ew. [REDACTED] better prepared
2016-06-10 01:13:19, Fri	INBOX	I talked with Bill; he had spoken with Andy but Andy had not yet tried to reach out for Jim
2016-06-10 01:15:09, Fri	INBOX	Does [REDACTED] know?!?!? I told her to wait...\U0001f61e
2016-06-10 01:15:13, Fri	OUTBOX	I know, I tried. But in case she was in the metro or something I wanted him to be able to reach someone else.
2016-06-10 01:15:32, Fri	OUTBOX	She does. She should be calling you for [REDACTED] cell number.
2016-06-10 01:16:54, Fri	OUTBOX	And god it's really infuriating that [REDACTED] doesn't answer his phone. The goddamn Deputy is trying to reach his [REDACTED] and can't!
2016-06-10 01:17:57, Fri	INBOX	Hey I just talked to her
2016-06-10 01:18:02, Fri	INBOX	Apparently a bunch of emails going aouns
2016-06-10 01:18:19, Fri	OUTBOX	Yup just sent to you.
2016-06-10 01:18:49, Fri	INBOX	She said JB hadn't responded and wondered if she should send to Andy or wait for her chain to. I told her to respond direct to Andy

Strozk-Page Texts

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2016-06-10 01:19:04, Fri	INBOX	Thanks. I was going to ask [REDACTED] to include me but she was harried.
2016-06-10 01:25:49, Fri	INBOX	She just called.
2016-06-10 01:28:24, Fri	OUTBOX	I did too.
2016-06-10 01:29:19, Fri	INBOX	Pls forward me what she sends?
2016-06-10 01:43:03, Fri	INBOX	I agree with you re Jim. Frustrating. [REDACTED]
2016-06-10 02:13:33, Fri	INBOX	Np. Just talked to [REDACTED], calling [REDACTED]. Tonight may never end. \n\nThank you for all your work tonight. [REDACTED] [REDACTED] \U0001f60a
2016-06-10 02:16:26, Fri	OUTBOX	I hardly did anything. [REDACTED]
2016-06-10 02:18:12, Fri	INBOX	That's not true - think of all the stuff with opa...anyway, thanks. \U0001f60a I'm very glad.. [REDACTED]
2016-06-10 17:07:31, Fri	OUTBOX	Fine. Talked about haters, his climate survey, why we bother to do this.
2016-06-10 17:08:50, Fri	INBOX	All great topics. The climate surveys are an annual kick in the balls. I can't IMAGINE [REDACTED]
2016-06-10 17:09:25, Fri	OUTBOX	He read them to me. 11 pages long. His are cruel too.
2016-06-10 17:10:57, Fri	INBOX	The anonymity does it. Brings out the internet nasty
2016-06-10 19:15:38, Fri	OUTBOX	Check vm
2016-06-10 19:15:57, Fri	OUTBOX	Program is about to start, but please confirm here that you got the vm.
2016-06-10 19:18:11, Fri	INBOX	Sorry was in sioc can talk now
2016-06-10 19:18:43, Fri	OUTBOX	Check vm please. Andy called. Program just started so I can only text
2016-06-10 19:21:33, Fri	INBOX	Yes otd is ready. \n\nD is the one who asked how early we could talk tentative scheduling. D asked if we could do that now (at date of last brief). I said I thought we should wait until we had laptops in hand. D said, I think that's right. Thats what I was going off of
2016-06-10 19:21:58, Fri	OUTBOX	Okay, let me text andy.
2016-06-10 19:22:34, Fri	INBOX	That occurred at beginning of brief, he wasn't there

Strozk-Page Texts

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2016-06-10 19:23:36, Fri	OUTBOX	Yes, he knew that. That's why he called. I just asked him whether he wants to wait until we actually have eyes on docs.
2016-06-10 19:25:32, Fri	INBOX	Also, what I discussed with ██████ was don't discuss specific dates, but throw the willingness out there, tell ██████ if we find a lot of material on laptops we might need more time
2016-06-10 19:25:54, Fri	OUTBOX	I just andy to call your cell if he has more qs.
2016-06-10 19:26:25, Fri	OUTBOX	Andy said:\n\nI am inclined to wait for now. Let's get a peek at it first, see how long oyd thinks it will take to make sense of anything, etc.
2016-06-10 19:37:24, Fri	INBOX	Just talked with him, all good
2016-06-10 19:38:40, Fri	OUTBOX	K. Thanks
2016-06-10 19:40:03, Fri	INBOX	Hey talked with him just now. Pls give me a holler when you're done, want to ensure he knows I'm not questioning what he wants to do, just explaining why we proposed reaching out
2016-06-10 19:41:22, Fri	OUTBOX	Okay. It just started so it is going to be a while
2016-06-10 19:43:33, Fri	INBOX	Np. I think we're good, but I just ran thru what D said. Agreed with Andy that smarter thing is to wait and see what's on there but likely no way we hit summer solstice if we do that.
2016-06-10 19:45:43, Fri	INBOX	Funny, I'm sure it's perfectly fine but got that funny feeling telling the DD that the D said something different from him. Andy noted he told ██████ that we wouldn't schedule interview until laptops were reviewed and D didn't have that info, then said he'd take care of D.\n\nI just don't want him thinking that I felt he needed to explain himself to me.
2016-06-10 19:46:19, Fri	OUTBOX	I really wouldn't worry about it, but yes, of course we can talk.
2016-06-10 19:58:56, Fri	INBOX	I know you're right but still. Besides, I want to congratulate each other once we get these dammed things
2016-06-10 20:09:19, Fri	INBOX	Also, funny, mentioned to Andy what was going on, Toscas had already updated him. \U0001f612
2016-06-10 20:19:43, Fri	INBOX	I know you've heard me complain a thousand times, but that sh*t from ██████ KILLS me
2016-06-10 20:21:48, Fri	OUTBOX	████████████████████ The DD also calls you directly. This whole case is fucked up. It is what it is.
2016-06-10 20:46:10, Fri	INBOX	Got the laptops :)

Strozk-Page Texts

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2016-06-10 20:46:44, Fri	OUTBOX	That's awesome. Congratulations.
2016-06-10 21:16:25, Fri	INBOX	And thank you, re congrats. Thanks to you, too. :)
2016-06-10 21:35:04, Fri	INBOX	His wording cuts through both the bs story, the "owe apology," and everything else. Send to both?
2016-06-10 21:35:50, Fri	OUTBOX	Yes, I will. Probably to [REDACTED] with cc to [REDACTED]
2016-06-10 21:37:33, Fri	INBOX	I agree
2016-06-10 21:59:34, Fri	INBOX	[REDACTED] Don't really have a need to talk work, gonna assume I was worrying to much earlier and it's all good.
2016-06-10 21:59:59, Fri	OUTBOX	I definitely think that is the case.
2016-06-10 22:00:25, Fri	INBOX	I don't know that you should wait until Monday to send it. Sleep on it and send tomorrow? Or maybe Sun morning for something to reflect on in services.
2016-06-10 22:01:00, Fri	INBOX	Ok (re Andy) \U0001f636
2016-06-10 22:02:50, Fri	OUTBOX	Yeah, I'll either send late tonight or tomorrow. I just need to figure out whether how [REDACTED] spells her name.
2016-06-10 22:05:07, Fri	INBOX	Question for you re Jims email. Is that your voice, ie, would you say it in terms of fbi core values? I guess if they ever went to eeo opr, or anyone else that's a damn hard statement to find fault with.
2016-06-10 22:05:32, Fri	INBOX	Would [REDACTED] know?
2016-06-10 22:06:01, Fri	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] know what?
2016-06-10 22:06:14, Fri	OUTBOX	It's not my voice, but who cares?
2016-06-10 22:06:45, Fri	OUTBOX	Hell, Jim wants to refer them to inspection for saying something like that about a disabled person. I won't let him
2016-06-10 22:07:04, Fri	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-06-10 22:07:45, Fri	INBOX	Fair enough re voice. Just go with it
2016-06-10 22:11:09, Fri	OUTBOX	Yup. I mean the worst they're going to say is what are you talking about we weren't making fun, but at least I should end it.
2016-06-10 23:05:56, Fri	INBOX	Yes, and this does it.
2016-06-10 23:52:37, Fri	INBOX	Yep!!! I'll send you my calm professional answer

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2016-06-10 23:53:49, Fri	INBOX	And it's entirely cya.
2016-06-10 23:55:13, Fri	OUTBOX	Of course it is!
2016-06-10 23:55:46, Fri	INBOX	Jerky. \U0001f621
2016-06-10 23:56:09, Fri	OUTBOX	He really is.
2016-06-10 23:56:27, Fri	INBOX	Like his assiduous notes of everything I said in these 11:00 meetings...
2016-06-11 00:43:32, Sat	OUTBOX	So I'm very torn. Email back to [REDACTED] on fbi net or unet?
2016-06-11 01:07:58, Sat	INBOX	Unet for the email. Otd is done with phase 1
2016-06-11 01:17:07, Sat	OUTBOX	Wow, that was quick
2016-06-11 01:17:49, Sat	OUTBOX	Why unet? I was actually thinking fbi net so at least it can't be forwarded outside.
2016-06-11 01:19:11, Sat	OUTBOX	Find anything in allocated space?
2016-06-11 01:19:35, Sat	INBOX	Yeah. I think they underpromise because of the 10% of the time things go horribly badly. \n\nFair enough. You can eras it tomorrow and they can read on Mon...
2016-06-11 01:20:04, Sat	OUTBOX	I'm on eras now. Just going to send it off and be done with it.
2016-06-11 01:28:12, Sat	OUTBOX	Am typing it up on fbi net now.
2016-06-12 01:18:30, Sun	OUTBOX	This gave me chills. I'm proud of these girls. Stupid f-ing bigots.\n\n2 Valedictorians in Texas Declare Undocumented Status, and Outrage Ensues http://nyti.ms/1WHYYYE
2016-06-12 01:27:35, Sun	OUTBOX	You've got to read the article I sent. I'm infuriated. I f-ing hate people.
2016-06-12 01:29:42, Sun	INBOX	I started. And while i hate Trump, part of me thought [REDACTED] would not/may not get into [REDACTED] because they're white and not from buttf*ck Texas...H6362
2016-06-12 01:31:06, Sun	INBOX	I'm torn between their achievement and the reality of the limitations it places on others. All of that separate and distinct from the bigoted hatred of half (it seems) of our population.
2016-06-12 01:31:14, Sun	OUTBOX	Dude. THESE GIRLS ARE THE VALEDICTORIANS IN THEIR CLASS. AND ENGLISH IS THEIR SECOND LANGUAGE. AND THEY OVERCAME SERIOUS ODDS. THEY HAVE EARNED IT.
2016-06-12 01:32:07, Sun	OUTBOX	Do you think Yale would be best served being entirely populated by smart upper income white boys? Come on.

Strozk-Page Texts

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2016-06-12 01:33:16, Sun	INBOX	I'm saying the difference between equal opportunity and equal outcome is hazier as you get closer.
2016-06-12 01:33:54, Sun	OUTBOX	THESE GIRLS DIDN'T EVEN HAVE AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY! What are you talking about?!
2016-06-12 01:34:41, Sun	INBOX	I'm not saying they didn't deserve it. I'm saying their background gave them an advantage the upper class white boy didn't get
2016-06-12 01:34:47, Sun	INBOX	Is that fair?
2016-06-12 01:35:00, Sun	OUTBOX	We're not talking about south Asians in the same socio-economic class.
2016-06-12 01:35:40, Sun	INBOX	They earned it. But so did lots of others.
2016-06-12 01:36:36, Sun	INBOX	And I'm not talking about these two. I'm talking about the pros and cons of considering anything other than merit for admission.
2016-06-12 01:36:41, Sun	OUTBOX	No it f-ing did not. Their background gave them an advantage?! They grew up in a home, in all likelihood, where their parents were illiterate. Probably wholly uneducated. Undoubtedly poor. Yeah, those lucky girls who got to bubble in "Mexican."
2016-06-12 01:36:54, Sun	INBOX	I get that would further slash minority representation.
2016-06-12 01:36:58, Sun	OUTBOX	I'M TALKING ABOUT THESE TOO.
2016-06-12 01:37:05, Sun	OUTBOX	TWO.
2016-06-12 01:37:09, Sun	OUTBOX	Sorry, I'm really mad.
2016-06-12 01:38:15, Sun	OUTBOX	And you ARE talking about these two. You said their background gave them an advantage that upper white kids didn't get and that is completely BULLSHIT.
2016-06-12 01:40:37, Sun	INBOX	Yes, their background did. They deserve to go, as do thousands of others. [REDACTED]
2016-06-12 01:41:40, Sun	OUTBOX	If they were the valedictorian and we undocumented and had the same story, I dare say they'd be going the same damn place. [REDACTED]
2016-06-12 01:44:28, Sun	OUTBOX	That is bs. And I agree with that. That is fake diversity, and we both know that. Don't you dare lump these girls, who on top of everything else, had the courage to state that they were undocumented, in with [REDACTED] kid.

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2016-06-12 01:45:59, Sun	INBOX	These two are being held up because of the broader issue. Distill the calculus, and the argument. What is the value of diversity such that we can measure it against other qualifications? Like being young and rooting for Bernie until you start earning a paycheck, I find my views on admissions / hiring have been changed by watching [REDACTED]
2016-06-12 01:46:58, Sun	INBOX	I'm not lumping them in. They are a great success story. But the question of their story is whether or not the process unduly weights the decision.
2016-06-12 01:47:10, Sun	INBOX	Take the two of them out of it
2016-06-12 01:47:25, Sun	INBOX	Should race play an additional plus in admission?
2016-06-12 01:47:35, Sun	INBOX	Should economic background?
2016-06-12 01:47:40, Sun	OUTBOX	I get that. But a classroom filled with kids with only one experience is not education. They necessarily bring a different outlook, and their race, and status, are a part of that.
2016-06-12 01:47:46, Sun	OUTBOX	ABSOLUTELY!
2016-06-12 01:48:02, Sun	INBOX	Has affirmative action been positive, in the final analysis? Has it gone on too long?
2016-06-12 01:48:25, Sun	OUTBOX	And I won't take them out of it. I started this discussion about them!
2016-06-12 01:48:45, Sun	INBOX	Look, I'm not some freaky Ayn Rand disciple.
2016-06-12 01:48:52, Sun	OUTBOX	We'll have to answer that last question in person. It's too complicated to do over text.
2016-06-12 01:49:23, Sun	INBOX	I'm happy for the two of them
2016-06-12 01:49:23, Sun	INBOX	Fine
2016-06-12 01:49:47, Sun	INBOX	They fully deserve to go, and demonstrate the absolute bigoted nonsense of Trump
2016-06-12 01:49:47, Sun	INBOX	Truly.
2016-06-12 02:02:01, Sun	INBOX	I have no idea if this is accurate, but clearly, she deserves to go to Yale if it is. I stand by my broader questions about whether we have the appropriate weighting of diversity in the educational application process. \n\n Larissa Martinez Wiki & Bio Everipedia, the encyclopedia of everything\nhttps://www.everipedia.com/larissa-martinez-1/

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2016-06-12 02:14:58, Sun	OUTBOX	Did you read her speech? I got chills. \U0001f636
2016-06-12 02:15:16, Sun	INBOX	I did
2016-06-12 02:51:57, Sun	OUTBOX	This can't be good for the usic.\n\nThe Chinese Hackers in the Back Office http://nyti.ms/1XNpS20
2016-06-12 12:42:13, Sun	OUTBOX	We're going to be dealing with this hve for the next year. \U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621
2016-06-12 13:40:12, Sun	INBOX	? The guy in Tampa
2016-06-12 13:43:15, Sun	INBOX	Why? I saw on the CT notification he was known to us. Bad?
2016-06-12 14:03:05, Sun	INBOX	Or are you saying simply because that happens every time with each [REDACTED] ...wasn't sure if there was something else prompting your comment. \n\nFor sure there will be a show. \U0001f621
2016-06-12 14:06:44, Sun	OUTBOX	Yes, [REDACTED] [REDACTED] We're never going to hear the end of it.
2016-06-12 14:13:57, Sun	INBOX	And yeah, the no win of the [REDACTED] narrative.
2016-06-13 20:02:15, Mon	INBOX	Hi. I may go insane editing this. You simply cannot edit with 12 people.
2016-06-13 20:04:26, Mon	INBOX	****Also, remind me to tell you to flag for Andy [REDACTED] emails we (actually ICIG) found that have portion marks (C) on a couple of paras. DoJ was Very Concerned about this, willing to bet they will tell George
2016-06-13 20:05:26, Mon	OUTBOX	Found on the laptops?
2016-06-13 20:05:47, Mon	INBOX	No. Found on the 30k provided to State originally.
2016-06-13 20:06:27, Mon	INBOX	No one noticed. And while minor, it cuts against "I never send or received anything marked classified"
2016-06-13 20:07:27, Mon	OUTBOX	So why would they tell George?
2016-06-13 20:07:42, Mon	INBOX	Which may or may not be a big deal, but it is notable. Easier to explain on Lync or in person. \n\nI will put on bullets tonight, which I am sending to you
2016-06-13 20:08:06, Mon	INBOX	Because they're worried, holy cow, if the fbi missed this, what else was missed?
2016-06-13 20:08:38, Mon	INBOX	Which I get, because I had the same worry. \n\nAnd they like snitching to George
2016-06-13 20:38:01, Mon	INBOX	Just dropped off your uco print job \U0001f636
2016-06-13 20:57:30, Mon	OUTBOX	Oh jesus. Have something to tell you. Will call after wrap.

Strozk-Page Texts

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2016-06-13 20:58:11, Mon	INBOX	You know how to get my attention/interest. \U0001f60a
2016-06-13 20:58:31, Mon	OUTBOX	Yeah, well this will.
2016-06-13 20:58:57, Mon	INBOX	Tease....;)
2016-06-13 20:59:06, Mon	INBOX	Get us a clue...
2016-06-13 20:59:13, Mon	OUTBOX	July 2
2016-06-13 20:59:25, Mon	INBOX	Oh boy
2016-06-13 20:59:39, Mon	OUTBOX	Correct.
2016-06-13 21:00:16, Mon	INBOX	Do I need to back off what I told █████ just now based on our lunch line convo?
2016-06-13 21:00:37, Mon	INBOX	I told him I had to get confirmation from 7th floor. ..
2016-06-13 21:09:15, Mon	INBOX	JR sent new redline of D's thing on red side
2016-06-13 22:24:31, Mon	INBOX	Just relayed to █████, he's calling Toscas
2016-06-13 22:28:56, Mon	OUTBOX	Yup. Toscas literally just called. Andy couldn't talk, so we will discuss soon.
2016-06-13 23:35:06, Mon	INBOX	Hey do you anticipate mye due-outs tonight? I think I'm going to get out of here.
2016-06-13 23:36:13, Mon	OUTBOX	No. I hope to be leaving in 10-15 minutes. Andy on with toscas now.
2016-06-13 23:36:47, Mon	INBOX	Arghh! Ok I'll wait...\n\nAnd thanks
2016-06-13 23:37:10, Mon	OUTBOX	Don't! Truly.
2016-06-13 23:37:42, Mon	INBOX	15 minutes is easy...\U0001f636
2016-06-13 23:56:14, Mon	OUTBOX	Okay, will call you in 5.
2016-06-13 23:56:45, Mon	INBOX	K.\n\u263a
2016-06-14 00:22:17, Tue	INBOX	And ha, Bill called right after we talked. I guess it's good to answer your phone when the boss calls at 8...
2016-06-14 16:22:23, Tue	INBOX	Put a hard copy of the report in your center desk drawer
2016-06-14 16:44:01, Tue	INBOX	Set up a conference call so you can dial in as we take care of errands...\U0001f636

Strozk-Page Texts

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2016-06-14 22:35:54, Tue	INBOX	And hey, 2) ██████ said Toscas called, said Andy told him to lock in the 2nd, and that ██████ had done that. Hopefully accurate; would have appreciated a heads up even if a foregone conclusion.
2016-06-14 22:46:56, Tue	INBOX	And hey, 3) I have an answer for interview location. Brilliant. (Jon thought of it). Completely handles the issue.
2016-06-15 01:15:02, Wed	INBOX	On September 13, 2007, Martin appeared on Comedy Central's The Colbert Report to discuss Mother Teresa's fifty-year sense of abandonment by God which had much coverage in the media at the time. Martin appeared several more times on The Colbert Report, once to discuss Pope Benedict XVI's visit to the U.S. in April, 2008,[8] and again on February 23, 2009 to discuss how poverty (or, at least, reducing the importance one places on material goods) can bring one closer to God.[9]\n\nOn March 18, 2010, Martin was invited to the program in the wake of Glenn Beck's suggesting that Catholics run away from priests who preach "social justice." [10] Martin noted that "social justice addresses the things that keep people poor" and "asks you why are these people poor." He added that "Christ asked us to work with the poor. ... In the Gospel of Matthew He says that the way that we're going to be judged at the end of our lives is not what church we prayed in or how we prayed but really ... how we treated the poor."
2016-06-15 01:15:07, Wed	INBOX	F Glenn Beck
2016-06-15 01:25:32, Wed	OUTBOX	Agreed. ██████
2016-06-15 01:44:39, Wed	OUTBOX	I thought this was very interesting. \n\nDonald Trump\u2019s Mormon Problem http://nyti.ms/1UpX3uw
2016-06-15 01:45:09, Wed	INBOX	\U0001f60a saw it this morning, meant to read it but didn't.
2016-06-15 01:53:07, Wed	OUTBOX	I really like David Brooks.\n\nThe Building Blocks of Learning http://nyti.ms/1rnbLSw
2016-06-15 13:29:12, Wed	INBOX	Want to ask DOJ to ask h to come to DC. Do interview at HQ. Or NVRA.
2016-06-15 13:29:59, Wed	OUTBOX	Sure why not. Is especially important if the goal is to prevent leaks.
2016-06-15 17:18:42, Wed	INBOX	Trump's talking about the investigation
2016-06-16 18:08:57, Thu	INBOX	Hey heads up, Moffa is telling ██████ because he's been staffing it, ██████ was allegedly angry a follow up Q she had about the PDB piece (the one that prompted the ██████ brief today) wasn't answered today and she may call Andy

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2016-06-19 02:10:16, Sun	INBOX	And still, i don't think doj has the nerve - or that it ultimately serves their interests - to tell him he cant, or even that he shouldn't, do it.
2016-06-19 02:10:56, Sun	OUTBOX	I agree. But those couple of hours to takes to set it up could be EXTREMELY awkward.
2016-06-19 02:12:06, Sun	OUTBOX	Yeah, but you know that doesn't really matter. They'll call rybicki, or andy.
2016-06-19 02:12:26, Sun	OUTBOX	Can't enter after midnight, you mean?
2016-06-19 02:12:37, Sun	INBOX	And they say, sorry, he hasn't said, exactly. We'll pass a message to him b
2016-06-19 02:12:46, Sun	INBOX	Yes re entrance
2016-06-19 02:13:20, Sun	OUTBOX	Not credible. Surely even if opa doesn't say what it's about, it will be obvious.
2016-06-19 02:15:16, Sun	INBOX	And so what are they gonna do?
2016-06-19 02:15:46, Sun	INBOX	Everyone over there says, "we left a message. Sounds like it's about.."
2016-06-19 02:19:54, Sun	INBOX	Doj will be fine. They'll huff and puff but ultimately what are they going to do? Truly. In 4 hours? They can barely assemble a conference call in that time. .
2016-06-19 02:20:53, Sun	INBOX	Announce at 11 and you lose and hour and a half to lunch time confusion anyway. It'll be Ok...
2016-06-19 02:28:47, Sun	OUTBOX	They can tell the D no. And he really wants to avoid straight up insubordination if he can...
2016-06-19 15:15:51, Sun	OUTBOX	Florida has a different rule re represented parties. It is really bad for us.
2016-06-19 15:16:20, Sun	INBOX	Oh. What was the answer? All this based on bar rules
2016-06-19 15:19:29, Sun	OUTBOX	Yup. Pretty much as soon as we tell usao, we are prohibited from making any contact. Terrible disincentive to not talk to your usao.
2016-06-19 19:34:32, Sun	INBOX	Funny how it all comes down to state bar rules. Seems very capricious, like there should be some supremacy clause to get us out of it...
2016-06-19 19:34:44, Sun	INBOX	And damn...a mess that made its way up to DD level?
2016-06-19 20:07:35, Sun	OUTBOX	It didn't apply to us, until dumas congress passed mcdade.
2016-06-19 20:07:57, Sun	OUTBOX	Yes. Big implications for the continues investigation against [REDACTED]
2016-06-19 20:20:47, Sun	INBOX	Yep, well, maybe this is the chance for a legislative fix. More important than half assed gun sale notification....

Strozk-Page Texts

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2016-06-19 21:47:42, Sun	INBOX	And the more I read and consider, the more I think there's no way DOJ asks the D to stop if they know he's going to recommend what he's recommending.
2016-06-19 21:48:40, Sun	INBOX	Not an issue.
2016-06-19 21:50:51, Sun	INBOX	Press conference the damn thing and be done with it.
2016-06-19 22:09:22, Sun	OUTBOX	I'm not sure I agree. They VERY MUCH want to be standing side by side, regardless of what's announced. Even knowing what he's going to say, I'm not sure they'll be okay with it.
2016-06-19 22:11:39, Sun	INBOX	I'm sure they do. I'm talking about what it would take for them to say "no." There's a gap there, and we're in it.
2016-06-20 10:26:48, Mon	OUTBOX	I need to get started on that doc for Andy. Which I really don't feel like doing. [REDACTED]
2016-06-20 10:35:19, Mon	INBOX	The legislation doc? I can help proof if you want. [REDACTED] [REDACTED] Largely down to interview prep at this point.
2016-06-20 13:47:15, Mon	INBOX	I have a 1030 but free until then
2016-06-20 17:26:51, Mon	INBOX	Hey! Is [REDACTED] one of your jerkies?
2016-06-20 17:32:24, Mon	INBOX	Sorry she's not - just looked it up. The one above is retiring soon.
2016-06-20 19:52:01, Mon	OUTBOX	Hey. Meeting with my cyber guy, think he's looking for his next gig.
2016-06-20 20:10:44, Mon	INBOX	Damn your schedule got sucky. You have any time before wrap?
2016-06-20 20:12:34, Mon	INBOX	He want a job in CD4?
2016-06-21 00:02:42, Tue	INBOX	Mills' laptop unallocated space analysis is done! Guess (roughly) how much in scope content we got!
2016-06-21 00:08:47, Tue	OUTBOX	How much?
2016-06-21 00:10:07, Tue	INBOX	"Zero or near-zero in-store content"
2016-06-21 00:10:12, Tue	INBOX	Scope
2016-06-21 00:11:17, Tue	OUTBOX	Well there you go. Thanks for the info.
2016-06-21 14:51:14, Tue	OUTBOX	And kind of annoying, we're getting like barely any time with Andy, and we need more of it! \U0001f621
2016-06-21 14:52:12, Tue	INBOX	I was gonna say, I thought you popped green earlier - not a long convo...will he be available later?

Strozk-Page Texts

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2016-06-21 14:52:37, Tue	OUTBOX	12:45, but I won't be there. \U0001f621
2016-06-21 14:56:01, Tue	INBOX	Can you conference call in for the first 15 minutes? SIOC can set up a bridge easily. Or do you need classified?
2016-06-21 14:59:19, Tue	OUTBOX	Not really. [REDACTED] will handle.
2016-06-21 15:00:58, Tue	INBOX	Andy out m-w next week, or just m-t?
2016-06-21 18:07:59, Tue	INBOX	Bill went long, Jon and I have to talk to him about MYE then I'm running....D
2016-06-21 19:07:48, Tue	INBOX	Bill needed to unpack the D speech vs video issue. For an hour.
2016-06-21 22:46:09, Tue	INBOX	And while I'm thinking about it, I did not tell Bill about discussion re loop back with David M
2016-06-21 22:46:56, Tue	OUTBOX	Np. No one else is really tracking that right now.
2016-06-21 23:53:36, Tue	OUTBOX	You should read this. Kinda makes me like/feel sorry for the guy.\n\nWill Trump Swallow the G.O.P. Whole? http://nyti.ms/28JuKjm
2016-06-22 03:27:19, Wed	OUTBOX	And great, 10,000 emails came in requiring us to respond to proposed gun legislation tonight. And I did and now I'm wired. \U0001f621\uU0001f621\uU0001f621
2016-06-22 16:38:15, Wed	OUTBOX	Hi. Just leaving my meeting now. How we make law in this country is offensive and irresponsible. \U0001f621
2016-06-22 16:41:56, Wed	INBOX	I know it is. Its why i LOATHE congress. Can't wait to hear the story.
2016-06-22 21:57:26, Wed	INBOX	Hey can I put what you told me about the Privacy Act mtg in the nightly bullets to Bill, including her going to beginning of next D brief? Will not provide detail other than to say mtg occurred today.
2016-06-23 00:25:38, Thu	OUTBOX	You're kidding about the Giacalone article, right?
2016-06-23 00:26:22, Thu	INBOX	I am. [REDACTED]
2016-06-23 00:26:43, Thu	OUTBOX	Oh. Christ. I thought I had another problem to deal with.
2016-06-23 18:17:44, Thu	INBOX	If course I want to hear nsd screw-job story. Also relay mtg at fed programs, [REDACTED] thinks it's going to have to go to dag
2016-06-24 00:49:47, Fri	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] And instead I'm busting my butt trying to fight stupid legislation.
2016-06-24 00:52:40, Fri	INBOX	Which isn't going to pass! That's the silly thing - OCA and OLA ought to be able to say, give this some effort so everyone knows where we stand, but understand it won't pass, so don't stress too much about it b

Strozk-Page Texts

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2016-06-24 01:00:26, Fri	OUTBOX	It didn't pass, but oca says it's not dead, and is likely to reoccur.
2016-06-24 01:12:19, Fri	INBOX	Just talked to [REDACTED] Not official, but looks like DC for interview venue. Should have confirmation tomorrow morning.
2016-06-24 10:50:07, Fri	OUTBOX	This is good news. \n\nVW to Pay Over \$10 Billion for U.S. Emissions Scandal http://nyti.ms/2902eut
2016-06-24 14:06:35, Fri	INBOX	And done with Bill. Got a project, lots to talk to you about. Big bureau future structure stuff
2016-06-24 14:06:41, Fri	INBOX	Plus great gossip
2016-06-24 14:06:50, Fri	INBOX	Like Jones to replace [REDACTED]
2016-06-24 17:35:56, Fri	INBOX	Hey let me know when I can stop by and tell you about a call which may come from agency to 7th floor...
2016-06-24 23:10:15, Fri	OUTBOX	We have no idea when it will be right now...
2016-06-24 23:10:58, Fri	INBOX	Very true. Or if it even happens
2016-06-24 23:11:16, Fri	INBOX	But it would be a mistake not to
2016-06-24 23:11:58, Fri	OUTBOX	A mistake, huh? ;)
2016-06-24 23:13:22, Fri	INBOX	Ha. I meant not to have a statement [REDACTED] [REDACTED] \U0001f636
2016-06-25 11:33:22, Sat	INBOX	And unrelated, stupid brexit. Lost [REDACTED] in tsp IN ONE DAY. I know I won't draw on that for years, average return over time, stick with investment strategy and blah blah blah, but Jesus.
2016-06-26 01:23:43, Sun	OUTBOX	What a brazen, bald - faced joke. Evangelical Christians should be insulted.\n\nA Born-Again Donald Trump? Believe It, Evangelical Leader Says http://nyti.ms/294uH2j
2016-06-27 10:29:20, Mon	INBOX	What time does Andy leave? Or is your whole day bad?
2016-06-27 10:31:49, Mon	OUTBOX	2:00. I'm busy through 4:00.
2016-06-27 11:18:43, Mon	INBOX	What does your early morning look like today? Need to grab Andy's markup from you [REDACTED]
2016-06-27 11:21:26, Mon	OUTBOX	I'm busy starting at 9. Free from 9:30 to 10. Busy again at 10.
2016-06-27 11:22:14, Mon	INBOX	930 then. I'll come up to your office.

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2016-06-27 11:25:05, Mon	INBOX	You're also welcome to come to mine but I'm figuring yours is more convenient. \n\nNow you apparently have NO gaps in your schedule.
2016-06-27 11:36:43, Mon	INBOX	Pope went to Armenia and talked about genocide. Turkey p*ssed...
2016-06-27 15:42:34, Mon	INBOX	And hey work stuff for DD (though I suppose you can do via phone or email), pls call me when you have a moment
2016-06-28 00:24:57, Tue	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-06-28 00:25:15, Tue	INBOX	Relaying stories of interview prep. I need to talk to my guys
2016-06-28 00:26:04, Tue	OUTBOX	Uh oh. What did he say?
2016-06-28 00:27:56, Tue	INBOX	Just them picking stupid shit to focus on/ask about. Stuff we speculate but don't know, to which there is a fairly easy response, and which doesn't advance our understanding of fundamental issues
2016-06-28 00:29:13, Tue	INBOX	And re work, goddammit I'm frustrated. I don't want genius, but be f*cking smarter about things.
2016-06-28 02:17:28, Tue	INBOX	And hey, I need to know who has access to bpms and will help me out for the job interview with others' historical time in job data. [REDACTED]
2016-06-28 02:28:47, Tue	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-06-28 02:29:33, Tue	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-06-28 02:32:30, Tue	INBOX	And hey. I want to thank you for today. My self-interest aside [REDACTED] thanks for your advocacy with Andy and Bill and everything you do every day.\n\n\u0001f636\u0001f636\u0001f636\u0001f636\u0001f636\u0001f636
2016-06-28 02:34:09, Tue	OUTBOX	I don't know who that is. Does [REDACTED]? Probably no.
2016-06-28 02:34:29, Tue	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]?
2016-06-28 02:35:41, Tue	INBOX	[REDACTED] does not. Maybe [REDACTED]. But I don't know about her
2016-06-28 02:37:21, Tue	OUTBOX	Yeah, might be too close.
2016-06-28 02:45:00, Tue	INBOX	[REDACTED] is too [REDACTED]
2016-06-28 02:45:08, Tue	INBOX	You're right

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2016-06-28 03:20:30, Tue	OUTBOX	And you should ask [REDACTED] about Harbach soon before it is too late and he never speaks to you again.
2016-06-28 09:33:01, Tue	INBOX	I'll ask [REDACTED], though funny thing is I think he will be ok with it, just like he was the Mills preamble. Because both this and that are reasonable and help the cause
2016-06-28 10:47:29, Tue	INBOX	Meanwhile I'm sitting here spinning in my head about the case. Angry at myself for not thinking about raising Judiciary and Intel committees. I have in the past, but the time to do it was yesterday. Clearly the man is, though. Just feels like I dropped the ball.
2016-06-28 10:47:52, Tue	INBOX	And the more I think of it, no way the D or DD should call ICIG. Delegate that to Bill.
2016-06-28 10:48:40, Tue	INBOX	Remember at the beginning of the case, Randy was delegating contact with him to [REDACTED] and Jon...
2016-06-28 11:01:45, Tue	INBOX	That's great advice but they all kind of tumble around in there together, inseparable. \U0001f615\n\nThe interview needs tweaking, the 302s need a fine edit, the summaries need to be written, I need to see what I did wrong or forgot or put off and not do that again and I need to do background for the job application, combination of I'm perfect for the job and not good enough and not going to get it and and and.
2016-06-28 11:04:18, Tue	OUTBOX	You're probably not going to get it. But that's not because you're not good enough. That's because of the egos of other people in our organization. So we'll try the best we can, and know that you'll get it eventually.
2016-06-28 11:22:55, Tue	INBOX	I'll be retired, eventually. Certainly well before I get to AD, if I have to spend the time in each job that miraculously doesn't apply to the chosen few. But I need my CT club card to do that. [REDACTED]
2016-06-28 11:24:02, Tue	OUTBOX	In fairness, you've been a SC for four months. We'll do our research, but I think you're going to be hard pressed to find even a CT SC who was promoted that quickly.
2016-06-28 11:26:00, Tue	INBOX	I will have completed five months by next weekend and be into my 6th month
2016-06-28 11:35:39, Tue	INBOX	Grumble grumble grumble. Look. I'm responsible for all the career choices I've made. And as you've pointed out, I wouldn't change them. [REDACTED] for making me understand and own and see that as a positive. \n\nI just get really angry and dispirited at seeing less (frequently MUCH less) competent leadership advance. Case in point: who's my new boss? Who are all these great SACs with CI backgrounds?
2016-06-28 11:37:51, Tue	INBOX	Ok I'm done. I'll put in for jobs I want and work hard and well no matter what happens.

Strozk-Page Texts

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2016-06-28 16:07:32, Tue	INBOX	Also, I'm skipping the CD 1 to work on midyear. [REDACTED]
2016-06-28 16:43:53, Tue	INBOX	God I am getting GRILLED by Laufman right now.
2016-06-29 10:37:41, Wed	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] And hey, re today, I'm going to be on a tight schedule this morning. So if you are not at my ofc by 9:40 (assuming [REDACTED] can go), I'm just going to leave with him and have you meet us over there?
2016-06-29 10:39:47, Wed	INBOX	That's fine. I will hop out of my staff mtg at 930. The plan is we take separate cars as you need to stay?
2016-06-29 10:41:23, Wed	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-06-29 10:41:40, Wed	OUTBOX	Yes please. Are you sure you can hop out then? You have to give your "any objections" talk too though.
2016-06-29 10:50:27, Wed	INBOX	I'm going to start at 9 and leave at 935. Should be enough, as we've covered everything before. If not, just leave at 940 and I'll meet you there. [REDACTED]
2016-06-29 10:54:56, Wed	OUTBOX	Okay, might leave at 9:37, if you're not there.
2016-06-29 11:00:02, Wed	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-06-29 11:22:17, Wed	INBOX	Btw, today supposed to be SPECTACULAR. [REDACTED] \U0001f636
2016-06-29 11:27:15, Wed	OUTBOX	I'll try. I'm going to be really busy today. Will explain why later.
2016-06-29 11:28:11, Wed	INBOX	That stinks....ok...
2016-06-29 11:29:30, Wed	INBOX	Work or house/personal stuff?
2016-06-29 11:30:11, Wed	OUTBOX	Work.
2016-06-29 11:31:29, Wed	INBOX	Boo. That came out of nowhere?
2016-06-29 11:32:23, Wed	OUTBOX	Yes, [REDACTED] called me at dinner last night. IPC being convened on the [REDACTED] stuff Friday, I need to prep FOUR ADs for it tomorrow.
2016-06-29 11:36:42, Wed	INBOX	Jesus. Well, they're getting their money's worth out of you before you go... \n\nYou need to decide and talk to Andy about LA. [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
2016-06-29 12:19:10, Wed	INBOX	K. Mtg with Moffa and [REDACTED] right now

Strozk-Page Texts

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2016-06-29 12:19:26, Wed	OUTBOX	Np. Need to talk to trisha now anyway
2016-06-29 12:19:47, Wed	INBOX	Hey have a related work questiob
2016-06-29 12:20:08, Wed	INBOX	What was the answer on [REDACTED] material 1) in the case file 2) in the lhm?
2016-06-29 16:36:16, Wed	INBOX	What time is your conference call tomorrow?
2016-06-29 16:38:31, Wed	OUTBOX	2:30
2016-06-29 16:38:35, Wed	OUTBOX	Cleaning up now
2016-06-29 16:39:56, Wed	INBOX	K. Walking over...
2016-06-29 18:46:00, Wed	INBOX	God. Bill just asked to block out an hour for our MYE wrap because he wants to talk thru things...what more is there to talk about? \n\nMay I mention D's plan to inform Judiciary, Intel, and DNI so he doesn't spin about that?
2016-06-29 18:53:15, Wed	OUTBOX	But just keep it quiet, obviously.
2016-06-29 19:51:42, Wed	INBOX	I didn't need to. Just got done. Many thoughts.
2016-06-29 19:51:58, Wed	INBOX	Walking back to office with Moffa
2016-06-29 20:11:44, Wed	INBOX	Heading up to your office
2016-06-30 10:31:19, Thu	INBOX	All the airport tarmac articles finally burst out. Took a little bit. Not a big deal, just ASTOUNDINGLY bad optic.
2016-06-30 10:31:34, Thu	INBOX	And doesn't help what the D is trying to do. [REDACTED]
2016-06-30 10:31:58, Thu	INBOX	All at work busy? [REDACTED]
2016-06-30 10:32:22, Thu	OUTBOX	Yup. Stupid stupid stupid. And if we had done it, we'd never be hearing the end of it.
2016-06-30 10:33:08, Thu	OUTBOX	Yeah, just work. [REDACTED]
2016-06-30 10:34:16, Thu	INBOX	And from EVERYONE. This, one guy over there calls with quasi - apology. Silence from everyone else. \n\nI sent DoJ one of the articles last night, just because. Maybe an answer to their occasional grumbling about our 7th floor.
2016-06-30 10:35:32, Thu	OUTBOX	What grumbling? Not following.
2016-06-30 10:36:45, Thu	INBOX	Just when they randomly bitch about what the D wants, or our timetable, etc. Just making the point that we're not doing wildly inappropriate stuff like this

Strozk-Page Texts

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2016-06-30 12:22:56, Thu	INBOX	Bill apparently called Jon in early this morning with some follow - on asks from the convo yesterday. \U0001f612
2016-06-30 12:23:02, Thu	INBOX	Trying to get details...
2016-06-30 12:27:46, Thu	OUTBOX	██████████ And oh boy. Bill needs to relax.
2016-06-30 12:29:16, Thu	INBOX	Bill is doing what my agents are doing. Only they settle more easily about letting the unnecessary nice-to-haves go....
2016-06-30 12:59:33, Thu	INBOX	Omg he is spinning about the tarmac meeting, viewed in conjunction with the ██████████ Wants to meet at 4, have us bring lists of what we would do in an ordinary circumstance (easy, refer to PC) and in this circumstance (easy, refer to 7th floor)....
2016-06-30 14:01:23, Thu	OUTBOX	Hi. Sorry was in with rybicki.
2016-06-30 19:00:35, Thu	INBOX	Hi. What time is your Pre Huddle? Now?
2016-06-30 21:07:50, Thu	INBOX	Need to talk to you. Not good
2016-06-30 21:08:23, Thu	OUTBOX	On with trisha. Give me three minutes.
2016-06-30 22:20:53, Thu	INBOX	And of course if ██████ leaves let me know and I'll come read up there. ..though I suspect you're cramming together sob you can go
2016-06-30 22:21:14, Thu	OUTBOX	We just finished. In with andy now.
2016-06-30 22:28:38, Thu	INBOX	K. Rybicki just sent another version.
2016-06-30 22:32:55, Thu	OUTBOX	Bill just popped his head in, hopefully to talk to him.
2016-06-30 22:34:11, Thu	INBOX	Hope so. Just left Bill. Talked about the speech, the ██████ stuff relating to the case, and what i told you about earlier.
2016-06-30 22:39:48, Thu	INBOX	He changed President to "another senior government official"
2016-06-30 22:44:01, Thu	INBOX	Also, just emailed JR asking if D might want to call US Atty at some point.
2016-06-30 23:31:11, Thu	OUTBOX	I mentioned us atty to andy, he'll do it.
2016-06-30 23:32:02, Thu	INBOX	Thank you. It's the right thing to do, particularly given all the discussion of "prosecutors."
2016-06-30 23:32:34, Thu	OUTBOX	Won't be pre-stggment though.
2016-06-30 23:33:10, Thu	INBOX	That's fine, just in the near term along with Hill and DNI seems appropriate

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2016-07-01 02:11:25, Fri	INBOX	Where'd he come from? And he's going OUT as an asac, right?
2016-07-01 02:12:02, Fri	OUTBOX	He has worked for the dd office for a while. Going to be a sac in AT.
2016-07-01 02:12:19, Fri	INBOX	Remind me Jons question about whether we should have ever opened mye to begin with. A perfect storm of Randy and John bluster, with political background...
2016-07-01 02:12:55, Fri	INBOX	Derp derpy Derp.
2016-07-01 02:13:14, Fri	INBOX	Doing what, for the DD'S office?
2016-07-01 02:14:24, Fri	OUTBOX	Oh boy. Great.
2016-07-01 09:34:43, Fri	INBOX	Holy cow... nyt breaking Apuzzo, Lync will accept whatever rec D and career prosecutors make. No political appointee input
2016-07-01 09:41:01, Fri	INBOX	Lynch. Timing not great, but whatever. Wonder if that's why the no coordination language added
2016-07-01 11:26:30, Fri	OUTBOX	No way. This is a purposeful leak following the airplane snafu.
2016-07-01 11:29:33, Fri	INBOX	Timing looks like hell. Will appear choreographed. All major news networks literally leading with "AG to accept FBI D's recommendation."
2016-07-01 11:30:47, Fri	OUTBOX	Yeah, that is awful timing. Nothing we can do about it. \U0001f615
2016-07-01 11:31:16, Fri	INBOX	What I meant was, did DOJ tell us yesterday they were doing this, so D added that language.
2016-07-01 11:31:50, Fri	INBOX	Yep. I told Bill the same thing. Delaying just makes it worse.
2016-07-01 11:35:23, Fri	OUTBOX	And yes. I think we had some warning of it. I know they sent some statement to rybicki, bc he called andy.
2016-07-01 11:35:57, Fri	OUTBOX	And yeah, it's a real profile in couragw, since she knows no charges will be brought. \U0001f612
2016-07-01 11:36:36, Fri	INBOX	And now the NYT news alert...
2016-07-01 11:40:03, Fri	INBOX	It's a shame because now it begins to tarnish even the FBI's good name. Very irritating. \n\nAnyway. [REDACTED]
2016-07-01 14:50:13, Fri	INBOX	You hopefully tune in for AG comments at 11 if you haven't left...
2016-07-01 15:25:26, Fri	INBOX	But AGs talking now. Said she had decided to accept rec prior to meeting with Clinton on Monday
2016-07-01 15:25:50, Fri	INBOX	Keeps calling it "investigation of State Department emails." Uh, not exactly....

2016-07-01 17:44:39, Fri	INBOX	And dang it, I'm hoping to catch Rybicki to throw out the Q of watching, caveated with the acknowledgment of complete undertaking of the million reasons the answer may be "no," alas, without success.
2016-07-01 17:47:55, Fri	INBOX	Did you end up telling Andy about what I told you about the [REDACTED] stuff, or did Bill broach it? [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] \U0001f612).
2016-07-01 17:48:38, Fri	INBOX	All his DADs and SCs sat there staring at their hands. ...someone asked him why the change, he said that was for him to know....
2016-07-01 17:49:32, Fri	OUTBOX	Bill talked to him about it last night. I talked to andy about it today again. He is going to broach it with DAG extra week, but he wants CD and CyD to have a plan for review.
2016-07-01 17:53:25, Fri	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-07-01 17:56:08, Fri	INBOX	Any intrigue on the MYE front? \n\nAnd damn it's going to be miserable to have you away for a week.... \U0001f636\U0001f636\U0001f636\U0001f636
2016-07-01 18:01:45, Fri	OUTBOX	No, didn't talk about mye except for if I saw the lynch articles.
2016-07-01 18:29:13, Fri	INBOX	Going in to wrap with Bill. This ought to be interesting.
2016-07-01 20:20:51, Fri	INBOX	Oof. Hi. Sounds like a comparatively reduced - stress day, though. \u263a\n\nJust talked to Andy for an hour. Have MANY details for you. Have to write something with Jon and [REDACTED] now, let me know if you want/can talk around 4:45 or later?
2016-07-01 20:29:12, Fri	OUTBOX	Yes, he mentioned you were meeting. Let me know when you are free to talk.
2016-07-01 20:33:01, Fri	OUTBOX	Did you guys ever write the disclaimer on the lhm?
2016-07-01 20:33:47, Fri	OUTBOX	Damn. Just saw your article.
2016-07-01 20:37:31, Fri	INBOX	Writing that now. And yes, damn re article. Says the FBI declined comment. Great job, NPO.
2016-07-01 20:38:17, Fri	OUTBOX	What were they supposed to say?
2016-07-01 20:39:17, Fri	INBOX	Nothing! But they were supposed to give us a heads up instead of the dead silence we got!!!!
2016-07-01 20:39:33, Fri	INBOX	This is *slightly* notable news
2016-07-01 21:44:56, Fri	INBOX	FYI the thing Jon just sent DoJ is having real agita about. I have talked with both Laufman and [REDACTED] explained the reason why we want it.
2016-07-01 21:52:34, Fri	OUTBOX	Why would they have an issue?

2016-07-01 21:54:42, Fri	INBOX	I have no idea. The best they could articulate is something that might imply or be misconstrued as stating we didn't find people credible.
2016-07-01 21:55:45, Fri	INBOX	Also, I think I mentioned (but not sure) im not going to brief the thing about [REDACTED] to Bill until next week.
2016-07-01 22:19:43, Fri	INBOX	Ha! I figured it out/ [REDACTED] ust told me. Can you talk?
2016-07-02 09:47:44, Sat	INBOX	[REDACTED] Will be in office until about 7:45, then yes, sioc.
2016-07-02 10:49:24, Sat	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] Look forward to hearing how today goes.
2016-07-02 10:50:47, Sat	INBOX	Ok. Hang in there [REDACTED] Can't wait to tell you about it
2016-07-02 11:10:45, Sat	INBOX	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] Anyway, enjoy your morning. Just got Starbucks, already dealing with issues (all admin, as in, her five attorneys all wanting to drive into JEH separately because of fear of media (\U0001f612)
2016-07-02 11:21:33, Sat	INBOX	Ok [REDACTED] headed to sioc. Wish us luck. Hope your morning brightens. (\U0001f619\U0001f619\U0001f619\U0001f619
2016-07-02 16:18:02, Sat	INBOX	Hey it all went well [REDACTED] [REDACTED] Talking paper with DoJ now. I'll hit you on gmail when done. [REDACTED] And hi (\U0001f636
2016-07-02 18:49:43, Sat	INBOX	Actually, can you talk for 30 secs, work-related?
2016-07-02 19:42:51, Sat	INBOX	And damn, DoJ called so didn't respond. [REDACTED]
2016-07-02 21:43:02, Sat	INBOX	And she just gave a phone interview to CNN
2016-07-02 21:49:22, Sat	OUTBOX	What did she say?
2016-07-02 21:58:53, Sat	INBOX	Just she never sent or received anything marked as classified, that she didn't want to comment on the interview out of respect for the investigation, that she was glad to have been able to help resolve the matter.
2016-07-02 23:03:18, Sat	INBOX	Yeah. If we want the draft done for the D tomorrow, post-edit....
2016-07-02 23:22:49, Sat	INBOX	Also, talked to [REDACTED] He said the two details never talk to each other.
2016-07-02 23:56:24, Sat	OUTBOX	And hey, remind me brief call with andy. He agrees finding out those answers probably doesn't change anything, but I still think that he expects you guys to do so, even post-tuesday. Anyway, we'll talk.

2016-07-02 23:59:24, Sat	INBOX	And how do we do investigation after D announces we've completed it? We believe we know the guy who did brief, [REDACTED]
2016-07-03 00:06:46, Sun	OUTBOX	Because the D says he's not going to say complete, just nearly complete or something like that.
2016-07-03 00:08:10, Sun	INBOX	Ok. [REDACTED] We can go there. Any other due outs? I suppose I should just wait to talk to you.
2016-07-03 00:08:48, Sun	OUTBOX	No, just that they both thought it would be important to get the answer to the questions about her security indoctrination, ongoing training, etc.
2016-07-03 00:09:07, Sun	OUTBOX	And I imagine you can probably just do it by phone.
2016-07-03 00:10:19, Sun	INBOX	True. Of course I want to hear what they said when they decided not to talk about the future on the call...\n [REDACTED]
2016-07-03 00:10:50, Sun	OUTBOX	He didn't talk to me about that.
2016-07-03 00:11:23, Sun	INBOX	Oh. Well, darn. Anything else?
2016-07-03 00:11:34, Sun	INBOX	Rybicki coming in with D tomorrow...
2016-07-03 00:11:48, Sun	OUTBOX	No, not that I can think of.
2016-07-03 00:12:12, Sun	OUTBOX	Man, I so want to be there. You going in?
2016-07-03 00:12:52, Sun	INBOX	No. They most certainly would not invite me up/in.
2016-07-03 00:13:08, Sun	INBOX	What are they doing? Rehearsing?
2016-07-03 00:13:27, Sun	OUTBOX	That's my guess.
2016-07-03 00:17:20, Sun	INBOX	Is Andy going in tomorrow? And have you asked to see the all employee email?
2016-07-03 00:18:04, Sun	OUTBOX	I don't know if he is. Good question. I'll ask tomorrow or Monday. Remind me.
2016-07-03 12:12:45, Sun	INBOX	[REDACTED] n\nDo we have enough work pretext based on your call with Andy to have our own work call later? \U0001f636\U0001f636\U0001f636
2016-07-03 12:48:33, Sun	INBOX	Waiting for [REDACTED] to get back from a run, Kendall apparently called him last night.
2016-07-03 12:49:08, Sun	OUTBOX	Hmm. Wonder what for? Obviously, let me know when you know.

2016-07-03 12:52:51, Sun	INBOX	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] \n\nI sent you the redacted secret 302 last night along with everyone else. Might as well, plus, gives you a reason if you want to flee to eras...
2016-07-03 12:55:21, Sun	INBOX	Did you read the nyt write up this morning? \n\nThe interview had been weeks in the making as law enforcement officials and Mrs. Clinton\u2019s team coordinated schedules. Democrats also hoped that holding the interview on a holiday weekend might ease the anticipated storm.\n\nIn a telephone interview with Chuck Todd on MSNBC after her meeting, Mrs. Clinton said, \u201cI\u2019ve been eager to do it, and I was pleased to have the opportunity to assist the department in bringing its review to a conclusion.\u201d\n\nAccompanying Mrs. Clinton into the meeting were her lawyer David E. Kendall; Cheryl D. Mills and Heather Samuelson, longtime aides who are also lawyers; and two lawyers from Mr. Kendall\u2019s firm, Williams & Connolly, Katherine Turner and Amy Saharia.\n\nEight officials from the F.B.I. and the Department of Justice conducted the interview, according to a person who was familiar with the substance of the session but declined to be named because the meeting was private. This person characterized the meeting as \u201ccivil\u201d and \u201cbusinesslike.\u201d
2016-07-03 12:55:57, Sun	INBOX	Eight, because f*cking DoJ is ridiculous. What I didn't say in the conference call is that [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] comments were largely unnecessary...
2016-07-03 12:58:32, Sun	INBOX	Mrs. Clinton had no public events scheduled on Saturday, but after her F.B.I. interview she and her husband, former President Bill Clinton, went to New York and saw the Broadway show \u201cHamilton.\u201d
2016-07-03 13:06:19, Sun	OUTBOX	8. It's really pathetic. [REDACTED].
2016-07-03 13:07:43, Sun	INBOX	Yep. Exactly what I thought. [REDACTED]. And NO ONE will call what the [REDACTED] of them think or say or write.
2016-07-03 13:14:33, Sun	OUTBOX	I know. Whatever.It's fine. We'll have the last laugh.
2016-07-03 13:32:32, Sun	INBOX	Just talked to [REDACTED] I'm writing a unet Email now, I will include you. He already told Toscas
2016-07-03 13:55:48, Sun	INBOX	F*ck f*ck f*ck
2016-07-03 13:56:41, Sun	INBOX	Separate matter,Bill said he would pass the unclassified email I just sent to DD

2016-07-03 15:17:45, Sun	OUTBOX	Why the cursing above? I read the email, didn't find it that troubling.
2016-07-03 15:26:58, Sun	INBOX	A) I'm sorry. I figured it would be :(\nB) high side email. JB noted something that had a carry-over paragraph on the next page. First part was redacted, second wasn't. It's all marked s, question is whether it should be higher. I don't think it is (and hey, had a person who was one of the highest ranking OCAs in the govt at the time tell me yesterday she thought it was U \U0001f612), but just embarrassing. Then, in my "hey heads up don't forward attachment and treat this as higher until we get this sorted out, i cited the wrong page. \U0001f621 Because that also has something which is arguable. Why I hate sending drafts around. \U0001f621
2016-07-03 15:27:08, Sun	INBOX	I know we don't have have a choice given timing.
2016-07-03 15:50:47, Sun	OUTBOX	It's okay. Everyone understands what a crunch you're under.
2016-07-03 17:30:27, Sun	INBOX	 I DO want to talk to you about convo with Andy and the email I sent this morning.
2016-07-03 19:48:13, Sun	INBOX	 I need to call Bill back though.
2016-07-03 20:17:16, Sun	INBOX	Talked things thru with Bill. I'm glad I can be a voice of calm, a security blanket.
2016-07-03 20:28:36, Sun	OUTBOX	What's bill freaking out about now?
2016-07-03 20:30:05, Sun	INBOX	Interview, W&C comments, his convo with Andy (sounds similar to yours), what more we could do, if we're on the right path, managing uncertainty and fear and doubt.
2016-07-03 20:33:12, Sun	OUTBOX	I'm glad he has you too.
2016-07-03 21:12:45, Sun	INBOX	Re-reading, for like the billionth time, his statement. And fact checking again....
2016-07-03 23:10:34, Sun	OUTBOX	you shouldn't be worrying about Tuesday, that isn't what matters.
2016-07-04 16:03:40, Mon	INBOX	And i talked to \n\nNo determination from State yet about whether they were classified at the time. \n\nBased on above, I think it reasonable to say we believe emails with portions marked (C) in the bodies are classified (C) now and by extension (current classification and contemporaneous marking) were classified at the time.

2016-07-04 16:24:10, Mon	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-07-04 16:24:49, Mon	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-07-04 16:25:34, Mon	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-07-04 16:27:37, Mon	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-07-04 17:19:06, Mon	INBOX	Hey note that Jon and [REDACTED] are cc'ed on the email I just sent you
2016-07-04 17:32:53, Mon	INBOX	Talk? I am almost certain Bill will call and forward to and/or discuss with Andy.
2016-07-04 19:07:37, Mon	INBOX	Just talked to Bill...he's going to think about whether or not to pass on. Has some concern that this is late, and whether it's worth changing given all the practice that like happened yesterday. I told him he could always bounce off of Andy or JR to get their thoughts.
2016-07-04 23:49:36, Mon	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-07-04 23:50:05, Mon	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-07-05 00:12:32, Tue	INBOX	But HUGE day.
2016-07-05 00:12:43, Tue	INBOX	Which I get to share with you
2016-07-05 10:44:23, Tue	INBOX	[REDACTED] And while it was open, I didn't take "Andy's" spot...
2016-07-05 11:25:21, Tue	INBOX	Done! I think literally less than two minutes
2016-07-05 11:27:25, Tue	OUTBOX	Unbelievable.
2016-07-05 11:27:56, Tue	INBOX	What? I TOLD them...\U0001f609
2016-07-05 15:59:55, Tue	OUTBOX	Sorry, just wanted to know what was going on. Andy just calling George. Standby.
2016-07-05 17:58:25, Tue	OUTBOX	Bummer. Didn't know Andy was going to be down there too. :(
2016-07-05 18:27:35, Tue	INBOX	Turn on CNN. State news conference
2016-07-05 18:29:05, Tue	OUTBOX	I know. Dude is getting BEAT UP.
2016-07-05 18:29:55, Tue	INBOX	God I still want to watch all this with you!!!! \U0001f636
2016-07-05 18:30:29, Tue	OUTBOX	I'm waiting for andy to show back up! The d is back, no clue where he is?!
2016-07-05 18:30:36, Tue	INBOX	Will tell you what the D said, too. Andy brought up messaging the workforce, but then the D cam around our side of the room.

Strzok-Page Texts

2016-07-05 18:31:28, Tue	INBOX	Hmm. Me either. I assume they were together. I saw the D, and he was with people, I assumed JR and Andy. But I didn't get a positive ID on the other two
2016-07-05 18:34:35, Tue	INBOX	You find him?
2016-07-05 18:36:18, Tue	INBOX	You still listening? Poor guy...we're going to need to address with State
2016-07-05 18:46:07, Tue	INBOX	Hey there's a uc meeting in my office in 15. I may go to your office or bubble to hang out. Any sense of schedule later?
2016-07-05 19:05:23, Tue	OUTBOX	Oops, just walked in on your unit meeting but you weren't there! Headed to my ofc now
2016-07-05 19:06:04, Tue	INBOX	K with Bill stop by if you wNt
2016-07-05 19:06:08, Tue	INBOX	Want
2016-07-05 19:08:55, Tue	OUTBOX	In bills ofc? I just got back to my ofc
2016-07-06 16:39:41, Wed	INBOX	Ooh. Just heard something from Bill that's going to make you \U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621 let me go by bubble and will call you
2016-07-06 17:03:59, Wed	INBOX	Also, Fox reporting D is testifying tomorrow...Bill was not at the prep session this morning. Not sure what's happening, but coordination does not appear to be ideal.
2016-07-06 17:34:03, Wed	INBOX	On the phone with [REDACTED]
2016-07-06 17:34:38, Wed	OUTBOX	Rgr. Call when you are done.
2016-07-06 19:03:09, Wed	INBOX	Hi. Watching D with [REDACTED]
2016-07-06 19:12:45, Wed	INBOX	No, would take too long. He did really well.
2016-07-06 19:13:05, Wed	INBOX	Will tell you all about it, of course
2016-07-06 19:13:52, Wed	OUTBOX	Ooh, I want to know now!
2016-07-06 19:15:52, Wed	INBOX	Listening to Andy then have to call this person in USD(!) then mtg outside of Andy's at 3:45
2016-07-06 19:55:33, Wed	INBOX	Heading into mtg with AG....
2016-07-06 19:56:25, Wed	OUTBOX	Can't wait to hear about it. Ask Jim about the BB holes in the wall from rfk's kids.
2016-07-06 20:40:51, Wed	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-07-06 21:43:05, Wed	INBOX	I didn't ask. [REDACTED]
2016-07-06 21:43:56, Wed	INBOX	Pretty cool, she just accepted the unanimous recommendation. Statement from her tonight.

2016-07-06 21:44:04, Wed	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-07-06 21:45:55, Wed	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-07-06 21:47:34, Wed	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-07-06 21:50:36, Wed	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-07-06 22:16:55, Wed	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-07-06 23:03:21, Wed	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-07-06 23:03:25, Wed	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-07-06 23:14:06, Wed	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-07-07 01:14:05, Thu	INBOX	Remind me, Bill talked to Andy about me and the DAD job
2016-07-07 10:21:45, Thu	INBOX	Are you going to watch his testimony at 10? Do you have cspan?
2016-07-07 10:23:18, Thu	OUTBOX	I'm going to try. [REDACTED] so we'll see.
2016-07-07 10:24:42, Thu	OUTBOX	I will when we get back.
2016-07-07 10:36:34, Thu	OUTBOX	Thought this was spot on.\n\nHillary Clinton: Ma\u2019am Survivor http://nyti.ms/29zO0ku
2016-07-07 10:50:24, Thu	INBOX	Also think I need to ask [REDACTED] for their gross negligence analysis - he made a point of telling me last night that Rybicki had asked for it (which is smart, there was a lot of discussion about it yesterday which was helpful for the D) - I think I'm going to be doing more education than I anticipated
2016-07-07 11:49:17, Thu	INBOX	Sent that nyt article [REDACTED]
2016-07-07 12:28:27, Thu	INBOX	I'm so sorry \n\nUnrelated, you're going to need to tell me to be a little bit less of a control freak about the case. It's out, there are a hundred people doing things, and I'm not going to be able (or need) to manage all of it and ensure its right....
2016-07-07 12:47:58, Thu	OUTBOX	Actually [REDACTED] the case is over. So it's not yours to control anymore.
2016-07-07 12:49:15, Thu	INBOX	Yes. I need you to say things like that. \u263a
2016-07-07 13:43:39, Thu	INBOX	You have time for a quick work call?!
2016-07-07 14:21:45, Thu	OUTBOX	God I hate Congress. So utterly worthless.
2016-07-07 14:22:18, Thu	INBOX	Less than worthless. Contemptible.

2016-07-07 14:22:45, Thu	OUTBOX	That's exactly right.
2016-07-07 14:23:40, Thu	INBOX	God he is SO GOOD
2016-07-07 14:23:53, Thu	OUTBOX	I know. Brilliant public speaker.
2016-07-07 14:24:23, Thu	OUTBOX	And brilliant distillation of fact.
2016-07-07 14:27:13, Thu	INBOX	Yep. Chaffetz is in over his head
2016-07-07 14:27:28, Thu	OUTBOX	I was just about to say the same thing.
2016-07-07 14:28:50, Thu	OUTBOX	And chaffetz is trying to be so measured. But it's so phony.
2016-07-07 17:29:16, Thu	INBOX	"Celebrity hunting" was Margolis' phrase yesterday.
2016-07-07 17:55:02, Thu	INBOX	He just walked it back
2016-07-07 18:35:11, Thu	OUTBOX	We need to get him a better answer re the lawyers.point being, they had no clue classified was on there until the info was produced to state and they started protecting it via foia
2016-07-07 18:36:09, Thu	INBOX	Exactly. And mills and Kendall had ts clearances during sort
2016-07-07 18:36:28, Thu	INBOX	Still checking on Samuelson
2016-07-07 18:36:45, Thu	OUTBOX	I called andy, but in ses board. Has team been watching?
2016-07-07 18:36:54, Thu	INBOX	Some, yes
2016-07-07 18:38:54, Thu	INBOX	I have lots of input but seems intentional decision by 7th floor to not watch live (or prep yesterday). Assume there are good reasons for it. Whatever. \n\nD fixed Petraeus statement. [REDACTED] called me to give me a heads up Andy had called him about where he was getting the attic story from. Have that story. too.
2016-07-07 18:40:13, Thu	INBOX	"Reporch"?
2016-07-07 18:41:09, Thu	OUTBOX	Yeah, I heard re petraeus.
2016-07-07 18:59:03, Thu	INBOX	Also the non paper answer wasn't correct. Let me know if you free up and I'll tell you about the AG mtg
2016-07-07 19:02:10, Thu	INBOX	And the SAC svtc
2016-07-07 19:06:48, Thu	INBOX	I did get a chuckle out of D's gotta pee joke...
2016-07-07 19:08:26, Thu	OUTBOX	Everyone did. That's why he's as good at this as he is.

Strzok-Page Texts

2016-07-07 19:41:36, Thu	INBOX	Sorry for delay. Bill stopped in to tell me about convo he had with Andy
2016-07-07 19:44:43, Thu	INBOX	About me
2016-07-07 19:45:11, Thu	OUTBOX	Oh yeah! About dad? Talk now?
2016-07-07 20:46:45, Thu	INBOX	OPA is f*cking up
2016-07-07 20:47:19, Thu	OUTBOX	Yeah, saw your email. Andy is in an see board, there needs to be centralized decision making on this. Call rybicki direct?
2016-07-07 20:47:25, Thu	INBOX	NP. Had a gut instinct which [REDACTED] just confirmed.
2016-07-07 20:47:33, Thu	OUTBOX	What's that?
2016-07-07 20:50:39, Thu	INBOX	Check your email. [REDACTED] fielding all kinds of calls about misstatements or clarification to D's testimony and as best I can tell, asking [REDACTED] me and [REDACTED] for answers so she can respond right back. \n\nMy Q - for a D speech on something like this, shouldn't SOMEONE in the D/DD's office chop before we respond?
2016-07-07 23:51:35, Thu	OUTBOX	BBC News: US State Department restarts Hillary Clinton email probe\nUS State Department restarts Hillary Clinton email probe - http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/election-us-2016-36742095
2016-07-07 23:54:24, Thu	INBOX	Funny. That was our agreement, but of the BILLION things they've told us recently, this wasn't one of them. \n\nBtw, this place goes to shit without you here. Last 24 hours were sideways disaster. [REDACTED] finally stepped in at my urging.
2016-07-08 00:00:00, Fri	INBOX	And hi. Finally left, with 200 pages of transcript to read tonight.
2016-07-08 00:52:40, Fri	INBOX	Oh! You know what J told me? Andy apologized to [REDACTED] following the AG brief!!!!!! [REDACTED] deserves no such thing, let alone from the DD. \n\nI get/hope he was trying to help out me and others at the working level, which I really appreciate, but still. I would have asked him not to do it
2016-07-08 00:55:42, Fri	OUTBOX	Yeah, that's really annoying. Apologized for what?
2016-07-08 00:56:43, Fri	INBOX	The surprise of the statement.
2016-07-08 01:25:45, Fri	INBOX	Oh, and fun fact before I forget. D said average CJIS public call line volume is like 14/hour. After his speech, it went over 700....
2016-07-08 02:05:44, Fri	INBOX	Still reading testimony. [REDACTED]
2016-07-08 12:58:07, Fri	INBOX	At least five police are dead, [REDACTED] U0001f626\U0001f621
2016-07-08 13:19:45, Fri	OUTBOX	Yeah, I know. It's incredibly scary and awful.

2016-07-08 13:21:16, Fri	INBOX	I worry where the dialogue goes from here
2016-07-08 13:23:38, Fri	OUTBOX	It's not good.
2016-07-08 13:50:52, Fri	INBOX	And probably not charitable, but looking back, I'm a *little* frustrated Moffa wasn't here this week...
2016-07-08 13:51:43, Fri	OUTBOX	I know. But that's not his fault. [REDACTED] \U0001f61f
2016-07-08 14:14:24, Fri	INBOX	Going to review and redline D testimony at 1030. Who should get that - JR, you, [REDACTED]
2016-07-08 14:15:57, Fri	OUTBOX	Get what, the redlines? Yeah, sounds right. Why [REDACTED] Just bc of Steinbach's involvement going forward?
2016-07-08 14:18:57, Fri	INBOX	Yes re [REDACTED] Should I not, at least on this? He wasn't involved in testimony prep
2016-07-08 14:19:31, Fri	INBOX	Plus he may be voting on the DAD job soon. ..
2016-07-08 14:20:09, Fri	OUTBOX	There's no harm in including her, I suppose.
2016-07-08 14:20:25, Fri	INBOX	Or ask [REDACTED] to ask Andy who he wants to have it? [REDACTED] mentioned it to him last night
2016-07-08 14:20:26, Fri	INBOX	That's probably smartest
2016-07-08 14:21:12, Fri	OUTBOX	Yup. That's the right move.
2016-07-08 14:22:22, Fri	INBOX	His transcript is even more impressive than hearing it spoken. Concise/crisp and accurate
2016-07-08 14:25:05, Fri	OUTBOX	He's amazing. I mean, think about it. He spoke for four and a half hours straight, and made virtually no errors. It's incredibly impressive.
2016-07-08 14:31:00, Fri	INBOX	Heading up to SIOC. You may be home when I'm done! [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
2016-07-08 17:38:14, Fri	OUTBOX	Emergency meeting. huh? This oughta be good. Just finished lunch, [REDACTED]
2016-07-08 20:19:38, Fri	INBOX	Not good. He threw DOJ a lifeline and politicized his position. That's the general consensus from everyone I've spoken to. One current SAC was shocked and dismayed. I'm sure you're swamped but let's catch up next week.
2016-07-08 20:41:57, Fri	OUTBOX	God that really offends me. [REDACTED]
2016-07-08 20:45:19, Fri	INBOX	Read it in the context of the Wittes piece I just emailed.
2016-07-08 21:20:34, Fri	INBOX	Of course re JB's email please make sure someone invites us to any meeting Mon morning. Thanks
2016-07-08 21:46:01, Fri	OUTBOX	I have no idea how to get you invited. It will probably just be a holdover from morning mtg, not something scheduled.

2016-07-08 21:46:43, Fri	OUTBOX	Maybe email Jim back individually and ask him.his thoughts about the best way for you to be there if it will just be a morning meeting holdover?
2016-07-08 22:00:04, Fri	INBOX	I guess. Bill is outnall next week, so that doesnt help. I may grab [REDACTED] and Jon (don't think I can exclude him) and just stand in the hallway. When do they normally finish?
2016-07-08 22:00:51, Fri	INBOX	And just talked to my State DS counterpart about their parh ahead, so have that nugget I can offer to the convo...
2016-07-08 22:23:17, Fri	INBOX	Hrc just on pbs news hour
2016-07-08 22:45:38, Fri	INBOX	And meanwhile, we have Black Lives Matter protesters, right now, chanting "no justice no peace" around DoJ and the White House...
2016-07-08 23:15:13, Fri	OUTBOX	That's awful.
2016-07-08 23:19:08, Fri	INBOX	Also, comfort dog stopped by unannounced today, because the handler figured we needed it!!!!\U0001f60a
2016-07-08 23:20:05, Fri	OUTBOX	Okay, that's really really awesome re the comfort dogs. I'll have to have Andy thank them. Which handler?
2016-07-08 23:21:55, Fri	INBOX	Don't remember her name. [REDACTED]
2016-07-08 23:23:14, Fri	OUTBOX	Got it. [REDACTED] Thanks.
2016-07-08 23:25:14, Fri	INBOX	[REDACTED] and the other woman's name is...?
2016-07-09 20:26:01, Sat	INBOX	Oh, and remind me random irritation from.Thursday...while [REDACTED] was bugging the hell out of me, apparently Kortan was doing the same to [REDACTED] U0001f621
2016-07-09 20:26:33, Sat	INBOX	I should let go...everyone made it through and nothing TOO terrible happened...
2016-07-10 01:17:02, Sun	INBOX	Maybe. But if you follow Andy out the door in two years, this is truly de minimus.
2016-07-10 01:17:27, Sun	INBOX	I'm going to fight like hell to keep you in. Just saying
2016-07-10 01:18:22, Sun	INBOX	Oh. And JB gave me another big arm around the shoulder hug after meeting the AG on Wed.
2016-07-10 01:20:21, Sun	OUTBOX	He's proud of you. I think it's really cute.
2016-07-10 01:22:24, Sun	INBOX	I didn't get the chance to ask about the BBs. But I did sit and stare at the portrait of Elliot Richardson staring at me.
2016-07-10 01:22:44, Sun	INBOX	It's next to the portrait of Eric Holder, which is wildly offensive.
2016-07-10 18:33:39, Sun	INBOX	Got the Sunday blues, bad. [REDACTED] [REDACTED] Maybe its Midyear post-partum. Gotta find something at work to motivate me.\n\nYou?

Strzok-Page Texts

2016-07-11 10:10:24, Mon	INBOX	Worried about work, I think. How much we decide to release, the prospect of second guessing. The IG doing that bugs me; Congress doing so infuriates me. [REDACTED]
2016-07-11 12:01:33, Mon	OUTBOX	Hey just remembered [REDACTED] won't be in this morning [REDACTED]
2016-07-11 12:21:36, Mon	INBOX	Oh well then. Saw our 3-4. If anything before then, I guess they can find me and Jon if needed
2016-07-11 12:23:08, Mon	INBOX	Just brought [REDACTED] up to speed. How's traffic?
2016-07-11 12:28:53, Mon	OUTBOX	He was in? I didn't expect him to be. [REDACTED]
2016-07-11 12:29:22, Mon	INBOX	Sorry Moffa, not [REDACTED]
2016-07-11 21:49:09, Mon	INBOX	Oh god. It looked like hell. \n\nTalkig to Bill again.
2016-07-11 21:49:42, Mon	INBOX	And interested in any feedback from DIA call if you get any....
2016-07-11 22:30:01, Mon	INBOX	You read the referral yet?
2016-07-11 22:30:48, Mon	INBOX	We really want to drop the LHM and 302s into that environment?
2016-07-11 22:31:04, Mon	OUTBOX	Yes. More of the same. Actually, it's pretty insulting given that the Director almost 5 hours up there on Thursday.
2016-07-11 22:31:37, Mon	OUTBOX	I know. I'm going to talk to Andy about it. I'm deeply nervous about it too.
2016-07-11 22:32:22, Mon	INBOX	Bill wanted to know if he should call him, send some articles.
2016-07-11 22:32:39, Mon	OUTBOX	Call whom? Andy?
2016-07-11 22:35:36, Mon	INBOX	Yes, Andy. I sent him the Wittes article, and I think he saw the Miller one calling it an abuse of power. \n\nI just have no faith in Congress to respect our investigative information. The LHM and no more. Not even senior people's 302, unless what we'd release via foia.
2016-07-11 22:38:59, Mon	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-07-11 23:57:48, Mon	INBOX	[REDACTED] \n\nJust read the Congressional letter to the D. Also CNN poll. Has me stressed out.
2016-07-12 00:25:59, Tue	INBOX	Hi. Following up prep, I just reread the Deutch IG report. I see no reference to written classified material. I will re-read again, and if I'm right, will let JR, JB and you know.

2016-07-12 00:48:53, Tue	OUTBOX	I don't want to talk about work though - this all stresses me out too.
2016-07-12 10:43:42, Tue	INBOX	Was glad to see [REDACTED] email last night. Had a brief exchange with Moffa before then about our control tendencies / issues. I think my underlying worry, outside of the simple unease with Congress, is the necessary presence of OCA and OPA (who are both new to the case) and the heightened role for OGC. Increases the chance for things to go wrong....
2016-07-12 10:43:49, Tue	INBOX	And yes, it stinks.
2016-07-12 21:47:10, Tue	INBOX	Are you f*cking kidding me? This is objectively a great idea and an easy - de minimus - lift. \n\nWe suck SO bad sometimes.
2016-07-12 21:47:41, Tue	OUTBOX	I know. I'm very frustrated.
2016-07-12 21:48:11, Tue	INBOX	They'll probably come up with some worthless rotation schedule where she spends a half day with each program. \U0001f612
2016-07-12 21:49:06, Tue	INBOX	You want me to cAll her later this week, say she's awesome, we're trying to recruit her to CI and this request is legit?
2016-07-12 21:49:11, Tue	OUTBOX	I know. I did everything I could to bolster what [REDACTED] and I had already talked about, but she thought people might be "concerned" and wanted to talk to folks.
2016-07-12 21:49:30, Tue	OUTBOX	Nope. [REDACTED] may just end up doing a detail to cd-4. \U0001f612
2016-07-12 21:49:55, Tue	INBOX	Why would they be concerned? That's stupid
2016-07-12 21:50:21, Tue	INBOX	Fine. And she can spend a day at wfo as a CD4 detailer. \U0001f606
2016-07-12 21:53:36, Tue	OUTBOX	I have no idea. And I tried to get her to articulate who, and she wouldn't.
2016-07-12 22:02:50, Tue	INBOX	They're idiots. And they mistrust nsib.
2016-07-12 22:04:57, Tue	INBOX	God forbid they do something to build bridges
2016-07-12 22:05:59, Tue	INBOX	How long is this tdy supposed to be, anyway?
2016-07-12 22:17:54, Tue	OUTBOX	2 or 3 days.
2016-07-12 22:19:40, Tue	INBOX	Truly. F it. Put her on detail to 4 and I'll send her to Boston or SF with no problems.
2016-07-12 22:22:11, Tue	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] just sent an email which helps explain it some.
2016-07-12 22:43:13, Tue	INBOX	Talked with [REDACTED] He was not aware of anything happening with new referral other than DC calling odag.
2016-07-12 22:44:39, Tue	OUTBOX	Does he know who in ODAG? That would be useful to know. Can you ask?

2016-07-12 23:06:31, Tue	INBOX	██████████ said he didnt know, that it was all second or third hand info, and that Toscas would know.\n\nI can call DC but that's stepping on WF's toes.
2016-07-12 23:12:53, Tue	OUTBOX	Nope. No need.
2016-07-13 01:37:44, Wed	INBOX	██████████ Though Andy moved MYE ██████████
2016-07-13 01:39:48, Wed	OUTBOX	Yeah, I know re mye. I need to move it.
2016-07-13 10:03:28, Wed	OUTBOX	Hey was thinking, let me ask Baker what the meeting with Fine was about. It might be better not to get Jim deep in the facts of this yet since he sometimes takes overly cautious/conservative positions. That work?
2016-07-13 18:53:08, Wed	INBOX	Disagreement about the 302s. General consensus of our group.is ALL SESers are to be produced, plus notable private people.
2016-07-13 18:55:29, Wed	OUTBOX	Ah. Yes, that sounds right.
2016-07-13 19:07:47, Wed	OUTBOX	I missed Andy. Will try again after sac svtc.
2016-07-13 19:37:07, Wed	INBOX	Damn I missed the svtc. Several things for you, will call when people leave. \n\nAnd hi.
2016-07-13 19:38:16, Wed	OUTBOX	I'm waiting in Andy's ofc.
2016-07-13 19:45:05, Wed	INBOX	Hey its going to take too long to type, along with DOJ's reasoning why they want the changes. You have it on the secret side.
2016-07-13 19:48:06, Wed	OUTBOX	Okay, will do. It's not like it needs to be decided today.
2016-07-13 21:43:12, Wed	INBOX	So of course I'm going to ask you to review my DAD application. Deadline is 7/21
2016-07-13 21:48:20, Wed	OUTBOX	Of course. It would be my pleasure.
2016-07-13 22:51:26, Wed	INBOX	Sorry was talking with Jason and ██████████ I like them both. Gave Jason the lhm and outlined everything.
2016-07-13 23:08:02, Wed	INBOX	Thank you very much re my application. \U0001f636\n\nI think I drop the Boston illegals example and add Clinton
2016-07-13 23:55:55, Wed	INBOX	Btw, didn't get a chance to follow up after this morning. 1) how do you think it went? Andy seemed a little p*ssed/short on time. His "let Stephen finish" to me worried me a bit except he did it to JB and a bunch of other people, too.\n2) in the wildly unlikely event I have to testify (and tell me I'm right about that - I think it's unlikely and even less likely I'd go outside of a group), I'm leaning on you to prep the hell out of me.
2016-07-14 01:33:53, Thu	OUTBOX	Have you read this? It's really frightening. \n\nFor Whites Sensing Decline, Donald Trump Unleashes Words of Resistance http://nyti.ms/29WCu5l

Strzok-Page Texts

2016-07-14 01:35:35, Thu	INBOX	I have not. But I think it's clear he's capturing all the white, poor voters who the mainstream Republicans abandoned in all but name in the quest for the almighty \$\$\$\$
2016-07-14 01:36:32, Thu	OUTBOX	Yeah. it's not good. [REDACTED]
2016-07-14 01:38:52, Thu	INBOX	[REDACTED]. If tomorrow's logistical plans explode, I'm around to help...
2016-07-14 11:04:45, Thu	INBOX	Poll Finds Emails Weighing on Hillary Clinton, Now Tied With Donald Trump http://nyti.ms/29RV5gf
2016-07-14 12:28:20, Thu	INBOX	Note bcc
2016-07-14 13:48:35, Thu	INBOX	Well that was great. [REDACTED]
2016-07-14 14:45:23, Thu	OUTBOX	I'm sorry. That really sucks.
2016-07-14 14:57:31, Thu	INBOX	You going to this [REDACTED] ogc meeting this afternoon?
2016-07-14 14:57:46, Thu	OUTBOX	Yes. It's mandatory.
2016-07-14 19:26:47, Thu	INBOX	Hi. With Moffa. If you think you want to try and hit the farewell party, hit me here...
2016-07-15 10:02:50, Fri	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-07-15 10:26:53, Fri	INBOX	We're done talking. Back to texting. I'm going to the house to shower and pack my suitcase. [REDACTED]
2016-07-15 10:45:42, Fri	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-07-15 10:46:22, Fri	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-07-15 11:03:46, Fri	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-07-15 18:10:12, Fri	INBOX	Hey turns out I only have 3 of your interview appendix
2016-07-15 21:09:00, Fri	OUTBOX	You done yet?
2016-07-15 21:20:43, Fri	INBOX	Yep.
2016-07-15 21:21:10, Fri	INBOX	About 15;ago, had to talk to my guys
2016-07-15 21:22:02, Fri	OUTBOX	I know. It's crazy, since the military represents secularism in Turkey.
2016-07-15 21:45:51, Fri	INBOX	Can I talk work for a sec? [REDACTED]

2016-07-15 23:29:32, Fri	INBOX	Really good convo (despite my urging not to talk tonight).\n\n I think, like letting Laufman answer, you'd be proud of me. \U0001f60a
2016-07-16 21:17:48, Sat	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-07-18 12:40:56, Mon	OUTBOX	Yeah, I really only have a 4:30, but I could skip it. Site meeting with [REDACTED] at 1:00. Four weeks to go...
2016-07-18 13:09:59, Mon	INBOX	And unrelated, [REDACTED] is starting to significantly piss me off. I've only sat next to him the last three meetings dealing with this sh*t.
2016-07-18 13:40:29, Mon	OUTBOX	Hi. Walking into the ofc now. If you're free and willing, I need to ask you for an enormous favor. If not, no problem. Can ask [REDACTED] or come up with an alternative plan.
2016-07-18 20:43:03, Mon	INBOX	And hi. Just got done with Bill
2016-07-18 20:47:34, Mon	OUTBOX	How's he doing?
2016-07-18 20:49:09, Mon	INBOX	Fine. I think pleasantly surprised that last week was comparatively calm. Went thru the due-outs for this week. \n\nI stayed afterwards and talked job with him.
2016-07-18 20:52:18, Mon	INBOX	Sweeney got ny
2016-07-18 20:52:51, Mon	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-07-18 20:53:57, Mon	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-07-18 21:01:22, Mon	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-07-18 21:03:23, Mon	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-07-18 21:06:02, Mon	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-07-18 21:09:20, Mon	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-07-18 21:47:48, Mon	INBOX	[REDACTED] \n\nDon't want to to miss Melania's big speech...
2016-07-18 22:54:06, Mon	INBOX	And f*ck the cheating motherf*cking Russians. Bastards. I hate them
2016-07-18 23:00:56, Mon	OUTBOX	I'm sorry, me too.

2016-07-19 00:12:19, Tue	INBOX	And are you kidding me? Duck Dynasty now Scott Baio? Ridiculous.
2016-07-19 00:26:49, Tue	OUTBOX	What are you talking about?
2016-07-19 00:27:05, Tue	OUTBOX	Wait, is that who is speaking at the convention?!
2016-07-19 00:27:27, Tue	OUTBOX	Charles in Charge?! That's the best they can do?! Lmfao
2016-07-19 00:27:37, Tue	INBOX	It's PATHETIC!
2016-07-19 00:27:59, Tue	OUTBOX	That unbelievable. My god. Thank god it's not on.
2016-07-19 00:28:44, Tue	INBOX	It's on! PBS!
2016-07-19 00:29:07, Tue	OUTBOX	Yeah, no thanks.
2016-07-19 00:29:56, Tue	INBOX	What did you do? Republican snark. \U0001f636
2016-07-19 00:36:18, Tue	INBOX	AND COME ON!!!! TURN ON THE CONVENTION!!!!
2016-07-19 00:37:04, Tue	INBOX	Pbs/npr joint broadcast sucking so far \U0001f615
2016-07-19 00:37:52, Tue	OUTBOX	NO! I WILL NOT BE SUCKED IN!
2016-07-19 00:43:55, Tue	INBOX	TURN IT ON. PBS. [REDACTED]
2016-07-19 00:46:31, Tue	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-07-19 00:48:44, Tue	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-07-19 00:57:55, Tue	INBOX	Oooh, TURN IT ON, TURN IT ON!!! THE DOUCHEBAGS ARE ABOUT TO COME OUT. \n\nYou can tell by the excitable clapping.
2016-07-19 00:59:52, Tue	INBOX	Aaaaand Mara Liaison is destroying whatever pedestrian respect I had left...
2016-07-19 01:02:24, Tue	INBOX	OOOHHHHH. Now, Antonio Sabato, Jr
2016-07-19 01:03:14, Tue	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-07-19 01:04:46, Tue	OUTBOX	My god, I'm so embarrassed for them. These are like second-run stars. Nothing the B-list to relate to the kids these days...
2016-07-19 01:05:04, Tue	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-07-19 01:05:38, Tue	INBOX	And oh, Bob Dole!\n\nTHIS IS PATHETIC!!!!
2016-07-19 01:06:55, Tue	INBOX	And God production of PBS sucks tonight.

2016-07-19 02:23:29, Tue	OUTBOX	And wow, Donald Trump is an enormous d*uche.
2016-07-19 10:16:23, Tue	INBOX	Hi. How was Trump, other than a douche? Melania?
2016-07-19 10:18:31, Tue	OUTBOX	Trump barely spoke, but the first thing out of his mouth was "we're going to win soooo big." The whole thing is like living in a bad dream.
2016-07-19 10:19:41, Tue	INBOX	Jesus
2016-07-19 10:20:06, Tue	OUTBOX	Melania was perfectly fine, except the whole point of the spouse talking is to reveal those peesonal stories, what a kind human the candidate is. There was none of that.
2016-07-19 10:20:38, Tue	INBOX	
2016-07-19 10:21:20, Tue	INBOX	That was her job! What the hell did she talk about? Winning yuuuge?
2016-07-19 11:18:46, Tue	INBOX	Omg. You listening to npr? Apparently Melania's speech had passages lifted from Michele Obama's....unbelievable
2016-07-19 11:19:25, Tue	OUTBOX	NO WAY!
2016-07-19 11:20:06, Tue	OUTBOX	God, it's just a two-bit organization. I do so hope his disorganization comes to bite him hard in November.
2016-07-19 11:21:13, Tue	INBOX	It HAS to, right? Right?!? Panicked \U0001f628
2016-07-19 17:07:01, Tue	OUTBOX	Just ran into [REDACTED] It was like we were old pals...
2016-07-19 18:17:34, Tue	INBOX	Of course! He's not a bad person...
2016-07-19 18:34:51, Tue	OUTBOX	He's just not very good.
2016-07-19 18:40:41, Tue	OUTBOX	Will you bring a ream of paper?
2016-07-19 19:27:03, Tue	INBOX	Talked to Bill. Thanks for the 26 minute embargo. ;)\n\nLet me know when you're back, I'll bring paper
2016-07-19 21:32:38, Tue	INBOX	Hey [REDACTED] says Toscas may call Andy about the LHM preamble. \U0001f612
2016-07-19 21:32:39, Tue	INBOX	You staying for wrap?
2016-07-19 21:33:12, Tue	OUTBOX	Yeah, he mentioned it to me at the meeting, need to tell andy.
2016-07-19 21:37:09, Tue	INBOX	Who mentioned what?
2016-07-19 21:37:12, Tue	INBOX	Please don't undermine my credibility yet again...when the word is "this is it, no more changes," and I write, this is final, only it's not, Pete's word really isn't what it appears to be, I'll just call Andy...\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621

2016-07-19 21:39:40, Tue	INBOX	I'm not suggesting YOU ever would. You know what I'm saying (I hope)
2016-07-19 21:40:08, Tue	INBOX	"He" is George? Was he at the [REDACTED] meeting?
2016-07-19 21:54:35, Tue	INBOX	I'm really truly sorry. \U0001f614
2016-07-19 22:21:33, Tue	INBOX	Hey do you anticipate Andy will want to meet tomorrow on Congressional response? Various OGCers asking about deadline...m
2016-07-19 22:22:44, Tue	OUTBOX	No. Jim said he needed letter pushed to Thursday, andy said that was fine. Not sure about mtg tomorrow.
2016-07-19 22:22:58, Tue	OUTBOX	You guys ready with thoughts re referral?
2016-07-19 22:24:41, Tue	INBOX	I still haven't seen referral. Lync'd [REDACTED] about it earlier. \n\nAnd no. WF went through it and had comments, but I want to read it myself. Going to read tonight.
2016-07-19 22:26:15, Tue	OUTBOX	So why are you clamoring for a meeting if you're not ready to talk about your thoughts re the referral? That's one of the things on the list, right?
2016-07-19 22:26:43, Tue	OUTBOX	It's one of the emails that got forwarded from Kelly last week, I think.
2016-07-19 22:27:03, Tue	INBOX	Phew. I'm really glad. Was feeling just awful. \n\nI'm NOT clamoring for a meeting. Everyone is asking if we can have more time.
2016-07-19 22:27:18, Tue	INBOX	Plus, DOJ wants to sit down and do a walk thru of everything for [REDACTED]
2016-07-19 22:28:28, Tue	OUTBOX	Bottom line is there is not a meeting scheduled for tomorrow, and unless people want one, I wasn't planning on pushing for one.
2016-07-19 22:29:09, Tue	INBOX	Perfect
2016-07-19 22:57:54, Tue	INBOX	Oh and re above, I meant I haven't seen the draft response. I have the referral. I haven't seen OGC'S draft response.
2016-07-19 23:12:49, Tue	OUTBOX	Oh, got it.
2016-07-20 00:14:02, Wed	INBOX	Hopefully you get home in time for crazy - ass grain storage pyramid Ben Carson tonight.
2016-07-20 00:14:10, Wed	INBOX	Pence being introduced
2016-07-20 00:14:42, Wed	INBOX	Blech eating late.
2016-07-20 00:55:52, Wed	OUTBOX	Omg you need to read the top four most popular on nyt right now. Kinda makes you feel like this is all a bad dream?...
2016-07-20 00:58:22, Wed	INBOX	Hell, look at the top 6. I think the downfall of Rome was like this...
2016-07-20 01:12:03, Wed	OUTBOX	Mitch McConnell always reminds me of a turtle.

2016-07-20 01:14:54, Wed	OUTBOX	My god, the crowd looks soooooo bored.
2016-07-20 01:15:36, Wed	OUTBOX	Ha! No, that's Joseph Lieberman!
2016-07-20 01:22:23, Wed	INBOX	Amd Paul Ryan's a jerky
2016-07-20 11:17:14, Wed	INBOX	Hey quick request, before you mention [REDACTED] and Kelley to Andy, let me talk to Moffa. While she's on the team, it's his person, and I should engage with him before raising it up the chain.
2016-07-20 17:15:39, Wed	OUTBOX	Please. We spent the bulk of the time strategizing how to get you into that DAD job.
2016-07-20 17:51:08, Wed	OUTBOX	Hey you talk to anyone re chicago?
2016-07-21 01:12:56, Thu	INBOX	[REDACTED] just emailed asking when I was promoted. They're trying to shield me from a Fri FOIA release. He suggested I tighten my social media privacy in case they can't. \U0001f612
2016-07-21 08:52:19, Thu	OUTBOX	This is really shocking.\n\nDonald Trump Sets Conditions for Defending NATO Allies Against Attack http://nyti.ms/2ai4u3g
2016-07-21 09:09:58, Thu	OUTBOX	This campaign is like watching a train wreck happen over and over and over again.\n\nHow Donald Trump Picked His Running Mate http://nyti.ms/2a8aCJ9
2016-07-21 09:40:02, Thu	INBOX	Trump is a disaster. I have no idea how destabilizing his Presidency would be
2016-07-21 09:41:13, Thu	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-07-21 11:28:14, Thu	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-07-21 11:59:37, Thu	INBOX	And Lisa. Despite my sincerest hopes, you maintain you're leaving the Bu when Andy does. [REDACTED]
2016-07-22 00:43:06, Fri	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-07-22 00:54:14, Fri	INBOX	Maybe. Had a sudden turn to thinking maybe I'll just quit.\n\nCrank crank crank. \n\nNo reason \U0001f615
2016-07-22 00:55:28, Fri	OUTBOX	Okay, that was a quick turn. [REDACTED]
2016-07-22 00:55:46, Fri	INBOX	I don't understand the almost derision you had earlier when you said, "all you agents say that."

2016-07-22 00:56:46, Fri	OUTBOX	When?
2016-07-22 00:57:01, Fri	INBOX	And your comment isn't it. Just took a sudden turn to the worse.
2016-07-22 00:57:38, Fri	INBOX	This evening, on the phone. I was by the House
2016-07-22 00:58:26, Fri	OUTBOX	No derision, just don't complain that the fbi doesn't cede ex agents on the hill when you wouldn't do it either. Everyone's out to make money.
2016-07-22 02:11:17, Fri	INBOX	Im telling you, its ugly. Grumping about career progression and the difference in paths based on work topic. Simple question: should [REDACTED] be EAD of intel? Have you had any substantive conversation with him about any Intel topic?
2016-07-22 02:12:11, Fri	OUTBOX	I don't talk to anyone about intel. But he does appear to get it.
2016-07-22 02:12:23, Fri	INBOX	Anyway, that. \nI'm not proud of it. \nIt's not right, theres nothing I'm going to do about it, and I should shut up and be petulant in silence.
2016-07-22 02:12:33, Fri	INBOX	I'm not sure I agree
2016-07-22 02:12:49, Fri	OUTBOX	Okay. Well thanks for telling me.
2016-07-22 02:13:25, Fri	INBOX	Feel like a dick saying anything in light of your night.
2016-07-22 02:13:36, Fri	OUTBOX	We can talk more about it tomorrow. Mostly, I think this is just about accepting your choices.
2016-07-22 02:14:36, Fri	OUTBOX	Is there anyone in the Bureau who doesn't know that CT is the most important box to check? You chose not to, for good reason.
2016-07-22 02:14:50, Fri	OUTBOX	That it obviously made me very sad to hear that.
2016-07-22 02:14:56, Fri	INBOX	Whatever. [REDACTED]
2016-07-22 02:15:29, Fri	OUTBOX	And if you wanted that career path you could have chosen it.
2016-07-22 02:16:05, Fri	INBOX	It shouldn't be. What will threaten your kids and Andy's kids and my kids isn't the CT threat. [REDACTED] And someone needs to be smart enough and big enough to realize that and re prioritize. \n\nWhatever.
2016-07-22 02:17:00, Fri	OUTBOX	So write a note to the Director or something.
2016-07-22 02:17:10, Fri	OUTBOX	Yeah, probably.
2016-07-22 02:17:17, Fri	INBOX	Ha. That'll go a LONG way
2016-07-22 02:17:55, Fri	OUTBOX	Anonymously,,obviously.

Strzok-Paez Texts

2016-07-22 10:58:38, Fri	INBOX	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
2016-07-22 12:03:05, Fri	INBOX	I'm sending [REDACTED] to my 830...\U0001f612
2016-07-22 12:54:01, Fri	OUTBOX	I'm talking to [REDACTED] about American exceptionalism right now.
2016-07-22 13:01:04, Fri	OUTBOX	Pretty strongly in favor. As I said to [REDACTED] doesn't mean we're perfect or do everything right, but as a system of government, country of laws, I think we're pretty damn great.
2016-07-22 13:03:44, Fri	INBOX	But there's a difference between that and exceptionalism. Just look at the word. It implies not only that we're great, but that you suck. And that we are better and you should change to be like us. It goes hand in hand with American arrogance
2016-07-22 13:05:27, Fri	OUTBOX	I know. I get that. I'm using the term loosely, not in the political philosophy way.
2016-07-22 13:05:49, Fri	OUTBOX	And plus, I'm explaining why America is great: [REDACTED]
2016-07-22 13:10:47, Fri	INBOX	\U0001f60a\n\nGoing to have to bail on my offer of ride, just got called to Bills
2016-07-22 13:19:43, Fri	INBOX	And waiting outside his office...\U0001f612
2016-07-22 17:49:06, Fri	OUTBOX	On my way. Have mye Qs.
2016-07-22 17:49:28, Fri	INBOX	K [REDACTED] here
2016-07-22 19:29:14, Fri	OUTBOX	Mtg just moved to 3:45 [REDACTED]
2016-07-22 19:30:08, Fri	INBOX	K. Maybe after....hour mtg?
2016-07-22 19:34:28, Fri	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-07-22 19:43:34, Fri	OUTBOX	Great, sounds fun.
2016-07-22 21:50:17, Fri	INBOX	Just yelled at [REDACTED] for 15 minutes if you want to hear about it. ..
2016-07-22 22:30:16, Fri	INBOX	Oh, one more thing, [REDACTED] expressed frustration that Fed Programs won't tell him anything. ..
2016-07-22 22:33:12, Fri	OUTBOX	K. Will mention if Jim calls. \n\nWhat time so they get home?
2016-07-22 23:51:15, Fri	OUTBOX	One sec. [REDACTED] and Jim Baker called.
2016-07-22 23:58:47, Fri	OUTBOX	Also, Jim Baker said he understood and would think about it.
2016-07-22 23:59:06, Fri	INBOX	Oh good. Thank you.
2016-07-23 00:05:58, Sat	INBOX	Did you tell Jim that [REDACTED] wildly betrayed his confidence and [REDACTED] needs to pay a price for that?

2016-07-23 00:07:30, Sat	INBOX	B) Truly, it's like parenting a child (how he should think about punishing /not rewarding bad behavior). They're just bullies and we need to f*cking grow a pair.
2016-07-24 21:14:27, Sun	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] And I still need to read Moffa's thing.
2016-07-24 21:15:02, Sun	INBOX	You can read Moffa's thing tomorrow...won't take long.
2016-07-24 21:16:47, Sun	INBOX	Did you bring it home?
2016-07-24 21:17:04, Sun	INBOX	Moffa's thing
2016-07-24 21:17:05, Sun	OUTBOX	Oh the document? Yes.
2016-07-24 21:17:15, Sun	INBOX	Or via eras?
2016-07-24 23:26:28, Sun	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] then read Moffa's thing, [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
2016-07-24 23:37:51, Sun	INBOX	Boo. Though I've got to read it too. Going the eras route...
2016-07-24 23:47:30, Sun	OUTBOX	Though I will say it's a little bit of a challenge to read since I don't know her 302, and there's no commentary about why a particular passage was chosen.
2016-07-24 23:51:00, Sun	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-07-24 23:51:38, Sun	OUTBOX	Ah. So there's not necessarily an inconsistency hidden in there. Got it. Thanks, that helps.
2016-07-24 23:51:49, Sun	INBOX	And yeah, without the 302 I'm not sure how much you can really do other than familiarize yourself. [REDACTED]
2016-07-24 23:52:17, Sun	INBOX	No. Many - most - are fully consistent. Maybe even all.
2016-07-24 23:53:28, Sun	OUTBOX	Got into a disagreement with my two State friends. Nothing major, just making excuses for how they do business.
2016-07-24 23:53:40, Sun	OUTBOX	Remind me tomorrow.
2016-07-24 23:54:02, Sun	INBOX	Well they have a decades long pattern of it.
2016-07-25 00:09:56, Mon	OUTBOX	Jesus, did you read this?\n\n/s Donald Trump a Racist? http://nyti.ms/2aiqD08
2016-07-25 00:31:40, Mon	INBOX	Wildly unrelated, is [REDACTED] still chief judge of the fisc?
2016-07-25 00:32:45, Mon	OUTBOX	Don't think so. Just wiki the Fisc judges. It will tell you.
2016-07-25 00:33:29, Mon	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]

Strzok-Page Texts

2016-07-25 00:34:40, Mon	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-07-25 00:35:07, Mon	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-07-25 00:35:20, Mon	INBOX	[REDACTED] has lined up a CI threat briefing for [REDACTED] in advance of her travel to [REDACTED]. I want to get ci training lined up for the whole federal judiciary. I'm worried were sending the wrong folks to do the briefing.
2016-07-25 00:35:33, Mon	INBOX	I did. We talked about it before and after. I need to get together with him
2016-07-25 00:35:43, Mon	OUTBOX	So get the right folks in then!
2016-07-25 00:36:02, Mon	OUTBOX	You didn't tell me. \U0001f615
2016-07-25 00:36:19, Mon	INBOX	I just emailed him and [REDACTED] that he ([REDACTED]) and I should do it.
2016-07-25 00:36:24, Mon	INBOX	I did!
2016-07-25 00:37:18, Mon	OUTBOX	No, you definitely didn't.
2016-07-25 00:38:21, Mon	INBOX	I am almost certain I did. It may have been in passing in another discussion, but I have a memory of it.
2016-07-25 00:38:32, Mon	OUTBOX	I think that makes sense. Remind me to tell you frustrating convo I had with [REDACTED]
2016-07-25 00:39:00, Mon	INBOX	Ok. He won't let some things go....
2016-07-25 00:39:13, Mon	OUTBOX	I honestly truly don't think you did. I'm serious. I would have remembered that. It's too central in my life. [REDACTED]
2016-07-25 00:40:11, Mon	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-07-25 00:41:16, Mon	OUTBOX	Well I have zero recollection of it. Recent, old, on phone, in person, text, nothing.
2016-07-25 00:41:44, Mon	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-07-25 00:41:54, Mon	INBOX	In person.
2016-07-25 00:42:30, Mon	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-07-25 00:42:50, Mon	INBOX	Was Moffa's thing low side or high side? Can't find it
2016-07-25 00:43:09, Mon	INBOX	Oops, just found it
2016-07-25 00:43:10, Mon	OUTBOX	High.

Strzok-Page Texts

2016-07-25 00:44:07, Mon	OUTBOX	Peter. It wasn't me. No memory of minority mention or any of it. I'm sorry.
2016-07-25 00:44:47, Mon	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-07-25 00:50:43, Mon	INBOX	[REDACTED] So either in told you or wanted to tell you and hadn't. [REDACTED]
2016-07-25 00:52:07, Mon	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-07-25 00:52:23, Mon	OUTBOX	Anyway, maybe you meant to, but you didn't.
2016-07-25 00:53:08, Mon	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-07-25 00:53:55, Mon	INBOX	Ok, I believe you that I didn't. Thought I had. Happy to (indeed, wanted to and thought I did) talk about it with you.
2016-07-25 00:56:24, Mon	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-07-25 01:00:00, Mon	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-07-25 01:08:13, Mon	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-07-25 01:08:23, Mon	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-07-25 01:08:35, Mon	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-07-25 10:29:56, Mon	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-07-25 10:31:41, Mon	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-07-25 10:32:37, Mon	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-07-25 10:35:59, Mon	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-07-25 10:36:55, Mon	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-07-25 10:43:24, Mon	INBOX	[REDACTED]

2016-07-25 10:44:59, Mon	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-07-25 11:57:34, Mon	INBOX	Have to brief this guy from hp sci at 2
2016-07-25 13:10:20, Mon	OUTBOX	Was talking to andy, then rybicki, then new counsel guy.
2016-07-25 14:25:10, Mon	INBOX	Did Baker decide whether he's participating in [REDACTED] call?
2016-07-25 15:27:46, Mon	OUTBOX	Have not had a chance to ask, but I did check his calendar for today and tomorrow and didn't see it.
2016-07-25 17:01:16, Mon	INBOX	Hey having a quick mtg with Bill, [REDACTED]
2016-07-25 22:49:39, Mon	INBOX	Talking to Bill, want to catch up with you later. \U0001f615
2016-07-25 23:44:38, Mon	INBOX	Leave = jeh, not Andy's office, right?
2016-07-26 01:50:00, Tue	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] now about to read my letter while I listen to Corey Booker. He's doing very well.
2016-07-26 01:52:02, Tue	OUTBOX	Hey, in case you haven't already, can you answer Jim's question re [REDACTED]
2016-07-26 01:52:21, Tue	INBOX	[REDACTED] letter? I thought Baker's comments were ok. It's amazing to me how much better this convention is. [REDACTED]
2016-07-26 01:52:30, Tue	INBOX	Which one [REDACTED]
2016-07-26 01:55:25, Tue	INBOX	Btw, any more discussion about JB not joining the call with [REDACTED] tomorrow?
2016-07-26 02:03:00, Tue	OUTBOX	It's SO much more positive.
2016-07-26 02:03:15, Tue	OUTBOX	I haven't heard anything more from Jim.
2016-07-26 02:03:38, Tue	INBOX	Sigh. [REDACTED] was really upset.
2016-07-26 02:05:46, Tue	INBOX	And so so so much better organized and coordinated than the rnc...
2016-07-26 02:06:02, Tue	OUTBOX	Really upset that he would join the call? I get it. :(
2016-07-26 02:07:02, Tue	INBOX	Yes. And even more at [REDACTED] and what she thought was utterly unprofessional behavior on his part. Which I also get.
2016-07-26 02:08:11, Tue	INBOX	I just hope if JB participates, that somebody preps him. Because I'm not sure he knows the points to make on the call.\n\nMe too. \U0001f636

2016-07-26 02:30:57, Tue	INBOX	Here's what I'm about to send everyone in answer to JB's question about [REDACTED]
2016-07-26 02:34:22, Tue	OUTBOX	Hmm. Should we send that? Maybe just find a quick moment to discuss it with him tomorrow?
2016-07-26 02:35:29, Tue	INBOX	Either way. I want him to have the answer. We also have a-c privilege and deliberative process slapped all over it, but I take your point.
2016-07-26 02:36:23, Tue	OUTBOX	Let's just try to slip in and see him in the morning.
2016-07-26 02:36:27, Tue	INBOX	Bottom line: it wasn't key to what we were looking at and it certainly was waaaay below the cut line of getting this done with the timeliness the D wanted.
2016-07-26 02:36:39, Tue	INBOX	I have [REDACTED] early. \U0001f612
2016-07-26 02:37:10, Tue	OUTBOX	Well I won't be there before [REDACTED] anyway.
2016-07-26 02:46:45, Tue	OUTBOX	Your boy Bernie better not f this up. ;)
2016-07-26 02:56:05, Tue	INBOX	Just sent you background on [REDACTED]
2016-07-26 03:23:02, Tue	INBOX	Jesus Christ how can he talk that long?!?!?!?
2016-07-26 03:26:36, Tue	OUTBOX	Okay, I stopped watching him like 20 minutes ago. I just don't care. \n\nThis however, was terribly charming. I \u2764 her. \n\nFirst Lady Michelle Obama Carpool Karaoke - YouTube\nhttps://m.youtube.com/watch?v=ln3wAdRAim4
2016-07-26 03:54:19, Tue	OUTBOX	Well that's a relief! :)\n\nJust watched your video (think I had seen it) and a couple others. [REDACTED]
2016-07-26 11:48:26, Tue	INBOX	Just was talking with him...want to talk with you about strategies of what Bill wants to do with Brief.
2016-07-26 15:31:31, Tue	INBOX	Hey do you want [REDACTED] on low side or high side? And how do you want to tell Baker the answer to his [REDACTED] question?

2016-07-26 15:33:39, Tue	OUTBOX	Oh yeah, forgot we wanted to do that.
2016-07-26 15:57:47, Tue	OUTBOX	Still in here
2016-07-26 16:06:40, Tue	OUTBOX	Headed to my ofc now
2016-07-26 16:09:27, Tue	OUTBOX	Bring your wallet. Jim wants to meet at 430 instead.
2016-07-26 18:08:34, Tue	OUTBOX	Stay there. Only have 20 minutes before I need to see andy again
2016-07-26 18:11:39, Tue	INBOX	Mainly trying to one Starbucks run...want something later post-Andy mtg and pre-4:30 with Baker?
2016-07-26 18:23:03, Tue	OUTBOX	We can try. I need to finish this letter today though. And I need to get out right after wrap.
2016-07-26 23:54:42, Tue	INBOX	And hey. Congrats on a woman nominated for President in a major party!\n\nAbout damn time! Many many more returns of the day!\n\U0001f60a
2016-07-26 23:56:57, Tue	OUTBOX	\U0001f60a That's cute. Thanks. \U0001f636
2016-07-26 23:58:20, Tue	INBOX	I had to [REDACTED] when Bernie just now moved for her nomination. \U0001f636\U0001f636\U0001f636
2016-07-26 23:58:48, Tue	OUTBOX	I'm not watching. What happened?
2016-07-27 00:02:08, Wed	INBOX	They went thru roll call, she got enough votes about an hour ago. Vermont went last, they cast their votes. Then introduced Bernie, who called for some procedural things then moved for HRC to become the Democratic Nominee.
2016-07-27 00:02:41, Wed	INBOX	Chills, just because I'm-a homer for American democracy that way. \U0001f636\U0001f636\U0001f636\U0001f636
2016-07-27 00:03:06, Wed	INBOX	If they played patriotic music or did something with the flag and an honor guard, I probably would have teared up.....
2016-07-27 00:05:18, Wed	INBOX	Turn on pbs!
2016-07-27 00:07:14, Wed	INBOX	Oh God, Holder! Turn it off turn it off turn it off!!!!
2016-07-27 00:11:56, Wed	OUTBOX	Sigh. Thank you. \U0001f636
2016-07-27 00:11:47, Wed	OUTBOX	Yeah, I saw him yesterday and booed at the tv. \U0001f60a
2016-07-27 00:15:56, Wed	INBOX	And [REDACTED] asked, since she's married to a man, there's no First Lady. What is there?
2016-07-27 00:16:57, Wed	INBOX	(who's gonna be an utter charismatic hound dog, btw...)
2016-07-27 00:21:39, Wed	OUTBOX	Yeah, it is pretty cool. She just has to win now. I'm not going to lie, I got a flash of nervousness yesterday about trump. The sandernistas have the potential to make a very big mistake here...

2016-07-27 00:32:09, Wed	INBOX	I'm not worried about them. I'm worried about the anarchist Assanges who will take fed information and disclose it to disrupt. \n [REDACTED]
2016-07-27 00:37:35, Wed	INBOX	Did you watch the D's happy birthday fbi message?
2016-07-27 00:47:48, Wed	OUTBOX	I didn't yet - I worked my tail off today. I heard he made a Clinton reference though, no?
2016-07-27 00:50:29, Wed	INBOX	He did. Any referenced - again - that it wasn't just his decision, that it was the teams'
2016-07-27 00:52:32, Wed	INBOX	More than a reference. Two points: terrorism Miami St Bernadino everywhere we're vigilant; second, HRC. Right decision. You may disagree but don't you dare think or say it was biased.
2016-07-27 00:53:11, Wed	INBOX	Thinking of having lunch with Randy and seeking his support at the board. What do you think?
2016-07-27 00:55:12, Wed	INBOX	If he's calling on MY credibility, my character, my career, my integrity, to defend his decision, that's gotta be worth something, right?
2016-07-27 00:58:50, Wed	OUTBOX	I think it can't hurt. He's been reaching out, right?
2016-07-27 00:59:31, Wed	OUTBOX	I would probably just wait to see if he asks what your next steps are. I wouldn't bring it up if he doesn't
2016-07-27 01:16:08, Wed	INBOX	Just saw nyt feed. Jon said D's first Q at brief was about the case/two emails?
2016-07-27 01:44:45, Wed	OUTBOX	Maybe not the first but it came up very soon thereafter.
2016-07-27 01:45:08, Wed	OUTBOX	Yeah, I saw it too. [REDACTED] so it wasn't us.
2016-07-27 01:51:37, Wed	INBOX	I want to hear about it. [REDACTED] funny, he doesn't always share about stuff like that (and didn't much in this case). \n\nGuess I could ask him.
2016-07-27 02:10:19, Wed	OUTBOX	Dammit, I just turned on the convention. I'm tired! But Bill is going to suck me in!
2016-07-27 02:10:52, Wed	INBOX	Of course he is. \n [REDACTED]
2016-07-27 02:11:55, Wed	INBOX	Oh God, he's OLD \U0001f62f\U0001f622
2016-07-27 02:11:58, Wed	OUTBOX	And hey guess what, big surprise. [REDACTED] is a big R Arkansas Clinton-hater.
2016-07-27 02:12:28, Wed	OUTBOX	And so skinny!
2016-07-27 02:13:22, Wed	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-07-27 02:13:23, Wed	INBOX	Yeah. Really old. And missing words. But still charming.
2016-07-27 02:14:28, Wed	OUTBOX	He's not using a teleprompter. He should

2016-07-27 02:14:58, Wed	INBOX	You sure?
2016-07-27 02:15:21, Wed	INBOX	Oh gosh his fingers Re trembling
2016-07-27 02:16:56, Wed	OUTBOX	I know. I saw that too.
2016-07-27 02:17:58, Wed	OUTBOX	Yeah, maybe he is using one
2016-07-27 02:18:10, Wed	INBOX	He's def using teleprompter
2016-07-27 02:20:40, Wed	INBOX	Will he get all 50 states before his speech is thru?
2016-07-27 02:50:07, Wed	OUTBOX	It's fine, but not like Michelle.
2016-07-27 02:50:55, Wed	INBOX	He's doing better now. He gets energy from the crowd.
2016-07-27 02:53:03, Wed	OUTBOX	Yup, he does.
2016-07-27 02:54:17, Wed	INBOX	So sad, the comment about more yesterdays than tomorrows. \U0001f622\n\nAnd i don't like Chelsea! Her husband even less...\U0001f612
2016-07-27 02:57:07, Wed	OUTBOX	I like Chelsea fine. Why not?
2016-07-27 03:00:18, Wed	INBOX	Self entitled. Feels she deserves something she hasn't earned
2016-07-27 03:02:01, Wed	OUTBOX	Why do you think that?
2016-07-27 03:02:17, Wed	OUTBOX	And crap! It's late again!
2016-07-27 09:46:32, Wed	INBOX	God I'm tired. No convention tonight for me...
2016-07-27 09:51:49, Wed	OUTBOX	Though Tim Kaine will likely speak.
2016-07-27 23:58:38, Wed	INBOX	Arghh. Talked to █████ SO frustrating. █████ \n\nAlso VERY different recounting from JB of call they had with █████
2016-07-28 00:12:25, Thu	OUTBOX	No █████ it's not. STFU.
2016-07-28 00:12:58, Thu	OUTBOX	Oh god. How different? Trisha and █████ were on the call - can't we get confirmation from them?
2016-07-28 00:16:21, Thu	INBOX	Primary thing was no mention of perhaps doj over promised. I'll ask █████ tomorrow. Trisha won't tell (at least me) because it's secretsecretsecret. Sort of like this goddamni █████ Jon and I can't see...yet...\U0001f612
2016-07-28 00:18:53, Thu	OUTBOX	He didn't say he said that. That was just offline to us.

2016-07-28 00:21:17, Thu	INBOX	I understand that. [REDACTED] description of their behavior was based on a different interpretation ' [REDACTED] must have really over promised her clients," "glad they got to see first hand how unreasonable she is, witness the yelling, etc etc"
2016-07-28 00:22:07, Thu	OUTBOX	Oh. Yeah, I didn't credit that at all.
2016-07-28 00:36:58, Thu	OUTBOX	Ha. First line made me smile. \n\nWhat Does the US Government Know About Russia and the DNC Hack? - Lawfare\nhttps://www.lawfareblog.com/what-does-us-government-know-about-russia-and-dnc-hack
2016-07-28 00:42:34, Thu	INBOX	Interesting. Good comments about Comey, too\n\nTrump and the Powers of the American Presidency, Part III - Lawfare\nhttps://www.lawfareblog.com/trump-and-powers-american-presidency-part-iii
2016-07-28 00:55:29, Thu	OUTBOX	Damn. Didn't realize Panetta was on already.
2016-07-28 00:59:03, Thu	OUTBOX	Stupid*ss Bernie supporters shouting no more war so that he couldn't be heard hardly at all. I'm sorry, they're idiots.
2016-07-28 01:01:27, Thu	INBOX	They really are.
2016-07-28 01:02:21, Thu	INBOX	And he was a really important speaker for them. \U0001f621
2016-07-28 01:04:06, Thu	OUTBOX	I know. I'm really angry.
2016-07-28 01:18:01, Thu	OUTBOX	I really really like Joe Biden.
2016-07-28 01:24:09, Thu	INBOX	Was literally grabbing phone to say Joe's doing great!
2016-07-28 01:26:14, Thu	OUTBOX	He's just a really sincere guy.
2016-07-28 01:29:15, Thu	INBOX	Bob said he was absolutely beloved by Delaware State Police. And funny story about him and gtwn basketball team in China a few years ago. Too long for here.
2016-07-28 01:38:44, Thu	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] \U0001f621\n\nTrump & Putin. Yes, It's Really a Thing\nhttp://talkingpointsmemo.com/edblog/trump-putin-yes-it-s-really-a-thing
2016-07-28 01:51:10, Thu	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-07-28 01:54:20, Thu	INBOX	This article highlights the thing I mentioned to you earlier, asking if Bill had noted it to 7th floor. I'm going to send it to him
2016-07-28 02:01:15, Thu	INBOX	I'm not going to be able to hold out until Obama...
2016-07-28 10:04:56, Thu	INBOX	Hi. I'll be glad when the convention is over...I'm exhausted. Tom Kaine's a little weird. \n\nAnd it's a gorgeous sunrise...
2016-07-28 10:34:30, Thu	OUTBOX	I also need this convention to be over. I'm extremely tired.

2016-07-28 11:01:14, Thu	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-07-28 11:05:13, Thu	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-07-28 11:07:00, Thu	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-07-28 11:07:18, Thu	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-07-28 11:08:35, Thu	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-07-28 11:08:55, Thu	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-07-28 11:08:56, Thu	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-07-28 11:09:34, Thu	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-07-28 11:10:22, Thu	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-07-28 11:11:21, Thu	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-07-28 11:11:58, Thu	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-07-28 11:49:46, Thu	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-07-28 11:52:08, Thu	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-07-28 14:05:01, Thu	INBOX	Ok, now I'm angry...George called Bill [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 0001f621
2016-07-28 14:05:43, Thu	OUTBOX	Yeah, that's irritating. Let them overrule us, then.
2016-07-28 15:34:25, Thu	INBOX	Who is that [REDACTED] woman?
2016-07-28 15:36:35, Thu	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]. Head of the [REDACTED] unit.
2016-07-28 15:40:34, Thu	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] but to lunch with [REDACTED] \U0001f612
2016-07-28 15:59:45, Thu	INBOX	Oh boy. Working the board...

2016-07-28 16:00:24, Thu	INBOX	I have better connections;)
2016-07-28 16:00:49, Thu	INBOX	Wonder how he knows him
2016-07-28 16:00:57, Thu	OUTBOX	Yeah, no clue.
2016-07-28 16:03:57, Thu	INBOX	Gotta make sure I time Randy right
2016-07-28 16:10:21, Thu	INBOX	And this is one of those instances where I'm proud of the people I know and don't know....
2016-07-28 16:10:54, Thu	OUTBOX	You should be.
2016-07-28 18:28:00, Thu	OUTBOX	Just finished meeting with [REDACTED] Don't care for him. \U0001f612
2016-07-28 18:28:35, Thu	OUTBOX	No. Need to go see andy
2016-07-28 18:30:05, Thu	INBOX	And I'm not surprised. All indications are he's a d*ck. Want to hear about it, of course.
2016-07-28 18:36:58, Thu	OUTBOX	Of course. Ttyl.
2016-07-28 22:27:39, Thu	INBOX	Just done with Bill you in office
2016-07-28 22:28:09, Thu	OUTBOX	I'm going to bills right now. Figured you were there. :(
2016-07-28 22:28:28, Thu	INBOX	He left.
2016-07-28 22:28:33, Thu	INBOX	I'll come up
2016-07-28 22:28:44, Thu	OUTBOX	For sure he's gone?
2016-07-29 10:48:22, Fri	INBOX	Watched hillary is all
2016-07-29 10:49:24, Fri	INBOX	She was ok. B+\n\nChelsea was awful. Tried to do Bills up close sharing. Didn't come across as genuine. Plus, she has a HORRIBLE billy goat speech tic
2016-07-29 16:31:08, Fri	OUTBOX	Yeah, I didn't go. Too busy. Lunching with DD. Locking this up now
2016-07-29 17:16:48, Fri	INBOX	Hey if you discussed new case with Andy would appreciate any input /guidance before we talk to Bill at 3. Let me know I'm happy to come up if that's easier.
2016-07-29 21:20:25, Fri	OUTBOX	Hey, can you ask jon to stick around?
2016-07-29 21:20:46, Fri	OUTBOX	Going to try to finalize the lhm tonight
2016-07-29 22:17:01, Fri	INBOX	Oh - and Trisha mentioned to [REDACTED] to put [REDACTED] on this new case for seniority until she comes back from al....

2016-07-29 22:40:47, Fri	INBOX	Oh. News breaking Russians hacked Clinton email. \n\nAlso, you talk to Eric? \n\nAnd yeah, re [REDACTED] \U0001f612 I told [REDACTED] to tell Trisha not to bother, that [REDACTED] can cover a week.
2016-07-29 22:45:40, Fri	INBOX	Ooh just saw on CNN first campaign add showing Gowdy and Comey's back and forth with a "should HRC face criminal charges" survey via 800 number.
2016-07-29 22:48:34, Fri	OUTBOX	I agree re [REDACTED]
2016-07-29 22:49:02, Fri	OUTBOX	Yeah Kortan told us DCCC and Hillary Campaign hacked.
2016-07-29 22:50:32, Fri	OUTBOX	Spoke to eric. No real information, but she clearly wants to come back in a year. Over my dead body. Need to talk to rybicki. Spent a lot of talking with her.
2016-07-29 22:51:58, Fri	INBOX	WTF!!!! The new guy, then her, again?!?\n\nShe can be a special assistant to the head of the TSC... \U0001f612\U0001f612\U0001f612
2016-07-29 22:55:55, Fri	INBOX	Hey also note in the email you forwarded from [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
2016-07-29 23:14:09, Fri	OUTBOX	Thanks. I didn't read it closely. Do you want t me to reach out to [REDACTED]
2016-07-29 23:16:43, Fri	INBOX	I don't know. What's the ask [REDACTED]
2016-07-29 23:17:11, Fri	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-07-30 00:26:38, Sat	INBOX	It will be great. Lots of light, it will be just fine. \n\nUnrelated, I cannot BELIEVE [REDACTED] thinks she can get back in. Talk about cognitive dissonance.
2016-07-30 00:26:46, Sat	INBOX	And what it Rybicki thinking? !?!
2016-07-30 00:27:28, Sat	OUTBOX	I know. Where? What on earth would she do?
2016-07-30 00:27:46, Sat	OUTBOX	I don't think he's entertaining anything.
2016-07-30 00:29:36, Sat	INBOX	Oh there's always room somewhere, just look at [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] and and and. Could replace [REDACTED] \n\nOh. I thought he was the one who she had pitched. At length.
2016-07-30 00:30:00, Sat	INBOX	I GUARANTEE Randy would take her, though he'll be gone
2016-07-30 00:30:52, Sat	OUTBOX	I do think she was talking to him about it. But really, he would never. If he did, he'd be an utter lying hypocrite.
2016-07-30 00:31:34, Sat	OUTBOX	I do think it's funny that she's not trying to get back to doj. Or maybe she is. Who knows.
2016-07-30 00:32:11, Sat	INBOX	Probably both. But doj's too smart to rehire

2016-07-30 00:32:33, Sat	OUTBOX	Yes. That's my guess too.
2016-07-30 11:46:12, Sat	OUTBOX	Hey, do you want me to respond to [REDACTED]
2016-07-30 11:47:19, Sat	OUTBOX	He emailed.
2016-07-30 11:47:45, Sat	INBOX	I didn't get a email notification. Let me go check
2016-07-30 11:48:40, Sat	INBOX	Stupid phones.
2016-07-30 11:49:41, Sat	INBOX	I'll respond. Answer is we're telling verbally via OGC channels of our intent to do so and following with written notice along with list of what we're providing?
2016-07-30 11:51:08, Sat	OUTBOX	Yes, but we're not doing either until we meet with DOJ again and have come to final agreement about what we're turning over.
2016-07-30 11:51:26, Sat	INBOX	Right
2016-07-30 12:35:37, Sat	INBOX	Just got this from Jon. Couldn't agree more:\nI've mentally moved on to the next big thing. All this back and forth w/ DOJ on docs seems needlessly petty and irrelevant at this point. Not to them I guess...
2016-07-30 12:45:59, Sat	OUTBOX	Totally right there with you. Was thinking before bed last night that it is going to be hard to ramp up for testimony on MYE in the fall bc none of us are going to care at all anymore.
2016-07-30 12:50:52, Sat	INBOX	Do you know if Andy got concurrence back from George about the preamble? No need to ask Andy right now, I think we can in very good faith date the LHM July, 2016.
2016-07-30 13:07:00, Sat	OUTBOX	No, I haven't heard back.
2016-07-30 13:08:45, Sat	INBOX	Np problem, it can absolutely wait. It's funny, I didn't see how last night's made any real difference, but of it makes DoJ happier, great.
2016-07-30 13:25:01, Sat	INBOX	Note addition of Jon and [REDACTED] to last email
2016-07-30 13:30:50, Sat	OUTBOX	You saw this, right? It was incredibly moving.\n\nIn Tribute to Son, Khizr Khan Offered Citizenship Lesson at Convention http://nyti.ms/2azktsN
2016-07-30 13:32:53, Sat	OUTBOX	Oh, you should go watch it. It was quite powerful. Read the article too.
2016-07-30 15:32:20, Sat	INBOX	Hi. [REDACTED] I'm partial to any woman sending articles about how nasty the Russians are. [REDACTED]

2016-07-30 15:35:52, Sat	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] hate them. I think they're probably the worst. Very little I find redeeming about this. Even in history. Couple of good writers and artists I guess. [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
2016-07-30 15:41:26, Sat	INBOX	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] \nB) f*cking conniving cheating savages. At statecraft, athletics, you name it. I'm glad I'm on Team USA. [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] [REDACTED] ... \nD) talking with [REDACTED], who's been great. Going back through acting DCM. All good, and asked him to keep quiet, bu+H3382t I think it's likely he will inform main State and they may call over to see what's going on. Will forward you the update I'm about to send Bill
2016-07-30 18:24:56, Sat	INBOX	And unrelated, re work, of course I thought about you going. No chance Andy would want someone there for visibility?
2016-07-30 18:25:27, Sat	OUTBOX	One sec, [REDACTED]
2016-07-30 18:25:44, Sat	OUTBOX	No chance of me going. He trusts you guys.
2016-07-30 18:26:39, Sat	INBOX	[REDACTED] And poop. I'm glad he does, but just saying. Poop.
2016-07-30 20:35:35, Sat	OUTBOX	The Real Plot Against America http://nyti.ms/2amhEYR
2016-07-30 21:56:01, Sat	OUTBOX	\u2018I\u2019m Resigned to Having a Terrible President\u2019 http://nyti.ms/2aoV6GV
2016-07-31 16:36:02, Sun	INBOX	[REDACTED] doing a million administrative things, dealing with personnel tweaks.
2016-07-31 23:10:23, Sun	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-07-31 23:11:35, Sun	INBOX	And damn this feels momentous. Because this matters. The other one did, too, but that was to ensure we didn't F something up. This matters because this MATTERS. \n\nSo super glad to be on this voyage with you. \U0001f636
2016-07-31 23:12:06, Sun	INBOX	Roger thanks
2016-07-31 23:13:52, Sun	INBOX	Thanks for doing that...
2016-07-31 23:14:59, Sun	INBOX	Well wait a minute. Sentinel now shows [REDACTED] Go with this one.
2016-07-31 23:15:58, Sun	INBOX	10 4
2016-07-31 23:20:46, Sun	OUTBOX	So on the other text, is Moffa [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]
2016-07-31 23:27:00, Sun	INBOX	Yes, but not sure which is which

2016-07-31 23:28:52, Sun	OUTBOX	Don't you have their numbers in your phone?
2016-07-31 23:30:01, Sun	INBOX	Sigh. Yes. But I need to go check...
2016-07-31 23:30:32, Sun	INBOX	Plus I don't want the DD's office texting my people direct. I'm a control freak. :D
2016-07-31 23:31:03, Sun	INBOX	Other way around. [REDACTED]
2016-07-31 23:31:11, Sun	OUTBOX	Oh please. I will find that out right quick. This is all about whether you want to make it easy on yourself.
2016-07-31 23:31:17, Sun	OUTBOX	Ha. Thanks.
2016-07-31 23:39:02, Sun	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] This makes me very angry. \n\nDonald Trump\u2019s Confrontation With Muslim Soldier\u2019s Parents Emerges as Unexpected Flash Point http://nyti.ms/2aEwvz
2016-07-31 23:41:10, Sun	INBOX	Yeah I'm furious about it. Interwebs are on fire. [REDACTED]
2016-08-01 01:38:23, Mon	OUTBOX	I mean seriously. What in the hell is this guy talking about? \n\nDonald Trump Gives Questionable Explanation of Events in Ukraine http://nyti.ms/2arMCyV
2016-08-01 01:58:10, Mon	OUTBOX	How Paul Manafort Wielded Power in Ukraine Before Advising Donald Trump http://nyti.ms/2aFy026
2016-08-01 16:11:37, Mon	OUTBOX	I am just waiting for my calendar to open so I can check Andy's schedule and then I can go.
2016-08-01 20:07:55, Mon	INBOX	Did Andy mention if he talked to [REDACTED]? Don't ask if he didn't, just curious.
2016-08-01 20:11:03, Mon	OUTBOX	He didn't reach him. He said he would try again.
2016-08-01 20:38:03, Mon	OUTBOX	Ha. That's fine. I'm walking down to andy now.
2016-08-01 21:01:13, Mon	OUTBOX	Ho boy. Don't tell moffa, but andy is cancelling their brief. And he wants it first.
2016-08-01 21:06:27, Mon	INBOX	Worried about it?
2016-08-01 21:06:44, Mon	INBOX	I think that's smart. Bill may need a little saving from himself....
2016-08-01 21:56:10, Mon	OUTBOX	Also, Andy spoke to [REDACTED] was out, he has a POC for you over there when you need it.
2016-08-01 22:07:25, Mon	OUTBOX	Brief for tomorrow is just for DD now. It's better that way, I think.
2016-08-02 12:04:11, Tue	OUTBOX	Hi! Good meeting? :)

2016-08-02 12:04:29, Tue	INBOX	
2016-08-02 12:04:43, Tue	INBOX	With the [REDACTED], yes, good meeting
2016-08-02 12:05:22, Tue	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] Whoa.
2016-08-02 12:06:10, Tue	OUTBOX	Make sure you can lawfully protect what you sign. Just thinking about congress, foia, etc.
2016-08-02 12:07:06, Tue	OUTBOX	I'm sure it's fine, I just don't know how protection of intel-type stuff works in that context.
2016-08-02 12:07:21, Tue	OUTBOX	You probably know better than me.
2016-08-02 12:07:43, Tue	INBOX	Oh. You're Gering this to review. I TOLD you that you should have come. ;)
2016-08-02 12:19:34, Tue	INBOX	Getting, not Gering. Just sent to your fbnet email. \n\nAnd hi. \U0001f636\U0001f636\U0001f636
2016-08-02 12:20:22, Tue	OUTBOX	K. I probably won't be in until about 9. Could you send to [REDACTED] and trisha too?
2016-08-02 12:41:51, Tue	INBOX	\u0500\u03b3\u0201I did. \n\nBut if you were here, you could be reading it now as we wa
2016-08-02 12:42:47, Tue	OUTBOX	I know. :(\n\nI just called trisha to make sure she looks at it soon. She is acting Jim so probably still in morning meetings.
2016-08-02 12:45:39, Tue	INBOX	@ + @Ooh ooh ooh. [REDACTED] also told [REDACTED] at their mentoring session, "one day I can see you on my staff." \n\nAnd "ive cracked the code. Come to me and I'll tell y
2016-08-02 12:48:06, Tue	INBOX	@ + @ou how to get where you want to go." \n\nWhat an ASTOUNDING douche
2016-08-02 13:36:19, Tue	INBOX	Dude hurry up and get in. I worry ogc is making happy to glad changes which are nice to have but not legally necessary and which will derail this thing.
2016-08-02 13:37:09, Tue	OUTBOX	I'm here. Reading it now
2016-08-02 14:37:30, Tue	INBOX	Interesting fact. Guy we're about to interview was [REDACTED]
2016-08-02 16:56:19, Tue	OUTBOX	Yeah, that's helpful [REDACTED] is calling you a bunch of d*cks right now.
2016-08-02 17:00:39, Tue	INBOX	Tell him to suck it! Enjoy your presentation about background investigations!
2016-08-02 17:01:09, Tue	OUTBOX	We're all in the same place then. Ttyl.
2016-08-02 17:50:13, Tue	OUTBOX	Have moffa and [REDACTED] here. Stand by.
2016-08-02 17:56:53, Tue	INBOX	You knew THIS was inevitable...
2016-08-02 18:17:32, Tue	INBOX	Oh good lord. Did you see Laufman's email?

2016-08-02 18:36:59, Tue	OUTBOX	I did have to field an awkward "so who are you? And what are you doing on this case" kind of question from [REDACTED] Jon did me a solid and answered for me. :)
2016-08-02 19:27:22, Tue	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] holier-[REDACTED]
2016-08-02 19:55:44, Tue	INBOX	And you HAVE had to deal with [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] I thought [REDACTED] was out all this week
2016-08-02 21:53:59, Tue	INBOX	Hi. [REDACTED] emailed me, so im hoping that might mean you're done...\U0001f636
2016-08-02 22:44:57, Tue	INBOX	@ 00 @And hey. I just had an extraordinary flash of anger that our long-stated desire to DoJ to get us comments by Thursday in order to have a meeting on Frida
2016-08-02 22:45:01, Tue	INBOX	@ 00 @y was trumped by [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] being absent. You know what? I'm absent now. I'll be back on Wed. So, DoJ, stick to the original time frame we've had
2016-08-02 22:45:02, Tue	INBOX	@ 00 @so Pete can be present. I guess [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] being there is SO much more important. Crank crank crank. \n\nAre we still having a meeting on Fri?
2016-08-02 23:03:21, Tue	INBOX	@ 8 @ And just heard from Jon. Thanks so so much for having the discipline to wait another 24 hours to have the first meeting about the case with DoJ. I reall
2016-08-02 23:25:44, Tue	INBOX	@ 8 @y appreciate it.
2016-08-02 23:30:47, Tue	OUTBOX	Jesus. There's a lot to read here. Let me call [REDACTED] check in with andy, and I will call you.
2016-08-03 00:57:16, Wed	INBOX	@ P @Yeah well I'm going to go write some recollections from the interviews this afternoon. The fact that I'm thinking of things that I just mentioned right n
2016-08-03 00:57:17, Wed	INBOX	@ P @ow that I didn't immediately remember makes me think I need to get them jotted down.
2016-08-03 00:57:50, Wed	INBOX	Ooh. Remind me about the scif and the embassy history.
2016-08-03 00:58:10, Wed	INBOX	And I want to tell you now. \U0001f636
2016-08-03 00:58:33, Wed	INBOX	The first part needs to be on another system, though. Or in person.
2016-08-03 01:07:28, Wed	INBOX	Oooh. And remind me [REDACTED] \U0001f628
2016-08-03 01:08:44, Wed	OUTBOX	Think so. Not sure. For sure his RNC experience is going to be a zero, don't know enough to tell more.
2016-08-03 01:09:26, Wed	INBOX	A zero in terms of not the same characters?
2016-08-03 01:10:12, Wed	INBOX	Also, lhm preamble is fine. I cannot believe how long it took \U0001f612
2016-08-03 01:10:25, Wed	OUTBOX	Just don't think it's going to be useful. Think interactions are going to be more with his security folks. (Bc he has private, ex-Bu ones in addition to the Service).

Strzok-Page Texts

2016-08-03 01:11:02, Wed	OUTBOX	Yeah, George finally got it when I explained why Andy was concerned and first asked for it. That helped, I think.
2016-08-03 01:17:00, Wed	INBOX	@ h0 @So looking at this form, I think we need to consider the lines of what we disclose to DoJ. For example, the last stipulation notes we will not disclose t
2016-08-03 01:17:03, Wed	INBOX	@ h0 @he identities outside the FBI. I think we and they could live with that. \n\nAnd frankly, I think you might argue the unauthorized disclosure might reasona
2016-08-03 01:17:05, Wed	INBOX	@ h0 @bly be expected to cause exceptionally grave damage to US national security...
2016-08-03 01:40:24, Wed	INBOX	@ p █ talked about the Embassy. It's the longest continuously staffed establishment in London (he noted the Austrian was the oldest, but they were thrown ou
2016-08-03 01:40:34, Wed	INBOX	@ p @t during the War (s)
2016-08-03 01:43:20, Wed	OUTBOX	█
2016-08-03 01:43:42, Wed	INBOX	█
2016-08-03 01:47:56, Wed	INBOX	█ █ █ █
2016-08-03 01:47:57, Wed	INBOX	█ █ █ █
2016-08-03 01:50:26, Wed	INBOX	█ █
2016-08-03 01:50:27, Wed	INBOX	Random fact? (I'm looking at the dip list to get a name) Armenian Ambassador to London? Armen Sarkissian. Wife Nouneh.
2016-08-03 02:14:50, Wed	OUTBOX	FYI, just checked yellow, and there are POCs for you from both OGAs waiting there for you. Both may have already reached out. Safe travels home.
2016-08-03 07:33:34, Wed	OUTBOX	New case. Information flow. Control.
2016-08-03 07:34:14, Wed	OUTBOX	Andy. The dynamic. \n\nAnd yeah, but it's not mine to worry about.
2016-08-03 07:35:59, Wed	INBOX	@ (1 @Right there with you. Already told █ █
2016-08-03 07:36:03, Wed	INBOX	█ \n\nI plan on telling Bill I'm obviously going to tell him anything he wants to know, but recommend we not tell him or highe
2016-08-03 07:36:05, Wed	INBOX	@ (1 @r specific data so that he and higher can tell DOJ, even we don't know the admin details.
2016-08-03 07:36:24, Wed	INBOX	You're Andy's counsel. By definition it's yours to worry about.

2016-08-03 07:37:02, Wed	OUTBOX	No it's not. Not like this. He's clearly not.
2016-08-03 07:38:31, Wed	INBOX	\u0500\u0318\u0302this is MUCH more tasty for one of those aholes to leak. For th
2016-08-03 07:38:35, Wed	INBOX	\u0500\u0318\u0303e first time in a while I'm not worried about our side. \U0001f612
2016-08-03 07:39:29, Wed	INBOX	He's clearly not what? Worrying about
2016-08-03 07:39:52, Wed	OUTBOX	Information flow and control.
2016-08-03 07:40:58, Wed	INBOX	He should be. I can reinforce that when I brief you/Bill, and Andy, if I talk to him about it.
2016-08-03 17:51:41, Wed	OUTBOX	Sheesh, I'm glad you're back. I'm really busy. I'm about to send an email. We NEED to focus on getting mye out the door, and quickly. We're not going to be able to withstand the pressure soon.
2016-08-03 17:54:00, Wed	INBOX	Yep we do, and I haven't experienced the last two days on it. I'll call (you know there's no way I could wait, though will be in the cab), let me know what I need to push. \n\nAnd hi \U0001f60a
2016-08-03 18:54:45, Wed	INBOX	I like you dealing with Laufman. \u263a\n\nAnd we may beat 410. Rahmatullah Rahimi drives like the wind in his Prius...
2016-08-03 19:08:48, Wed	OUTBOX	What's the plan for briefing Bill? Have you reached out to him?
2016-08-03 19:09:15, Wed	INBOX	Not yet \U0001f636
2016-08-03 19:09:49, Wed	OUTBOX	Oh, bc that's on purpose?
2016-08-03 19:10:07, Wed	INBOX	Wanted to get in first. Ideally want to do when you and Moffa are around. Should I send an email to the three of you re availability
2016-08-03 19:10:28, Wed	OUTBOX	Have you called George? I'm telling you, you should do that before you get in here.
2016-08-03 19:10:32, Wed	INBOX	No. Just hadn't thought it through yet. Will send an email. At 14th and Penn.
2016-08-03 19:10:41, Wed	OUTBOX	Oh! \U0001f636
2016-08-03 19:11:07, Wed	INBOX	I havent, but I don't want to talk in front of our cab driver. I'll call him from cell from my office.
2016-08-03 19:15:18, Wed	OUTBOX	Or mine. \U0001f636
2016-08-03 21:38:55, Wed	INBOX	Hi. Our 4:00 meeting was Not Helpful. \U0001f60a\U0001f636
2016-08-03 22:10:20, Wed	OUTBOX	in my ofc. Will let you know when he is gone.
2016-08-04 12:37:43, Thu	INBOX	I did ok briefing Bill yesterday. ... :D

2016-08-04 23:59:34, Thu	INBOX	Yep. \n\nTrying to be grownup and not ask to come tomorrow based on mye, [REDACTED] overlap. Jon's brief, he's got it. I just love, and am good, at thinking thru it.
2016-08-05 00:01:38, Fri	INBOX	Yep but willing to bet all those things will come up. Along with ci briefs to candidates.
2016-08-05 00:01:50, Fri	INBOX	We need to figure out what's going on with that
2016-08-05 00:02:14, Fri	OUTBOX	We need jon to enter in his guys to the system.
2016-08-05 00:02:27, Fri	OUTBOX	Oh, we haven't talked about that solution yet, have we?
2016-08-05 00:02:39, Fri	OUTBOX	It's pretty elegant.
2016-08-05 00:03:00, Fri	OUTBOX	Don't really want to tell you here. Will tell you tomorrow.
2016-08-05 00:03:51, Fri	INBOX	Oh, they brought it up - to check when it gets entered
2016-08-05 00:04:09, Fri	INBOX	Without having g to ask/rely on others
2016-08-05 00:04:20, Fri	INBOX	[REDACTED] mentioned it
2016-08-05 00:10:42, Fri	INBOX	Seriously, you don't think I should try and go to the AM meeting? How does it not involve extensive discussions about the [REDACTED]
2016-08-05 00:11:11, Fri	OUTBOX	Pete. It's not a meeting. It's pulling up jon for 5 minutes to discuss lanes in the road.
2016-08-05 00:11:35, Fri	INBOX	Think of the threshold you use when deciding whether you go to a meeting...\n\nOk \U0001f636
2016-08-05 00:12:06, Fri	INBOX	Gonna take more than 5 minutes. Or, give Andy the questions /issues for an answer later.
2016-08-05 00:12:57, Fri	OUTBOX	It's quick and informal. And this is not going to be the last opportunity to talk about this. Especially if [REDACTED] there will be lots of time to discuss.
2016-08-05 00:16:24, Fri	INBOX	I get it. I'm not talking about options so much as the difficult questions are ones that I'm directly involved with. \n\nBut OK. I will. If you were in my spot, wouldn't you want to go? For examole, I have no desire to be at the joint run through with Cyber .
2016-08-05 00:17:35, Fri	OUTBOX	And [REDACTED] how many meeting are you at that Jon has an equal right and contribution to make that he is not at?
2016-08-05 00:18:27, Fri	INBOX	Those times I can invite him, I do.
2016-08-05 00:18:57, Fri	INBOX	And I, for example, the agency said today their group is too big to come to us. So we either go to them, and Jon is out, or reschedule tiil next week. What should I do?
2016-08-05 00:19:33, Fri	INBOX	Re your question, I can think of two with Andy.

2016-08-05 00:20:00, Fri	OUTBOX	Reschedule.
2016-08-05 00:20:33, Fri	OUTBOX	Pete, I'm not going to fight with you about this. You asked for my opinion, I gave it to you.
2016-08-05 00:21:59, Fri	INBOX	And push till next week? We willing to bear the "FBI is delaying" line?
2016-08-05 00:22:57, Fri	OUTBOX	The work right now is all analytical. Does Monday make a difference? Will the other analysts go?
2016-08-05 00:27:23, Fri	INBOX	My concern is the perceived delay of not doing it this week. A day clearly doesn't matter, though we pushed till the end of this week at my request due to not being available at the beginning of the week.
2016-08-05 00:27:50, Fri	INBOX	And it's not just analysis. And, shockingly, agents are also capable of analysis as well...
2016-08-05 00:29:18, Fri	OUTBOX	Okay, I'm done having this conversation with you.
2016-08-05 00:29:32, Fri	OUTBOX	I asked if the other analysts would be going.
2016-08-05 00:32:40, Fri	INBOX	That's up to Jon. I just told him I was calling [REDACTED] and telling her he needs to be there and to reschedule to Monday unless he doesn't want to do that.
2016-08-05 00:33:00, Fri	OUTBOX	Are you going by yourself or planning to take others?
2016-08-05 00:33:43, Fri	INBOX	No, I told [REDACTED] him, me, [REDACTED] just our leadership. Her group is apparently too big to fit into a car. \U0001f612
2016-08-05 00:34:24, Fri	INBOX	If Jon says keep it, I will take [REDACTED] and defer to Jon if he wants me to take one of his guys. \n\nBut when we spoke earlier, he wanted me to postpone it
2016-08-05 00:34:30, Fri	OUTBOX	Yes Peter. That is my point. She has a whole team, all you need is yourself.
2016-08-05 00:37:31, Fri	INBOX	No, damnit, that's not it. I don't want to wait longer.
2016-08-05 00:38:19, Fri	INBOX	What I don't like about their behavior is knowing we were only bringing leadership, they can't bring three to us?
2016-08-05 00:38:59, Fri	INBOX	But instead they'll fill the room to take notes on everything we say and also ensure that no one on their side says anything sensitiv
2016-08-05 00:39:04, Fri	INBOX	Sensitive.
2016-08-05 00:39:28, Fri	INBOX	And why I told Jon I was going to push the meetig
2016-08-05 00:39:35, Fri	INBOX	Meeting
2016-08-05 00:40:12, Fri	OUTBOX	So ask them to skinny down and come to you. It's your meeting, and we're leading this effort.
2016-08-05 00:45:18, Fri	INBOX	Yep, that's what I plan to do. And if the can't, we'll reschedule.

2016-08-05 00:53:29, Fri	INBOX	And so you know, I value your advice. Letting the meeting tomorrow go, and rescheduling the one tomorrow so Jon can be there, and also not play into agency's bs game
2016-08-05 11:28:23, Fri	OUTBOX	█ You can. If you need a day, take a day. I can pickup the mye stuff, nothing else is that pressing.
2016-08-05 11:29:44, Fri	INBOX	That's not true. There's the meeting with my team, the meeting at the agency (looks like Jon can go, not sure where the notion of a schedule conflict came from), mye, everything else in my Section, acting DAD.\n█
2016-08-05 14:09:05, Fri	INBOX	Have M w f meetings with █ at 9 like we did with mye. \n\nNeed to tall to you about Bill
2016-08-05 14:50:44, Fri	OUTBOX	█ too, right?
2016-08-05 14:52:47, Fri	INBOX	Not sure. Probably, right? Defer to █
2016-08-05 14:53:45, Fri	OUTBOX	I'm just going to invite him. Though it's a big group now (10). Added baker.
2016-08-05 14:59:40, Fri	INBOX	4 ogc attorneys...
2016-08-05 14:59:54, Fri	INBOX	Whatever. It's fine. █ deserves to be there
2016-08-05 15:00:09, Fri	OUTBOX	Yup. Who do I exclude?
2016-08-05 15:00:18, Fri	OUTBOX	Agreed. Okay, whatever.
2016-08-05 16:37:25, Fri	INBOX	And hi. Went well, best we could have expected. Other than █ quote, "the White House is running this."
2016-08-05 16:37:46, Fri	INBOX	My answer, "well, maybe for you they are." \U0001f612
2016-08-05 16:44:35, Fri	INBOX	And of course, I was planning on telling this guy, thanks for coming, we've got an hour, but with Bill there, I've got no control. \n\nWhat time do you need to leave?
2016-08-05 16:54:55, Fri	OUTBOX	Don't you have work to do?
2016-08-05 16:55:26, Fri	OUTBOX	Yeah, whatever (re the WH comment). We've got emails that say otherwise.
2016-08-05 20:45:10, Fri	INBOX	Gotta see Bill. Ttyl.
2016-08-05 22:05:41, Fri	OUTBOX	Jesus, are you STILL talking to Bill? \U0001f612
2016-08-05 22:10:10, Fri	INBOX	He is FREAKING out
2016-08-05 22:34:13, Fri	OUTBOX	Think I'm going in on Sunday. 2-3 hours. I just NEED to read that LHM or I'm not going to be able to.
2016-08-05 22:41:05, Fri	INBOX	Of course if you do, I'll try, too \U0001f636

2016-08-05 22:41:36, Fri	INBOX	I can also email it to you if you want me to encouraging ERASing...
2016-08-05 22:41:49, Fri	INBOX	Encourage
2016-08-05 22:49:18, Fri	OUTBOX	No, I have it on eras. I can't read critically that way.
2016-08-06 10:40:39, Sat	INBOX	I'm not sure. I had a lot of late back and forth with [REDACTED] on the [REDACTED] Told her [REDACTED] had done them but it was last night. Think it's that but I'm not certain. I'll ask him.
2016-08-06 10:40:46, Sat	INBOX	Just emailed him and Jon
2016-08-06 11:28:43, Sat	INBOX	And Jon and I are about to go ballistic, between [REDACTED] email (if you're frustrated at 12:09 and you haven't tried to call me at some point before then, part of this is on you) AND THE ABSOLUTE INABILITY GO SEND ANY EMAIL. \U0001f621
2016-08-06 11:35:06, Sat	OUTBOX	Yeah, the email seemed a little unnecessarily harsh. I mean, it's not like we all haven't been working on it all damn day.
2016-08-06 11:44:39, Sat	INBOX	And I can't send a f*cking email in response. ...
2016-08-06 11:45:17, Sat	INBOX	Just pulled out eras, talking to 1500...
2016-08-06 11:46:19, Sat	OUTBOX	Hmm. I'm going to send you a low side email, see if it is just you.
2016-08-06 11:46:53, Sat	INBOX	Thanks. Jon can't either. I can receive just fine
2016-08-06 11:47:08, Sat	OUTBOX	Sending failed.
2016-08-06 11:47:47, Sat	OUTBOX	I do wonder if it was a quasi-drunk email...
2016-08-06 11:47:57, Sat	INBOX	Yup. Think it's a system - wide thing. Call 1500 and make them put in a ticket. Could we suck more?
2016-08-06 11:49:28, Sat	INBOX	Might have been. And we're fine. Still
2016-08-06 13:52:00, Sat	INBOX	Did you read the one pager [REDACTED] sent to Andy, the [REDACTED] What on earth? \U0001f615
2016-08-06 13:56:03, Sat	OUTBOX	Yes. Incomprehensible. I was utterly shocked that he is as impressed by him as he is.
2016-08-06 13:56:40, Sat	OUTBOX	I had no idea what those lists are supposed to mean. No wonder Andy didn't remember reading it. \U0001f612
2016-08-06 13:56:50, Sat	INBOX	I'm speechless. Did Andy say anything? I mean, it's bad. It's going to hurt [REDACTED] reputation.
2016-08-06 13:57:08, Sat	OUTBOX	No, I haven't talked to him about it yet.
2016-08-06 13:57:24, Sat	INBOX	God just avoid it and hope it goes away.
2016-08-06 13:57:34, Sat	OUTBOX	But you'll recall, [REDACTED] said he gave it to Andy before. Andy probably glanced at it and threw it out.

2016-08-06 13:57:45, Sat	INBOX	I've got to figure out a way to broach with [REDACTED].
2016-08-06 13:58:29, Sat	INBOX	Oh. I thought this was the first time. This is what Eric wrote? It didn't say that in the email.
2016-08-06 13:59:56, Sat	INBOX	And I don't know if I can mention to [REDACTED] I... [REDACTED] look, as a friend, I'd be cautious about what you send to the DD...he's got limited bandwidth and I'd be really selective? \n\nI mean, I don't know...it's really bad. I had to force myself to read all of it carefully.
2016-08-06 14:15:12, Sat	INBOX	I wasn't there when [REDACTED] I said he had given it to Andy before
2016-08-06 14:24:55, Sat	OUTBOX	No, on this, you can't say anything. Moffa and I were discussing. The most you can say is "I'm not sure I found that as compelling as you did" or something to that effect.
2016-08-06 14:27:02, Sat	OUTBOX	Emails appear to be going through now.
2016-08-06 14:30:45, Sat	INBOX	Yeah I don't know. I've got a different relationship with him than Jon does but I don't know if I can do much on this.
2016-08-06 14:31:43, Sat	OUTBOX	I just don't think this one is the right one to bring up with him.
2016-08-06 14:33:29, Sat	INBOX	That's fair. I just hate not mentioning it because it plays to/ highlights some of [REDACTED] weaknesses (some true, some perceived). And it's absolutely the wrong time to bring it up.
2016-08-06 14:34:27, Sat	OUTBOX	We've all talked to him a lot about it. He's now got to figure it out for himself.
2016-08-06 14:36:39, Sat	INBOX	Yeah but working for him that calculation is different. And certainly much more so in the event I get the DAD job.
2016-08-06 14:37:01, Sat	OUTBOX	Yeah, maybe.
2016-08-06 14:37:08, Sat	INBOX	And that's weighing on me much more than I want to admit to you (or probably myself).
2016-08-06 14:37:33, Sat	INBOX	Getting/not getting the job, not advising Bill
2016-08-06 14:38:09, Sat	OUTBOX	Jesus. You should read this. And Trump should go f himself. \n\nMoment in Convention Glare Shakes Up Khans\u2019 American Life http://nyti.ms/2aHuLE0
2016-08-06 14:41:33, Sat	INBOX	I know. And as it stands, I'm going to have (and already do) a pretty tough time with it. \n\n5 months, Lisa. Out of 19 years. 5 months, because Giacalone was too busy interviewing to be there to SES board it earlier. There was literally NO difference in what I was doing day to day.
2016-08-06 14:42:34, Sat	OUTBOX	I know, [REDACTED] I know. It's senseless and not fair, but you can't control it.
2016-08-06 14:53:36, Sat	INBOX	God that's a great article. \U0001f621\U0001f61e\U0001f61e\U02764\n\nThanks for sharing. \n\nAnd F Trump.

2016-08-06 14:55:00, Sat	OUTBOX	And maybe you're meant to stay where you are because you're meant to protect the country from that menace. To that end, read this:
2016-08-06 14:55:19, Sat	OUTBOX	Trump\2019s Enablers Will Finally Have to Take a Stand http://nyti.ms/2aFakry
2016-08-06 15:04:43, Sat	INBOX	Thanks. It's absolutely true that we're both very fortunate. \n\nAnd of course I'll try and approach it that way. I just know it will be tough at times.\n\nI can protect our country at many levels, not sure if that helps...
2016-08-06 15:05:51, Sat	OUTBOX	I know it will too. But it's just a job. It's not a reflection of your worth or quality or smarts.
2016-08-06 15:28:50, Sat	INBOX	I really like this:\nHe appears to have no ability to experience reverence, which is the foundation for any capacity to admire or serve anything bigger than self, to want to learn about anything beyond self, to want to know and deeply honor the people around you.
2016-08-06 15:30:59, Sat	OUTBOX	Sigh. That's the paragraph, upon reading, that caused me to want to send it to you. \U0001f636
2016-08-06 17:24:11, Sat	OUTBOX	Okay, so maybe not the best national security president, but a genuinely good and decent human being.\n\nPresident Barack Obama on Feminism, Michelle, and His Daughters Glamour\nhttp://www.glamour.com/story/glamour-exclusive-president-barack-obama-says-this-is-what-a-feminist-looks-like
2016-08-06 17:34:25, Sat	INBOX	Yeah, I like him. Just not a fan of the weakness globally. Was thinking about what the administration will be willing to do re Russia. As I tried to focus Bill.
2016-08-07 12:58:44, Sun	OUTBOX	Carl. Jon Moffa. [REDACTED]
2016-08-07 12:59:16, Sun	OUTBOX	You trust these people. You've just never tried to trust them with anything other than work.
2016-08-08 00:10:09, Mon	INBOX	Well, I'll be here if you want to bounce questions tonight, and will be in early tomorrow...either way...
2016-08-08 00:13:02, Mon	INBOX	Truly, will make you this offer - will input your changes as you finish reading what you haven't done yet. Plus, it's all the cyber stuff...your eyes will glaze over.
2016-08-08 00:15:30, Mon	INBOX	But if you want me to kick you in the butt to motivate you to go in, let me know. You can be done with it by 9:30 or so...leave it and I can start inputting changes when I get in tomorrow morning.
2016-08-08 12:31:32, Mon	INBOX	Hey head Andy called Jason
2016-08-08 12:34:36, Mon	OUTBOX	Oh he did? So does he start today?
2016-08-08 13:05:09, Mon	INBOX	Sounds like it - Jason was looking for me...talk to you in 30 or so
2016-08-08 13:10:47, Mon	OUTBOX	K. Spoke to [REDACTED] about LHM FOIA timing. Remind me.

Strzok-Page Texts

2016-08-08 13:47:03, Mon	INBOX	K. Done. Stop by in a few?
2016-08-08 14:18:12, Mon	INBOX	K heading up
2016-08-08 15:14:52, Mon	INBOX	Hey no update yet, waiting on Moffa, he's in with Dina at mtg scheduled to end at 11...\U0001f612
2016-08-08 15:28:43, Mon	INBOX	Hey talked to him, will let him fill you in. Internal joint cyber cd Intel piece for D, scenesetter for [REDACTED] brief, [REDACTED] directed all the cyber info be pulled. Doesn't make sense, at all. I'd think let Bill and Jim hammer out first, though it would be best for D to have it before the Wed WH session.
2016-08-08 15:28:50, Mon	INBOX	But doesn't need you to step out
2016-08-08 15:51:33, Mon	OUTBOX	Hey I didn't understand the above. I just tried your desk and cell.
2016-08-08 15:53:52, Mon	INBOX	Sorry missed the first ring. Eating with Jason.
2016-08-08 17:13:07, Mon	INBOX	Heading up
2016-08-08 17:14:00, Mon	OUTBOX	Okay, but I need to talk to [REDACTED] in a little bit. Strategizing about you, actually.
2016-08-09 03:26:25, Tue	OUTBOX	He's not ever going to become president, right? Right?!
2016-08-09 10:08:45, Tue	INBOX	What prompted the Trump.comment last night?
2016-08-09 10:09:28, Tue	OUTBOX	Just reading the times.
2016-08-09 14:31:04, Tue	INBOX	Funny. [REDACTED] is here. Has a 1030 with Steinbach. \U0001f612
2016-08-09 14:31:15, Tue	INBOX	Both of them are still in meetings.
2016-08-09 15:54:35, Tue	OUTBOX	Ho boy.
2016-08-09 16:20:31, Tue	INBOX	Just finished Bill wants another 5
2016-08-09 16:21:50, Tue	OUTBOX	Im.coming down to talk to Bill.
2016-08-09 17:00:17, Tue	OUTBOX	He said he spoke to mike, and that Mike said he supports you, so maybe you don't need to say anything. Just email randy.
2016-08-09 17:01:22, Tue	OUTBOX	Yeah, yeah. Add it to the list. ;)

Strzok-Page Texts

2016-08-09 20:42:46, Tue	INBOX	Hey I ran into Bill, he wants to keep the brief smaller. His point (which I hadn't thought of) is Andy is more likely to share his internal thinking in front of a smaller group of people he knows rather than a larger group. Plus, fysa/atmospherics, Bill noted "it's just so hard to get time with him." Whether true or not that's Bills perception. Thought you'd want to know
2016-08-09 21:56:09, Tue	INBOX	OMG did you hear what Trump just said?
2016-08-10 00:08:38, Wed	INBOX	Just sent you report with changes accepted
2016-08-10 00:53:14, Wed	INBOX	And hey, do i need to tell Randy the board is Thurs?
2016-08-10 00:57:58, Wed	OUTBOX	I'd shoot him an email.
2016-08-10 10:10:52, Wed	OUTBOX	What Intelligence Briefings Can Tell Us About Candidates http://nyti.ms/2aUCaQB
2016-08-10 10:36:38, Wed	INBOX	Hey I did not mention to Jon Andy's preference for more detail. Would you send an email to him, Bill and I saying same, e.g., don't care who, but need to be able to deep dive? \n\nThen Jon and I can meet with Bill and decide. \n\nUnless you want to handle differently.
2016-08-10 10:45:38, Wed	OUTBOX	That's fine.
2016-08-10 10:49:21, Wed	OUTBOX	You can imsg again if you had more to say.
2016-08-10 11:35:59, Wed	INBOX	About to drive. Talk in a sec - work?
2016-08-10 11:53:51, Wed	OUTBOX	Going to go sit in on the morning brief. Carl said it's s good one and I shouldn't miss it. Ttyl.
2016-08-10 15:34:14, Wed	OUTBOX	Been talking to [REDACTED] for like an hour. Was a really good talk.
2016-08-10 15:37:23, Wed	INBOX	Can I stop by? TON to tell you
2016-08-10 19:46:32, Wed	INBOX	I remember what it was. Toscas already told Stu Evans everything. [REDACTED] called to set up a meeting, he already knew [REDACTED] \n\nThanks nsd....
2016-08-10 21:39:42, Wed	INBOX	Hey call me re D brief. Moffa and I had the immediate same reaction
2016-08-10 23:52:58, Wed	INBOX	In fairness, from the email it's not clear what wf is proposing to do
2016-08-11 00:13:09, Thu	INBOX	And hey, I read that wfo email again and it's just a press inquiry and confusion/suggestion [REDACTED] might be linked to it...not consideration of opening a case.
2016-08-11 00:33:54, Thu	OUTBOX	Got it. Yeah, the press inquiry was brief at wrap. Didn't mention to ddm
2016-08-11 00:33:58, Thu	OUTBOX	Dd.

2016-08-11 00:34:30, Thu	INBOX	Oh, and funny. Ran into [REDACTED] AGAIN this afternoon going to the briefing. He peeled off to Mikes. Not sure if he had to reschedule from yesterday.
2016-08-11 00:34:39, Thu	INBOX	He looked sheepish....
2016-08-11 00:35:19, Thu	OUTBOX	Well, he can't rely on quality...
2016-08-11 00:46:22, Thu	INBOX	[REDACTED] went crazy stalker on me to figure out if there was anything to the inquiry. She IS persistent.
2016-08-11 00:48:15, Thu	INBOX	So. You come up with a codename? [REDACTED]
2016-08-11 00:51:26, Thu	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-08-11 00:53:00, Thu	INBOX	Ooh. I like it \n\nWant me to send to [REDACTED]
2016-08-11 00:53:39, Thu	OUTBOX	If you want.
2016-08-11 00:53:46, Thu	INBOX	Why [REDACTED] (other than it sounds badass, and you came up with it \U0001f636)
2016-08-11 00:54:24, Thu	OUTBOX	Trying to think of something [REDACTED] without being obvious.
2016-08-11 00:56:56, Thu	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-08-11 00:57:27, Thu	INBOX	OMG I CANNOT BELIEVE WE ARE SERIOUSLY LOOKING AT THESE ALLEGATIONS AND THE PERVASIVE CONNECTIONS
2016-08-11 00:57:41, Thu	INBOX	What the hell has happened to our country!?!?!??
2016-08-11 11:13:28, Thu	INBOX	Is Baker around today? I need 5 minutes with him. You can come if you want. I want to talk to him about the CI brief, specifically the [REDACTED]
2016-08-11 11:14:30, Thu	INBOX	That might also be something appropriate to ask NSD. Thoughts?
2016-08-11 11:16:21, Thu	OUTBOX	I'll think about it. I bet baker can handle.
2016-08-11 11:18:15, Thu	INBOX	Thanks. \n\nWill read shortly, hustling to get out the door. [REDACTED]
2016-08-11 16:06:05, Thu	OUTBOX	Andy had a wry smile when I saw me waiting for him. Of course I have no idea what that means.
2016-08-11 16:18:22, Thu	INBOX	We decided to take [REDACTED] to lunch instead. \U0001f61c
2016-08-11 16:18:42, Thu	INBOX	Still have room for you. ...
2016-08-11 16:18:47, Thu	OUTBOX	Jerkies.
2016-08-11 16:19:10, Thu	OUTBOX	I'm still waiting for Andy to come out of his meeting so I can get the news!
2016-08-11 16:19:27, Thu	OUTBOX	Can I call Jon to buy you a drink if I get it? \U0001f60a

2016-08-11 16:20:08, Thu	INBOX	Ha. Yes.
2016-08-11 16:21:44, Thu	INBOX	Lost Moffa somewhere. Added [REDACTED]
2016-08-11 16:22:16, Thu	OUTBOX	How could you lose Moffa? He's like 6 feet tall?
2016-08-11 16:23:23, Thu	INBOX	He disappeared
2016-08-11 16:56:50, Thu	OUTBOX	Congratulations, [REDACTED] You're the new DAD of the Counterintelligence Division. [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
2016-08-11 16:56:56, Thu	OUTBOX	Don't tell...
2016-08-11 16:58:36, Thu	INBOX	[REDACTED] 1 pm then follow on with Bill. DoJ at 4 then Baker 430.
2016-08-11 16:59:14, Thu	OUTBOX	Obviously, don't tell Bill. I'll call you when I'm back.
2016-08-11 20:30:43, Thu	OUTBOX	Can you and [REDACTED] come up and see me when you are done?
2016-08-11 20:31:06, Thu	OUTBOX	Is [REDACTED] with you? Can you please come up here?
2016-08-11 20:31:49, Thu	INBOX	A) whew. I did \U0001f636\nB) walking to see JB. She is still in 4017
2016-08-11 20:36:48, Thu	INBOX	We're in Jims SCIF
2016-08-11 22:58:37, Thu	OUTBOX	On with rybicki.
2016-08-11 23:20:02, Thu	INBOX	Hey just swung by your office on the way out...you close by? Otherwise will head out
2016-08-11 23:20:14, Thu	OUTBOX	I'm in kortan
2016-08-11 23:21:07, Thu	OUTBOX	Coming with herring, you need to leave.
2016-08-11 23:21:36, Thu	INBOX	Going towards [REDACTED] office
2016-08-11 23:21:47, Thu	INBOX	Sorry, to nslb
2016-08-11 23:21:55, Thu	INBOX	I hear you
2016-08-12 11:46:09, Fri	OUTBOX	Do you get a car as DAD? What time will you have to be there?
2016-08-12 12:00:49, Fri	INBOX	I do get a car. I don't know if I'm authorized to take it home. Obviously will check on that. 745 first meeting, so 730...
2016-08-12 12:01:39, Fri	INBOX	Hey Jon and I talked round and round on these briefs, whether to leave him and me or give to [REDACTED] Do you think Andy would have a preference? Do you?

2016-08-12 12:01:50, Fri	OUTBOX	I think give to [REDACTED]
2016-08-12 12:01:58, Fri	INBOX	Need to get a final answer to [REDACTED] this morning.
2016-08-12 12:02:05, Fri	OUTBOX	I think it protects you guys better.
2016-08-12 12:02:11, Fri	INBOX	Would Andy object?
2016-08-12 12:04:08, Fri	OUTBOX	To [REDACTED] No, I can't imagine he would.
2016-08-12 12:04:51, Fri	INBOX	Bill sent an email asking to see me at 9 or 11....have a [REDACTED] mtg at 9, so 11 it is
2016-08-12 13:09:38, Fri	INBOX	Hi. Bill pulled me aside to say he was told the board went the way he wanted, but still needs the D's blessing
2016-08-12 13:10:03, Fri	OUTBOX	Yay. \U0001f60a
2016-08-12 14:57:58, Fri	INBOX	And that's SUPER aggravating about [REDACTED] flipping \U0001f621
2016-08-12 15:00:24, Fri	OUTBOX	Yeah whatever.
2016-08-12 15:01:05, Fri	OUTBOX	Hey you NEED to pull that 302 before you leave for the day.
2016-08-12 15:31:22, Fri	INBOX	Come down. To my office for lunch I'll pull it now
2016-08-12 15:31:39, Fri	INBOX	And I'm going to blow your mind
2016-08-12 15:57:39, Fri	INBOX	Let me know when you're done, we can eat
2016-08-12 15:58:03, Fri	INBOX	And/or discuss 302s, as needed
2016-08-12 16:40:29, Fri	INBOX	Hey I have a 130 with Bill and would like to eat before then. Should I wait for you? Maybe go at 1 if I haven't heard from you?
2016-08-12 16:47:12, Fri	OUTBOX	I don't have to grab anything bc now I need to write up an email to the d explaining all of this.
2016-08-12 19:29:01, Fri	INBOX	You around? I NEED to talk to you before I go
2016-08-13 00:38:33, Sat	INBOX	Just talked to [REDACTED]. Indications Guccifer 2.0 claiming massive hack of Congress, or at least Dems. All on line now.\n\nSigh. Well he's going to feel super defensive, because as you pointed out, he was there all week. You going to stew until you talk? If so, might as well get it out...
2016-08-13 00:52:43, Sat	INBOX	Cells and private emails for House Dems
2016-08-13 01:03:03, Sat	OUTBOX	God, did you read some of the comments on that article?!
2016-08-13 01:32:54, Sat	INBOX	And the comments in the Smoking Gun article? Can't get them to load....

2016-08-13 11:04:47, Sat	INBOX	Im going to have [REDACTED] do his CI brief presentation for me and Jon and [REDACTED] on Mon afternoon. I want to do another one Tues Am for at least Bill. You think add you, Jim Baker? I want both rank and thoughtfulness for feedback. Plus repetitions for him.
2016-08-13 11:21:21, Sat	OUTBOX	Yes. I'll be there.
2016-08-13 13:02:03, Sat	INBOX	Hey read the email I just sent. I did not include OPA or oca on the distro
2016-08-13 13:11:55, Sat	OUTBOX	There's no debate. I'm going to forward to kortan. God, makes me want to tell state to go f it.
2016-08-13 13:14:29, Sat	INBOX	Yep! You think we should have commented, if only to rebut States "expectation of interagency coordination" crap?
2016-08-13 13:22:29, Sat	INBOX	[REDACTED] And yeah, States BS just makes me want to include those additional 302s. But that's just vindictiveness talking.
2016-08-14 01:13:27, Sun	OUTBOX	But see, this article so rings true that then I think the [REDACTED] inside the Failing Mission to Save Donald Trump From Himself http://nyti.ms/2b5WSNA
2016-08-14 10:35:59, Sun	OUTBOX	This was very interesting. \n\nThe Decline of Unions and the Rise of Trump http://nyti.ms/2bc7a1U
2016-08-14 10:41:11, Sun	OUTBOX	God this makes me so angry.\n\nDonald Trump Is Making America Meaner http://nyti.ms/2b6gG38
2016-08-14 10:57:57, Sun	INBOX	I'm not! \U0001f636\n\nI saw but didn't read the first article.
2016-08-14 10:58:46, Sun	OUTBOX	Just about their force in moderating the political debate. It was interesting.
2016-08-14 11:00:46, Sun	INBOX	And I am worried about what Trump is encouraging in our behavior. The things that made me proud about our tolerance for dissent - what makes us different from Sunnis and Shias losing each other up - is disappearing.
2016-08-14 11:01:23, Sun	OUTBOX	That's what that last article is all about.
2016-08-14 11:01:54, Sun	INBOX	I'm worried about what happens if HRC is elected. \n\nAnd perfect, another excessive heat warning day.
2016-08-14 20:06:22, Sun	OUTBOX	Just spoke to [REDACTED] She and [REDACTED] and Jim in some mandatory leadership training tomorrow [REDACTED]
2016-08-14 20:07:55, Sun	INBOX	Np. We'll get done whatever needs doing. Primarily QC, no [REDACTED]
2016-08-14 20:17:55, Sun	OUTBOX	No, all the work of pulling out [REDACTED] first.
2016-08-14 20:18:56, Sun	INBOX	Well, Jon and I can do that. \n\nI didn't see the [REDACTED]
2016-08-14 20:24:10, Sun	INBOX	Anything other than scrub 302s for agency equities?
2016-08-14 20:25:53, Sun	OUTBOX	I don't think scrub. Just remove the ones that are their employees.

2016-08-14 20:27:02, Sun	INBOX	
2016-08-14 20:36:27, Sun	OUTBOX	In 302s, you mean? I just think no. But we can discuss.
2016-08-14 21:20:01, Sun	INBOX	Hey. So Rybicki just emailed me about briefing D on [REDACTED] tomorrow at 330. If not then, Wed. I said we could do either. Dont know Andy's availability / desire to svtc in. Assume you can, right?
2016-08-14 21:23:09, Sun	OUTBOX	Andy not going to. Either is fine for me.
2016-08-14 21:25:25, Sun	INBOX	Jim proposing D dd add ead GC Trisha [REDACTED] you him bill me jon
2016-08-14 21:27:55, Sun	OUTBOX	Fine, I suppose. Don't love add obviously, but so be it.
2016-08-14 22:56:30, Sun	INBOX	And uh, yeah, I then forgot to actually ADD him to the distro.\n\n So I just forwarded to him. Good work, Pete. \U0001f612
2016-08-14 23:34:36, Sun	INBOX	So it's a little weird to me that Jason, a subordinate a week ago, will be the one discussing with Toscas amd OLA as well as with Steinbach and everyone else at the 8... fine, I guess, but weird...
2016-08-15 10:29:55, Mon	INBOX	I want to believe the path you threw out for consideration in Andy's office - that there's no way he gets elected - but I'm afraid we can't take that risk. It's like an insurance policy in the unlikely event you die before you're 40...
2016-08-15 10:41:11, Mon	OUTBOX	I really should take off the whole damn day. \U0001f621
2016-08-15 10:48:42, Mon	INBOX	So go ahead! We'll get the production done.\n\nI'd obviously love to have you at the D brief, but if not, I'll stop by and give you an in-person debrief.
2016-08-15 10:51:45, Mon	OUTBOX	Oh, crap, has that been scheduled?
2016-08-15 11:15:01, Mon	INBOX	Not that I've seen. JR said he'd get with [REDACTED] this morning
2016-08-15 11:17:03, Mon	OUTBOX	Are you still acting DAD?
2016-08-15 11:17:28, Mon	INBOX	No. Why?
2016-08-15 11:18:05, Mon	INBOX	I ask because that will change whenever board is official
2016-08-15 12:59:00, Mon	INBOX	OMG this production alone is going to kill my inbox....
2016-08-15 21:16:52, Mon	INBOX	Going to talk to [REDACTED] Call me here when you're done...\U0001f60a
2016-08-15 21:48:55, Mon	OUTBOX	Herring here.

2016-08-15 21:51:03, Mon	INBOX	K. Want me to hold off?
2016-08-15 21:54:47, Mon	OUTBOX	You can come, just have something you are here to talk about.
2016-08-15 22:01:27, Mon	INBOX	Hey I want to talk about feedback from brief and other stuff. [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
2016-08-15 22:05:22, Mon	INBOX	Or, I can walk up and find out you left. \U0001f612\n\nGive me a shout when you get back.
2016-08-16 00:43:35, Tue	OUTBOX	Changing topics a little, but I'm really excited about all the "whoa that was quick" congratulatory emails...
2016-08-16 00:45:07, Tue	INBOX	Sigh. Yeah, I know. I need to hit the right tone. Probably just, thanks very much, tremendous opportunity, I'm looking forward to it.
2016-08-16 10:05:29, Tue	INBOX	Nice email from Bowdich last night \U0001f60a
2016-08-16 11:21:20, Tue	INBOX	When's your WH thing on Thurs? You going to be too busy with prep?
2016-08-16 11:21:58, Tue	OUTBOX	No. No prep really.
2016-08-16 11:23:45, Tue	INBOX	Ooh. Ok, so I think any work. Probably Wed so I don't have to worry about the 1:00 cd mtg
2016-08-16 11:33:46, Tue	OUTBOX	So your first meeting is at 745 with whom, Bill?
2016-08-16 11:35:06, Tue	INBOX	730 with my Special Assistant. Who im.not convinced I need
2016-08-16 11:35:45, Tue	INBOX	And based on my Boston contacts, I told [REDACTED] not to select. \U0001f612
2016-08-16 11:36:01, Tue	OUTBOX	I also don't think you need one, but give it a month before you decide.
2016-08-16 22:40:19, Tue	INBOX	I'm strongly opposed to making any more copies for Congress. We limited on purpose, After careful consideration. If they let any particular committee get the copy, tough. Let them sort it out.
2016-08-16 23:08:20, Tue	INBOX	Can you talk briefly?\n\nWhat time do these need to be done? How many copies? Stop worrying / making calls about who should do it. I will have 4-5 of my people come in early. Is complete by 10 OK?
2016-08-16 23:14:17, Tue	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] is doing it now, [REDACTED] is headed back in. I'm going to join shortly.
2016-08-17 03:01:26, Wed	INBOX	Hey was [REDACTED] or Trisha on [REDACTED] update from Jim? Don't want Bill blindsided in the morning
2016-08-17 03:06:37, Wed	OUTBOX	Trisha and [REDACTED]
2016-08-17 03:07:23, Wed	INBOX	I'll ask [REDACTED]

2016-08-17 10:28:37, Wed	OUTBOX	An article to share: Trump shakes up campaign, demotes top adviser Trump shakes up campaign, demotes top adviser http://wapo.st/2bzAUGD
2016-08-17 10:29:25, Wed	INBOX	Just reading it
2016-08-17 10:33:38, Wed	INBOX	Re last email and text last night, I'm going to stop asking. I want to be in the loop, also want Bill in the loop, but that's not my job. \nA) makes me feel like a whiny b*tch\nB) [REDACTED] need to talk to [REDACTED] about making sure her clients are in the loop. Thats part of her job, not yours
2016-08-17 10:35:51, Wed	OUTBOX	Sigh.\n\nThe story behind the \u2018American Dream\u2019 photo at West Point that went viral - The Washington Post\n https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/checkpoint/wp/2016/05/25/the-story-behind-the-american-dream-photo-at-west-point-that-went-viral/?tid=hbvrid_experimentrandomcheckpoint_2_na
2016-08-17 10:38:29, Wed	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] You can ask, that doesn't bother me.
2016-08-17 10:47:25, Wed	INBOX	Thats fair.\n\nI think somt times it's not directed (or not meant to be directed) at you, it's expressing frustration. I want to vent and you're the only place (because of both friendship and shared knowledge of the email) that I can. \n\nI'm aware you probably hear me in even those times and either don't need me to tell you what I'm telling you, feel like I'm asking you do do something about it, or both. \n\nAnd sometimes, I'm just looking for a little validation. Yes, the Andy - George dynamic did cause some problems. Yes, Jim in particular isn't always good at email distro. Thats all.\n\nAnyway, all of this probably better talked about it person, or not at all.\n\nI'm tired of it, and I hate myself during it (and I then remember you saying, well, at least you're seeing it in yourself. So change)
2016-08-17 10:51:14, Wed	INBOX	Thank you for the article \U0001f636
2016-08-17 10:51:33, Wed	OUTBOX	Pete.
2016-08-17 10:51:59, Wed	INBOX	Yeah I may be overthinking
2016-08-17 10:53:52, Wed	OUTBOX	I hear you above, but I think I know the difference between when you are just "venting" or wanting to be validated, and when you're just being unreasonable and taking your sh*t out on me.

Strzok-Page Texts

2016-08-17 10:56:57, Wed	INBOX	I agree. Yesterday [REDACTED] was the latter, asking for the email last night was the former. And as you noted, probably ordinarily ok to gripe about it, but not last night. [REDACTED]
2016-08-17 10:57:50, Wed	INBOX	And I don't mean to cut you off if you have more to say on the topic.
2016-08-17 11:02:31, Wed	INBOX	Re the email, resisted the urge to tell him, well, Randys briefing it may be an insider threat, he's getting that from somewhere. Will sort it out today. Thanks for the heads up.\n\nAlso got [REDACTED] I think to get Moffa an invite for all the IPC stuff going on with election threat. Talked with Moffa at length about it beforehand. Right now fbi being represented entirely by Cyber. [REDACTED] asked CD1, and they punted to C3S. I'm certain [REDACTED] didn't realize the broader implications.
2016-08-17 11:03:13, Wed	INBOX	The sigh to you getting it, not some stupid IPC process.
2016-08-17 11:10:37, Wed	OUTBOX	Totally. Moffa the right guy. Let me know if you need help. Easy fix by [REDACTED] and I.
2016-08-17 11:23:38, Wed	INBOX	No, I think it's fixed. As normal, we're our own worst enemy
2016-08-17 13:46:19, Wed	INBOX	Just met with oca team. All set. (No jinxes)
2016-08-17 20:46:41, Wed	OUTBOX	Hey, headed down to B2 now
2016-08-17 20:47:27, Wed	INBOX	K see you there
2016-08-17 20:47:28, Wed	OUTBOX	No, I'm not. Kortan just stopped me in the hall.
2016-08-17 20:49:27, Wed	OUTBOX	Ok leaving now
2016-08-18 10:46:05, Thu	INBOX	[REDACTED] I have svtc with Brits at 9, 302 party at 10, you have lunch with either your friend or me and [REDACTED] at 1130, I have my 1 cd exec mtg, you have WH....suppose we could go at the end of the day, I can take [REDACTED]
2016-08-18 19:45:21, Thu	INBOX	What time is deadline for state TPs to Jim?
2016-08-18 23:46:10, Thu	INBOX	Can you eras? Just clear email. That will be enough
2016-08-18 23:49:36, Thu	OUTBOX	I do wonder if they are waiting to get briefs for the email handling classified information mess to die down before they get the briefings.
2016-08-18 23:52:05, Thu	INBOX	I can't see that. They're either worried about [REDACTED] or don't really need them.
2016-08-19 00:53:28, Fri	OUTBOX	Ukraine Releases More Details on Payments for Trump Aide http://nyti.ms/2breAOV

2016-08-19 10:26:19, Fri	INBOX	Just sent you i think a good way for [REDACTED] to proceed. [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
2016-08-19 10:54:02, Fri	OUTBOX	Should I forward leak article to baker and rybicki? The Powell stuff was in her 302 and lhm, right? Did we turn over his 302?
2016-08-19 11:04:50, Fri	INBOX	Sure, but note that odd sourcing. It was in her 302; I'd want to double check if we turned over his 302, though I'm almost certain we did.\n\nAnd I'd bet the unnamed source is almost certainly Powell.
2016-08-19 11:06:23, Fri	OUTBOX	I'm just going to forward your email, so the sourcing ref is in there.
2016-08-19 17:59:16, Fri	INBOX	Sorry I have 20 minutes to get my shit together for dni
2016-08-19 18:00:09, Fri	INBOX	Shouldn't take that log. Let me pull data, should take 10 minutes
2016-08-19 18:08:38, Fri	INBOX	Good side effect of briefing this a million times.
2016-08-19 20:50:08, Fri	OUTBOX	Might skip prep, we'll see. How'd it go?
2016-08-19 20:53:05, Fri	INBOX	Ooh god I love that answer :) \n\nReally well. He's calling D, I left message for Rybicki. Will call you when I get back...
2016-08-19 20:58:52, Fri	INBOX	Ok, I REALLY love that answer \U0001f636
2016-08-19 20:59:39, Fri	OUTBOX	Why calling D? To say you guys are awesome? Get your thoughts?
2016-08-19 21:22:36, Fri	INBOX	Just got to hq
2016-08-19 21:22:45, Fri	OUTBOX	Sheesh.
2016-08-19 22:54:33, Fri	INBOX	Where's this coming from? \n\nFirst on CNN: Feds investigate Manafort firm as part of Ukraine probe - CNNPolitics.com\nhttp://www.cnn.com/2016/08/19/politics/paul-manafort-donald-trump-ukraine/index.html
2016-08-19 23:12:31, Fri	OUTBOX	Yeah, don't know. Heard or saw something about it yesterday too. At wrap Tues or Wed I think.
2016-08-19 23:14:28, Fri	INBOX	Oh well. [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
2016-08-20 01:13:46, Sat	INBOX	And thanks for forwarding the other email. I'm disappointed that [REDACTED] Jon and I don't have the opportunity to input, where [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] and Jason do, but I'm letting go and not worrying about it or letting it bother me. Bill has not forwarded, but I think he may assume that since 80 people are on the distro, we got it. \n\nI'm angriest for [REDACTED] because of what [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] did w/r/t her and going direct with Jim.

Strzok-Page Texts

2016-08-20 11:20:05, Sat	INBOX	And a favor, please - to the extent there are follow on relevant Kendall/MYE emails (and there may not be), please forward if appropriate. Bill has told Jon and me that he isn't typically reading them, and is relying on us to flag any issue he needs to weigh in on. Given the large distro of Jim's emails, I'm willing to bet he doesn't notice we're not on there. I will discuss with him next week as well. Thanks
2016-08-20 11:47:50, Sat	INBOX	[REDACTED] Jon and Bill and I had a group rant about these. \ [REDACTED]
2016-08-21 20:38:37, Sun	OUTBOX	Dude, I bcc'ed. But oh well.
2016-08-21 20:39:10, Sun	INBOX	That's why I went to you and [REDACTED]
2016-08-22 16:57:10, Mon	OUTBOX	Meeting is at 1:15 now.
2016-08-22 17:04:49, Mon	OUTBOX	Going to go talk to [REDACTED] now.
2016-08-22 17:04:56, Mon	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-08-22 18:04:36, Mon	INBOX	I prefer [REDACTED])
2016-08-23 01:03:57, Tue	OUTBOX	Yeah. \n\nSorry, I'm [REDACTED] reading the IG report. It's really dry...
2016-08-23 01:04:53, Tue	INBOX	Eep. Yeah, that's pretty terrible. Put that trash down. ;)
2016-08-23 01:06:13, Tue	OUTBOX	I can't. This week is going to be terrible. I need to get crap done where I can...
2016-08-23 23:37:59, Tue	INBOX	Hey I'm not going to that training tomorrow, I will hit a later session. [REDACTED]
2016-08-24 21:54:32, Wed	OUTBOX	Good thing you skipped the implicit bias training, it was apparently AWFUL. So bad, folks are likely to go back to the DAG to object to it.
2016-08-24 22:28:45, Wed	INBOX	Hi. Still going with Bill. Stepped out to tell you....\U0001f636
2016-08-24 22:29:31, Wed	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-08-24 23:36:46, Wed	INBOX	[REDACTED] Are we in thunderdome tonorrow? Have audio/video for briefing. Can [REDACTED] get in there 15 min early with AV guy? Coordinate thru [REDACTED]
2016-08-24 23:37:38, Wed	OUTBOX	Ooh. A/V time.

Strzok-Page Texts

2016-08-24 23:38:56, Wed	OUTBOX	We can be wherever you want. Thunder dome is fine. Early is fine. He should be able to log on to his fbi net terminal and do whatever he needs. I'll send an email to [REDACTED] now letting her know we will need a/V.
2016-08-24 23:38:57, Wed	INBOX	No. Not worth it.\n\nYep! Everyone loves a video, even if the subj is wildly uncooperative. Great tech work, shows competence and urgency. Plus [REDACTED] is kind of a douche.
2016-08-24 23:39:37, Wed	INBOX	Thank you\n\nWe can do video in his office but figure that would be weird/awkward....
2016-08-24 23:40:09, Wed	INBOX	Am I still buying you and [REDACTED] lunch tomorrow? \U0001f60a\U0001f60a\U0001f60a
2016-08-24 23:40:34, Wed	OUTBOX	Will probably depend on his schedule. It's his only day in the office.
2016-08-25 14:08:20, Thu	OUTBOX	I'm here. Also, let's meet at my ofc at 1130 for lunch. [REDACTED] is still good.
2016-08-25 14:39:47, Thu	INBOX	No to [REDACTED], have been talking to Legat Canberra
2016-08-25 19:30:56, Thu	INBOX	What do you have after [REDACTED] brief? [REDACTED] U0001f636
2016-08-25 19:33:14, Thu	OUTBOX	Oh crap. I'm sorry. Been in back to back mtgs. Have a mtg with kerry sleeper now.
2016-08-26 02:13:49, Fri	INBOX	It's ok. It's nothing compared to your night. \n\nAnd Gowdy is really starting to p*ss me off. I'm going to need to stop reading the news.
2016-08-26 15:29:45, Fri	OUTBOX	302 and lhm going to be further delayed. I love my job.
2016-08-26 15:34:48, Fri	INBOX	Sweet Jesus. OGA?
2016-08-26 16:25:27, Fri	OUTBOX	And just had an hour long conversation with [REDACTED] re nsls. It's really never going to end...
2016-08-26 16:41:51, Fri	INBOX	What? Trnsparency crap?
2016-08-26 16:42:07, Fri	INBOX	Good lord. Talk about an unexpected and unpleasant blast from the past....
2016-08-26 16:42:40, Fri	INBOX	Just went to a southern Virginia Walmart. I could SMELL the Trump support....
2016-08-26 16:54:18, Fri	OUTBOX	Yup. Out to lunch with [REDACTED] We both hate everyone and everything.
2016-08-26 17:02:52, Fri	INBOX	I want to be there and hate with you, or charm you back to happy \n\nLooked for the two trump yard signs I saw on the way out to take a picture, but couldn't see them
2016-08-26 18:43:35, Fri	OUTBOX	Going to another meeting. Glad you're having a good time.
2016-08-26 20:49:14, Fri	OUTBOX	Actually, Jon Moffa just made me chuckle. First time all day. I like that kid.
2016-08-26 20:50:09, Fri	INBOX	What'd he say?

2016-08-26 20:51:12, Fri	OUTBOX	Just riffing on the hot mess that is our country.
2016-08-26 20:52:28, Fri	INBOX	Yeah....it's scary real down here
2016-08-27 20:07:01, Sat	OUTBOX	Have to go into work tomorrow afternoon. Have a [REDACTED] which has changed in scope that I need to write prep for. \U0001f612
2016-08-27 20:18:46, Sat	INBOX	God that sucks. Are you [REDACTED] only backup?
2016-08-27 20:19:39, Sat	INBOX	I know we've discussed before, but he's got to start developing subordinates. \U0001f61e
2016-08-27 20:20:50, Sat	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] would normally be his true backup, but she's out until Tuesday or Wednesday.
2016-08-27 20:36:07, Sat	INBOX	Well that stinks. You've been working plenty hard...\U0001f615
2016-08-27 21:27:42, Sat	OUTBOX	Yeah well.
2016-08-27 21:34:54, Sat	INBOX	How much different is it (the scope)?
2016-08-27 21:35:37, Sat	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-08-28 00:07:09, Sun	INBOX	And \U0001f621. [REDACTED] not so good at updating. Sent something I already did, just much later. CYD clearly leading this (which is fine), but don't send half the info - an hour late - the AD already has from CYD.
2016-08-28 13:23:37, Sun	OUTBOX	I'll send separately to Andy, though I'm sure Bill will brief it at a morning meeting. \U0001f612\n\nA Powerful Russian Weapon: The Spread of False Stories http://nyti.ms/2bR9n3c
2016-08-28 13:35:33, Sun	OUTBOX	It was just an nyt article to you and jon about Russia.
2016-08-28 13:38:33, Sun	INBOX	Thanks a lot. This will definitely come up tomorrow morning too...
2016-08-28 13:39:49, Sun	INBOX	Thanks. Hopefully without printed handouts. ;) There was another one about the [REDACTED]
2016-08-28 14:32:10, Sun	INBOX	I AM DONE WITH MYE!!!!\U0001f621\U0001f621
2016-08-28 14:43:16, Sun	OUTBOX	Yup. And you're only getting about 70% of it compared to the rest of us.
2016-08-29 11:15:55, Mon	OUTBOX	Np. [REDACTED] s in (not sure why I'm doing this then, but whatever). Just let me know when you are coming back up.
2016-08-29 11:19:12, Mon	INBOX	\U0001f621 re [REDACTED] being in...your weekend and morning could have been nicer. ..almost to Peets
2016-08-29 11:20:17, Mon	OUTBOX	Yeah, but she offered to put the binder together so I'll take that.
2016-08-29 11:23:18, Mon	INBOX	\u263a good. I like her, I think. Is she still officially Steinbach's staff, or ONP, or DO generally?
2016-08-29 11:24:00, Mon	OUTBOX	Steinbach's staff. And yes, she's excellent.

2016-08-29 11:27:02, Mon	INBOX	Entering turnstiles now. Your office?
2016-08-29 22:28:55, Mon	OUTBOX	Dude you there?
2016-08-29 22:46:56, Mon	INBOX	In answer to your question, was in wrap. [REDACTED]
2016-08-29 22:47:12, Mon	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-08-29 22:47:41, Mon	OUTBOX	Fun!
2016-08-29 22:56:06, Mon	OUTBOX	I need to call Jason first
2016-08-30 08:25:31, Tue	INBOX	Struggling with the role of the new job. I get what you were saying about "don't be [REDACTED]" where everything has to go through me, but I don't know if that's entirely right. I better know what's going on in my branch. I better know more about what's going on under me than my AD, and surely more than the EAD or you or Andy or the D. [REDACTED] get find people who can do a good job and let them do it. Completely agree. It's figuring out the right amount to be involved to track and guide, provide input.
2016-08-30 08:55:17, Tue	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] And let's talk about the DAD thing later. All I'm saying is worry and be present for the things that matter, not the things that don't.
2016-08-30 08:59:57, Tue	OUTBOX	My point is, that brief went super well. So even if you would have phrased a few things differently, and even if you would have liked to have known every fact before hand, it was superbly. And you not knowing was very much a function of your not being here on Friday. So all I'm saying is focus on the things that matter.
2016-08-30 09:02:32, Tue	INBOX	I guess. Still not entirely comfortable with it. Will figure that out, there's an element of Jon in there that I also need to clarify what I'm feeling, what's reasonable, what's not. [REDACTED] [REDACTED] Thanks for being there to hear all my doubt and concern [REDACTED]
2016-08-30 09:03:18, Tue	OUTBOX	Last thing: I get knowing more than the guy above you, but Mike knew far far less than even Andy and I knew about it. Hell, he didn't even know we were having the brief. And I completely understand how frustrated that must have made him, but ultimately, the brief was no worse off. Again, it's the focus on the things that matter.
2016-08-30 09:03:19, Tue	INBOX	Let me ask you this. How many times had you heard that brief, between prep for that and [REDACTED] and otherwise?
2016-08-30 09:03:39, Tue	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] and then on Friday.
2016-08-30 09:04:18, Tue	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

2016-08-30 09:05:07, Tue	INBOX	No, you heard the prebrief for [REDACTED] to Andy, then the one to the D. Then you heard the prebrief on Fri to Andy, then this one. You've heard it at least FOUR times.
2016-08-30 09:06:23, Tue	INBOX	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
2016-08-30 09:10:05, Tue	INBOX	Yeah but that's generally not Ok (re Mike). He came in late to MYE and has been in and out of [REDACTED] And he HAD the TPs for this, he just chose not to read them. Because Jon made sure to send them to Dina and Bill and Mike, but still hasn't sent them to me. \n\nThats what I'm saying - there's some stuff that's reasonable for me to ask and not reasonable for Jon not to do - hey dude, email me, just cc; the TPs please - and stuff that isn't. \n\nAnd this is only a tiny bit of ALL the stuff going on. Had a truly horrible proposal for an op come out of WFO in CD2.
2016-08-30 09:16:07, Tue	OUTBOX	Agree re the Ps. [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
2016-08-30 09:20:30, Tue	INBOX	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
2016-08-30 09:44:50, Tue	INBOX	Here we go:\nHarry Reid Cites Evidence of Russian Tampering in U.S. Vote, and Seeks F.B.I. Inquiry\n\nhttp://mobile.nytimes.com/2016/08/30/us/politics/harry-reid-russia-tampering-election-fbi.html
2016-08-30 09:45:20, Tue	INBOX	But Mr. Reid argued that the connections between some of Donald J. Trump\u2019s former and current advisers and the Russian leadership should, by itself, prompt an investigation. He referred indirectly in his letter to a speech given in Russia by one Trump adviser, Carter Page, a consultant and investor in the energy giant Gazprom, who criticized American sanctions policy toward Russia.\n\n\u201cTrump and his people keep saying the election is rigged,\u201d Mr. Reid said. \u201cWhy is he saying that? Because people are telling him the election can be messed with.\u201d Mr. Trump\u2019s advisers say they are concerned that unnamed elites could rig the election for his opponent, Hillary Clinton.
2016-08-30 09:45:44, Tue	OUTBOX	D said at am brief thst Reid called him and told him he would be sending a le t ter.
2016-08-30 09:46:29, Tue	INBOX	Bill didn't mention it \U0001f612
2016-08-30 09:51:55, Tue	INBOX	And holy cow, let me send you the Reid letter!
2016-08-30 11:10:10, Tue	OUTBOX	Have a meeting with turgal about getting iPhone in a day or so
2016-08-30 11:13:56, Tue	INBOX	Oh hot damn. I'm happy to pilot that. ... \n\nWe get around our security / monitoring issues?

2016-08-30 11:28:50, Tue	OUTBOX	No, he's proposing that we just stop following them. Apparently the requirement to capture texts came from omb, but we're the only org (I'm told) who is following that rule. His point is, if no one else is doing it why should we.
2016-08-30 11:29:25, Tue	OUTBOX	Helps that Dd had a terrible time with his phone [REDACTED] which made him concerned for our folks all over the place.
2016-08-30 11:29:54, Tue	OUTBOX	These phones suck as much as they do because of the program we use to capture texts, full stop.
2016-08-30 11:34:03, Tue	INBOX	No doubt.
2016-08-30 11:34:34, Tue	INBOX	I'm not convinced, short of OPR, that text capture capability really deters anything.
2016-08-30 11:34:58, Tue	INBOX	If I want to copy/take classified, I'm sure as hell not going to do it on this phone.
2016-08-30 11:36:40, Tue	OUTBOX	I thought it was more from a discovery perspective.
2016-08-30 11:39:49, Tue	INBOX	Probably. So just make a rule no texts of a discoverable nature. \n\nLike you said, what are CBP, DEA, others doing?
2016-08-30 11:57:27, Tue	OUTBOX	I'm told - though I have seen - that there is an IG report that says everyone is failing. But no one has changed anything, so why not just join in the failure.
2016-08-30 11:58:42, Tue	INBOX	Well, if our mission is degraded, that's the reason to do it. Plus, these phones suck
2016-08-30 12:55:38, Tue	OUTBOX	Call my please?
2016-08-30 16:17:38, Tue	OUTBOX	Call desk?
2016-08-30 16:29:43, Tue	INBOX	You got the [REDACTED] card?
2016-08-30 16:30:03, Tue	OUTBOX	Yes. In the binder already.
2016-08-30 16:30:53, Tue	INBOX	Wow. You're good. :)
2016-08-31 00:33:49, Wed	OUTBOX	VOM-IT. VOMIT. Vomit vomit vomit.\n\nEdward Snowden\u2019s Long, Strange Journey to Hollywood http://nyti.ms/2c4Kz50
2016-08-31 00:34:55, Wed	INBOX	I told him I thought it might be harder for me than for him, but that that was ok. \U0001f636\n\nI will not read about Snowden tonight.
2016-08-31 01:03:16, Wed	OUTBOX	And seriously, don't read the article, but Jesus, V-O-M-I-T.
2016-08-31 01:06:15, Wed	INBOX	Believe me, I'm not touching that article. Maybe CYD can take that case, too...
2016-08-31 02:37:11, Wed	OUTBOX	Did you ever look at this? It's incredibly powerful. And really, really depressing. \n\nAt Least 110 Republican Leaders Won\u2019t Vote for Donald Trump. Here\u2019s When They Reached Their Breaking Point. http://nyti.ms/2bTNAbb

2016-08-31 11:37:05, Wed	OUTBOX	Re the case, Jim Baker honks you should have it. But I'm sure andy would defer to bill. I won't mention.
2016-08-31 11:39:54, Wed	INBOX	
2016-09-01 01:19:30, Thu	INBOX	And yeah, that article is pretty much guaranteed to get a response out of me in my current state...\U0001f636
2016-09-01 01:19:54, Thu	INBOX	How Russia Often Benefits When Julian Assange Reveals the West\u2019s Secrets http://nyti.ms/2c1qTlf
2016-09-01 01:24:07, Thu	INBOX	Had a long talk with Bill. Can we work talk tomorrow morning re same?
2016-09-01 01:27:45, Thu	INBOX	Bottom line Mike read Bill the riot act, said Andy yelled at him and DJ that "the teams aren't sharing info" and told them to fix it. Sounds like Mike and Randy want to get everyone together and yell at us, daily updates, and other silliness.\n\nI will relay much more detail when we talk.
2016-09-01 01:40:45, Thu	OUTBOX	Ho boy. Andy said nothing to me except it went well. Makes me nervous that people think I was involved or at the meeting.
2016-09-01 01:43:27, Thu	INBOX	Bill wanted to know what generated it. Did he (Andy) get spun up with you?
2016-09-01 01:44:04, Thu	INBOX	Let's def talk tomorrow AM. Bill will likely seek you out to talk.
2016-09-01 01:44:41, Thu	INBOX	I'll give you more detail hete, or better on imsg, but far easier to relay with conversation.
2016-09-01 01:44:56, Thu	INBOX	Here. Not hete. Hete sounds like gibberish.
2016-09-01 01:47:50, Thu	OUTBOX	Let's talk tomorrow
2016-09-01 01:53:31, Thu	INBOX	K. [REDACTED]
2016-09-01 09:36:11, Thu	OUTBOX	Going to ask Eric who he told about my mtg with Andy. If not him, then it is [REDACTED] running her mouth again.
2016-09-01 11:41:10, Thu	INBOX	Tried reaching out to Bill but didn't connect
2016-09-01 11:42:11, Thu	OUTBOX	I sent an email to he and Randy asking to talk after morning meeting. No answer, but presumably will see them in a couple.
2016-09-01 12:02:45, Thu	INBOX	Good luck. Id just tell them what you told me. You're doing a good job, Lisa. \n\nI had more to say about what I think drives the perception. Mainly people want to identify external causes of problems rather than face that it might be them.

2016-09-01 12:07:39, Thu	INBOX	That means a lot of times it isn't YOU, it's the position. I heard grumbling about such things as soon as i was in a professional position to hear it, about [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] and everyone in between.\n\nThis is no doubt a sh*tty drawback of your job.
2016-09-01 13:15:33, Thu	OUTBOX	Just did it. Mike S. didn't and hasn't said a word to me. Bill defended me, DJ said he understood Andy's frustration, Randy said nothing.
2016-09-01 13:17:32, Thu	INBOX	Good. Proud of Bill.
2016-09-01 13:17:53, Thu	INBOX	How are you feeling about it?
2016-09-01 13:18:14, Thu	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-09-01 13:18:34, Thu	INBOX	I sent an email to Bill saying we had talked and you were going to call him.
2016-09-01 13:19:44, Thu	OUTBOX	I just pulled them all together after the morning meeting. Steinbach obviously didn't have any interest in hearing what I had to say, but I figured I would just be further criticized if I excluded him.
2016-09-01 13:20:37, Thu	OUTBOX	I'm going to try to call Eric now, because of course he's not here because Andy's not here. Then I get to tell Andy and tell him what I just said.
2016-09-01 13:39:45, Thu	INBOX	Hope it goes well. What are you going to say? Just what you told all of them?
2016-09-01 13:41:22, Thu	OUTBOX	Yes. Doing it now.
2016-09-01 14:07:14, Thu	INBOX	Yes. For a call?
2016-09-01 14:07:28, Thu	OUTBOX	If you can. Nothing major
2016-09-01 16:12:48, Thu	INBOX	Hi. How you dping?
2016-09-01 16:27:47, Thu	OUTBOX	Fine. Spoke to Eric. Went okay. Something relevant to you, but can tell you later.
2016-09-01 16:29:30, Thu	INBOX	He have any insight? \n\nGood? Bad? Neither?
2016-09-01 16:34:53, Thu	OUTBOX	Potentially bad.
2016-09-01 16:36:04, Thu	OUTBOX	No, he took what Steinbach said as truth, said it made sense once we spoke. Same old sh*t.
2016-09-01 16:37:23, Thu	INBOX	What did Steinbach say? That Andy yelled at him? Or something else?
2016-09-01 16:37:31, Thu	INBOX	I can call later, too
2016-09-01 16:38:12, Thu	OUTBOX	Let's just talk later. It's not clear what he said, just some things that Eric implied.

Strzok-Page Texts

2016-09-01 16:53:36, Thu	INBOX	Talk now?
2016-09-01 17:09:29, Thu	OUTBOX	Sorry, was in with Baker. I can talk in 2.
2016-09-01 17:10:05, Thu	INBOX	Sorry, can't now. 15 min?
2016-09-01 17:11:14, Thu	OUTBOX	Baker ran into Steinbach, who complained about me. Steinbach and Coleman want to talk to Andy.
2016-09-01 17:22:13, Thu	INBOX	F them. \U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\n\nCall you in 3 minutes
2016-09-01 17:59:15, Thu	INBOX	Man I'm angry
2016-09-01 17:59:29, Thu	INBOX	For you
2016-09-01 18:01:53, Thu	OUTBOX	
2016-09-01 18:03:00, Thu	INBOX	Believe me, no way I try and do that any time soon. \U0001f61e
2016-09-01 18:03:18, Thu	INBOX	I'm sorry. And I'm frustrated, all on top of angry.
2016-09-01 18:03:32, Thu	INBOX	You DO good work. [REDACTED]
2016-09-01 18:04:19, Thu	INBOX	What did Jim recommend? Anything?
2016-09-01 18:07:23, Thu	OUTBOX	He doesn't know what to do. He honestly said he didn't know what to recommend.
2016-09-01 18:07:48, Thu	OUTBOX	Just got another call from quinn re MYE. Should I direct his call to Steinbach?
2016-09-01 18:08:03, Thu	INBOX	Hah. No.
2016-09-01 18:09:05, Thu	INBOX	[REDACTED] Thats who Bill told me to coordinate the [REDACTED] thing with. Mike can take over "messaging" from here....
2016-09-01 18:19:21, Thu	INBOX	Speaking of media, did you see the Wash Times quotes the OPA person shared with [REDACTED] (And why [REDACTED] Would have thought one of us, us including Jon and Bill)
2016-09-01 18:19:29, Thu	INBOX	They worry me a bit
2016-09-01 18:19:33, Thu	OUTBOX	I have mye questions. No one is around.
2016-09-01 18:19:50, Thu	INBOX	Ask away
2016-09-01 18:20:30, Thu	OUTBOX	I'm not typing it all out. Forget it.

Strzok-Page Texts

2016-09-01 18:20:42, Thu	INBOX	Seriously, I can hop on eras and answer if I don't have them off the top of my head. Or are they legal?
2016-09-01 18:20:59, Thu	INBOX	Can you talk to me and ask?
2016-09-01 18:21:03, Thu	OUTBOX	No. Factual. Three more comments from the wash times.
2016-09-01 18:21:09, Thu	OUTBOX	Yes, if you can talk.
2016-09-01 18:38:33, Thu	INBOX	Def get with [REDACTED] for legal analysis. FRA contains language about each agency establishing record keeping procedures, and I vaguely recall it's not clear that she was not in compliance with State's program at the time.
2016-09-01 19:26:41, Thu	INBOX	Obviously if Andy is good with the statement, I'm not adding "me too"!
2016-09-01 20:57:38, Thu	OUTBOX	Need to go to wrap. Because I'm so stupid and loyal I stayed here the same day so that Andy doesn't miss anything.
2016-09-01 23:16:49, Thu	OUTBOX	I can't. Now the Midyear production has to happen tomorrow. And you and [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] are all out.
2016-09-01 23:22:58, Thu	INBOX	What is production at this point? Certainly, that you can't do from home?
2016-09-01 23:26:00, Thu	OUTBOX	Yeah, and get everyone the copies they need, and tell baker and doj and wait for all the hill notifications and tell the agency. I'm not giving State an advance warning. F them.
2016-09-01 23:42:11, Thu	INBOX	Email. They all have secretaries who can print for them. Jason handles the hill. It will ALL work out without you there
2016-09-01 23:51:41, Thu	INBOX	And yes, totally. F State. No heads up
2016-09-02 01:00:54, Fri	OUTBOX	Yeah, well you can add that to the list of things that is never going to happen, like me gaining the respect of superiors simply because I work hard and do a good job.
2016-09-02 01:02:15, Fri	OUTBOX	I'm also incredibly disappointed in Bill. I would have hoped for some follow-up from him. Even just feedback or thanks or disagreement or something. But instead he just fell off the map.
2016-09-02 01:05:08, Fri	INBOX	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] think Bill is busy and worried about H7462 where he stands with his boss, and based on what Mike told him, with Andy. I'm not there to bounce things off of, so it makes it worse. That will be ok. From what you said, he was the only stand up guy at your meeting.
2016-09-02 01:26:56, Fri	INBOX	You should take tomorrow off.
2016-09-02 01:27:30, Fri	INBOX	The Bu will continue as it has for decades.
2016-09-02 01:37:20, Fri	OUTBOX	You know I'm not going to stay home. You can stop insisting.
2016-09-02 01:38:32, Fri	INBOX	And I'm not going to stop telling you that's a bad decision, that the bureau - and MYE - will be just fine

Strzok-Page Texts

2016-09-02 01:40:53, Fri	INBOX	I promise you...tell me, what needs to be done that can't be done by you via phone or email OR by someone else?\n\nTake the day, [REDACTED]
2016-09-02 01:41:23, Fri	OUTBOX	Note the bcc. Because, I've already spoken to [REDACTED] about this today once already. In addition to the tri-weekly email I get from Laufman.
2016-09-02 01:41:59, Fri	OUTBOX	Yup, I'll just do it from home.
2016-09-02 01:42:23, Fri	OUTBOX	WHO IS THE SOMEONE ELSE?!
2016-09-02 01:46:35, Fri	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-09-02 01:46:43, Fri	INBOX	Jason
2016-09-02 01:46:45, Fri	INBOX	OCA
2016-09-02 01:46:52, Fri	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-09-02 01:46:54, Fri	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-09-02 01:47:00, Fri	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-09-02 01:47:01, Fri	INBOX	Moffa
2016-09-02 01:47:08, Fri	OUTBOX	Stop. Now you're just insulting me.
2016-09-02 01:47:14, Fri	INBOX	YOU CAN STAY HOME
2016-09-02 01:49:22, Fri	INBOX	Make the calls from home
2016-09-02 01:49:51, Fri	INBOX	Tell everyone "call so-and-so and email me when you're done"
2016-09-02 01:49:55, Fri	INBOX	Then call OPA and tell them to release
2016-09-02 01:50:12, Fri	INBOX	And DOJ is a wild pain in the ass
2016-09-02 01:50:25, Fri	INBOX	Not as bad as State, but still
2016-09-02 01:50:41, Fri	OUTBOX	Yes, George. I know we have to call counsel.
2016-09-02 01:51:11, Fri	INBOX	Lisa, I don't think that's the right decision, and i dont agree. But having said that, and meaning it, I'll leave it alone.
2016-09-02 01:51:23, Fri	OUTBOX	And what's the other agency reference? Is that State?
2016-09-02 01:52:44, Fri	INBOX	I don't know. I assumed it was WH
2016-09-02 01:53:02, Fri	INBOX	DoJ has NO obligation as far as I'm aware of.

2016-09-02 01:55:38, Fri	OUTBOX	Forgot to show you this text from Eric, who is essentially blaming me for Andy's late hours:\n\nGood deal. I also want to stay a little tighter in the future so we can ensure Unity of effort for both Andy and the whole floor. This will also help in streamlining any after wrap discussions with Andy as I want to try and get him out the door at a more reasonable hour than what he has been doing. And that goes for you too! [REDACTED]
2016-09-02 01:58:21, Fri	INBOX	Andy's the DD. He's going to be late. You've got nothing to do with it. \U0001f612
2016-09-02 01:59:49, Fri	OUTBOX	What I wanted to respond is how is staying tighter going to allow Andy to get out earlier? Is Eric going to cover my questions?
2016-09-02 01:59:56, Fri	INBOX	Streamlining after wrap discussions? How about Andy decides whether he wants that?
2016-09-02 02:00:21, Fri	INBOX	Exactly. No. Maybe it will allow him to understand the depth of what you're doing.
2016-09-02 02:01:09, Fri	OUTBOX	Which I'm fine with. So just sit down with us after wrap. But don't make more goddamn work for me.
2016-09-02 02:07:01, Fri	INBOX	Yeah I'm not sure what he thinks he's accomplishing other than understanding what you're doing. At which point he'll say (if he's smart), oh, wow, that's a lot, and then let you go. After wasting your time. [REDACTED]
2016-09-02 13:41:30, Fri	INBOX	Checkout my 9:30 mtg on the 7th
2016-09-02 13:42:40, Fri	OUTBOX	I can tell you why you're having that meeting.
2016-09-02 13:42:46, Fri	OUTBOX	It's not what you think.
2016-09-02 13:49:39, Fri	INBOX	TPs for D?
2016-09-02 13:50:29, Fri	OUTBOX	Yes, bc potus wants to know everything we are doing.
2016-09-02 13:55:21, Fri	INBOX	I'm sure an honest answer will come out of that meeting...\U0001f612
2016-09-02 15:38:05, Fri	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] never knocks on the door. It's kind of weird.\n\nWhat are you doing? Why are you gone so much? God! \U0001f61d\U0001f61d\U0001f61d\U0001f61d\U0001f61d
2016-09-02 16:04:46, Fri	INBOX	Thoughts on [REDACTED] email? Maybe just send her a copy of FOIA?
2016-09-02 16:05:34, Fri	OUTBOX	There's more to discuss. Don't feel like typing.
2016-09-02 16:07:35, Fri	INBOX	Work call after lunch? I also have 5 now before food arrives
2016-09-02 16:09:10, Fri	INBOX	Just tried calling...will hit you after lunch

2016-09-02 17:26:11, Fri	INBOX	NYTimes.com breaking
2016-09-02 17:26:49, Fri	INBOX	You saw the byline, right?
2016-09-02 18:07:01, Fri	OUTBOX	It helps that the Director and Deputy really hate these phones too. And really love their personal iphones.
2016-09-02 18:09:05, Fri	INBOX	Now if Tim Cook would only fall off the face of the earth
2016-09-02 20:57:43, Fri	INBOX	Def still up for coming in Sun to work magic. \n\nAnd I mean that work-wise, I'm really excited about writing it into his language.\n\n\u0001f60b\u0001f636
2016-09-02 22:08:19, Fri	INBOX	I love our Director
2016-09-02 22:08:40, Fri	OUTBOX	I know. I do too.
2016-09-02 22:10:00, Fri	OUTBOX	You obviously did not get a hold of Bill.
2016-09-02 22:10:42, Fri	INBOX	What? More? He didn't send whatever it was to me. Please forward?
2016-09-02 22:10:58, Fri	INBOX	And no, I didn't. No office answer, no cell.
2016-09-02 22:11:15, Fri	OUTBOX	What were you talking about then?
2016-09-02 22:11:52, Fri	OUTBOX	The Grassley email you meant, right? I did too.
2016-09-02 22:12:35, Fri	OUTBOX	If he doesn't call tonight I would freaking call SIOC and them connect you to his home.
2016-09-02 22:39:45, Fri	OUTBOX	Please tell me this absence means you're talking to Bill...
2016-09-02 22:40:26, Fri	INBOX	Yes. Just got done talking with him. Talk? ■ is here so will be work
2016-09-02 22:42:21, Fri	OUTBOX	No. ■ Please tell me he has relaxed
2016-09-02 22:45:04, Fri	INBOX	Yes. Call for data came at wrap (jr and bowdich) for desire for D to have data to chew on.\n\nHe also talked about your meeting with everyone to try and clear air. Remind me, though nothing really there to report
2016-09-02 22:48:53, Fri	OUTBOX	Got it. But we are still writing the op ed, yes?
2016-09-02 22:51:05, Fri	INBOX	Yes, -ish. He said too much data, would be good to identify the key pieces of data and frame it in an argument, but not to spend "too much time on the opening or closing." I told him we were too much of perfectionists to not do all of it.
2016-09-03 00:28:51, Sat	OUTBOX	Gotta say, most of the coverage has been quite favorable. \n\nF.B.I. Papers Offer Closer Look at Hillary Clinton Email Inquiry http://nyti.ms/2cfM00m
2016-09-03 00:29:15, Sat	OUTBOX	And crap, we should have told the agents/analysts that the docs were coming out today. \u0001f615

Strzok-Page Texts

2016-09-03 00:51:32, Sat	INBOX	I did tell them
2016-09-03 01:28:30, Sat	INBOX	That nyt article was pretty good
2016-09-03 01:30:05, Sat	INBOX	This was also good. Probably what in would have noted, too:\n http://mobile.nytimes.com/2016/09/03/us/politics/6-things-we-learned-in-the-fbi-clinton-email-investigation.html
2016-09-04 15:36:28, Sun	INBOX	Just told Jon I was on eras thinking of coming in. Figured give us 10 minutes to talk before getting together if he wants to stick around for all of us to work
2016-09-04 15:52:45, Sun	OUTBOX	So I don't understand, you are going to tell jon you're here? You aren't?
2016-09-04 15:53:13, Sun	INBOX	I told him I may drive in
2016-09-05 10:50:01, Mon	OUTBOX	An article to share: Intelligence community investigating covert Russian influence operations in the United States\n intelligence community investigating covert Russian influence operations in the United States\n http://wapo.st/2c0UA2H
2016-09-05 10:51:51, Mon	INBOX	This is the one [REDACTED] was taking about I think
2016-09-05 10:52:37, Mon	OUTBOX	Yup. It is very well sourced. 100% authorized.
2016-09-05 10:54:03, Mon	INBOX	Doesn't really look like it
2016-09-05 10:54:26, Mon	OUTBOX	Not really. [REDACTED]
2016-09-05 11:02:09, Mon	INBOX	Just read the article. We say a lot of the same things. I guess that's ok.
2016-09-05 11:02:42, Mon	OUTBOX	Yeah, but that's why ours is going to need to be more folksy. So it's not like a news article.
2016-09-05 11:02:48, Mon	INBOX	I have really no faith the administration will deal with it effectively
2016-09-05 11:02:56, Mon	OUTBOX	Nope. You shouldn't.
2016-09-05 11:19:54, Mon	INBOX	I am losing my mind because I can't get my stupid laptop to connect to FBINET. I got up early to see the draft you guys worked on but no dice. Want to spike it in the street.
2016-09-05 11:50:03, Mon	INBOX	Sorry. I'm going to try in a bit. Came up with a lot of little edits
2016-09-05 11:55:42, Mon	INBOX	I can't go in today so if I can't connect in the next hour or so I'll just have to see it tomorrow. Obviously fire it off to the AD though. I'm sure it's great. Won't take my password for some reason...
2016-09-05 14:08:22, Mon	INBOX	[REDACTED] After inputting edits in eras.\n\nLet me know when you leave.
2016-09-05 14:11:20, Mon	OUTBOX	Left

Strzok-Page Texts

2016-09-05 15:10:03, Mon	INBOX	Just sent op-ed to Bill, cc:ed both of you.
2016-09-05 22:50:03, Mon	INBOX	And ooh, you're at ODNI on Wed. LX? H8013Me too! From 11:30 till 2:00. I'll give you a ride!
2016-09-05 22:58:18, Mon	OUTBOX	No, only if trisha wants me to, and I'm sure she won't.
2016-09-05 23:08:07, Mon	INBOX	Can you just go? Or go to my thing then go to the other one since you're there?
2016-09-05 23:19:47, Mon	OUTBOX	I'm sure that would cause some turmoil (why is Lisa there and not nslb...)
2016-09-05 23:21:37, Mon	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-09-05 23:27:36, Mon	OUTBOX	Yes! About what?
2016-09-05 23:39:35, Mon	INBOX	Gotta figure that out tomorrow. Insider threat perhaps. Maybe Electoral shenanigans. I'm between Clapper and Evanina and another person or two
2016-09-06 11:39:31, Tue	OUTBOX	Stupid ass [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] and I don't think she was successful. \U0001f621
2016-09-06 11:40:41, Tue	OUTBOX	At clearing the air. \U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621
2016-09-06 11:43:02, Tue	INBOX	Got it. You going to talk to him? You said at the time he backed you up.
2016-09-06 11:43:37, Tue	INBOX	But might be better to let it go for now?
2016-09-06 11:44:00, Tue	OUTBOX	He just didn't leave me out the hanging, but he didn't say, thanks for explaining or anything like that.
2016-09-06 11:44:05, Tue	INBOX	And I know \U0001f614
2016-09-06 11:46:02, Tue	INBOX	How about go to him, say, you know how you said you appreciated it when I gave you honest feedback, that that's rare? I'd appreciate the same from you. What do you think about how last week unfolded?
2016-09-06 11:46:19, Tue	INBOX	But you CANNOT burn me as a source...
2016-09-06 11:47:19, Tue	OUTBOX	And I'm far more pissed that after doing it, he didn't come to me separately and say thanks or I'm not sure you made any progress but thanks anyway or ANYTHING.
2016-09-06 11:47:49, Tue	OUTBOX	Nope. F it. He wants to lose an ally, so be it.
2016-09-06 11:59:41, Tue	INBOX	I think he was feeling the results of Mike talking to him. Put yourself in his shoes, with his personality. Not saying he's right, but I'm saying he's thoughtful and different from Mike and Randy. \n\nWhile I'd be angry, too, I wouldn't throw out an ally/work friendship over it.
2016-09-06 12:00:11, Tue	INBOX	He didn't sell you out. Just understand his personality and your relationship and consider that.

Strzok-Page Texts

2016-09-06 12:20:47, Tue	OUTBOX	Maybe not. But if that's just "his personality" I'm sure not going to stick my neck out for him again. HE doesn't want the headache? Yeah, well I don't either.
2016-09-06 12:28:42, Tue	INBOX	Look, I get it. I'm as frustrated as you are. I can understand not sticking your neck out, too.
2016-09-06 12:29:05, Tue	INBOX	A different frustration - I didn't get burned like you did
2016-09-06 13:19:37, Tue	OUTBOX	And good [REDACTED] is still not back from [REDACTED]
2016-09-06 13:51:23, Tue	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-09-06 13:51:36, Tue	• INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-09-06 21:01:58, Tue	INBOX	Hi. Funny (not haha) convo with Mike. Call me when you're done. And I have no expectation my wrap will be done before 6, so if you can get the hell out of here before then, go.
2016-09-06 22:19:40, Tue	INBOX	And gosh that was a fast wrap....
2016-09-06 22:47:47, Tue	INBOX	And your silly TWO HOUR OVER LUNCH brief on Friday is Hill prep, guessing for Jason. You him Baker [REDACTED] is out. Let me know if I can/should join. [REDACTED]
2016-09-06 23:10:34, Tue	INBOX	Were your ears burning? Just talked about you for 30 minutes with Bill.\n\nIn part about what he's going to say to Andy.\n\nWho he's trying to call right now.
2016-09-06 23:12:27, Tue	OUTBOX	Sigh. Thank you. Can you give me the quick recap?
2016-09-06 23:26:05, Tue	INBOX	On a call?
2016-09-06 23:26:30, Tue	OUTBOX	No. [REDACTED] Meeting Andy at 0700 to talk about operational stuff. Will Bill tell you of he connected with andy? Also, did he provide further info re how you came up?
2016-09-06 23:26:38, Tue	INBOX	Meaning, I'm driving, and it's hard for me to text that. I would be happy to tell you on the phone.
2016-09-06 23:27:00, Tue	OUTBOX	Got it, sorry, I can't for about 30.
2016-09-06 23:27:10, Tue	INBOX	I don't think he will tonight.
2016-09-06 23:27:27, Tue	INBOX	He didn't (re me)
2016-09-06 23:28:02, Tue	OUTBOX	I will probably email bill and ask him if he'd be willing to tell me if they talk, just so I know what I am walking into.
2016-09-06 23:28:11, Tue	INBOX	Brought the topic up, but didn't specifically ask. A lot to talk about. Nothing earthshaking. Just a lot of detail.
2016-09-06 23:29:09, Tue	INBOX	I think you'd be fine with that. Obviously don't reference our talking about it.
2016-09-06 23:29:12, Tue	INBOX	He'd not you'd

2016-09-06 23:29:49, Tue	OUTBOX	Of course. I presume a call later not worth it the trouble for you?
2016-09-06 23:30:08, Tue	INBOX	And I'm now more worried about me. Think Jon is fine
2016-09-06 23:31:01, Tue	INBOX	Depends, [REDACTED]
2016-09-06 23:31:13, Tue	OUTBOX	You have nothing to worry about. They'll both be gone before your next job. AND they both like you. It's ME they don't like.
2016-09-06 23:31:47, Tue	OUTBOX	Is it detail i need to know before tomorrow?
2016-09-06 23:33:39, Tue	INBOX	A) then why you and Bill both getting by name mentions of me\nB) no. Only the fresher it is, the more detail.
2016-09-06 23:34:05, Tue	INBOX	I'm old and forgetful. ;)
2016-09-06 23:55:58, Tue	OUTBOX	A) Pete, it's going to be fine. Don't make this something else to unnecessarily worry about. Your reputation precedes you, and the D and DD think you're great. Worry about those things that matter.
2016-09-06 23:58:08, Tue	INBOX	Sigh. I want to believe that but for my boss mentioning it to me. Just ignore that?
2016-09-06 23:58:53, Tue	OUTBOX	It's a mention about perception. Be aware of perception. I wouldn't worry further than that.
2016-09-06 23:59:51, Tue	OUTBOX	And uh yeah, your advice for me, even when you know I am in the line of fire, is always, f them. So...
2016-09-07 00:01:02, Wed	INBOX	You're leaving in 18 months. And you work directly for the DD.
2016-09-07 00:01:34, Wed	OUTBOX	I don't have another job, and when he leaves I have no job.
2016-09-07 00:01:53, Wed	OUTBOX	I'm just saying if the advice is good enough for me...
2016-09-07 00:01:54, Wed	INBOX	I also sometimes advise you to keep your head down ;)
2016-09-07 00:04:22, Wed	INBOX	I appreciate what you're telling me. I'm saying advice for you and me may be slightly different. I am part of that white male agent hierarchy. Well below the DD.\n\nAnd i fully appreciate your advice to be aware of the perception and otherwise F them, keep working hard.
2016-09-07 00:05:32, Wed	OUTBOX	That white male hierarchy that NEVER eats its own. That pushes even idiots forward for promotion. I think you're going to be okay.
2016-09-07 00:06:40, Wed	INBOX	Sigh. Agreed. [REDACTED]
2016-09-07 00:09:17, Wed	OUTBOX	I don't know. I think I was feeling momentarily resentful at your worry. Yours is speculative and distant, mine is front and center and the in fact named problem.
2016-09-07 00:09:30, Wed	OUTBOX	Probably not bring fair to you, I realize.

Strzok-Page Texts

2016-09-07 00:13:21, Wed	INBOX	Lisa. It's not. I was feeling the same resentment. My boss told me specifically I was mentioned, including in the context of Pete going around the chain of command to get Lisa to feed something to Andy. I did not make that up. I am not exaggerating that. Thus isn't ALL you, nor is it a zero sum game, not is it a comparison or competition. But simply waving my concerns aside, saying "it's all me." isn't accurate.
2016-09-07 01:18:30, Wed	INBOX	Oh. And prepping Bill tonight to call Andy. Tack that somewhere in the second sentence, above.
2016-09-07 01:44:43, Wed	OUTBOX	And don't conflate my email to Bill with my gratitude for you. That's totally unfair.
2016-09-07 01:55:45, Wed	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] I'm meeting andy at 0700.
2016-09-07 02:44:54, Wed	INBOX	Btw, realistically you think you'll have time to talk or coffee tomorrow am? Guessing you'll go straight from 7:00 into morning Intel brief, right?
2016-09-07 11:37:22, Wed	INBOX	Need to talk to you on work issue
2016-09-07 11:37:30, Wed	INBOX	We've got time
2016-09-07 11:37:46, Wed	INBOX	I can talk work on the way there and back
2016-09-07 11:37:47, Wed	OUTBOX	K. One sec. Will call from ofc phone.
2016-09-07 11:37:56, Wed	OUTBOX	K. Let's go.
2016-09-07 11:38:06, Wed	OUTBOX	Meet you in ctyard
2016-09-07 11:38:48, Wed	OUTBOX	Let's just do Starbucks. There's not time.
2016-09-07 12:45:33, Wed	INBOX	Hey just stopped by your office. [REDACTED] \U0001f60a
2016-09-07 12:50:40, Wed	OUTBOX	Sorry, went to see [REDACTED]
2016-09-07 12:50:47, Wed	OUTBOX	Headed back now
2016-09-07 12:57:11, Wed	INBOX	K will swing by now on way to Jason's
2016-09-07 13:00:00, Wed	INBOX	At Jason's
2016-09-07 13:00:11, Wed	OUTBOX	Okay, be right there.
2016-09-07 14:24:10, Wed	INBOX	Hoooo boy. Call me
2016-09-07 15:21:42, Wed	INBOX	Finishing SC mtg, 5 min
2016-09-07 19:49:00, Wed	INBOX	Just got done with Castor.

2016-09-07 22:15:34, Wed	OUTBOX	Andy not going to reply all. I am furious. Don't call back.
2016-09-07 22:23:26, Wed	OUTBOX	So, do I call him and explain why not responding by email entirely undermines me?
2016-09-07 23:11:54, Wed	INBOX	Def don't email. Talk to him. NOT tonight
2016-09-07 23:12:26, Wed	OUTBOX	I'm not unless he calls. I'm actually not going by his ofc unless he calls.
2016-09-07 23:16:20, Wed	OUTBOX	But i am absolutely enraged. He is purposefully choosing to save Mike and Dave's face at my expense.
2016-09-07 23:39:22, Wed	INBOX	Lis. You must talk to him. But don't do it tonight.
2016-09-07 23:39:26, Wed	INBOX	I'm sorry. You must be livid
2016-09-07 23:40:10, Wed	OUTBOX	I am. I texted him this:\n\nI would like to speak to you before you talk to Steinbach and Bowdich about their email.
2016-09-07 23:40:47, Wed	OUTBOX	So it doesn't have to be tonight; we'll see what he says.
2016-09-08 00:19:34, Thu	INBOX	Of course let me know how it goes with JB. And if he's not there, call me \U0001f614\u2764
2016-09-08 00:31:52, Thu	INBOX	Great. Now i have daily meetings, twice a day either CyD. An hour long each. 930-1030 and 4-5.
2016-09-08 00:32:35, Thu	INBOX	Because their division is in incapable of pulling its head out of its ass. I don't have two hours a day to give to this b*****.
2016-09-08 00:44:47, Thu	INBOX	Now I'm livid....I do not have two hours a day to throw at this.
2016-09-08 00:45:08, Thu	OUTBOX	You should be. That's an utter waste.
2016-09-08 00:45:33, Thu	OUTBOX	Twice a day. That is so outrageous.
2016-09-08 00:46:31, Thu	INBOX	And inexplicably, no one, not even after the director's comments today, is willing to say: cyber is f***** up. Cyber needs to fix itself. Cybers way of doing business is unacceptable.
2016-09-08 00:47:00, Thu	OUTBOX	Well that's the profile in courage of you EAD.
2016-09-08 00:47:05, Thu	INBOX	Instead, everybody has to be a little wrong, everybody has to be a little right. Randy and Mike bear nothing. They grind their divisions under them to a halt.
2016-09-08 00:48:38, Thu	INBOX	So instead, other stuff will drop. I hope Andy in the director happy. I hope the opportunity cost to the FBI is worth it.
2016-09-08 01:18:21, Thu	OUTBOX	Sorry for the delay, was just on with [REDACTED]. God, I see right through her...
2016-09-08 01:19:07, Thu	INBOX	What did she want \U0001f612

2016-09-08 01:20:32, Thu	OUTBOX	Oh, just make sure I understood where things were on the [REDACTED] paper, trying to talk Axelrod because he's "so angry" with how this came over to them. I told her not to put herself out too much, if Matt wants to call and yell at Andy it's fine.
2016-09-08 01:20:47, Thu	OUTBOX	It's all perception management.
2016-09-08 01:21:05, Thu	INBOX	And funny quote of the evening. " upon my arrival, I made the mistake of believing Americans understood irony."
2016-09-08 01:21:16, Thu	INBOX	I really do love the British.
2016-09-08 01:21:30, Thu	OUTBOX	Was it a nice night?
2016-09-08 01:22:17, Thu	INBOX	Meh. Would have been far better with you there. I got to speak on behalf of Counter Intelligence Division, so it's good I was there.
2016-09-08 01:22:54, Thu	OUTBOX	That is good.
2016-09-08 01:25:03, Thu	INBOX	Did you get ahold of Baker?
2016-09-08 01:27:48, Thu	OUTBOX	I did. Good conversation, he gets it, offered to say something but I said no. Said there was no question that it was a request from the DD.
2016-09-08 01:28:46, Thu	INBOX	He have any ideas how you should approach Andy?
2016-09-08 01:28:52, Thu	OUTBOX	Says I need to keep trying to cultivate a relationship with Steinbach, ask him to coffee or lunch or a drink. I'm not sure I will try that.
2016-09-08 01:29:26, Thu	OUTBOX	I'm just going to explain to andy why it is important to me that he respond via email.
2016-09-08 01:30:23, Thu	INBOX	[REDACTED] out of here, right?
2016-09-08 01:30:51, Thu	INBOX	And while you may not be cultivating him, it's not like you're a jerk to him.
2016-09-08 01:31:29, Thu	OUTBOX	I told him I was thinking of stepping down if he does not.
2016-09-08 01:31:38, Thu	OUTBOX	He is, once he finds a job.
2016-09-08 01:32:20, Thu	INBOX	What did he say to that?
2016-09-08 01:32:24, Thu	OUTBOX	Baker doesn't think I should. I told him if he said no re the email, that I would come talk to him first.
2016-09-08 01:32:58, Thu	OUTBOX	But when I say that it means Andy doesn't really have my back, he understands why I would. And while I feel that way.
2016-09-08 01:34:05, Thu	OUTBOX	I'm very disappointed that Andy didn't reach out tonight.
2016-09-08 01:51:11, Thu	OUTBOX	Boy, this guy is a big R like you.\n\nFortress of Tedium: What I Learned as a Substitute Teacher. http://nyti.ms/2crUNfV

2016-09-08 01:52:52, Thu	OUTBOX	Andy just replied, said " Already spoke to S before I went to WH. Let's talk in the morning." \n\nI said okay.
2016-09-08 01:56:31, Thu	INBOX	[REDACTED] was there tonight but I didn't get a chance to talk with him. Probably figured I'd be furious with him about the [REDACTED]
2016-09-08 01:56:41, Thu	INBOX	He'd be right
2016-09-08 01:56:42, Thu	INBOX	Talked to John G
2016-09-08 01:57:23, Thu	OUTBOX	How's he doing? He's a decent human being. And not an egomaniac.
2016-09-08 01:57:24, Thu	INBOX	Mye notwithstanding, I really like him. Huge heart
2016-09-08 01:58:24, Thu	INBOX	And god, now I want to know what Andy said. Like Right Now.
2016-09-08 01:58:30, Thu	INBOX	He's good. [REDACTED]
2016-09-08 01:58:31, Thu	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-09-08 01:58:56, Thu	INBOX	He is a very decent human being. Told him we should get lunch.
2016-09-08 01:59:04, Thu	OUTBOX	He does. Bad judgment re mye, but genuinely good person.
2016-09-08 01:59:33, Thu	INBOX	Yep. Completely agree. And that makes him good in my book,
2016-09-08 02:00:53, Thu	INBOX	Good response from Andy, I think. Right??
2016-09-08 02:00:54, Thu	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-09-08 02:01:16, Thu	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-09-08 02:01:18, Thu	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-09-08 02:08:38, Thu	INBOX	I think it's better than thay
2016-09-08 02:10:08, Thu	OUTBOX	I don't. He still did it to protect Steinbach.
2016-09-08 02:11:51, Thu	INBOX	Not emailing, you mean?
2016-09-08 02:12:49, Thu	INBOX	Wait and decide what you think after you talk.
2016-09-08 02:13:09, Thu	INBOX	Same as waiting until after Bill finally talked to you
2016-09-08 02:13:31, Thu	INBOX	I think (god I pray) it will be better after you talk to Andy
2016-09-08 02:16:57, Thu	OUTBOX	Well if it's not I'll leave. Make the same amount of money, be more bored, but have a better life.

2016-09-08 02:18:04, Thu	INBOX	I think it will be a good convo with Andy.
2016-09-08 02:18:21, Thu	INBOX	Still want to know what [REDACTED] feedback was
2016-09-08 02:23:21, Thu	OUTBOX	We can talk tomorrow. [REDACTED]
2016-09-08 09:21:24, Thu	INBOX	[REDACTED] And yeah, you're going to be angry until you clear the air with Andy.
2016-09-08 10:40:09, Thu	OUTBOX	I know. It's complete bs. Hopefully it has the effect of snapping cyber into place so that these stupid meeting won't be necessary.
2016-09-08 22:06:15, Thu	INBOX	And OMG CyD just gets worse. Have a story for you, [REDACTED]
2016-09-08 22:12:39, Thu	INBOX	And yay your conversation with Andy. \U0001f60a\U0001f60a
2016-09-08 22:14:56, Thu	INBOX	Is [REDACTED] there?
2016-09-08 22:15:16, Thu	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] so around generally.
2016-09-08 22:54:10, Thu	INBOX	God I want to drive by with this report...
2016-09-08 23:22:44, Thu	OUTBOX	It went extremely well. I am grateful for his call.
2016-09-08 23:24:02, Thu	INBOX	He do it on his own or at Andy/JB's urging?
2016-09-08 23:24:10, Thu	INBOX	How long?
2016-09-08 23:24:40, Thu	OUTBOX	I don't know. Am emailing/thanking/informing andy and Jb now.
2016-09-08 23:33:26, Thu	INBOX	Well gosh now I want to hear about it more than you want to see the report!
2016-09-08 23:38:01, Thu	INBOX	Ack!!!! Details! Synopsis!
2016-09-08 23:38:31, Thu	OUTBOX	It was honestly very helpful. Not that he told me anything I didn't know (7th floor is political, perception matters, etc.), but just him simply acknowledging the issues, the balancing that needs to happen, how you still won't please everyone gives me the room to include him more in the failings I report to Andy, etc.
2016-09-08 23:39:31, Thu	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] reached out to him. I love that kid. \U0001f636
2016-09-08 23:42:35, Thu	OUTBOX	I am lucky. Thank you too, for your friendship. I couldn't survive any of this without you.
2016-09-08 23:45:29, Thu	INBOX	Not following entirely:\n gives me the room to include him more in the failings I report to Andy\n\nDoes that mean you trust him more to tell him problems?

2016-09-08 23:51:15, Thu	OUTBOX	Yes, potentially. It may never happen, but at least now he has opened the door to the possibility of that happening. I'll have to explain more tomorrow, unless you can talk quickly now.
2016-09-09 00:30:12, Fri	OUTBOX	Thank you. \U0001f636 Jon sent a text checking in, made me realize he and Bill should know.
2016-09-09 00:43:06, Fri	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-09-09 00:58:17, Fri	OUTBOX	Aaron wants to get coffee at 0730 this weekend. \U0001f61d\U0001f61d\U0001f61d
2016-09-09 01:02:27, Fri	INBOX	I'd like to see Aaron with you \U0001f636
2016-09-09 01:55:42, Fri	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-09-09 01:56:04, Fri	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-09-09 01:56:47, Fri	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-09-09 01:56:59, Fri	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-09-09 01:57:45, Fri	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-09-09 01:57:46, Fri	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-09-09 01:57:50, Fri	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-09-09 02:19:02, Fri	INBOX	Matt Laurer's an idiot \n http://mobile.nytimes.com/2016/09/09/opinion/a-debate-disaster-waiting-to-happen.html
2016-09-09 02:39:00, Fri	INBOX	[REDACTED] Glad things worked out with you, Andy and Mike \u263a
2016-09-09 11:52:19, Fri	OUTBOX	It was. I'm glad mye didn't start up until the end of summer.
2016-09-09 17:23:08, Fri	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] You need to show me that report that [REDACTED] told you about yesterday!
2016-09-09 17:34:32, Fri	INBOX	Ah yes. Standby let me get it
2016-09-09 17:34:58, Fri	OUTBOX	Sweet. [REDACTED] might be in here when you come. Just knock.
2016-09-09 18:31:04, Fri	INBOX	I need my crimes report. I'll stop by on the. Way to OPA at 315
2016-09-09 20:26:29, Fri	OUTBOX	I want to hear about [REDACTED] too!
2016-09-09 20:27:13, Fri	INBOX	I don't tell Bill what Castor said, right?
2016-09-09 20:27:35, Fri	OUTBOX	Let me.
2016-09-09 20:27:49, Fri	OUTBOX	Not today.

2016-09-10 00:25:43, Sat	OUTBOX	No prob. Just calling to say I called Jason, told him everything, including having a call without him. He totally agreed. Told him I would draft TPs this weekend too, he's grateful for it.
2016-09-10 00:27:32, Sat	INBOX	\u263a thanks. I'd like to help, obviously
2016-09-10 00:28:24, Sat	OUTBOX	I'd appreciate it, obviously. Going to send an email to my core team to tell them I'll draft TPs this weekend, but will likely need a look Sunday night.
2016-09-10 00:30:29, Sat	INBOX	\u263a Still thinking to draft on Sunday?
2016-09-10 00:36:47, Sat	OUTBOX	Yeah, think so. Maybe Saturday night. We'll see.
2016-09-10 00:51:21, Sat	OUTBOX	If [REDACTED] sets up the call the real test will be whether he sends me the call in info. I am angry, Pete. \U0001f621
2016-09-10 00:56:08, Sat	INBOX	Just ask Andy, no? The problem is no one on that call has knowledge of the case anywhere near you. Face it, [REDACTED] was utterly absent for it. Not saying he shouldn't be there, but include Bill. And, obviously, you.
2016-09-10 01:01:49, Sat	OUTBOX	Oh, I'll get the info. I just have a feeling I'm going to be left off "accidentally."
2016-09-10 01:04:58, Sat	OUTBOX	God, I'm really in a bad mood now.
2016-09-10 01:05:07, Sat	OUTBOX	I hope I'm wrong.
2016-09-10 01:06:19, Sat	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-09-10 11:50:25, Sat	INBOX	Re call, Bowdich won't set it up, [REDACTED] or whoever will. And you want to bet if he calls in?
2016-09-10 11:56:35, Sat	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-09-10 11:58:56, Sat	OUTBOX	Re [REDACTED] I'm not sure he has the intellectual curiosity to call in. How's that for an insult?
2016-09-10 12:16:16, Sat	INBOX	And re my last, I'd add that at this point the questions at this point are organizational risk best answered by people with thoughtful smarts. And I'm not sure about the group on there, either.
2016-09-10 12:37:16, Sat	INBOX	B) thing is, there are VERY inflammatory things in the 302s we didn't turn over to Congress (because they weren't relevant to understanding the focus of the investigation) that are going to come out in FOIA and absolutely inflame Congress. I'm sure Jim and Trisha and Dave and Mike are all considering how things like that play out as they talk amongst themselves.
2016-09-10 12:37:38, Sat	INBOX	You never told me what she said; when I asked you pushed back a little.
2016-09-10 12:37:47, Sat	INBOX	So I didn't press you on it.

Strzok-Page Texts

2016-09-10 12:39:01, Sat	OUTBOX	I'll tell you. Just didn't feel like talking about it that time you brought it up. [REDACTED]
2016-09-10 12:40:05, Sat	INBOX	B) and as they prep Jason. Who is going to be the first person they call. That settles it - I'm calling him, or at least giving you material so he's aware of it (which I GUARANTEE didn't come up yesterday).
2016-09-10 12:40:30, Sat	INBOX	Want to imsg it to me, or want to do it in person?
2016-09-10 12:41:05, Sat	OUTBOX	It's not that sensitive.
2016-09-10 12:41:50, Sat	INBOX	Ok. You can imsg just for convenience of typing, too, if you want.
2016-09-10 12:44:22, Sat	OUTBOX	I know the material. The [REDACTED] stuff, right? I know it.
2016-09-10 12:45:16, Sat	OUTBOX	And the state shenanigans stuff. Anything else?
2016-09-10 12:48:26, Sat	INBOX	Certainly those. Probably others. All the laptops and media voluntarily turned over to us by the attorneys ("why didnt you ever serach this"? "Why isnt this relevant to our oversight responsibilities"?) I want to go read through the ones we didn't produce. The point is Reps will try and spin and attack whatever is <u>in the ones not initially turned over to them.</u>
2016-09-10 12:53:04, Sat	INBOX	Re 302s, didn't search the laptops given to us voluntarily by various attorneys.
2016-09-10 12:53:49, Sat	OUTBOX	Why not? Decision that it was unlikely to contain info relevant to our case in like of time constraints?
2016-09-10 12:55:59, Sat	INBOX	They would not consent and we did not have probable cause to get on them.
2016-09-10 12:56:47, Sat	INBOX	I will go review the 302s we didn't turn over and send thoughts to everyone except the O corridor recipients. You or Trisha or Jason can mention to them.
2016-09-10 12:57:00, Sat	OUTBOX	Oh well that's totally defensible. Why did they give them to us?\n\nYeah, but I think that was the context in which it was meant. I.e., like who sucks more, me or her?
2016-09-10 12:57:21, Sat	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] it's not worth your time. I've got it. Truly.
2016-09-10 12:57:48, Sat	OUTBOX	I'll put a placeholder in - there may be more unsavory facts, these are the ones I'm aware of.
2016-09-10 12:58:32, Sat	INBOX	Because they had classified on them. Classified we already had in our possession. \n\nLisa, it's a mistake not to have someone from the investigative team not quietly back benching on these conversations. I know you may not disagree. I will prep you as best I can.
2016-09-10 12:59:27, Sat	OUTBOX	I know that! What am I supposed to do about it?!
2016-09-10 13:00:10, Sat	OUTBOX	Call for one minute? Question about the laptops.

Strzok-Page Texts

2016-09-10 13:49:56, Sat	OUTBOX	Did you ever call the State IG re the [REDACTED] allegations?
2016-09-10 13:56:46, Sat	OUTBOX	Can you be on the call at noon? I asked Andy, he said it was a good idea.
2016-09-10 14:14:24, Sat	INBOX	Crap about IG or [REDACTED] Frankly, both. Both my fault. \U0001f621
2016-09-10 14:14:35, Sat	OUTBOX	Okay, thanks. Sent you call in info already.
2016-09-10 14:15:05, Sat	OUTBOX	IG. I know is just an oversight. Just not great given timing.
2016-09-10 14:16:02, Sat	INBOX	As long as we get it to them before it comes out in FOIA I think we're ok. I will call him on Mon. Heck, I can leave a vm this weekend.
2016-09-10 14:16:26, Sat	OUTBOX	No, Monday is fine.
2016-09-10 14:16:35, Sat	OUTBOX	I'll try to set a reminder for us.
2016-09-10 14:24:23, Sat	OUTBOX	Maybe it's for Bowdich. Regardless.
2016-09-10 14:45:06, Sat	INBOX	Re Bowdich, I'd just let it go. Andy's going to be out for a bit so it'll get worse before it gets better. As I think about it, I don't know that I'd talk to him.
2016-09-10 15:33:13, Sat	INBOX	What?!?!?!?\n"So I'm going to ask Bill to fill in for me"
2016-09-10 15:35:54, Sat	OUTBOX	Yup. It's a small wonder that I don't rely on the EADs...
2016-09-10 17:19:25, Sat	OUTBOX	I am going to go tomorrow morning after Aaron. Probably 9 am or so. Going to cancel with [REDACTED] Would be great if you can be there, but no worries if not.
2016-09-10 19:32:11, Sat	INBOX	You want to answer Jason?
2016-09-10 19:36:59, Sat	INBOX	Hmm. Ok. \n\nWas thinking of telling Jason his points were raised and happy to discuss. Don't want to get into a long written description of thought process on email and particularly on Samsungs...
2016-09-10 19:38:04, Sat	OUTBOX	But I don't think we're an absolute no on this one. Just we're not going to get to subpoena (ie not going to tell the committee f no), but we're not conceding yet I don't thinkm
2016-09-10 19:39:48, Sat	INBOX	Oh. I got the impression we were going to offer come to HQ for no PII redactions as our opening offer...
2016-09-10 19:40:03, Sat	OUTBOX	I can clarify.
2016-09-10 19:41:55, Sat	INBOX	That was my takeaway from Andy's 2:14 email.

2016-09-11 17:39:37, Sun	INBOX	Ok, this is just *slightly* over the top. [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] FBI agent climbed the ranks but chose to end his career back in a field office - The Washington Post https://www.washingtonpost.com/world/national-security/fbi-agent-climbed-the-ranks-but-chose-to-end-his-career-back-in-a-field-office/2016/09/10/7f2caa32-7605-11e6-be4f-3f42f2e5a49e_story.html
2016-09-11 21:23:44, Sun	INBOX	Are you F*CKING KIDDING ME. A) That's pretty much the OPPOSITE of what was said yesterday. Kind of radically changes some of our thinking. B) Isn't it OCA's job to talk to OLA?
2016-09-11 21:35:17, Sun	INBOX	Hey, let me know once you've read [REDACTED] email. How substantively does it change what we want to do? Maybe not, if we want to avoid a fight on this.
2016-09-11 22:10:49, Sun	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] Trisha is going to be SO mad. Not only did Andy ask HER to reach out, but she even told Jason in an email to us that she had already reached out, but hadn't heard back yet.
2016-09-11 22:12:08, Sun	OUTBOX	But no, I don't think it changes anything.
2016-09-11 22:12:14, Sun	INBOX	[REDACTED] he might work himself out of contention. I'm glad that my 6th sense of dude, I don't know that you know what you're talking about/are wrong was working...
2016-09-11 22:12:41, Sun	OUTBOX	Always with that guy. He just desperately wants to be in charge, in the know...
2016-09-11 23:41:27, Sun	INBOX	Talked with Bill
2016-09-12 00:20:30, Mon	INBOX	Everything. He sounded like he thought I had called him, though he dialed me. Perhaps he butt dialed.
2016-09-12 00:21:35, Mon	INBOX	In any case, the week ahead. [REDACTED] He said Bowdich and Mike S aren't happy with it. Prep for Jason. His concern that we're throwing him up there, and the absence of an alternative.
2016-09-12 00:21:41, Mon	INBOX	Prep for the D tomorrow.
2016-09-12 00:33:47, Mon	OUTBOX	Have you been on eras to know whether anyone else edited Jason's TPs?
2016-09-12 00:40:05, Mon	INBOX	Stupid WH session
2016-09-12 01:10:28, Mon	INBOX	Give me a sec. \n\nAnd yeah...but [REDACTED] DID talk to DoJ \U0001f612
2016-09-12 10:35:45, Mon	OUTBOX	What time is your meeting?
2016-09-12 10:36:25, Mon	INBOX	1030-1200

2016-09-12 11:11:08, Mon	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-09-12 11:25:13, Mon	INBOX	Npr says Trump hotel opens today. It doesn't look ready...
2016-09-12 11:26:16, Mon	OUTBOX	That's one place I hope I never stay in.
2016-09-12 11:29:23, Mon	INBOX	Agreed. Hope it fails horribly. It wont, but still.
2016-09-12 13:40:07, Mon	INBOX	Cyber blah blah blah. [REDACTED] got comments back, so I think Jason should be set
2016-09-12 13:40:42, Mon	OUTBOX	That's great.
2016-09-12 13:53:33, Mon	INBOX	Heard from SESU, pay raise waiver is at ODAG. I need to call Iris anyway, do you have her #?
2016-09-12 13:54:48, Mon	OUTBOX	Iris is [REDACTED]
2016-09-12 14:30:08, Mon	OUTBOX	Hey btw, make sure when dale /castor lose their minds bc you were asked to go, you identify jm as the person who tapped you, not me.
2016-09-12 16:05:28, Mon	INBOX	Yep, I will
2016-09-12 17:47:20, Mon	INBOX	Hmm. It's paper only, that might work. Need to find Carl
2016-09-12 18:08:56, Mon	OUTBOX	JM is going to meet with him now I think, I'd walk down to him.
2016-09-12 18:15:09, Mon	INBOX	Good idea. Will try and drop in. In which case I'll be free at 4/4:30 \U0001f60a
2016-09-12 18:22:37, Mon	INBOX	Carl isn't there :(\n\nMtg upstairs till 3...
2016-09-12 21:09:53, Mon	INBOX	Chaffetz is horrible....
2016-09-12 21:13:37, Mon	INBOX	Expected blustering. ...
2016-09-12 21:13:53, Mon	OUTBOX	God, glad I'm not watching...
2016-09-12 21:47:49, Mon	INBOX	Omg Gowdy is being a total dick. All investigative questions. And Jason isn't always sticking to the script on "I'm not answering that."
2016-09-12 21:47:53, Mon	INBOX	Horrible
2016-09-12 21:54:57, Mon	INBOX	This was a mistake
2016-09-12 21:59:48, Mon	OUTBOX	Oh no
2016-09-12 22:00:28, Mon	INBOX	Lis, it's bad.

2016-09-12 22:01:12, Mon	INBOX	They aren't asking Qs on the topic. And based on the Qs, he can't defer. It's ALL posturing. He haven't done anything wrong. But we look like sh*t
2016-09-12 22:17:11, Mon	INBOX	in here he's leaving in a sec
2016-09-12 22:33:17, Mon	INBOX	Hey. Who decided Jason would go up there?
2016-09-12 22:33:42, Mon	OUTBOX	D. Rybicki.
2016-09-12 22:33:47, Mon	OUTBOX	I think.
2016-09-12 22:40:56, Mon	INBOX	Recessed for a vote. Back to more OPEN session at 730, followed by closed session after that.
2016-09-12 22:41:07, Mon	INBOX	Call if you want to discuss
2016-09-12 22:41:36, Mon	INBOX	Is Rybicki in?
2016-09-12 22:43:11, Mon	OUTBOX	I assume so. [REDACTED]
2016-09-12 23:01:32, Mon	OUTBOX	Should have mentioned that rybicki screens. Next time leave a msg.
2016-09-12 23:04:12, Mon	INBOX	Yeah well I'm not calling back.
2016-09-12 23:04:56, Mon	INBOX	They can drive mitigating this....
2016-09-12 23:09:06, Mon	INBOX	IMing with [REDACTED] From him. "I can't remember a worse open hearing."
2016-09-12 23:09:34, Mon	OUTBOX	Moffa?
2016-09-12 23:09:48, Mon	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-09-12 23:10:05, Mon	OUTBOX	That is bad.
2016-09-12 23:10:16, Mon	INBOX	Yes, that bad. I told him I was sorry he confirmed it, I thought I might not be objective due to how close I am
2016-09-12 23:10:17, Mon	OUTBOX	He needs to mention to andy.
2016-09-12 23:11:19, Mon	INBOX	Talk, briefly? Just want to read dialogue to you
2016-09-12 23:21:20, Mon	INBOX	"It was horrendous. It was so bad, I actually plan to go back and watch the whole thing to be aware of it all."
2016-09-12 23:23:35, Mon	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] said that?
2016-09-12 23:24:56, Mon	INBOX	Yes. Along with one other thing I'm not writing here
2016-09-12 23:30:57, Mon	OUTBOX	Oof. lmsg it?

Strzok-Page Texts

2016-09-12 23:45:18, Mon	OUTBOX	Man, that is not good.
2016-09-12 23:45:44, Mon	INBOX	God I'm getting angry
2016-09-12 23:46:07, Mon	OUTBOX	You should stop watching. You can't do anything about it.
2016-09-12 23:46:32, Mon	OUTBOX	I'm frankly glad I'm not. I'm sick to my stomach and I haven't even seen a minute of it.
2016-09-12 23:47:05, Mon	INBOX	I have to! We have to mitigate it!
2016-09-13 01:19:23, Tue	OUTBOX	█ should be here in about 15 minutes or so. Just fyi.
2016-09-13 01:32:37, Tue	INBOX	And I'm trying to get lunch with █ tomorrow, to talk about his future.
2016-09-13 01:35:14, Tue	INBOX	Here you gk5\n\nFederal Officials Testify FBI's Investigation Video C-SPAN.org\nn https://www.c-span.org/video/?415070-1/federal-officials-testify-fbis-investigation-hillary-clinton
2016-09-13 11:52:32, Tue	INBOX	I have Many Papers and Binders. Jesus too much to do. \U0001f614
2016-09-13 13:02:06, Tue	INBOX	You in yet? Waiting to talk to Andy, you should meander down if it get in in the next 15 or so.
2016-09-13 13:02:16, Tue	INBOX	I'll of course fill you in later if you're not
2016-09-13 13:02:59, Tue	OUTBOX	I'm coming down to see andy now.
2016-09-13 14:53:26, Tue	OUTBOX	█ is a b*tch.
2016-09-13 17:15:24, Tue	INBOX	█ and I both noticed (i didn't mention to you earlier) we both want happy Friday Lisa back \U0001f614
2016-09-13 17:44:02, Tue	INBOX	█ and I got a TOTAL brush back from █ at lunch. Passed without recognition, I even offered a "Hi █"
2016-09-13 17:44:07, Tue	INBOX	And ok, I really want to hang out. You can come watch shoot. I'm next to Carl g
2016-09-13 22:31:54, Tue	INBOX	Need to talk to you about █ mtg and email I'm sending
2016-09-13 22:32:38, Tue	OUTBOX	Can call you from the car
2016-09-13 22:38:19, Tue	INBOX	Call when you're out I'll give you an update on █ call and █ calls. \n\nif you want. \n\nWill both totally wait until tomorrow
2016-09-13 23:47:56, Tue	INBOX	Forgot to mention Andy this morning asked ogc to own subpoena response, JB gave it to Browder
2016-09-13 23:54:35, Tue	OUTBOX	Copy.
2016-09-14 09:10:57, Wed	INBOX	I cancelled my trip to mission ridge today. If you have time to talk about the █ to D this afternoon I would appreciate it

2016-09-14 12:23:28, Wed	INBOX	Btw, talked with Bill at length about [REDACTED] (in the context of going through what's going on). In a way that didn't burn any source, he said he hasn't had any coordination on this, that he never discussed (or wants) giving anything up, and that if anything, ITC efforts should be located within one Branch with CD and CYD and SecD. Said Steinbach also thought the same. Though of course he's out this week, all week.
2016-09-14 14:10:16, Wed	OUTBOX	I have the two pdbs that ran today if you want them.
2016-09-14 14:10:42, Wed	INBOX	I do. Can I stop by?
2016-09-14 17:57:23, Wed	INBOX	Crap I just stepped out of really good nsa brief on [REDACTED]
2016-09-14 17:57:43, Wed	OUTBOX	So go back in
2016-09-14 18:00:59, Wed	INBOX	No, not to just leave again early. \n\nAnd "maybe," above? Throw me a bone..... ;)
2016-09-14 18:01:36, Wed	OUTBOX	In Andy's ofc.
2016-09-14 18:01:53, Wed	OUTBOX	Why don't you come in and talk intc?
2016-09-14 18:02:08, Wed	INBOX	Invite me...
2016-09-14 18:02:54, Wed	INBOX	Outside with Castor
2016-09-14 18:03:13, Wed	OUTBOX	He is talking to [REDACTED] right now.
2016-09-14 18:08:33, Wed	INBOX	Boo. Still standing ;)
2016-09-14 22:29:25, Wed	INBOX	Hi. You still here (hope not)? Supposed to meet Parmaan in 2...
2016-09-14 22:35:23, Wed	OUTBOX	No. Why mtg with paarman?
2016-09-14 23:02:53, Wed	INBOX	With a Legat over an issue. I'll tell you tomorrow. May eventually hit Andy. I'm sure you've heard of it. \n\nRemembered vaguely more detail from mtg. Andy mentioned he was still hearing ("3 or 4 instances") recently that info was not flowing quickly enough and more re Mike and Randy and his previous convo with them. \n\nHappy to discuss now or tomorrow. I just had 10-11 open up...
2016-09-14 23:28:34, Wed	INBOX	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
2016-09-14 23:30:53, Wed	OUTBOX	So have bill call and tell him to knock it off.

2016-09-14 23:34:06, Wed	INBOX	I already told him, then yelled at him about numbers in general, then yelled about Snowden. Not yell, but professional angry. It was very cathartic. I will invite you next time to sit at the chef's table and watch ;)\n [REDACTED] [REDACTED] Claims edva is (/was) the delay.
2016-09-15 00:47:41, Thu	INBOX	And I have no good, awful, sh*tty terrible (work) news. I can't say it here, and you can't share with Andy (yet). I'm upset.
2016-09-15 01:10:06, Thu	OUTBOX	Can you share it on imsg?
2016-09-15 01:14:55, Thu	INBOX	Yes just sent
2016-09-15 16:14:49, Thu	INBOX	GREAT convo with JR, let me know when you're back....
2016-09-15 16:15:18, Thu	OUTBOX	Sincerely good?
2016-09-15 16:16:28, Thu	INBOX	But want to get your thoughts on how he might play it/spin....
2016-09-15 16:28:03, Thu	INBOX	Have a 1230 with Bill to prep him for Andy, then 1 staff meeting.
2016-09-15 22:49:28, Thu	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-09-15 23:11:21, Thu	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-09-15 23:11:56, Thu	INBOX	Also, Bill did not tell Andy about the loss. Background reasons why, which make sense. I can fill you in on imessage later if you want.
2016-09-16 00:39:25, Fri	OUTBOX	Yeah really. No photos in fbi space.
2016-09-16 02:59:17, Fri	INBOX	Does Andy know he hurt Jim the other day with the "I don't have time for this"? \n \n I think that was the purpose of his look down the table. "See? You're right."
2016-09-16 03:00:03, Fri	OUTBOX	I don't know. I plan to tell him, just haven't had the opportunity.
2016-09-16 03:03:28, Fri	INBOX	Re [REDACTED], he knows he was a dick. Don't know if Jim picked up on his acknowledgement of it.
2016-09-16 18:15:13, Fri	OUTBOX	In [REDACTED] ofc.
2016-09-18 13:17:32, Sun	INBOX	Thanks for adding Jon and me into that email chain. I think I added you on some of that correspondence on Friday, right (re Datto)?
2016-09-18 14:53:01, Sun	OUTBOX	BBC is saying that bombs in NY were CT. Sucks.
2016-09-18 14:55:32, Sun	INBOX	[REDACTED]

2016-09-18 14:55:52, Sun	INBOX	If it's a different thing, they're busy right now.
2016-09-19 10:35:02, Mon	INBOX	Hi. Not sure I'm up for this job. Up past midnight worrying about the thing I told you about on Saturday morning. There's always going to be something to worry about. And I'm not able to turn it off right now.
2016-09-19 10:51:58, Mon	OUTBOX	Hi. I'm sorry. We can control that part, I would try not to worry about it.
2016-09-19 11:08:09, Mon	INBOX	Sorry got up super late and scrambling. I hope so, re work thing. It really bothers me. My general upset has settled into disappointment at putting Bill in a bad spot and anger at the others for having tried to do the right thing for the right reasons.
2016-09-19 11:09:46, Mon	OUTBOX	You didn't put Bill in a bad spot. You've done exactly what he's wanted you to do.
2016-09-19 12:03:13, Mon	INBOX	See, this is the crap that aggravates me: I specifically DIDN'T tell Bill about the new Intel we got on Fri on [REDACTED] so that [REDACTED] could present it. Then [REDACTED] runs in this morning and does it without me. Whatever....
2016-09-19 12:25:19, Mon	OUTBOX	I know. But you have a LOT more access to bill than he does. It's not that important. He's feeling insecure. Let it go...
2016-09-19 12:26:57, Mon	INBOX	Hmmm. Sure, but. We've got a good working relationship because stuff like this doesn't happen. I'll say something, nicely.
2016-09-19 12:31:32, Mon	OUTBOX	Okay. \n\nAnd yes, Thursday works. I told Jim that [REDACTED] and I are going to have a talk with Andy, asked if I could talk to him about it first.
2016-09-19 12:37:42, Mon	OUTBOX	And re [REDACTED] I still think I disagree. It would be one thing if he told Bill first. But why do you actually NEED to be there? [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
2016-09-19 12:59:27, Mon	INBOX	B) inasmuch as [REDACTED] walked out of meeting him and said to me, hey you need to talk to Bill about operational next steps on the guy, yes. Look, it's really not a big deal. For all i know Bill called him down. And there's a ton going on.\n\nA) good idea. I'm still thinking in should talk to JB about the issues (both our organizational one as well as the dynamic Andy may be creating without realizing it).\n [REDACTED] \\J0001f636
2016-09-19 13:02:37, Mon	INBOX	A) and then part of me thinks, this is the point. DADs should not be having lunch with the GC. Let the process work and keep my head down.
2016-09-19 13:28:06, Mon	OUTBOX	Is there something going on-around hq? Traffic is at a stand still.
2016-09-19 13:33:17, Mon	OUTBOX	Something going on down 9th. No cars, no pedestrians, even with badge.
2016-09-19 13:36:15, Mon	OUTBOX	Suspicious package, my fbi ofcr just told me.

2016-09-19 13:58:24, Mon	OUTBOX	SSCI member brief tomorrow by cyd. You aware?
2016-09-19 14:02:54, Mon	INBOX	I think so...members, though? \n\nAlso, walking up
2016-09-20 00:02:26, Tue	OUTBOX	Forgot to mention that DD asked about what [REDACTED] was calling about. We should discuss tomorrow
2016-09-20 00:07:42, Tue	INBOX	Wasn't our stuff. I have details. Shockingly not a flaming turd bag. More precisely, not one of the flaming turd bags of interest to us now. \n\nJust leaving, Bill wanted to talk. ... \n\nTtyl
2016-09-20 10:15:04, Tue	INBOX	[REDACTED] \n\nWork Q: were you there for discussion of SSCI appearance today? [REDACTED] did prep and is scheduled to go with Eric. Bill wants me to go - I'm not sure if it's necessary, particularly given what session was supposed to focus on. Think it would be better for him to go and defer answering stuff not on point of the briefing. Bill said Andy said no [REDACTED] but that was it.
2016-09-20 10:15:39, Tue	INBOX	Did Jason say anything about the expected topics? \n\nAnd why are we doing this the DAY before the D goes up?
2016-09-20 10:26:04, Tue	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] \n\nYes, I was. We're doing it bc it was already on the calendar. Multiagency. Nothing about expected topics, just the overall topic.
2016-09-20 10:27:22, Tue	OUTBOX	You going not a bad idea, though the goal is to try to defer a lot of it to the D tomorrow.
2016-09-20 10:38:56, Tue	INBOX	Right. And [REDACTED] already prepped for the other stuff, him not knowing other stuff may help. We are already taking two, out of a three agency panel. I would need to bump him to go. I'll talk to Bill - think it might be smarter to brief him up on what not to say/red lines rather than me learn [REDACTED] stuff...
2016-09-20 10:40:53, Tue	INBOX	Plus I'm supposed to talk to Glenn Fine at 145 with Baker...
2016-09-20 11:11:19, Tue	INBOX	Ha. I just pulled into work. Talk to Bill quickly, send an email to 4 to remind them about TPs on [REDACTED] then off to [REDACTED]
2016-09-20 11:19:10, Tue	OUTBOX	Did you tell Bill why?
2016-09-20 11:19:52, Tue	OUTBOX	What ever came of keeping [REDACTED] on?
2016-09-20 11:40:35, Tue	INBOX	A) nope! \U0001f60a\nB) I have no idea. I made the request to [REDACTED] and Trisha. Not sure where it went, I'll ask. Neither were opposed in principle.
2016-09-20 11:42:04, Tue	INBOX	[REDACTED] And while I hate it, i kinda want to walk over to the old post office and see what they've done with it. Want to go with me?
2016-09-20 11:42:33, Tue	INBOX	[REDACTED]

Strzok-Page Texts

2016-09-20 11:42:35, Tue	OUTBOX	The new Trump hotel? No.
2016-09-20 11:42:49, Tue	OUTBOX	You've looked?
2016-09-20 11:44:00, Tue	INBOX	No, only some review online.
2016-09-20 11:44:55, Tue	INBOX	A) i didn't say anything. We don't have a scheduling conflict - he's upstairs...
2016-09-20 11:49:20, Tue	INBOX	I'll just move my 830 with SCs every Tuesday.
2016-09-20 16:41:43, Tue	INBOX	Omg omg omg you would not believe what CYD just proposed to put in our update for you..... Hope lunch is going well. Kinda really can't wait to hear. \U0001f60a
2016-09-20 16:45:50, Tue	INBOX	And may I please preposition a notebook in your office (and drop stuff after firearms)? Need to go straight to a mtg with Baker
2016-09-20 16:55:47, Tue	INBOX	Disregard dropoff, I have all my stuff here. I would like use your office to drop stuff on the way to JB's, if I may. :)
2016-09-20 19:34:41, Tue	INBOX	You with Jason? Just saw mtg invite for 3-330. I'm going to stop by now
2016-09-20 20:18:36, Tue	INBOX	You rock \u263a
2016-09-20 21:17:55, Tue	INBOX	Because you send quick tips like Andy wanting a brief tomorrow morning. [REDACTED]
2016-09-20 21:17:56, Tue	INBOX	Doj gave the letter back to you and Trisha for Jim/Andy's approval, right?
2016-09-20 21:24:16, Tue	INBOX	And if I get done in time need to tell you about convo with JR on prep tomorrow as well as feedback from today
2016-09-20 21:30:42, Tue	OUTBOX	Not Andy, the D. But thanks.
2016-09-20 22:28:11, Tue	INBOX	Thanks. Still salty from even Bills reaction subsequent to talking to you. Will explain. But thank you. Deeply.
2016-09-20 23:46:07, Tue	INBOX	Good talk with Bill
2016-09-20 23:46:49, Tue	INBOX	Got much more info, which I'll share. One will irritate you (not about you, about Andy)
2016-09-21 00:21:15, Wed	INBOX	Well, he made the right damn decision. \U0001f636 And I'm sorry, just reading emails. [REDACTED]
2016-09-21 00:23:39, Wed	INBOX	Sorry. "As quickly as possible."
2016-09-21 00:27:06, Wed	OUTBOX	I know. I loved [REDACTED] response, will print for signature. \U0001f612
2016-09-21 00:28:33, Wed	INBOX	I didn't take that as a joke or a comeback. Did you?

2016-09-21 00:28:50, Wed	OUTBOX	No, just true.
2016-09-21 00:31:27, Wed	OUTBOX	And god, that makes me angry, what you told me. I think it would make the D ripsh*t. That's exactly the opposite of what he is trying to foster.
2016-09-21 00:32:22, Wed	INBOX	It's deeply angering
2016-09-21 00:32:32, Wed	OUTBOX	I hope we have the last laugh...
2016-09-21 00:33:06, Wed	INBOX	Let me stifle alternative, competent opinion by threatening future retaliation
2016-09-21 00:33:12, Wed	OUTBOX	Andy knows the [REDACTED] There is no one more perceptive than the D, he surely does as well.
2016-09-21 00:33:56, Wed	INBOX	Maybe this is all something I should talk to Baker. But that would really be breaking the code with both of the two who said it. And would be unforgivable to them
2016-09-21 00:34:07, Wed	OUTBOX	Exactly. That is why bill needs to go get a cup of coffee or a drink with Andy, frame it in terms of protection of Andy, he needs to know what is being said behind his back.
2016-09-21 00:34:40, Wed	OUTBOX	I think telling baker is quite wise. He already knows what I think of [REDACTED] He agrees.
2016-09-21 00:34:46, Wed	INBOX	The other thing that Bill said that I thought was interesting was considered what these people will say not only in the bureau, but they might also say in the post Bureau Business world
2016-09-21 00:35:08, Wed	INBOX	I'd have to wait to tell Jim. It's too close on the heels of you talking to him
2016-09-21 00:35:33, Wed	OUTBOX	Worse case scenario, you just have to be prepared for this to be your last job in the bu. Though I do think that is unlikely.
2016-09-21 00:35:55, Wed	OUTBOX	Just wait a couple of days. Ask to meet soon.
2016-09-21 00:36:47, Wed	INBOX	Okama I definitely definitely need to get out as sac before Andy goes
2016-09-21 00:36:51, Wed	INBOX	I'd work for you
2016-09-21 00:38:09, Wed	OUTBOX	So we've got 18 months to make that happen.
2016-09-21 15:58:48, Wed	INBOX	Hi. Bill and Jon re-did essentially what you and I did earlier for Mike. \U0001f612 At least we gave the same answer \n\nI find I'm really miserable. Not asking you to do anything. Kind of moping. Thanks for your patience.
2016-09-21 16:01:36, Wed	OUTBOX	Re-did what?
2016-09-21 16:06:09, Wed	INBOX	Go through D and DD's insa comments and said, here are the limits of what's been said (which is essentially nothing)\n\nI'm positive neww, NSC called out FBI positively in the SVTC. Yay us.

Strzok-Page Texts

2016-09-21 22:31:26, Wed	INBOX	You still here? Got background on the [REDACTED]....but have to go back into wrap. These are going to KILL me.
2016-09-21 22:41:44, Wed	OUTBOX	We can talk about it tomorrow. It is not urgent. Also, [REDACTED] will need the info too.
2016-09-21 23:23:59, Wed	INBOX	Great. Just had to tell a very angry [REDACTED] that Bill was posting 3 (which means [REDACTED] won't be returning there - he's currently [REDACTED] I don't enjoy this part of the job. \U0001f615
2016-09-21 23:29:06, Wed	INBOX	Not going well tonight? I get the sadness and frustration, and the feeling of not doing what you'd want, but I honestly think you're too hard on yourself. I'm sorry\n\nYeah it really sucked. At least Bill did me the solid of not asking me, just called me and Dina in and said, I've told them to post 3...essentially telling a SC the Div does not have confidence in him to run the [REDACTED] program.
2016-09-21 23:38:40, Wed	INBOX	[REDACTED]\n\nUnrelated, your thing tomorrow afternoon is Hill, not WH, right? If so, and open (I think you or [REDACTED] said it was), you should tell your Mom and Dad to watch Cspan....
2016-09-21 23:46:25, Wed	OUTBOX	Not open, closed, member only.
2016-09-21 23:47:31, Wed	INBOX	Oh. WAH wah
2016-09-21 23:49:42, Wed	OUTBOX	Yeah, it's really fine.
2016-09-21 23:51:18, Wed	INBOX	Ha. I'm sure it is. \n\nSpeaking of, forgot to follow up on whatever sumo silliness [REDACTED] relayed...convo for lunch tomorrow
2016-09-22 00:14:59, Thu	OUTBOX	Not really important. Just didn't want to make an inquiry of me about whether i attended some standing mtg, specifically told [REDACTED] he wanted to go through me.
2016-09-22 00:17:12, Thu	INBOX	No - it was something about taping (himself?) [REDACTED]
2016-09-22 00:19:49, Thu	OUTBOX	Two Ex-Spies and Donald Trump http://nyti.ms/2cYynG6
2016-09-22 11:49:59, Thu	INBOX	Having second thoughts about [REDACTED] [REDACTED] Not sure what the other options are, though. \n\nAnyway, would like to bounce around with you
2016-09-22 11:51:06, Thu	OUTBOX	More than [REDACTED] A spy around every corner? I'm not sure about that, but yes, we can chat.
2016-09-22 11:52:17, Thu	INBOX	But much better asc and UCs in [REDACTED]..Def let's chat

Strzok-Page Texts

2016-09-22 11:53:24, Thu	INBOX	Different topic, you don't think I should talk to Castor first, or both of them, or schedule a mtg rather than drop in?
2016-09-22 11:55:56, Thu	OUTBOX	No, I wouldn't worry about castor. Schedule, maybe. Let's think about that.
2016-09-22 11:59:25, Thu	INBOX	Clear through Bill then a short email, sir, do you have 15 minutes?
2016-09-22 12:01:47, Thu	INBOX	The "drop in" seems to contrived and too great a chance that some random person would be in there....
2016-09-22 12:03:26, Thu	INBOX	
2016-09-22 12:15:28, Thu	OUTBOX	
2016-09-22 15:55:32, Thu	OUTBOX	I'm thinking about saying something to Eric first, what do you think?
2016-09-22 15:56:35, Thu	OUTBOX	Do it in the context of, look, this puss andy off, but I don't need a secretary second guessing something I say, and certainly, not second guessing me in front of the division. If she is that concerned, let her ask andy.
2016-09-22 15:57:06, Thu	OUTBOX	*this will piss andy off.
2016-09-22 16:45:14, Thu	INBOX	I think that's smart. How do explain that you know that she did it?
2016-09-22 16:46:13, Thu	INBOX	Any thought going direct with [REDACTED] I don't think that's a good idea.
2016-09-22 16:54:33, Thu	INBOX	And God, I haven't stopped, with no end in sight. I know you're the same. \n [REDACTED]
2016-09-22 17:33:09, Thu	OUTBOX	I'm just going to make reference to her going to a division to question my judgment. If he asks I'll say CD, but there are plenty of people I could have heard it from, I'm friends with lots of people on that division.
2016-09-22 18:48:38, Thu	INBOX	3-4, right? I know you're excited. \U0001f60a\n\nBtw, afternoon D session invites didn't go. What time, so Jon and I can block?
2016-09-22 18:49:08, Thu	OUTBOX	No clue. [REDACTED] hasn't sent it yet. God I hate her.
2016-09-22 18:50:23, Thu	OUTBOX	Email says 3:30-4:30, but those times can be a little flex.
2016-09-22 18:50:32, Thu	INBOX	Hey, also note that when [REDACTED] responded to her, he also cc:ed me and Jon, then [REDACTED] responded "thanks" to all of us.
2016-09-22 18:51:03, Thu	OUTBOX	Yup, I know. That's my point, it could be you, jon, [REDACTED] bill

2016-09-22 18:52:47, Thu	INBOX	Got it, thanks \n\nI'm all good for you to use me as source of email, just gotta have a logical answer. "Pete sent the email asking me what the D expected/wanted" I think would make sense. If it even comes p
2016-09-22 18:54:21, Thu	INBOX	While I hate Congress, there's something about all of it together at once. \n\nCurious how many actually show....\n\nCivics nerds, we are
2016-09-22 20:40:47, Thu	INBOX	I'm covering wrap with EAD for Bill....
2016-09-22 20:47:11, Thu	OUTBOX	We are just leaving the Hill now. I expect it will be a late night.
2016-09-22 22:10:30, Thu	INBOX	(asking because talking to Bill, I can easily break away, though)
2016-09-22 22:16:58, Thu	OUTBOX	I am. Might try to sit on a 6:30 call with andy though.
2016-09-22 22:18:47, Thu	INBOX	Talking with Sporre now about your call....
2016-09-22 22:19:16, Thu	OUTBOX	My call? I met with him.
2016-09-22 22:42:47, Thu	INBOX	No. Your upcoming call with [REDACTED]
2016-09-23 11:30:17, Fri	OUTBOX	You are not going to leave your all hands early. You are a DAD.
2016-09-23 11:30:29, Fri	INBOX	And [REDACTED] just sent the cyber/cd D invite...
2016-09-23 11:30:30, Fri	OUTBOX	Probably 45 or so. We'll see.
2016-09-23 11:31:02, Fri	OUTBOX	Not to me yet. Am I on there?
2016-09-23 11:31:08, Fri	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-09-23 11:31:37, Fri	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-09-23 11:31:42, Fri	INBOX	No....\n\nAnd head explodes in 3...2...1
2016-09-23 11:31:59, Fri	OUTBOX	Jesus. \U0001f621
2016-09-23 11:32:17, Fri	OUTBOX	I am simply going to send another f-ing email, cc Eric. AGAIN.
2016-09-23 11:32:24, Fri	OUTBOX	She fix the mye one?
2016-09-23 11:32:39, Fri	INBOX	Want me to forward to you? \U0001f61c
2016-09-23 11:33:05, Fri	OUTBOX	No, she can see that.
2016-09-23 11:37:07, Fri	OUTBOX	So I'm going to forward the original email, and write, "Hi [REDACTED] Thanks for updating the CD/CyD meeting. Could you please add me as well?" Nothing wrong with that, right?

2016-09-23 12:14:44, Fri	INBOX	Hey they picked 2 SACs for NY yesterday. Let me know if you hear [REDACTED] name...Mike said something that made me hopeful
2016-09-23 13:14:57, Fri	OUTBOX	I'm almost there.
2016-09-23 13:15:08, Fri	OUTBOX	Though I forgot the paper. \U0001f612
2016-09-23 14:33:43, Fri	INBOX	Hey let's find 30 min to tweak editorial and add voter stuff. You ok if I hit Rybicki for latest version?
2016-09-23 15:49:40, Fri	OUTBOX	I did not go. Let go. I'm furious at [REDACTED] again.
2016-09-23 15:55:23, Fri	OUTBOX	I'm waiting for Jim B. I'll be done noon.
2016-09-23 15:56:03, Fri	INBOX	What'd she do? Other than be crappy?
2016-09-23 16:00:32, Fri	INBOX	Hey heads up im in your office
2016-09-23 16:47:02, Fri	INBOX	Ridiculous, right? I'm waiting to see if [REDACTED] called to ask him, can you just send Pete?
2016-09-23 16:57:44, Fri	OUTBOX	Yes, please find out.
2016-09-23 21:02:41, Fri	INBOX	You still around? Would like to talk to you before leaving.
2016-09-23 21:06:26, Fri	OUTBOX	Yuo
2016-09-23 21:14:02, Fri	OUTBOX	Headed to my scif. Just knock there
2016-09-24 00:47:18, Sat	INBOX	Don't feel like crying. He was a dick. And if he's an SES, and about to be an SAC, he needs more maturity and consideration
2016-09-24 00:49:42, Sat	OUTBOX	I do. Fighting tears at the table.
2016-09-24 00:49:49, Sat	OUTBOX	I'm not happy.
2016-09-24 00:50:34, Sat	INBOX	I know. I want to go confront him. That would not end well for any of us (him, me, you) on a number of levels.
2016-09-24 00:51:33, Sat	INBOX	Best I can tell you us try and see his offering an insight into his admission of his own weakness and insecurity and try and take that in the best possible light.
2016-09-24 18:06:40, Sat	INBOX	Hey. Work Q. CyD is doing some big thing for the D still. Do you think we'd be ok giving separate products to him? Ours is (good and concise and) done, I want to avoid our guys having to come in tomorrow to fight through editing a joint product.
2016-09-24 18:07:45, Sat	INBOX	Disregard. We're going to send them what we've got and let them incorporate it into theirs.
2016-09-24 19:21:55, Sat	OUTBOX	D's PC is from 11:30-1, so yeah, it's going to have to be right first thing Monday.

Strzok-Page Texts

2016-09-24 19:24:35, Sat	INBOX	Work talk quickly?
2016-09-24 20:00:25, Sat	INBOX	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] What did he think? Have you come to any ideas about how you'd like to address some of the work stuff?
2016-09-24 20:03:37, Sat	OUTBOX	No. Just that I need to find my [REDACTED] The conversation was mostly about he and I, work and [REDACTED] [REDACTED] But at least he knows how I feel about a whole mix of things now.
2016-09-24 20:50:27, Sat	INBOX	And \U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621 eras just disconnected and I lost the mye prep email I was writing.
2016-09-24 20:58:08, Sat	INBOX	Whew. Was able to recover it, and just sent
2016-09-24 22:21:21, Sat	INBOX	The Mystery of Trump\u2019s Man in Moscow - POLITICO Magazine\n http://www.politico.com/magazine/story/2016/09/the-mystery-of-trumps-man-in-moscow-214283
2016-09-25 20:49:05, Sun	INBOX	Walking to the office, see what CYD put together...not holding my breath
2016-09-25 20:58:54, Sun	INBOX	[REDACTED] \n\nOf course, cyber sent everyone home without sending the doc to review. AFTER specifically asking us to come in today to chop....\n\nI AM SO MAD
2016-09-25 21:02:56, Sun	OUTBOX	You should call spore right f-ing now.
2016-09-25 21:04:46, Sun	INBOX	Talking to him now. They're on the S side, with less detail.
2016-09-25 21:22:22, Sun	INBOX	Well, it's not bad. They feel compelled to add/adjust things in our section, which is irritating (much more so to Jon than me). \U0001f612 But we should have something tonight. \n\nFinally, it's A PAGE AND A HALF. Jon and I were laughing the two of us could probably do that in 40 minutes...
2016-09-26 00:21:27, Mon	OUTBOX	Note the BCC. I'm inclined to say no.
2016-09-26 00:36:38, Mon	INBOX	And def i would not send our (CD) stuff. I got the impression from Andy there was some question if the D would want to use the [REDACTED] info in the PC. \n\nI need to make sure CYD knows not to send it far and wide. I can see them messing that up.\n\nAnd if the background - that the community is saying it's all our fault and waiting on us, I wouldn't want to play into that in unexpected ways.
2016-09-26 00:45:59, Mon	OUTBOX	I would email sporre tonight so that he knows to limit distribution.

2016-09-26 00:48:25, Mon	INBOX	
2016-09-26 00:48:52, Mon	INBOX	Re Sporre, I did.
2016-09-26 00:49:12, Mon	OUTBOX	
2016-09-26 00:50:16, Mon	INBOX	
2016-09-26 00:50:40, Mon	OUTBOX	
2016-09-26 12:44:13, Mon	OUTBOX	I know I need to watch it, but I'm not sure I have the stomach for the debate tonight.
2016-09-26 12:50:44, Mon	OUTBOX	Turns out I don't want to go to work. Apparently it's the biggest source of mv issues.
2016-09-26 12:52:43, Mon	INBOX	██████████ I don't want to watch the debate either, but I will
2016-09-26 12:53:41, Mon	INBOX	And re work issues, did you decide what, if anything, you want to do with ██████████ and Eric?
2016-09-26 12:54:01, Mon	INBOX	Eric getting a job will help...but who knows how long that will be
2016-09-26 12:55:25, Mon	INBOX	I'm busy responding to work emails from the week of Aug 15th that got stuck unread. Yep, it's pretty pathetic to say thanks for the job congratulations a MONTH after the fact
2016-09-26 12:56:11, Mon	INBOX	I agree with you, but not following "no one is going to do it for me" you mean make you prioritize them?
2016-09-26 19:20:02, Mon	OUTBOX	Could you bring up that CD/CyD doc?
2016-09-26 23:44:26, Mon	INBOX	Just rode the elevator down with Andy, had a nice convo. He went thru some of the stuff you shared earlier. \U0001f60a
2016-09-26 23:46:24, Mon	OUTBOX	Work stuff?
2016-09-27 00:33:25, Tue	OUTBOX	Did you read this? It's scathing. And I'm scared.\n\nWhy Donald Trump Should Not Be President http://nyti.ms/2dbQPuR
2016-09-27 00:40:43, Tue	OUTBOX	Man, I should have started drinking earlier. I'm genuinely stressed about the debate.
2016-09-27 01:06:47, Tue	OUTBOX	Oh god, she's already boring.
2016-09-27 01:08:20, Tue	INBOX	I know. 100% produced. And I REALLY don't think she's that bad. She just can't escape the formula....
2016-09-27 01:10:33, Tue	OUTBOX	She can't. Can you imsg instead?
2016-09-27 01:11:09, Tue	OUTBOX	Btw, is he a gtown grad? No way...

2016-09-27 01:12:40, Tue	INBOX	[REDACTED] B) masters degree. He told me after some briefing where D and Jon made one of their Gtwn - William and Mary riffs....
2016-09-27 01:13:49, Tue	OUTBOX	Wow, really? Masters in what?
2016-09-27 09:50:46, Tue	INBOX	Hi. I don't know. [REDACTED]
2016-09-27 10:37:52, Tue	INBOX	Can I ask you a question about yesterday's discussion? Why rule out a job at DoJ. Not NSD or ODAG?
2016-09-27 10:38:13, Tue	INBOX	Too political?
2016-09-27 10:39:43, Tue	OUTBOX	No way. I don't see what I get out of that, and I'd have to deal with all the political BS.
2016-09-27 10:40:32, Tue	INBOX	Political connections. Better entree into other jobs? Maybe not the latter.
2016-09-27 11:44:15, Tue	INBOX	Npr just mentioned Jeh appearing before Congress today. No mention of the FBI.
2016-09-27 11:48:48, Tue	OUTBOX	Jeh? Hoover risen from the dead?
2016-09-27 11:49:01, Tue	OUTBOX	Oh. Jeh Johnson. Right.
2016-09-27 11:49:27, Tue	OUTBOX	Honestly, that's good. The less people ask of us the better. I need a tv in my office. \U0001f621
2016-09-27 13:26:49, Tue	INBOX	Just seeing if I could reach you. Bill having Thoughts about the PC yesterday\n\nAlso per agency they may have them every Mon.
2016-09-27 13:29:08, Tue	INBOX	K.\n\nGet the intel report! :)\n\nAbout to talk with Scott. But that should be a shirt meeting
2016-09-27 13:29:09, Tue	INBOX	Short meeting. Not shirt meeting. We both have shirts.
2016-09-27 13:36:32, Tue	OUTBOX	Going to go see Moffa now. With report. ;)
2016-09-27 13:37:10, Tue	INBOX	Irritatingly, I am waiting on Scott for an SSA who went in at 955 for "a quick 5 minutes" \U0001f612
2016-09-27 14:52:35, Tue	OUTBOX	I'm watching the D. He is so impressive.
2016-09-27 17:35:02, Tue	INBOX	You nearby? In your office
2016-09-27 17:37:36, Tue	OUTBOX	No. Down with Andy. May not be back
2016-09-27 19:50:27, Tue	INBOX	Hi! I'm jealous about your Hill trip (again) [REDACTED]
2016-09-27 20:43:45, Tue	OUTBOX	Today's was more contentious, but more cool, because I recognize most of them.
2016-09-27 20:59:27, Tue	INBOX	Doing Judiciary prep with Rybicki think I'll be done before you

2016-09-27 21:25:02, Tue	INBOX	Hi. And the prep was with Rybicki AND the D. I like my job sometimes.
2016-09-27 21:25:29, Tue	INBOX	Call my desk when you're done, I may need an assist shooring someone off
2016-09-27 21:34:50, Tue	INBOX	Never mind, he left. Just hit me here when you're done. You can tell me Senate stories \U0001f60a
2016-09-27 22:06:11, Tue	INBOX	Hey you done? Bill's been back, trying to avoid getting pulled into a convo...I may go hide somewhere \U0001f636
2016-09-27 22:12:21, Tue	OUTBOX	Done now
2016-09-27 23:52:02, Tue	INBOX	Sheesh. Just leaving. Talked to [REDACTED] you can't tell him yet you know that), you lawyers sure can talk ;)
2016-09-27 23:59:53, Tue	OUTBOX	NO ONE talks more than [REDACTED] He talk to you about [REDACTED] ?
2016-09-28 00:02:23, Wed	INBOX	No this is about thing Baker got a call on. What's [REDACTED]
2016-09-28 00:06:20, Wed	INBOX	Ah. No. I didn't mention. And I've got to follow up with Bill on that following our conversation.
2016-09-28 00:08:27, Wed	INBOX	Anyway, have a 10 o'clock link call with him and [REDACTED] You should get the deputy to assign you so you can come play with us. ;)\n\nHonestly, it doesn't need the deputies office involved, but I know we're all cool, and you like the cool kids \U0001f60a
2016-09-28 00:12:50, Wed	OUTBOX	I DO want to be part of that call! \U0001f60a
2016-09-28 10:45:25, Wed	INBOX	I know! That's why I'm admonishing you.\n\nOh - remind me to tell you what Bill said about Eric last night. You haven't talked to him about him, have you?
2016-09-28 11:09:45, Wed	OUTBOX	I haven't talked to whom? Bill? Eric? Answer is no to both.
2016-09-28 11:16:02, Wed	INBOX	Ha. Ok. You won't be surprised. \U0001f612 Not about you.
2016-09-28 13:13:08, Wed	OUTBOX	Goodlatte opened with the mye stuff
2016-09-28 13:14:36, Wed	INBOX	Today? Is it on now?
2016-09-28 13:14:52, Wed	OUTBOX	Yes. Started at 9.
2016-09-28 13:15:09, Wed	OUTBOX	I'm in the D's conference roo. Watching with rybicki et al
2016-09-28 13:16:48, Wed	INBOX	Oh. Boo. I was going to stop by...\n\nHow's he doing?
2016-09-28 13:17:36, Wed	INBOX	Who was the npr interview?
2016-09-28 13:17:45, Wed	OUTBOX	Just member opening remarks
2016-09-28 13:17:59, Wed	OUTBOX	I'm not sure I am going to be able to stomach all of this.

2016-09-28 13:18:18, Wed	OUTBOX	I'm not telling re npr! I want to listen together. \U0001f636
2016-09-28 13:18:52, Wed	OUTBOX	We're watching online.
2016-09-28 13:19:01, Wed	INBOX	I don't have it on CSpan 1 2 or 3
2016-09-28 13:19:07, Wed	INBOX	CSpan?
2016-09-28 13:19:21, Wed	OUTBOX	I'm sure, yes. Are you free? Come up?
2016-09-28 13:19:45, Wed	INBOX	Ok with everyone there?
2016-09-28 13:19:58, Wed	INBOX	Until 10...
2016-09-28 13:20:39, Wed	INBOX	Oh re npr \U0001f636 [REDACTED]
2016-09-28 13:41:53, Wed	INBOX	[REDACTED] And I'm so f*cking proud that we nailed all these Qs in advance of the prep \u263a
2016-09-28 14:57:21, Wed	INBOX	[REDACTED] I can miss my 130 no problem (frankly, a SC - level meeting, but need to be here by 2
2016-09-28 14:58:45, Wed	INBOX	Np. Iris and [REDACTED]
2016-09-28 15:13:33, Wed	OUTBOX	Trisha needs to talk at 1. What time is your 2:00 meeting over?
2016-09-28 15:18:20, Wed	INBOX	B\xf6\xf4\xf5\n\n230. Gotta be back at 345.\n\nWhat are you doing for lunch.
2016-09-28 15:21:19, Wed	INBOX	Still talking midyear?
2016-09-28 15:21:32, Wed	INBOX	I worry the appearance to JR and [REDACTED]
2016-09-28 15:21:40, Wed	INBOX	You think OK?
2016-09-28 15:21:41, Wed	OUTBOX	Yup. Gowdy now.
2016-09-28 15:21:56, Wed	OUTBOX	Yup. Why not. Kortan here too.
2016-09-28 16:06:16, Wed	OUTBOX	I know. Me too. I have to meet with Trisha at 1. Maybe eat after that?
2016-09-28 16:07:18, Wed	INBOX	Sure if there's time before my 2. Trisha meeting brief?
2016-09-28 16:13:03, Wed	INBOX	[REDACTED] sending me emails about the number of people in the room. \U0001f612 Yes, I was there. \n\nHas D said anything about #s of people in the interview?
2016-09-28 16:15:20, Wed	INBOX	You need help? I can step out in a few...

Strzok-Page Texts

2016-09-28 16:17:54, Wed	INBOX	Your sacs badge is in here ..
2016-09-28 19:07:56, Wed	INBOX	Cyber fucked everything up with Iris and [REDACTED] I have calls in to both
2016-09-28 19:10:07, Wed	OUTBOX	You are kidding me. \U0001f621 How so?
2016-09-28 19:28:47, Wed	OUTBOX	Hey, just called you back.
2016-09-28 19:31:36, Wed	INBOX	Sorry talking to Iris, [REDACTED] calling 3:30. Which I guess was 2 minutes ago.
2016-09-28 21:28:23, Wed	INBOX	Sorry. A lot of people want a lot of time. Not all of them need it. Didn't gatekeep for Andy as EAD, or was it better at that level? Can't imagine it would be
2016-09-28 21:28:54, Wed	OUTBOX	No, he had [REDACTED] to do that for him.
2016-09-28 21:28:56, Wed	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-09-28 21:29:12, Wed	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-09-28 23:25:31, Wed	INBOX	Got called up to Andy's earlier...hundreds of thousands of emails turned over by Weiner's atty to sdny, includes a ton of material from spouse. \U0001f628\n\nSending team up tomorrow to review...this will never end....
2016-09-28 23:27:03, Wed	OUTBOX	Turned over to them why?
2016-09-28 23:28:24, Wed	INBOX	Apparently one of his recent texting partners may not have been 18...don't have the details yet
2016-09-28 23:29:00, Wed	OUTBOX	Yes, reported 15 in the news.
2016-09-28 23:31:15, Wed	INBOX	And funny. Bill and I were waiting outside his door. He was down with the director. [REDACTED] saw us from the inner hallway, made a point of coming over to see what it was that we were talking about. We didn't know. I think [REDACTED] is a little bit of a busybody.
2016-09-28 23:32:17, Wed	OUTBOX	He us a busy body. Not to be trusted.
2016-09-28 23:34:14, Wed	INBOX	Tell me.....
2016-09-28 23:51:57, Wed	INBOX	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
2016-09-29 01:08:50, Thu	OUTBOX	And suddenly I'm realizing, they're like Trump demographic people, just democrats. \U0001f612
2016-09-29 01:10:29, Thu	INBOX	[REDACTED] I need to send you what my [REDACTED] has been sending. The liberal media is all in the tank for Hillary. Because, you know, Trump isn't batsh*t crazy for our country...

2016-09-29 01:11:38, Thu	OUTBOX	Please don't. I really don't want to know what is out there.
2016-09-29 01:14:28, Thu	INBOX	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
2016-09-29 01:15:53, Thu	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-09-29 01:18:16, Thu	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-09-29 01:18:29, Thu	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-09-29 01:19:06, Thu	INBOX	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
2016-09-29 01:50:27, Thu	INBOX	Republicans Just Cannot Let The Clinton Emails Go Huffington Post http://m.huffpost.com/us/entry/us_57ec1965e4b082aad9b8c728
2016-09-29 01:53:27, Thu	INBOX	"Found it hard to focus"? "Found it hard to focus"?! Are you f*cking kidding me?! Donald Trump got too much debate advice, so he took none of it. http://www.slate.com/blogs/the_slatest/2016/09/28/donald_trump_got_too_much_debate_advice_so_he_took_none_of_it.html
2016-09-29 14:08:10, Thu	INBOX	Ran into Bill, he was trying to get a hold of Jim, who was in with his Deputies. Secretary offered to grab him but Bill said no
2016-09-29 14:08:29, Thu	INBOX	He's calendared to go to the session board. Does he normally do that?
2016-09-29 21:17:11, Thu	INBOX	Hey are you able to step out to grab the product we discussed with andy? They want it cleared tonight
2016-09-29 23:46:34, Thu	INBOX	Hey I honestly did not know the specific reason for the concern, other than what I told you. Thats why I was answering as I did, to see if he'd provide more detail.
2016-09-29 23:46:58, Thu	INBOX	It was a convo between him, Jim and Kortan....
2016-09-29 23:49:58, Thu	INBOX	You also left your [REDACTED] update. Let me know when, I'll bring it up before you go. \U0001f636
2016-09-30 01:42:15, Fri	INBOX	Hey I'm almost home, sorry. Remind me tomorrow what [REDACTED] said.
2016-09-30 11:16:33, Fri	INBOX	And do you still have that O'Reilly article about the D? I can't find it
2016-09-30 11:31:00, Fri	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] Wasn't an article, was Jason telling me that O'Reilly was critical.

2016-09-30 11:45:34, Fri	INBOX	[REDACTED] Yeah I could only find some a-hole blogger who was going on his show.\n\nI am really tired of these people who are so blind with extreme belief and hatred of the Clintons that ANYTHING that does not fit their world view must be corrupt or incompetent.
2016-09-30 12:19:07, Fri	INBOX	Omg I need to talk to you \U0001f621\n\nIt obviously can wait, but I just had a flash of two more years of cyber dysfunction
2016-09-30 23:05:07, Fri	INBOX	Yeah, [REDACTED] is awesome! She coordinated that response apparently with absolutely no one in CD. Because, you know, she was doing it.
2016-09-30 23:05:23, Fri	INBOX	Even though she's had a sum total of 0 interaction on the topic before this afternoon.
2016-09-30 23:08:05, Fri	OUTBOX	Yeah, that's incredibly aggravating. You call her, figure out where it went?
2016-09-30 23:36:01, Fri	INBOX	Check email
2016-10-01 00:37:48, Sat	OUTBOX	Worse than anticipated, no?
2016-10-01 00:44:00, Sat	INBOX	The article? Not sure
2016-10-01 00:45:45, Sat	OUTBOX	Yeah, I couldn't tell either.
2016-10-01 00:50:38, Sat	INBOX	The same reporter wrote an article about [REDACTED] that was completely wrong...
2016-10-01 01:01:35, Sat	OUTBOX	Well that's good at least.
2016-10-01 01:11:10, Sat	INBOX	I think so. Don't think this will be too bad. I hope. \n\nAnd hi
2016-10-01 12:23:06, Sat	INBOX	[REDACTED] [REDACTED]
2016-10-01 13:56:16, Sat	INBOX	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] And finished that Politico article. Pretty good and accurate, all in all
2016-10-02 01:14:31, Sun	INBOX	Holy sh*t. You see the NYT feed? No taxes for 20 years...
2016-10-02 12:16:35, Sun	INBOX	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] And you should YouTube the opening SNL skit. It was really funny.
2016-10-02 13:20:54, Sun	INBOX	Ooh. Yay. I'll check it out. Did you watch the SNL opener?

2016-10-02 13:22:20, Sun	OUTBOX	No, not yet. But [REDACTED] texted me too and told me we had to watch it.
2016-10-02 13:26:59, Sun	INBOX	[REDACTED] Working on ERAS. Just emailed Sporre to essentially say are you SURE you have absolutely no update for the D from last week? (Because I know you do) \U0001f612
2016-10-02 13:27:01, Sun	INBOX	I'm also going to email Bill and ask him to say we need a 5 minute carve out at the beginning or end to [REDACTED] and sensitive agency stuff. We can't do that with 20 people there.
2016-10-02 13:37:48, Sun	INBOX	Oh. And watch snl campaign family feud. Putin is on Trumps team. Hilarious.
2016-10-02 14:07:58, Sun	OUTBOX	I would just email Bill and rybicki at the same time.
2016-10-02 16:06:19, Sun	INBOX	Sigh. I'm sitting here working. [REDACTED]
2016-10-03 12:12:47, Mon	OUTBOX	Damn. Might have made it for the D brief, except that there are a billion people in line trying to get in. \U0001f612
2016-10-03 19:36:29, Mon	INBOX	Hi. Getting coffee with Jon and [REDACTED]
2016-10-03 20:35:24, Mon	INBOX	Ooh, and I have personnel gossips
2016-10-03 21:01:44, Mon	INBOX	And just got back at [REDACTED] for his DAD demotion comment. \u263a
2016-10-03 21:02:30, Mon	INBOX	Going to sign my PAR
2016-10-04 17:43:39, Tue	INBOX	Cartwright took the plea! [REDACTED]
2016-10-05 01:00:23, Wed	INBOX	TPs sent. Wasn't able to link up with [REDACTED]
2016-10-05 01:06:42, Wed	INBOX	Tried calling, lyncing, and emailing
2016-10-05 01:11:02, Wed	OUTBOX	He texted me at 836 to ask if I could talk, i said yes at 9, but no response yet.
2016-10-05 01:15:33, Wed	INBOX	Ok,: that's a little aggravating. Maybe he was out and just had his phone. Please let me know if there's anything relevant so I can answer if somebody asks me a question. Thanks.
2016-10-05 01:19:01, Wed	OUTBOX	He probably had just left already.
2016-10-05 01:27:34, Wed	OUTBOX	He just wrote me back \n\nah never mind. We just talked to [REDACTED] Will fill every body tomorrow.
2016-10-05 01:30:42, Wed	INBOX	Thanks \n\nThen I'm going to let Bill run up the chain tomorrow morning what's in his inbox now, you can adjust Andy later as needed.

2016-10-05 01:39:36, Wed	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] just called me. Can you talk?
2016-10-05 01:55:21, Wed	INBOX	Sh*tty days that I want over as soon as possible. \n\nThe whole [REDACTED] thing was a nice little kick in the nuts way to end the day. \n\nAnyway.
2016-10-05 12:01:25, Wed	INBOX	WH sent language, let me know if you can talk.
2016-10-05 13:22:04, Wed	OUTBOX	Make sure you call [REDACTED]
2016-10-05 13:37:52, Wed	INBOX	Toscas called Bill on same. Said DIRNSA was [REDACTED]
2016-10-05 13:38:24, Wed	OUTBOX	Kortan with me now, don't think they have the name. Just asked Andy if thst changes their calculus.
2016-10-05 13:55:29, Wed	INBOX	Steinbach said same thing. You around?
2016-10-05 13:56:03, Wed	OUTBOX	Have his last name only. Now looking for everyone in Maryland with that last name. Ha.
2016-10-05 13:56:28, Wed	OUTBOX	I need to talk to Jim re intc, then will be.
2016-10-05 16:34:24, Wed	OUTBOX	Hey [REDACTED] just called.
2016-10-05 22:22:24, Wed	INBOX	Going in to see Bill. Will try not to smile too big
2016-10-05 22:48:10, Wed	INBOX	OH SWEET JESUS\n\nTHERE ARE 128 EMAILS ON SCION SINCE LAST NIGHT
2016-10-05 22:48:56, Wed	OUTBOX	I know! That's what I was telling you!
2016-10-05 22:52:16, Wed	INBOX	And a bunch of crapola about European data privacy officials expressing grave concern about this, Commerce getting calls from the European Commission and OH MY GOD I HATE F*CKING INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS ONLY marginally more EFFECTIVE THAN FIFA]
2016-10-05 22:52:53, Wed	INBOX	I hate them\n\nI have no idea why I studied them so with my undergraduate and graduate formal education\n\nThey are abhorrent.
2016-10-05 22:55:08, Wed	INBOX	And oh my God the Privacy Shield may fall apart, leading to civil disorder and and (breathless) and
2016-10-05 23:03:22, Wed	OUTBOX	Yup, I hate them too. [REDACTED]
2016-10-05 23:21:52, Wed	INBOX	Sigh. I'm sorry. I'm clearing sentine!. [REDACTED]
2016-10-05 23:38:30, Wed	INBOX	Just saw Eric going into HQ, talking with our favorite officer...had a post-HH look
2016-10-05 23:45:16, Wed	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-05 23:47:05, Wed	INBOX	All good...yeah, that's me just finally leaving work. I see you...

Strzok-Page Texts

2016-10-05 23:50:20, Wed	OUTBOX	You see me? What are you talking about?
2016-10-05 23:51:27, Wed	INBOX	My look to him
2016-10-05 23:54:36, Wed	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-05 23:58:13, Wed	OUTBOX	Sorry you're there so late.
2016-10-05 23:59:49, Wed	INBOX	Did he travel with him?!?! Yeah all good, had to solve the world's ECJ problems. [REDACTED]
2016-10-06 00:01:47, Thu	OUTBOX	And yes, he did. He always does.
2016-10-06 00:02:57, Thu	INBOX	I didn't know that. Thats irritating
2016-10-06 01:58:51, Thu	OUTBOX	Kinda crazy about the Atlantic and USA Today. The Editorialists Have Spoken; Will Voters Listen? http://nyti.ms/2dFmvcm
2016-10-06 02:05:09, Thu	INBOX	No they won't listen, because they're f*cking stupid
2016-10-06 02:05:17, Thu	INBOX	And I'm moving to NZ
2016-10-06 14:04:31, Thu	OUTBOX	In with andy. One sec
2016-10-06 14:04:37, Thu	INBOX	Sorry been in meetings with Bill since 9, another one with him starting now. Just left you VM. Done around 1040.
2016-10-06 14:43:41, Thu	INBOX	Boo. ;) When do you open up? And did you get what you needed that you wanted me to call about?
2016-10-06 14:57:19, Thu	OUTBOX	Yes, call is obe. Should be free at 11:15.
2016-10-06 22:43:51, Thu	OUTBOX	Might just be like what DD asked ours today. What's the plan to figure out what else is out there.
2016-10-06 23:11:14, Thu	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-06 23:18:44, Thu	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-06 23:20:17, Thu	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-06 23:21:08, Thu	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-06 23:21:37, Thu	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-06 23:21:48, Thu	INBOX	[REDACTED]

2016-10-06 23:22:04, Thu	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-06 23:22:31, Thu	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-06 23:24:01, Thu	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-06 23:24:34, Thu	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-06 23:24:58, Thu	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-06 23:25:08, Thu	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-06 23:26:41, Thu	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-06 23:27:31, Thu	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-07 09:53:05, Fri	INBOX	Jesus. More [REDACTED] leaks in the NYT
2016-10-07 10:02:33, Fri	INBOX	Yeah and I made the mistake of reading some stupid NY Post article about how agents are ready to revolt against D because of MY...now I'm really angry....
2016-10-07 10:03:33, Fri	INBOX	There are a bunch of really ignorant people out there blinded by their politics
2016-10-07 10:03:56, Fri	OUTBOX	You can't read that sh*t. And honestly, let them. The bu would be better off without them.
2016-10-07 10:04:02, Fri	OUTBOX	There are.
2016-10-07 10:04:37, Fri	INBOX	Sadly reminds me how deeply politics, like religion, can sometimes blind objectivity.
2016-10-07 10:04:38, Fri	INBOX	I can't help it. It's click bait. I emailed it to you.
2016-10-07 10:05:04, Fri	OUTBOX	I don't want it!
2016-10-07 10:05:41, Fri	INBOX	Too late. Just ignore it. Also sent a really thoughtful David Brooks column. I really like him. \U0001f60a
2016-10-07 10:26:15, Fri	INBOX	Poor [REDACTED] gotta be up, too...
2016-10-07 10:28:17, Fri	OUTBOX	You meeting at hq? You should have just offered to get her at home, avoid the metro ride?
2016-10-07 10:28:54, Fri	INBOX	True. Suppose I could still do that
2016-10-07 10:34:04, Fri	INBOX	Just shot her an email
2016-10-07 10:42:50, Fri	OUTBOX	Referenced in Brooks' article. I was one of his blog devotees for YEARS.\n\nAndrew Sullivan: My Distraction Sickness \u2014 2014 and Yours\nhttp://nymag.com/selectall/2016/09/andrew-sullivan-technology-almost-killed-me.html

Strzok-Page Texts

2016-10-07 10:44:17, Fri	INBOX	Haven't read him...
2016-10-07 10:45:49, Fri	OUTBOX	One of the finest thinkers of our day. An HIV+ gay conservative, but thoughtful and open to change. A lot like David Brooks.
2016-10-07 10:59:54, Fri	INBOX	What time is prep - 1130?
2016-10-07 11:12:18, Fri	INBOX	God npr is depressing right noe
2016-10-07 11:17:34, Fri	OUTBOX	Yes. \n\nWhy?
2016-10-07 11:21:31, Fri	INBOX	No invite, trying to make sure my calendar is right
2016-10-07 11:23:49, Fri	OUTBOX	Sorry, looks like she screwed it up.
2016-10-07 11:26:24, Fri	INBOX	Np. Not casting aspersions, just getting mine right. Did she send it out to others at least (so they know)?
2016-10-07 11:26:52, Fri	OUTBOX	Yes. Appears to be correct otherwise.
2016-10-07 11:32:07, Fri	INBOX	K. DD's Conf room?
2016-10-07 12:17:35, Fri	INBOX	Yeah thanks. Got background ok doj's concerns about this. P*ssies...\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621
2016-10-07 15:09:30, Fri	OUTBOX	I want to set up a mtg with you and Bill re intc.
2016-10-07 15:10:09, Fri	INBOX	Ok. He's back Thurs afternoon
2016-10-07 15:10:50, Fri	INBOX	What's up with intc?
2016-10-07 15:11:51, Fri	OUTBOX	Had mtg with Bowdich et al this am
2016-10-07 21:45:45, Fri	INBOX	Rethought my response to the CYD advisory. Think it's probably good for morale.
2016-10-08 12:35:47, Sat	INBOX	Currently reading about Trump. Wondering if he stepped down if Pence could actually get elected.
2016-10-08 12:36:20, Sat	OUTBOX	That's probably more likely than Trump getting elected.
2016-10-08 12:37:10, Sat	INBOX	I agree. I think it would actually energize the Republican vote.
2016-10-08 12:39:06, Sat	INBOX	You watching the debate on Sunday?
2016-10-08 12:39:47, Sat	OUTBOX	We'll see how things are going.
2016-10-09 01:15:18, Sun	INBOX	Plus, sent you some emails with articles. Watching the Republicans melt down

2016-10-09 13:24:27, Sun	INBOX	And I'm HUGE pissed because we found info (via searching Sentinel on an account that came out) that is wildly, hugely relevant to what we're doing. Just sitting there in a field office CYD file. Nobody caring, not interested. \U0001f620\U0001f620\U0001f620\U0001f620\n\nThey're BROKEN, Lisa! Is it going to take some f*cking 9/11 - type event for everybody to stop saying, just coordinate better, have lots of meetings, figure it out? \n\nThe Bu will still recruit cyber people if we have a directorate. We will still recruit people if we can offer them work against cyber criminals, or state actors, or terrorists.
2016-10-09 13:29:37, Sun	INBOX	And dammit, our guys shared it with the TF on Fri (the right thing to do). Challenge is I bet both agency and Fort share it with their seniors Tues AM, if not before.
2016-10-09 13:36:06, Sun	OUTBOX	Well Andy AND D are out all week anyway.
2016-10-09 13:37:30, Sun	OUTBOX	God, now I want to know what it is.
2016-10-09 13:38:11, Sun	INBOX	I'll send it on eras. I'm just worried dcia gets it and goes direct with D and/or WH.
2016-10-09 13:39:59, Sun	OUTBOX	Wow. Yeah but there's no way I'm getting the time to get on eras today.
2016-10-09 13:40:47, Sun	INBOX	Ok. I'll call you later and we can talk around it
2016-10-09 13:41:13, Sun	OUTBOX	Do you want to send to DD and I can let him know to check eras?
2016-10-09 13:41:57, Sun	INBOX	Not before I talk with Bill and/or Mike.
2016-10-09 13:42:22, Sun	OUTBOX	Although he's in Hawaii with the DNI so I'm not sure he has it.
2016-10-09 13:45:24, Sun	INBOX	Jackson Hole (or wherever) then Hawaii. Tough life if you're the dni...
2016-10-09 13:48:32, Sun	OUTBOX	Yeah but this is actually a follow on to a trip to Germany and is an utter waste of time. Dd absolutely doesn't want to be there.
2016-10-09 13:49:56, Sun	INBOX	I can imagine. It's a long way to go to then have to come back to SD. Is Eric with him?
2016-10-09 13:56:20, Sun	OUTBOX	Don't think so, but don't know.
2016-10-09 19:57:12, Sun	OUTBOX	Hey, andy just texted the following:\n\nThere is a HO agent [REDACTED] who is coming to the airport to show us something else. You might be able to have him bring it. But we are about to leave SF and will be in HO in 5.5 hours.
2016-10-09 19:58:33, Sun	OUTBOX	His email is completely failing right now, so he didn't get you email. If you want to email it to the above agent when you get home, there should be plenty of time. \n\nOr you can check eras [REDACTED]

2016-10-09 20:19:05, Sun	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-09 21:07:51, Sun	INBOX	And funny quote from [REDACTED] "No way Trump will drop out. Hey Republicans: how does it feel to carry something to term?"
2016-10-10 00:12:38, Mon	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] I'm not sure I have it in me to watch the debate. [REDACTED]
2016-10-10 00:31:07, Mon	OUTBOX	Did you get my text re sending an eras to that dude?
2016-10-10 00:33:34, Mon	INBOX	I did, but wasn't clear if [REDACTED] would get it. Do you think it's worth sending? Bill did say he talked to Steinbach and talked around the subject with him.
2016-10-10 00:34:46, Mon	OUTBOX	I told Andy you would. Up to you. Don't really know what it says so it's hard for me to evaluate.
2016-10-10 00:35:11, Mon	INBOX	Then ok, I will.
2016-10-10 00:35:44, Mon	OUTBOX	Make sure you email him on low side too. Is there still time?
2016-10-10 00:37:19, Mon	INBOX	I just did to ask.
2016-10-10 00:38:05, Mon	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-10 00:46:09, Mon	INBOX	Decide if you're watching the debate?
2016-10-10 00:47:49, Mon	OUTBOX	I think no. [REDACTED] will let you know
2016-10-10 01:22:32, Mon	INBOX	[REDACTED] Debate is nasty....\n\nAlso, heard from [REDACTED] he's already at airport. He's willing to make a second trip but I'm not sure if it's worth if right now, especially if Bill got gist to Mike.
2016-10-10 01:23:55, Mon	INBOX	Trump saying agents at FBI are furious at the MYE outcome and he's getting a special prosecutor.
2016-10-10 01:24:06, Mon	OUTBOX	I'm not watching.
2016-10-10 01:27:32, Mon	INBOX	I am. Just getting aggravated. [REDACTED]
2016-10-11 02:01:21, Tue	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-11 02:01:27, Tue	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-11 02:02:03, Tue	INBOX	I'll ask Dina if she can go...
2016-10-11 02:02:21, Tue	OUTBOX	It doesn't even count. It's just [REDACTED] Email him now and let him know something came up at home and tell him you can back brief.
2016-10-11 02:02:25, Tue	OUTBOX	Good, yes.

2016-10-11 02:05:45, Tue	OUTBOX	And look at how much you have to talk to [REDACTED] about! \U0001f61a
2016-10-11 10:00:11, Tue	INBOX	Sigh\U0001f636\n\nI went to sleep imagining it.\n\nRe your timing this morning, it'll be late, but I can swing by after [REDACTED]
2016-10-11 10:24:43, Tue	OUTBOX	Give a call post-[REDACTED]
2016-10-11 10:24:58, Tue	INBOX	[REDACTED] then hustling out to [REDACTED]
2016-10-11 10:25:39, Tue	INBOX	I will. Of course, I want to stop by, regardless. \U0001f636
2016-10-11 10:26:12, Tue	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-11 21:54:43, Tue	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-11 21:55:45, Tue	OUTBOX	Uh yeah, that's not going to happen.
2016-10-11 21:56:08, Tue	INBOX	Uh, it just did
2016-10-11 21:59:43, Tue	OUTBOX	But yeah, I can totally see that.
2016-10-11 21:59:59, Tue	OUTBOX	I get that, but it's going to unhappen, and right quick.
2016-10-11 22:04:19, Tue	INBOX	Yeah, checking Sentinel now. Looks like cd7A now has access...not sure if that was a recent add. Jon and I can no longer see it, though it's more relevant for the IAs to be able to. Of course, Jon and I are still here, and none of them are.\n\nStill, irritating....we'll get it fixed
2016-10-11 22:21:22, Tue	INBOX	Currently fighting with [REDACTED]
2016-10-11 22:38:19, Tue	INBOX	Can I call you when we're done?
2016-10-11 22:48:26, Tue	OUTBOX	Yes, though will be hard.
2016-10-12 00:05:06, Wed	INBOX	And yes, please bring it in. Just leaving now. Had to double back to talk to Toscas. Have his nsts line now, at least.
2016-10-12 00:08:37, Wed	OUTBOX	That's good, because that's literally all he uses.
2016-10-12 02:54:03, Wed	OUTBOX	Ho-ly sh*t. Did you read this? \n\nFor Many Women, Trump\u2019s \u2018Locker Room Talk\u2019 Brings Memories of Abuse http://nyti.ms/2dSWWF6
2016-10-12 02:54:22, Wed	INBOX	Sigh. Stupid dnd, I didn't see these.
2016-10-12 03:04:57, Wed	OUTBOX	Hot damn. Big news day.\n\nBuffett Calls Trump\u2019s Bluff and Releases His Tax Data http://nyti.ms/2dSIOM5

2016-10-12 03:16:30, Wed	OUTBOX	Wow, more forceful than I have seen him. [REDACTED] [REDACTED] n\nDonald Trump\u2019s Sad, Lonely Life http://nyti.ms/2dTCZxP
2016-10-12 09:59:46, Wed	INBOX	Ok, the locker room talk twitter campaign was amazing and sad. And I do like David Brooks. [REDACTED] Reading Warren buffet now
2016-10-12 10:00:06, Wed	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-12 10:01:08, Wed	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-12 10:08:33, Wed	INBOX	And \U0001f621\n http://mobile.nytimes.com/2016/10/12/us/politics/ donald-trump-voters.html
2016-10-12 14:47:50, Wed	INBOX	[REDACTED] Hi. Done. You at your desk?
2016-10-12 21:37:22, Wed	INBOX	Nice. Talked to Laycock and Josh still in wrap....
2016-10-13 02:49:40, Thu	INBOX	To check email. We got the reporting on Sept 19. Looks like [REDACTED] got it early August. \n\nLooking at [REDACTED] lync replies to me it's not clear if he knows if/when he told them. But [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] talked with [REDACTED] they're both good and will remember.\n\nIt's not about rubbing their nose in it. I don't care if they don't know. I just want to know who's playing games/scared covering. \n\nI totally get it will never be provable.
2016-10-13 02:54:25, Thu	INBOX	Aaaaand I left my badge in the card reader. Can't get back in, so get in extra early for temp badge tomorrow \U0001f621
2016-10-13 15:44:08, Thu	INBOX	30 sec\n\nRan into [REDACTED]
2016-10-13 23:18:41, Thu	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-13 23:20:00, Thu	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-13 23:45:35, Thu	OUTBOX	Sorry, was on with andy. Told him about senator and BA. Did ask about Monday, so I'll bring them in.
2016-10-13 23:47:09, Thu	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-13 23:47:30, Thu	OUTBOX	Asked him to tell them what I do. \U0001f612
2016-10-13 23:47:35, Thu	INBOX	Had Rybicki mentioned? Was sent about an hour ago
2016-10-13 23:48:27, Thu	OUTBOX	Mentioned what? About [REDACTED] No. Andy hadn't heard.
2016-10-13 23:48:38, Thu	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-13 23:48:51, Thu	INBOX	[REDACTED]

2016-10-13 23:50:20, Thu	OUTBOX	What did you take care of? [REDACTED] Do we know it's a forgery? Could just be the guy feeling sheepish that he got caught sharing stuff he couldn't, right?
2016-10-13 23:51:16, Thu	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-13 23:52:12, Thu	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-13 23:52:32, Thu	INBOX	? Not following your last. Def a [REDACTED]
2016-10-13 23:53:39, Thu	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-13 23:54:09, Thu	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-13 23:59:52, Thu	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-14 00:00:36, Fri	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-14 00:05:32, Fri	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-14 00:08:37, Fri	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-14 00:10:26, Fri	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-14 00:12:58, Fri	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-14 00:13:28, Fri	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-14 00:13:41, Fri	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-14 00:37:47, Fri	OUTBOX	Not sure why I thought this was so neat. Suppose it's just the law nerd in me.\n\nThe Times\u2019s Lawyer Responds to Donald Trump http://nyti.ms/2eOWNza
2016-10-14 00:40:28, Fri	OUTBOX	God, she's an incredibly impressive woman. The Obamas in general, really. While he has certainly made mistakes, I'm proud to have had him as my president. \n\nVoice Shaking, Michelle Obama Calls Trump Comments on Women \u2018Intolerable\u2019 http://nyti.ms/2eOMtaY
2016-10-14 00:42:48, Fri	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-14 00:43:24, Fri	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-14 00:48:08, Fri	OUTBOX	Ugh. More of the same.\n\nDonald Trump, Slipping in Polls, Warns of \u2018Stolen Election\u2019 http://nyti.ms/2e07imx

Strzok-Paee Texts

2016-10-14 00:49:51, Fri	INBOX	That's not very helpful.
2016-10-14 00:50:39, Fri	INBOX	He's not going to do much to tamp down the conspiracy theories...
2016-10-14 00:51:26, Fri	OUTBOX	Nope. Full of dog whistles too: "We do not want this election stolen from us. Everybody knows what I'm talking about." The racism is barely even veiled anymore.
2016-10-14 00:52:36, Fri	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-14 00:52:43, Fri	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-14 00:53:52, Fri	OUTBOX	The Roger Stone comments are scary as sh*t.
2016-10-14 01:00:20, Fri	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-14 01:00:59, Fri	INBOX	Roger Stone is horrible.
2016-10-14 01:36:28, Fri	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-14 01:37:21, Fri	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-14 01:38:58, Fri	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-14 01:45:11, Fri	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-14 01:45:57, Fri	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-14 01:49:35, Fri	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-14 01:50:48, Fri	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-14 01:51:01, Fri	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-14 12:04:49, Fri	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-14 12:06:00, Fri	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-14 12:06:56, Fri	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-14 12:15:49, Fri	INBOX	Reading the most depressing lead story about Trump in the NYT. And couldn't be prouder, or sadder, of first lady's comments.
2016-10-14 14:25:25, Fri	INBOX	You in your ofc?
2016-10-14 14:26:41, Fri	INBOX	\U0001f636 K. I'll bring PAR motivation...

2016-10-15 00:54:42, Sat	INBOX	Hi. Talked with JG for a while. A lot to tell you. [REDACTED]
2016-10-15 01:26:22, Sat	INBOX	Stone \u2018happy to cooperate\u2019 with FBI on WikiLeaks, Russian hacking probes - POLITICO\nhttp://www.politico.com/story/2016/10/roger-stone-fbi-wikileaks-russia-229821
2016-10-15 11:18:48, Sat	INBOX	Sorry, was emailing Jon and Bill and Jason about the PC prep. [REDACTED] came back and asked if WF should reach out to Carper's DC staff. \n\nIn the interest of time, I think Jason and I should just conference call his staff director this weekend, and wf can follow up as needed. At least that way we have initial info and the D can say we've made contact.
2016-10-15 11:19:49, Sat	OUTBOX	Makes sense to me.
2016-10-15 11:55:13, Sat	INBOX	Sorry was just talking to Jason.\n\nNo plans other than this Congress call and writing the stupid prep stuff
2016-10-15 12:19:58, Sat	INBOX	This is appalling\nhttp://m.huffpost.com/us/entry/us_580120b7e4b0e8c198a7f139
2016-10-15 12:22:43, Sat	INBOX	http://m.huffpost.com/us/entry/us_5800381fe4b0e8c198a74744
2016-10-15 13:45:20, Sat	INBOX	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] \n\nSpeaking with Carper's COS shortly....
2016-10-15 14:14:44, Sat	INBOX	Call went well. She confirmed Sen spoke with Monaco on Thurs night. They're issuing a press release about it on Mon.
2016-10-15 14:45:11, Sat	OUTBOX	That's going to get political in a hurry.
2016-10-15 14:48:25, Sat	INBOX	And I was careful about my statements to her but nonetheless will document them in an email on the Secret side....
2016-10-15 14:51:22, Sat	OUTBOX	That's smart.
2016-10-15 14:51:31, Sat	OUTBOX	No, re Andy and lunch.
2016-10-15 15:15:06, Sat	INBOX	Lunch get political? Not following.
2016-10-15 15:15:13, Sat	INBOX	Even if just the four of you go?
2016-10-15 15:15:59, Sat	OUTBOX	No, carpers statement.
2016-10-15 15:16:57, Sat	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] [REDACTED]
2016-10-15 15:18:53, Sat	INBOX	[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

Strzok-Page Texts

2016-10-15 21:25:48, Sat	INBOX	That didn't take long \U0001f621
2016-10-15 21:28:10, Sat	OUTBOX	At least we made the f-ers work on the weekend...
2016-10-15 21:30:09, Sat	INBOX	Uh, and, yeah - like they're doing to us.\n\nI HATE this case. \n\nAnd a LOT to tell you about my convo with JG...
2016-10-15 22:04:26, Sat	OUTBOX	Very nice work on that initial statement. Maybe we can talk tomorrow re JG..
2016-10-16 00:13:34, Sun	INBOX	Do we prevent Hardy from being subpoenaed?
2016-10-16 00:14:56, Sun	OUTBOX	I don't know. But he'll be okay...
2016-10-16 14:56:11, Sun	INBOX	Maybe. [REDACTED]
2016-10-16 14:56:25, Sun	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-16 14:56:43, Sun	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-16 16:23:37, Sun	INBOX	Hey just thinking - do you have a current official passport?
2016-10-16 17:45:54, Sun	OUTBOX	I do. Need to find it, but I think it is still good. Remind me Monday.
2016-10-16 18:23:30, Sun	OUTBOX	And if we do go to london, I'm going to have to call [REDACTED]
2016-10-16 18:24:20, Sun	INBOX	[REDACTED] And Rybicki just called - need to call him back.
2016-10-16 18:25:35, Sun	OUTBOX	K. Let me know what he's calling about. ..
2016-10-16 18:33:49, Sun	INBOX	Hi. Done. Talk?
2016-10-16 18:55:03, Sun	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-16 18:55:28, Sun	OUTBOX	
2016-10-16 18:56:39, Sun	INBOX	
2016-10-16 18:57:12, Sun	INBOX	
2016-10-16 19:09:15, Sun	OUTBOX	
2016-10-16 19:10:22, Sun	OUTBOX	
2016-10-16 20:58:00, Sun	INBOX	
2016-10-16 20:58:24, Sun	INBOX	

2016-10-16 20:59:35, Sun	OUTBOX	
2016-10-16 21:01:57, Sun	INBOX	
2016-10-16 21:09:31, Sun	INBOX	
2016-10-16 22:09:21, Sun	INBOX	
2016-10-16 22:09:55, Sun	INBOX	
2016-10-16 22:14:09, Sun	OUTBOX	
2016-10-16 22:20:38, Sun	INBOX	
2016-10-16 22:28:34, Sun	INBOX	
2016-10-16 22:29:27, Sun	INBOX	
2016-10-16 22:43:38, Sun	OUTBOX	
2016-10-16 22:44:33, Sun	INBOX	
2016-10-16 22:44:57, Sun	INBOX	
2016-10-16 22:45:09, Sun	OUTBOX	
2016-10-16 22:45:28, Sun	INBOX	
2016-10-16 22:45:51, Sun	OUTBOX	
2016-10-16 22:47:21, Sun	INBOX	
2016-10-16 22:50:15, Sun	OUTBOX	
2016-10-16 22:51:59, Sun	INBOX	
2016-10-16 23:25:28, Sun	OUTBOX	Nostalgia for the Grace of George H.W. Bush http://nyti.ms/2e6GssP
2016-10-17 13:03:32, Mon	INBOX	Thbtbtbtbt. Really? \U0001f618\n\nBill just filled 10:00 AM fire drill time with DAD interviews. \U0001f612\n\r
2016-10-17 14:11:52, Mon	OUTBOX	

2016-10-17 14:27:08, Mon	INBOX	Rgr. Doing interviews thru the alarms. ...
2016-10-17 14:27:32, Mon	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-17 15:01:01, Mon	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-17 15:02:06, Mon	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-17 15:03:08, Mon	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-17 20:43:23, Mon	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-17 20:44:44, Mon	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-17 23:07:45, Mon	INBOX	I worry they're written for non-lawyers by lawyers, and reviewed by lawyers. \n\nDon't forget how dumb we are.
2016-10-17 23:07:59, Mon	INBOX	There's some exclamation points there!\n\nHaving some concerns about these TPs, especially if they're leaked....
2016-10-17 23:08:31, Mon	INBOX	Sorry, order of last two texts flipped
2016-10-17 23:09:23, Mon	OUTBOX	Well they are for public disem, so we will have to read them in that light.\n\nI'm certainly worried about your dumb brethren not understanding the nuance, but what's the leak worry?
2016-10-17 23:09:55, Mon	OUTBOX	You know who would be good to review and put them in dumb speak? Stephen Kelly. Lots of years dealing with dummies.
2016-10-17 23:12:10, Mon	INBOX	I think we need to expand the other sections. In some cases, they don't adequately convey our reasoning behind decisions
2016-10-17 23:12:29, Mon	OUTBOX	Then let's do it.
2016-10-17 23:13:57, Mon	INBOX	Let's. Need to get home and will take a crack at it.
2016-10-17 23:14:11, Mon	OUTBOX	I need to print this tomorrow! Important.
2016-10-17 23:35:16, Mon	INBOX	It's ok, you've got that bg bonus coming....oh, wait, no. Thats for the people there for more than a year. Like [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]
2016-10-17 23:36:24, Mon	INBOX	They drink in London.
2016-10-17 23:37:06, Mon	OUTBOX	I especially like drinking in London. I will be the official food and beverage tour guide.
2016-10-17 23:41:54, Mon	INBOX	Can blue collar Budweiser guys like me and Jon afford your taste?
2016-10-17 23:45:11, Mon	OUTBOX	On per diem you can! ;)

2016-10-17 23:47:23, Mon	INBOX	Ha. I have made poor career decisions for sure. Can I go to London and not talk about any of this stuff that I'm incredibly sick of thinking and talking about? Maybe do a dramatic poetry reading or something instead?
2016-10-17 23:49:56, Mon	INBOX	...or better yet...maybe I can just carry the bags for a DAD and wait outside?
2016-10-17 23:52:52, Mon	INBOX	No. You will be [REDACTED] the Mysterious Mute Election Analyst. Just grunt and shake your head up and down or left and right. \n\nGet that carnie vibe going
2016-10-17 23:53:13, Mon	OUTBOX	I thought I was the official bag carrier!
2016-10-17 23:55:22, Mon	INBOX	I'll take one handle of the bag and you take the other.
2016-10-17 23:58:22, Mon	INBOX	I'm seriously thinking one of us needs to host election night party.
2016-10-18 00:00:22, Tue	OUTBOX	I nominate [REDACTED]
2016-10-18 00:02:35, Tue	INBOX	I'll probably have to write talking points (likely for CyD) so I won't be able to make it.
2016-10-18 00:05:39, Tue	OUTBOX	You don't have to write talking points for Cyber if they don't tell you about the tasking!
2016-10-18 00:09:59, Tue	INBOX	Word.
2016-10-18 00:28:47, Tue	INBOX	CyD prepping for election day on November 35th....
2016-10-18 00:30:40, Tue	INBOX	Fine, fine, was an SNL joke.\n\nhttp://youtu.be/qVMW_1aZXRk
2016-10-18 00:50:24, Tue	INBOX	Hi. This is literally slowing to a crawl since I have a months long thread. I should delete it but I don't wanna....
2016-10-18 00:50:51, Tue	INBOX	Plus it'll take 5 minutes to delete. Suppose I could imsg. \U0001f60a
2016-10-18 00:51:03, Tue	OUTBOX	I honestly don't think the size is what slows it. These phones just suck.
2016-10-18 00:52:22, Tue	INBOX	To be fair, the thread goes back to Aug 23 \U0001f636\uU0001f636\uU0001f636\uU0001f636
2016-10-18 00:53:43, Tue	OUTBOX	I think mine might be April. I just don't want to make the effort to find out.
2016-10-18 09:54:35, Tue	INBOX	Sigh. I've got to get going and get stuff to Bill before [REDACTED] \U0001f612
2016-10-18 09:55:00, Tue	INBOX	You ever hear back from Jim or Trisha on TPs?
2016-10-18 10:00:24, Tue	OUTBOX	Yes. [REDACTED] said they would read it and provide comments this am.
2016-10-18 10:12:13, Tue	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-18 11:39:38, Tue	INBOX	[REDACTED]

2016-10-18 11:40:19, Tue	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-18 13:10:47, Tue	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-18 13:36:36, Tue	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-18 13:38:03, Tue	INBOX	Np. Need 10 min...
2016-10-18 16:29:13, Tue	INBOX	Hey I've gotta go now because I have no break after 1. I'm bringing back, let me know if you want me to get you something
2016-10-18 21:08:02, Tue	OUTBOX	Need to call [REDACTED] first.
2016-10-18 22:32:59, Tue	INBOX	Me too. Walked into office, Jon and Bill were there....really want to talk to you now...\U0001f636
2016-10-18 22:44:33, Tue	OUTBOX	And I found my official passport...
2016-10-18 22:46:23, Tue	INBOX	Yay! Still valid?
2016-10-18 22:46:50, Tue	OUTBOX	Yup! Exactly a year to go...
2016-10-18 23:29:36, Tue	OUTBOX	How late you think you are going to be there? [REDACTED]
2016-10-18 23:30:25, Tue	INBOX	Just left
2016-10-18 23:37:25, Tue	OUTBOX	No, not necessarily. Just thought by your mye description that you might be there a while.
2016-10-18 23:39:26, Tue	INBOX	No I gave up, will re engage tomorrow. Brought home TPs to review. [REDACTED]
2016-10-19 00:29:20, Wed	OUTBOX	A little heavy-handed, but still, kind of incredible.\n\nHow do we respond to threats after our endorsement?\nhttp://www.azcentral.com/story/opinion/2016/10/16/publisher-response-to-threats-after-republic-endorsement-clinton-trump/92058964/
2016-10-19 00:45:08, Wed	INBOX	Can you talk? We absolutely must expedite. It was submitted as an expedite. I had to email as much. What the fuck is going on?
2016-10-19 01:22:17, Wed	INBOX	[REDACTED] \n\nThe only thing i objected to was the he said - she said comment. There is no ambiguity about who's right on this current point, and I furious at doj for suggesting otherwise - and we need to call them on it
2016-10-19 09:55:26, Wed	INBOX	You see Tim Cook made the list of potential HRC running mates?
2016-10-19 09:56:05, Wed	OUTBOX	Gross, no.
2016-10-19 09:57:48, Wed	INBOX	It was a big list, but still, he was on there. From Podesta email.

2016-10-19 10:04:26, Wed	INBOX	\u201cI look for . . . well, it\u2019s called racial profiling. Mexicans. Syrians. People who can\u2019t speak American,\u201d he said. \u201cI\u2019m going to go right up behind them. I\u2019ll do everything legally. I want to see if they are accountable. I\u2019m not going to do anything illegal. I\u2019m going to make them a little bit nervous.\u201d\n\nTrump\u2019s supporters talk rebellion, assassination at his rallies - The Boston Globe\nhttp://www.bostonglobe.com/news/politics/2016/10/15/donald-trump-warnings-conspiracy-rig-election-are-stoking-anger-among-his-followers/LcCY6e0QOcfH8VdeK9UdsM/story.html?p1=Article_Trending_Most_Viewed
2016-10-19 11:54:12, Wed	INBOX	It's [REDACTED] Briefed up Bill with that and dates. He will provide to Andy.
2016-10-19 13:04:19, Wed	INBOX	Came up with election night plan - we should all hit HH somewhere. Figure this damn thing better be called early. \U0001f612\n\nYou watching the debate tonight? [REDACTED]
2016-10-19 13:04:50, Wed	OUTBOX	That's a good plan.
2016-10-19 13:04:59, Wed	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-19 20:18:08, Wed	OUTBOX	DAG approved. I told [REDACTED] already to stand by for one edit. Am going to go tell andy now.
2016-10-19 20:23:06, Wed	OUTBOX	Might be a minute past 4:30 because I am waiting for Andy to leave d office to tell him.
2016-10-19 20:31:29, Wed	OUTBOX	Yeah. But still waiting for Andy.
2016-10-20 00:34:16, Thu	INBOX	[REDACTED] You got a bonus from MYE.
2016-10-20 00:37:04, Thu	INBOX	Just write, look, I'm supposed to get some small amount, [REDACTED] or something I, for MYE. Plus some time off. Then do 4-5 days. \n\nPlease. I insist. I'll make up the \$ in per diem in London...
2016-10-20 00:54:15, Thu	INBOX	You gotta watch the debates....
2016-10-20 00:57:07, Thu	OUTBOX	I'm not watching. I honestly don't want to. It is not worth the stress to me.
2016-10-20 01:15:12, Thu	INBOX	[REDACTED] I cannot believe what I am hearing.
2016-10-20 01:15:44, Thu	INBOX	I am riled up. Trump is a fucking idiot, is unable to provide a coherent answer.
2016-10-20 01:16:28, Thu	OUTBOX	Please. I honestly don't want to know.
2016-10-20 01:20:41, Thu	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] It's not worth your stress either. [REDACTED]

Strzok-Page Texts

2016-10-20 01:22:36, Thu	INBOX	I CAN'T PULL AWAY. WHAT THE FUCK HAPPENED TO OUR COUNTRY, LIS?!?!?!?
2016-10-20 01:23:08, Thu	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-20 01:24:19, Thu	OUTBOX	I don't know. But we'll get it back. We're America. We rock.
2016-10-20 01:28:22, Thu	INBOX	Donald just said "bad hombres"\n\n\U0001f612
2016-10-20 01:30:00, Thu	INBOX	Chris Wallace is a turd
2016-10-20 01:30:02, Thu	INBOX	Hillary: Russia and WikiLeaks and highest levels of Russian Government and Putin!!!\n\nDrink!!!!
2016-10-20 01:31:15, Thu	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] No.
2016-10-20 01:32:40, Thu	INBOX	Oh hot damn. HRC is throwing down saying Trump in bed with russia
2016-10-20 01:49:01, Thu	INBOX	Sigh. I'm sorry. Just don't turn on this goddamn debate.
2016-10-20 01:49:29, Thu	OUTBOX	There's no chance.
2016-10-20 01:50:30, Thu	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] what is watching going to accomplish? [REDACTED] [REDACTED] You can read about it in the morning. Even watch clips if you must. [REDACTED]
2016-10-20 01:50:50, Thu	INBOX	She could do SO MUCH BETTER \n\nBut she's just not getting traction. \n\n\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621
2016-10-20 01:51:20, Thu	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-20 01:52:13, Thu	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] You DO have control over this anger and frustration.
2016-10-20 02:02:43, Thu	INBOX	Maybe. I have to watch this. \n\nAnd I'm so damn mad, Lisa. \n\nAnd disgusted. And disappointed.
2016-10-20 02:12:37, Thu	INBOX	Trump just said what the fbi did is disgraceful
2016-10-20 09:56:27, Thu	INBOX	Hi. Watching the post-debate commentary. Vaguely satisfying to see Megyn Kelley (who had botox and looks HORRIBLE) utterly going after Trump.
2016-10-20 10:57:57, Thu	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] Our prep for Andy is at 9. [REDACTED]
2016-10-20 15:19:55, Thu	OUTBOX	Have to go down and talk to andy probably around 12:30. Probably only have time for lunch around here. Maybe tomorrow...
2016-10-20 17:00:41, Thu	INBOX	Noon tomorrow for call with seniors. Mikes office

Strzok-Page Texts

2016-10-20 20:42:35, Thu	INBOX	And hey can we talk on the 302 stuff? Bill has me doing a bunch of stuff that may be duplicative of what you may have asked [REDACTED] And/or I can get him to provide the data to Bill. Thanks
2016-10-20 22:34:52, Thu	INBOX	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] Reading the 302 attachment I mentioned earlier. Yeah its a bit nflammatory, but nothing more than what's already apparent. And drags in Rybicki, Giuliano and Giacalone (none in a bad way)
2016-10-20 22:48:11, Thu	INBOX	Also makes me certain that we need to go through the whole damn file. I'm happy to help.
2016-10-20 22:59:33, Thu	OUTBOX	Please have someone make one big binder of all the material. We'll split it up.
2016-10-20 23:07:29, Thu	INBOX	Will do. Whole case, starting with 302s?' [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
2016-10-21 00:02:32, Fri	INBOX	Maybe. \n\nI mentioned to Bill, he wants to sit in, mentioned he wouldn't say anything....
2016-10-21 00:03:17, Fri	OUTBOX	I'll talk to andy/kortan tomorrow.
2016-10-21 00:11:07, Fri	INBOX	A) ok. Dont really want Bill there but i get it. [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
2016-10-21 11:35:25, Fri	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-21 16:01:26, Fri	INBOX	Yay. \u263a Talking with Mike. May be hard to check this with [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] in here....
2016-10-21 16:18:40, Fri	OUTBOX	You sound a little defensive. Bring your voice down a notch.
2016-10-21 16:22:15, Fri	OUTBOX	But correct Mike, we didn't make the decision re prosecution.
2016-10-21 16:22:43, Fri	INBOX	;) done
2016-10-21 16:29:35, Fri	OUTBOX	We don't know but that's is not our job.
2016-10-21 16:29:48, Fri	OUTBOX	That is not a crime that we can prosecute.
2016-10-21 16:44:39, Fri	OUTBOX	Don't answer that. You can't defend the d
2016-10-21 16:45:05, Fri	INBOX	I've got it
2016-10-21 16:54:20, Fri	OUTBOX	Yeah but the d has done that. Chaffetz texts him directly.
2016-10-21 16:57:44, Fri	INBOX	;) passed that for ya

2016-10-21 17:03:35, Fri	OUTBOX	Be careful...
2016-10-21 20:34:54, Fri	INBOX	Yep. I'm out, dropping stuff at ofc
2016-10-21 20:37:10, Fri	OUTBOX	Mine? I need to unlock then.
2016-10-21 20:42:07, Fri	INBOX	My office. Headed to elevator now
2016-10-21 22:38:27, Fri	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-21 22:46:17, Fri	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-21 22:49:05, Fri	INBOX	Also, work-wise, [REDACTED] called b/c Toscas now aware NY has hrc-huma emails via weiner invest. Told him we knew. Wanted to know our thoughts on getting it.\n\nGeorge wanted to ensure info got to Andy. I told Bill.
2016-10-21 22:49:51, Fri	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-21 22:54:57, Fri	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-21 22:55:10, Fri	OUTBOX	I'm sure Andy is aware, but whatever.
2016-10-23 18:49:08, Sun	OUTBOX	One sec, andy calling.
2016-10-23 18:55:00, Sun	OUTBOX	Christ.
2016-10-23 18:55:06, Sun	OUTBOX	He just called.
2016-10-23 18:55:24, Sun	OUTBOX	Let me know when you can imsg. Not for here.
2016-10-23 18:55:28, Sun	INBOX	Wha?
2016-10-23 20:54:08, Sun	OUTBOX	Dd 100% supportive re your idea re [REDACTED] Was grateful for the suggestion. I'm sorry I couldn't give you credit.
2016-10-23 20:54:55, Sun	OUTBOX	He's also going to tell the Eads in the am, and call bill tonight, who is supposed to call you, so you can call [REDACTED] given the PC crap from back when.
2016-10-23 20:55:09, Sun	OUTBOX	I know, but I meant with him. It was a very smart idea.
2016-10-24 00:00:15, Mon	OUTBOX	Article is out, but hidden behind paywall so can't read it.
2016-10-24 00:04:32, Mon	OUTBOX	Huh?
2016-10-24 00:02:22, Mon	INBOX	Wsj? Boy, that was fast.\n\nNo word from Bill. Should I "find" it and tell the team?
2016-10-24 00:03:38, Mon	OUTBOX	No, I think not. Maybe he didn't get a chance, or bill decided not to say anything until tomorrow.

2016-10-24 00:04:04, Mon	INBOX	Not behind a pay wall. I need to send
2016-10-24 00:04:59, Mon	INBOX	The article is not behind a paywall We get several hours of warning about every other email, but not this, arguably more important than most...
2016-10-24 00:06:30, Mon	OUTBOX	Jesus Pete. Then fine. Send it to everyone you know. Or I can not tell you about it at all and you can just come across it given all the time you spend reading the Journal. \U0001f621
2016-10-24 00:06:50, Mon	OUTBOX	What difference does it make to send it to the team Sunday night vs monday morning?
2016-10-24 00:07:37, Mon	OUTBOX	Thanks dude. Appreciate it. \U0001f621
2016-10-24 00:08:15, Mon	INBOX	Or I can get it like I do every other article that hits my Google news alert. Seriously.
2016-10-24 00:09:00, Mon	OUTBOX	Send me the Google alert.
2016-10-24 00:10:31, Mon	INBOX	Give me a break. Go look at EVERY article I've sent the team. Count them. Then count every Godd*mn heads up I get from Kortan and [REDACTED] but NOT this one. Then tell me I should sit on THIS one and let them hear from someone else. You're not being fair about this.
2016-10-24 00:12:17, Mon	INBOX	I really cannot believe you're taking this position and it angers me. I'm going to hope your anger about Andy and [REDACTED] getting dragged into this is clouding things.
2016-10-24 00:12:28, Mon	OUTBOX	I AM being fair about this. I asked you not to. I don't care that OPA sucks. 1) This is about trust, and 2) WHAT THE F DIFFERENCE DOES IT MAKE TO ANYONE ON THE TEAM? Is there some investigative step to take? Some mitigation measure?
2016-10-24 00:13:02, Mon	INBOX	IT'S ON THE INTERNET!!!!!!
2016-10-24 00:13:26, Mon	OUTBOX	WHICH YOU ONLY KNOW ABOUT BECAUSE I TOLD YOU IT THERE.
2016-10-24 00:14:12, Mon	OUTBOX	Good, then we can both be angry.
2016-10-24 00:14:24, Mon	INBOX	I want people who worked this reading it online before people read it first and ask them about it. I want them forewarned. Fine so I see it in an hour? When I open the paper WSJ tomorrow? That's somehow different and OK?
2016-10-24 00:15:27, Mon	OUTBOX	Yes. Opening the paper tomorrow is not the same as 30 seconds after it fucking posted.
2016-10-24 00:16:05, Mon	INBOX	You told me it was there 30 minutes after it went up. Why are you angry? Because it's critical of Andy? That's even MORE reason to get the word out. And how dare you accuse me of violating trust!?!? I give you candid advice for which I will tell no one and get no credit because I care about him and the FBI. How dare you!

2016-10-24 00:17:24, Mon	OUTBOX	Forewarned to do what? Because moffa or bill or [REDACTED] are going to spread it around town? So what, you sending TPs to fucking [REDACTED] so that he is forewarned and knows what the truth is? Because <u>without that your claim is just bullshit.</u>
2016-10-24 00:19:13, Mon	OUTBOX	This has nothing to do with Andy. I asked you to wait until it came to you. You would have had no idea any of this was out there but for me. And I already thanked you for your excellent advice. Just a tip, it's not the way to engender good will by doing a good deed then rubbing a fucking nose in it.
2016-10-24 00:20:29, Mon	INBOX	Why do I send noteworthy articles at all, Lisa? Should I wait on all of those? Should I treat ones accusing the FBI of stuff specially? Hold those? \n\nYou never have had a SINGLE issue with my promptness before. Typically, you appreciate it. This in no way points to you. \n\nI'm so angry you'd accuse me of violating trust! God! That hurts and I try exceedingly hard to honor that in every aspect of my life and I certainly did here.
2016-10-24 00:21:05, Mon	INBOX	Lisa I'm not rubbing your nose in it! I'm telling you your betrayal of trust line is wrong and out of bounds.
2016-10-24 00:21:47, Mon	INBOX	I will ALWAYS give you my honest good advice and opinion without any regard to what credit I get. I KNOW you go out of your way to credit me whenever you can.
2016-10-24 00:22:17, Mon	INBOX	Again, why are you so damn angry? It was posted at 740!
2016-10-24 00:22:22, Mon	OUTBOX	Show me your Google alert.
2016-10-24 00:22:39, Mon	OUTBOX	You don't know to go look for this one but for me.
2016-10-24 00:26:14, Mon	INBOX	It hasn't hit Google yet! I'll send it when it happens. Maybe 5 min, maybe 2 hours. \n\nBut the article was up a good 30 minutes before you told me. \n\nAre you upset because you thinks this points to you? Because if I waited that (20 minutes 2 hours whatever) time it would be OK? \n\nWhat is it that's making you so angry? Because I simply don't get it.
2016-10-24 00:27:59, Mon	OUTBOX	Yeah, and I told you a grand total of 2 minutes after I learned it was up from andy. And you sent it 5 minutes after that. And if you actually read your texts instead of desperately trying to be first, you'll see that us said no, I don't think you should send it.
2016-10-24 00:29:24, Mon	OUTBOX	I shouldn't have to explain all of this. You knew it was there NOT because of your Google alert, which is totally fair game, but because of ME. I don't know why any of this is so hard to understand.
2016-10-24 00:29:29, Mon	INBOX	And god, I'm not trying to engender goodwill by doing a good job. I didn't rub your nose in it.

2016-10-24 00:31:47, Mon	INBOX	I know that, and haven't said otherwise. \n\nNone of this is hard to understand, which is why I'm asking you why you're so damn angry at this. LITERALLY EVERY OTHER SIGNIFICANT ARTICLE we've treated this way. And the article was out for half an hour. My sending it in no way points to you. \n\nThe fact that everyone is treating this so hush hush differently is part of the problem.
2016-10-24 00:33:27, Mon	INBOX	And I can only take your lack of response to my fury at being accused of betraying your trust as either equal fury on your part or an acknowledgement that you were wrong about that. \n\nI don't treat your trust lightly or carelessly, Lisa. Don't so lightly accuse me of doing so.
2016-10-24 00:34:09, Mon	OUTBOX	I'm done talking about this. No one is treating it all hush hush. Could you stop already? This has nothing to do with the case or the investigative team, and is all about trying to smear a public servant. So how about you withhold judgment about what is actually going on.
2016-10-24 00:34:59, Mon	OUTBOX	I believe you betrayed my trust. If I thought I was wrong or had made a mistake, I would just say so, thanks.
2016-10-24 09:58:44, Mon	INBOX	Sent you two good articles I doubt our press roundup will pick up.
2016-10-24 10:01:30, Mon	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-24 10:05:50, Mon	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-24 10:09:15, Mon	INBOX	[REDACTED] Was that on a bu website, or did you hear about it word of mouth?\n\n(and yes I'm truly interested in all this stuff at the same time I'm trying to find footing)
2016-10-24 10:19:21, Mon	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-24 10:23:40, Mon	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-24 10:40:40, Mon	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-24 10:41:35, Mon	INBOX	And it's above the fold. Jerks.
2016-10-24 10:41:55, Mon	INBOX	I wonder how Devin got a hold of the story. I have theories.
2016-10-24 10:42:42, Mon	INBOX	And I don't want to waste time today on the stupid argument last night. [REDACTED]
2016-10-24 10:46:23, Mon	INBOX	And Devlin is saying, not implying there's a connection here, but look at the timing - server, then meeting, then case, then funding. The point we need to highlight isn't the March date of discovery of the server - because to us, who cares? - it's the date anyone realized there was classified on there.

2016-10-24 11:13:01, Mon	INBOX	A) He did. And he's right. \n\nB) I agree we can't just drop it where it is - I just hate that this case is so all-consuming and I'm so tired of it and [REDACTED] [REDACTED] C) yeah me too. Just got out. [REDACTED] \n\nAny word as to timing of election brief to D?
2016-10-24 11:17:26, Mon	OUTBOX	No, shitty ass [REDACTED] still hasn't sent the invite out. I hate her.
2016-10-24 11:53:27, Mon	INBOX	And talking to Moffa now, need to talk to you before you talk to him
2016-10-24 12:01:15, Mon	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-24 12:03:27, Mon	INBOX	Yes of course. Just don't talk to Moffa before
2016-10-24 18:23:19, Mon	INBOX	Sorry Bill is hell on keeping on schedule
2016-10-24 18:23:45, Mon	INBOX	And then Scott ambushes me \U0001f612\U0001f612\U0001f612\U0001f612
2016-10-24 18:35:49, Mon	OUTBOX	Going to go talk to [REDACTED] Hit me here.
2016-10-24 18:36:52, Mon	INBOX	Rgr. On the IA? [REDACTED] ? I have info on the first.
2016-10-24 18:47:14, Mon	OUTBOX	The second. Have more Qs for you too.
2016-10-24 19:16:04, Mon	INBOX	Need to talk to you alone before 345
2016-10-25 00:46:57, Tue	OUTBOX	I hate this case. \U0001f621
2016-10-25 00:54:08, Tue	OUTBOX	Nothing more. Just all of it.
2016-10-25 00:55:02, Tue	OUTBOX	I asked Jim and Trisha to meet tomorrow morning. Please let me just meet with them alone. Please.
2016-10-25 00:59:55, Tue	INBOX	Sure
2016-10-25 01:00:51, Tue	INBOX	I'm still angry at them over this stupid [REDACTED]
2016-10-25 01:01:23, Tue	OUTBOX	But I thought our ogc had your back?
2016-10-25 01:03:09, Tue	INBOX	Whatever. Did get a warm fuzy from Trisha. A little too reticent to say DOD was WAY out of line
2016-10-25 01:04:34, Tue	INBOX	Too much to explain here. \n\nJim and Trisha talking about shielding the one / any 302s?
2016-10-25 01:05:38, Tue	OUTBOX	I haven't talked to them about it at all. Didn't want to go into it here.
2016-10-25 01:15:30, Tue	OUTBOX	Hey George T called me tonight about the mye letter they are getting ready to send out. Remind me I need to ask you something. Tomorrow is fine.

2016-10-25 01:15:49, Tue	INBOX	Np. Just cranky at them for bad choices about [REDACTED]
2016-10-25 01:16:09, Tue	INBOX	Letter to who? And sure. You can also imsg me
2016-10-25 01:17:02, Tue	OUTBOX	Congress. Too hard to write.
2016-10-25 01:18:34, Tue	INBOX	Got it. F them.
2016-10-25 01:20:09, Tue	OUTBOX	Got the email. That's great. Let me get as much as I can to tell dd tomorrow, at least give him some good news.
2016-10-25 01:21:50, Tue	INBOX	Ok, though we have prebrief (maybe) at 10?
2016-10-25 01:22:15, Tue	OUTBOX	Whenever works.
2016-10-25 01:22:27, Tue	OUTBOX	And not critical. Just something to distract.
2016-10-25 01:47:05, Tue	OUTBOX	Thanks for the note to Andy. \u2764
2016-10-25 01:56:23, Tue	INBOX	Ok, no?
2016-10-25 08:32:12, Tue	OUTBOX	Christ. Make sure you scroll down and read that guy's comment about the polls.\n\nDonald Trump Dismisses Latest Accuser: \u2018Oh, I\u2019m Sure She\u2019s Never Been Grabbed Before\u2019 http://nyti.ms/2eyZhVL
2016-10-25 11:34:46, Tue	INBOX	Oh, I'm calling High Confidence.\n\nI am soooo late. Just sent Bob an email that I'd be late. \U0001f612
2016-10-25 11:36:12, Tue	OUTBOX	Why so late?
2016-10-25 11:55:09, Tue	INBOX	So Andy didn't respond. ...I'm sure busy /full mailbox /maybe not wanting email trail...still, have a tiny concern he took it poorly. Please let me know if you hear anything along those lines
2016-10-25 11:56:11, Tue	OUTBOX	Of course I will. I'm sure he did not.
2016-10-25 12:57:56, Tue	INBOX	Remind me to tell you my slightly cranky at Trisha yesterday story
2016-10-25 16:11:39, Tue	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] stood me.up!
2016-10-25 18:10:58, Tue	OUTBOX	And I officially just asked my boss about London. He's good. \U0001f60a
2016-10-25 18:11:25, Tue	INBOX	Were you with him when he called Bill? I was here....
2016-10-25 21:37:53, Tue	OUTBOX	Hey, you should not wait. I caught randy, he 100% wants [REDACTED] and cd there. Now waiting for andy.
2016-10-25 21:55:53, Tue	OUTBOX	I'm with andy and kortan.
2016-10-25 23:29:33, Tue	INBOX	Was talking to Bill, rehashed rehashed the the whole cyber ddp talk. Looked at their (horrible) slides

2016-10-25 23:29:51, Tue	INBOX	\U0001f636 well, yay!
2016-10-25 23:30:39, Tue	INBOX	He didn't mention the [REDACTED] brief tomorrow - did he tell you who of us he wanted to go?
2016-10-25 23:31:00, Tue	OUTBOX	You jon [REDACTED]
2016-10-25 23:32:30, Tue	INBOX	Cool. \n\nAnd I just blocked out London on my calendar. \U0001f60a\U0001f60a\U0001f60a\U0001f60a
2016-10-25 23:33:03, Tue	OUTBOX	So should I officially have [REDACTED] move my trip?
2016-10-25 23:33:47, Tue	INBOX	I need to tell Bill
2016-10-25 23:34:18, Tue	INBOX	But yes, let me get confirmation from legat Brits can do it, and if so, then I would
2016-10-26 11:24:10, Wed	INBOX	And you, egging Jon on...you knew I had those dates! ;)
2016-10-26 11:25:34, Wed	OUTBOX	Wasn't thinking about it when I emailed, still had a foggy brain.
2016-10-26 11:29:47, Wed	OUTBOX	What Drives Donald Trump? Fear of Losing Status, Tapes Show http://nyti.ms/2eHQZJs
2016-10-26 11:30:03, Wed	OUTBOX	I see. I only caught the last 30 seconds or so.
2016-10-26 11:39:00, Wed	OUTBOX	Let's talk about this later.\n\n\u2018We Need to Clean This Up\u2019: Clinton Aide\u2019s Newly Public Email Shows Concern http://nyti.ms/2dG6Zal
2016-10-26 11:55:50, Wed	INBOX	it's true! Plus, you were playing all too col for school. \U0001f60a\n\nYeah, I saw that...happy to discuss.
2016-10-26 11:56:34, Wed	INBOX	Stupid CIRG amateur hour
2016-10-26 13:06:46, Wed	INBOX	Hit piece on Andy from VA GOP in Hampton newspaper
2016-10-26 13:13:47, Wed	OUTBOX	That sucks. I can talk btw.
2016-10-26 14:37:04, Wed	OUTBOX	I'm here. Talking to [REDACTED]
2016-10-26 14:37:29, Wed	INBOX	Your office?
2016-10-26 14:37:42, Wed	INBOX	Can/should I stop by?
2016-10-26 15:37:00, Wed	OUTBOX	Walking back now.
2016-10-26 19:21:46, Wed	OUTBOX	Hey sorry. Was in with andy, now talking WITH [REDACTED] I missed the call, right?
2016-10-26 20:31:29, Wed	INBOX	Got it. Bill moved wrap to 430 but he's late

2016-10-26 20:40:10, Wed	INBOX	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
2016-10-26 21:50:15, Wed	OUTBOX	Gotta go check scion
2016-10-26 22:03:00, Wed	OUTBOX	Okay, I'm going to leave now. Call you from the car to talk about Chaffetz and current issue.
2016-10-26 22:37:45, Wed	INBOX	Don't forget we have [REDACTED] chat with Bill tomorrow at 10 (same time Jason proposed)
2016-10-26 23:37:56, Wed	INBOX	Sigh \n\nLike [REDACTED] going tdy to head up [REDACTED]
2016-10-26 23:38:56, Wed	OUTBOX	Wow, really? That's news to me.
2016-10-26 23:43:55, Wed	OUTBOX	It's not that exactly. Long story, but we're in a real bind re the [REDACTED] And plus, if it's just tdy...
2016-10-26 23:45:17, Wed	INBOX	Bill went through the open to the community bit. But not [REDACTED] ?
2016-10-26 23:46:15, Wed	INBOX	I shouldn't complain, I guess, if it ultimately opens [REDACTED]
2016-10-26 23:46:20, Wed	OUTBOX	Beats me. But why are you complaining? [REDACTED] [REDACTED] Plus just tdy, right?
2016-10-26 23:46:37, Wed	OUTBOX	It's not good for you to have wfo open now.
2016-10-26 23:49:06, Wed	INBOX	Just grumbling. Because [REDACTED] should be tdying in hrd or training or something. Just don't think much of him....
2016-10-26 23:50:43, Wed	INBOX	So. What made you cranky? Was it not seeing Andy/Eric/[REDACTED] stuff? Something at wrap? \n\nOr just because, no reason needed?
2016-10-26 23:53:05, Wed	OUTBOX	First your overshare re Andy with George, then coming home and [REDACTED] [REDACTED], yeah, just everything.
2016-10-27 00:00:00, Thu	INBOX	And i hooe you really dont see that as an overshare. Intention was to say we're moving it quickly. Truly it's minor - don't think it's somethig George wouldn't find out, and don't think it slow rolls them. I'll keep up the pressure. \n\nThe others stink, no question about it. I'm sorry
2016-10-27 00:06:05, Thu	INBOX	Interesting - one of the Podesta emails talks about him hosting Peter Kadzik at his house for dinner in Oct, 2015. And in May, 2015, [REDACTED] asked Podesta for a job on the campaign
2016-10-27 00:16:55, Thu	OUTBOX	Sorry, was on with andy, now have to deal with [REDACTED] Brb.
2016-10-27 00:18:58, Thu	OUTBOX	Hey, guess what. We're going to have a mye exam meeting tomorrow. Like old times...
2016-10-27 00:25:57, Thu	INBOX	Holy moly. Who?

Strzok-Page Texts

2016-10-27 00:26:40, Thu	INBOX	Was talking with Jen. Picking our SCs. I'm glad she got the job.
2016-10-27 00:26:54, Thu	OUTBOX	Announced today?
2016-10-27 00:27:22, Thu	OUTBOX	The whole band! We're back on tour!
2016-10-27 00:29:59, Thu	INBOX	Not announced, but they all know. Calls actually happened yesterday.\n\nAnd I feel (hopefully don't look as old) like Keith Richards. Andy joining via call? There's nothing classified....
2016-10-27 00:30:48, Thu	OUTBOX	Yes, he is.
2016-10-27 00:31:03, Thu	OUTBOX	Good. I saw her today, but didn't say anything.
2016-10-27 00:33:52, Thu	INBOX	Woohoo! It's a Banner Day. What special occasion outfit should I wear? ;)
2016-10-27 00:35:15, Thu	OUTBOX	No idea. But I did just realize that we all should have dressed up as classified emails for halloween.
2016-10-27 00:46:03, Thu	INBOX	Or blackberries. Or B1 redactions. Or sketchy big brown shaddy shit
2016-10-27 00:51:22, Thu	OUTBOX	That would be one needy halloween party.
2016-10-27 00:51:30, Thu	OUTBOX	Nerdy, not needy.
2016-10-27 09:33:52, Thu	OUTBOX	Buckle in...\n\nDonations to Foundation Vexed Hillary Clinton\u2019s Aides, Emails Show http://nyti.ms/2dK7eYx
2016-10-27 10:06:39, Thu	INBOX	Reading the article you sent
2016-10-27 10:45:07, Thu	INBOX	But, meetings! \n\nYou locked in for lunches today and tomorrow? wants to meet, can either do a 30 minute coffee or lunch.
2016-10-27 10:45:56, Thu	OUTBOX	Today is , tomorrow is trisha, so yes, I think so.
2016-10-27 10:47:21, Thu	INBOX	Sigh. Hopefully Trisha has something come up ..tomorrow would be an EXCELLENT day to get out
2016-10-27 14:26:02, Thu	OUTBOX	I obviously don't have to tell you how completely INFURIATED I am with Jim right now.
2016-10-27 14:42:59, Thu	OUTBOX	Did come up in any substantive way?
2016-10-27 15:12:47, Thu	INBOX	No. Will stop by with
2016-10-27 15:13:01, Thu	OUTBOX	Shocking.
2016-10-27 15:59:34, Thu	INBOX	Need to make a call to Toscas at 1:05.
2016-10-27 16:21:41, Thu	OUTBOX	In with kortan now.

2016-10-27 16:25:15, Thu	INBOX	Just spoke with JB...he relayed your convo...
2016-10-27 16:33:42, Thu	INBOX	D is going to call Andy, we should talk before then. Have you talked with Andy, particularly w/r/t what JB subsequently told me?
2016-10-27 16:36:11, Thu	OUTBOX	He already did.
2016-10-27 16:38:06, Thu	INBOX	JB told me there was no requirement to recuse you, that is was optics,we went round and round playing that out.
2016-10-27 18:03:22, Thu	OUTBOX	Please, let's figure out what it is we HAVE first. What if we can't make out PC? Then we have no further investigative step.
2016-10-27 18:05:29, Thu	INBOX	Agreed
2016-10-27 18:25:56, Thu	OUTBOX	Going to the scif, brb, in case you come by.
2016-10-27 19:01:23, Thu	INBOX	I've got a 345 with Bill..
2016-10-27 20:38:14, Thu	INBOX	K. There's stuff from discussion today we haven't covered but you have the big stuff. Will think and write down anything else
2016-10-27 21:08:18, Thu	INBOX	God I am aggravated. Call me...now have a 530 re-group.
2016-10-27 21:08:58, Thu	OUTBOX	On with Devlin still.
2016-10-27 21:09:48, Thu	INBOX	Hope it's going well...
2016-10-27 21:21:08, Thu	OUTBOX	I'm done.
2016-10-27 21:30:44, Thu	INBOX	I'm 20 feet from you and this feels unnatural.
2016-10-27 21:31:09, Thu	OUTBOX	I just walked in on Jim to force the issue. Me: "I'm not recused, but I'm not sitting in on this meeting."
2016-10-27 21:31:19, Thu	OUTBOX	He told me to just go chill out for a while, so that's what I'm doing.
2016-10-27 21:33:01, Thu	INBOX	That's good advice. [REDACTED]
2016-10-27 22:32:14, Thu	INBOX	In the hallway with everyone incl Jason, just thinking he's going to try and stop by
2016-10-27 22:33:39, Thu	OUTBOX	You should not come by. We should talk by phone.
2016-10-28 00:18:45, Fri	INBOX	Do you have George's cell?
2016-10-28 02:09:04, Fri	INBOX	I do now kinda love George
2016-10-28 02:10:06, Fri	OUTBOX	Glad to hear it. We should talk before your 7:30. Also sent a couple of things on gtown.

2016-10-28 02:46:34, Fri	INBOX	Of course. Let me know when. I'll be driving in by 7.\n\nStill conference calling, 1:15 in...
2016-10-28 03:11:49, Fri	OUTBOX	Conference call with whom? This late?
2016-10-28 03:13:23, Fri	INBOX	George David [REDACTED] Jon [REDACTED] \n\nYes. \n\nMany feelings. \U0001f612
2016-10-28 07:50:42, Fri	OUTBOX	Any plan to tell the case agents? You know, since so much of this has hinged on the credibility of "the team." \U0001f621
2016-10-28 14:11:26, Fri	INBOX	Never mind Conf call now
2016-10-28 14:31:36, Fri	OUTBOX	Frankly didn't want to. I don't need to be privy when I had no role in the decision.
2016-10-28 14:47:09, Fri	OUTBOX	I cancelled lunch with Trisha. I'm in no mood.
2016-10-28 17:19:06, Fri	OUTBOX	Still on with devlin. Mike's phone is ON FIRE.
2016-10-28 17:19:38, Fri	INBOX	It's on news
2016-10-28 17:29:58, Fri	INBOX	You may wanna tell Devlin he should turn on CNN , there's news going on ; (
2016-10-28 17:30:13, Fri	INBOX	Sorry ;)
2016-10-28 17:30:17, Fri	OUTBOX	He knows. He just got handed a note.
2016-10-28 17:33:54, Fri	INBOX	Ha. He asking about it now?
2016-10-28 17:34:44, Fri	OUTBOX	Yeah. It was pretty funny. Coming now.
2016-10-28 19:24:48, Fri	INBOX	News picked up Weiner source
2016-10-28 22:02:21, Fri	OUTBOX	Christ. It's there led on freaking MARKETPLACE.
2016-10-28 22:27:32, Fri	OUTBOX	Rybicki just called to check in. He very clearly 100% believes that Andy should be recused because of the "perception."
2016-10-28 22:30:57, Fri	INBOX	God. \U0001f621
2016-10-28 22:34:58, Fri	OUTBOX	Our statement affected the stock market. \U0001f621
2016-10-29 00:52:04, Sat	OUTBOX	Don't understand your email, if it's a matter similar to those we've been talking about lately, why no recusal before? Something different?
2016-10-29 01:21:48, Sat	INBOX	I assume McAuliffe picked up. But that doesn't make sense. \n\nHe said he was interviewing, maybe he's headed into private sector?
2016-10-29 02:05:35, Sat	INBOX	Talking to [REDACTED]
2016-10-29 02:06:47, Sat	INBOX	Can talk if you want. Not that that would make any sense.

2016-10-29 12:31:53, Sat	INBOX	About to hop on phone with [REDACTED] and Jon
2016-10-29 15:33:41, Sat	INBOX	Thbtbtbtbt. Can you work talk?
2016-10-29 15:41:31, Sat	OUTBOX	No. Going to brunch with [REDACTED]. I might miss the 1.
2016-10-29 15:44:11, Sat	INBOX	Oof. Hope you dont...actually, check that. I hope you have a nice time/break. I will fill you in if you miss it. Remind me later then about my convo with NYO
2016-10-29 18:32:46, Sat	OUTBOX	Hey is there a way to find out from sioc which numbers called in to the call? I'm concerned about all the beeps. I'm sure between the two of us, we could figure out what number folks were using.
2016-10-29 18:41:22, Sat	INBOX	Good thought. I'll ask.
2016-10-29 19:04:34, Sat	INBOX	Set up tomorrow for 12 people. Please check my math on that....
2016-10-29 19:11:40, Sat	INBOX	And they cannot see the number for who dialed in
2016-10-30 00:00:26, Sun	INBOX	K. About to eat, so good timing. I will set away to review affidavit afterwards.
2016-10-30 00:00:44, Sun	OUTBOX	Have you sent it around yet?
2016-10-30 00:29:27, Sun	INBOX	I did! You got it from me, right?
2016-10-30 00:57:39, Sun	INBOX	Hi. Excused myself from the table to read it. Have you looked at it?
2016-10-30 00:58:57, Sun	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-30 01:31:39, Sun	INBOX	In a basement study by myself working. \U0001f612\n\nSee, e.g., the email in just sent JB.
2016-10-30 04:03:27, Sun	INBOX	Going back up now to join the group... [REDACTED]
2016-10-30 04:04:23, Sun	OUTBOX	I'm sorry. There's no way you could have planned for any of this...
2016-10-30 04:05:45, Sun	INBOX	What are you doing up? I'm red lining. Want me to forward to you? It's sure to put you back to sleep
2016-10-30 04:14:25, Sun	OUTBOX	And god, poor [REDACTED] Seems like she's been working the entire day.
2016-10-30 13:50:53, Sun	INBOX	This is all Matt\n\nJustice officials warned FBI that Comey\u2019s decision to update Congress was not consistent with department policy - The Washington Post\n https://www.washingtonpost.com/world/national-security/justice-officials-warned-fbi-that-comes-decision-to-update-congress-was-not-consistent-with-department-policy/2016/10/29/cb179254-9de7-11e6-b3c9-f662adaa0048_story.html?hpid=hp_hp-top-table-main_campaignprint-810nm%3Ahomenage%2Estory

Strzok-Page Texts

2016-10-30 13:56:06, Sun	OUTBOX	Yeah, I saw it. Makes me feel WAY less bad about throwing him under the bus in the forthcoming [redacted] article.
2016-10-30 13:57:24, Sun	INBOX	Yep the whole tone is anti Bu. Just a tiny bit from us. And serves him right. He's gonna be pissed....
2016-10-30 15:10:36, Sun	INBOX	[redacted] [redacted] I'm heading in to JEH now
2016-10-30 15:11:41, Sun	OUTBOX	You going to ny?
2016-10-30 15:17:55, Sun	INBOX	No. Can review from here. From my office, even. [redacted] [redacted] [redacted] [redacted] [redacted] [redacted] [redacted] [redacted] [redacted] [redacted] [redacted] [redacted]
2016-10-30 17:27:31, Sun	OUTBOX	An article to share: FBI agents knew of Clinton-related emails weeks before director was briefed\nFBI agents knew of Clinton-related emails weeks before director was briefed\nhttp://wapo.st/2f2EhEO
2016-10-30 18:32:07, Sun	OUTBOX	Okay now I'm getting angry.
2016-10-30 19:06:48, Sun	INBOX	What - Dave's opening comments?
2016-10-30 19:30:47, Sun	OUTBOX	Sorry, utterly terrible day. I'm not sure I can identify one single redeeming thing about it.
2016-10-30 19:49:27, Sun	INBOX	Oh god [redacted] I'm sorry\n\nTalked to Bill he's leaning not telling Bill,he was going to call Baker. We need that Kortan info go to weigh in the decision.
2016-10-30 20:39:03, Sun	INBOX	Oh God. I'm sorry. Stupid f*cking election.
2016-10-30 21:13:57, Sun	INBOX	[redacted]
2016-10-30 21:14:45, Sun	OUTBOX	The bureau honestly doesn't deserve us.

2016-10-30 21:15:28, Sun	INBOX	As long as you'll hire me in 3 years, I'm fine....
2016-10-30 21:18:17, Sun	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] I'm concerned that there will surely be a holdover after the 9, if that's the case, I want to be there.
2016-10-30 21:18:31, Sun	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-30 21:19:37, Sun	OUTBOX	God, I'm so incredibly furious. I would just walk out and if I had anywhere to go. Let him deal with everything.
2016-10-30 21:26:07, Sun	INBOX	Yeah I think it would be helpful to have you here. [REDACTED] will be, too (I think).
2016-10-30 21:26:28, Sun	OUTBOX	For morning meeting?
2016-10-30 21:26:59, Sun	INBOX	You want to talk about it? I can imsg...
2016-10-30 21:27:26, Sun	INBOX	No, standing by after that's done in case they want to talk about it then
2016-10-30 21:30:33, Sun	INBOX	You can come hang out in 4012 with me [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]... \n\nI have remnants of Qdoba chips and salsa....
2016-10-30 22:11:22, Sun	INBOX	[REDACTED] told doj we have at least one classified. I left a vague vm for [REDACTED]
2016-10-30 22:38:59, Sun	INBOX	Connected w/ George
2016-10-30 23:06:03, Sun	INBOX	Great f*cking nyt...
2016-10-30 23:09:31, Sun	INBOX	You saw the authors. ...what that even means anymore, I don't know
2016-10-31 00:38:32, Mon	OUTBOX	Oh, but DB wants to be able to show he's Doing Something. \U0001f621
2016-10-31 00:53:02, Mon	INBOX	Yeah, I thought so. \n\nOk. I need to call Bryan at WFO (was talking with [REDACTED] and when he called earlier). Let me knock that out and will text here after that
2016-10-31 15:04:05, Mon	INBOX	Headed into 11....Bill stressed
2016-10-31 16:03:06, Mon	OUTBOX	Can't WITH j [REDACTED].
2016-10-31 16:05:28, Mon	INBOX	Any idea how it went? Not sure source of his info, but bill thought Andy adamant he wouldn't.
2016-10-31 16:54:13, Mon	INBOX	K. Bill said Andy mentioned pushing me until tomorrow.
2016-10-31 17:17:11, Mon	OUTBOX	That's the tentative plan.
2016-10-31 18:33:34, Mon	OUTBOX	Hey there. Want to meet with bill now or 4 better? Will need to leave right after if we keep it at 4 [REDACTED]
2016-10-31 22:07:15, Mon	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-10-31 23:43:55, Mon	INBOX	Hoo boy. Just talked to Lou. Remind me....

2016-11-01 00:14:54, Tue	OUTBOX	Great. Can't wait to hear his thoughts.
2016-11-01 00:16:25, Tue	INBOX	Oh, it wasn't his thoughts (though he supports Andy, btw) - its who and what people said/asked him. [REDACTED]
2016-11-01 00:16:49, Tue	INBOX	And remind me Bills comments about Mike vs Randy response this morning. ...
2016-11-01 00:17:02, Tue	OUTBOX	I want to know now. This is kind of sh*tty of you to hang out there.
2016-11-01 00:17:42, Tue	OUTBOX	Did you see the times this evening? Another Apuzzo/Schmidt special, all about the damn review process.
2016-11-01 00:18:50, Tue	INBOX	Sorry. \n\nAnd no...\U0001f621
2016-11-01 00:19:28, Tue	OUTBOX	You seriously can't tell me now? \U0001f612
2016-11-01 00:19:56, Tue	INBOX	Not on this. Imsg in a bit?
2016-11-01 00:20:16, Tue	OUTBOX	You can't just talk?
2016-11-01 00:20:47, Tue	INBOX	What's the article? I'm not in the cool kids club who gets a notification
2016-11-01 00:49:18, Tue	INBOX	Did you read the MJ article?!?!?!?
2016-11-01 00:58:17, Tue	INBOX	I'm sorry. \U0001f614\n\nThe article isn't nearly as important, obviously, but it's depression....
2016-11-01 13:06:23, Tue	INBOX	Hey have to go to meeting with Jon [REDACTED] right now
2016-11-01 13:09:07, Tue	OUTBOX	I'm on with [REDACTED] Sorry.
2016-11-01 13:21:02, Tue	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-11-01 16:57:29, Tue	OUTBOX	Just leaving his ofc now. He's going down to talk to the boss. It's not looking good.
2016-11-01 18:11:09, Tue	INBOX	Hey done. You around? Bill shared Andy's email
2016-11-01 18:11:39, Tue	OUTBOX	I'm waiting outside his ofc.
2016-11-01 18:29:15, Tue	INBOX	K. Let me know when you're free
2016-11-01 21:50:57, Tue	INBOX	Hey Bill wants to talk so I'm going to be out. He said it wouldn't take long but Dina and Jen will join so I truly have no idea. \n\nFor sure will be here until a little after 6. Call my desk if you want after that, I'll use it as an excuse to get up
2016-11-01 22:02:58, Tue	OUTBOX	I'm sorry just got out. Lots to share.

2016-11-01 23:05:28, Tue	INBOX	Figured out why they legally can't do what you just said. We are comparing against material (to determine what is new) that we obtained during the investigation that we cannot share with them.
2016-11-01 23:05:31, Tue	INBOX	Oh hey! Did you realize we affirmatively tweeted about the release of the wjc foundation? Yup! Let's take out the highlighter....
2016-11-01 23:25:15, Tue	OUTBOX	Yes! I heard about it at wrap. Utter complete disaster.
2016-11-01 23:27:04, Tue	INBOX	I mean, wtf?!?!?\n\nWe're getting crucified in the news. We should have waited. Did JR or anyone weigh in?
2016-11-01 23:27:59, Tue	OUTBOX	It was automatic. They got it back up and running two days ago. \U0001f612
2016-11-01 23:28:55, Tue	OUTBOX	I'll explain when we talk.
2016-11-01 23:29:10, Tue	OUTBOX	Oh and ruemmler called Jim b...
2016-11-02 00:29:32, Wed	INBOX	See email I just sent. Recommend you let the request (whatever form it ended up taking) trickle down, ther [REDACTED] and i will address
2016-11-02 00:31:35, Wed	INBOX	You CANNOT source me
2016-11-02 00:35:30, Wed	OUTBOX	I will. This makes me VERY angry.
2016-11-02 00:35:54, Wed	INBOX	Well, Jon and I agree
2016-11-02 00:36:23, Wed	OUTBOX	Yeah, wtf is Bill and Randy's plan?
2016-11-02 00:37:09, Wed	INBOX	Like I said, see Jon and ny convo. ...
2016-11-02 00:38:02, Wed	INBOX	And honestly, the other thing I'm thinking about? "The cat's away...."
2016-11-02 00:45:44, Wed	INBOX	This response, from Jon:\n...and considering we haven't shared any facts, those certainly aren't factoring into decisionmaking. We should essentially have no reason for contact with NYO going forward in this.
2016-11-02 00:46:17, Wed	OUTBOX	I know. Which is what I tried to impose.
2016-11-02 00:47:03, Wed	OUTBOX	God, this makes me very very angry. I honestly think I should bow out rather than find out things, be unable to tell Andy, and powerless to stop them.
2016-11-02 00:48:24, Wed	INBOX	No. Need you on the inside now more than ever. Truly. And no bs, your country needs you now. \n\nWe are going to have to be very wise about all of this.
2016-11-02 00:49:01, Wed	INBOX	The only thing wrong in your statement is your powerlessness
2016-11-02 00:49:09, Wed	OUTBOX	I am going to have to use Jim Baker a lot to get to the D. But I don't trust that he can convey details accurately!

2016-11-02 00:49:27, Wed	OUTBOX	I'm not sure I agree with you there.
2016-11-02 00:50:14, Wed	INBOX	Not just JB. And this is a finite thing. I want to be done before the inauguration.
2016-11-02 00:50:45, Wed	INBOX	You're wrong. Not the type of power you (or i) would prefer, but not powerless
2016-11-02 00:50:47, Wed	OUTBOX	Who else can I use?
2016-11-02 00:51:02, Wed	OUTBOX	JR? Don't think so.
2016-11-02 00:53:41, Wed	INBOX	I don't know. Trisha (to Mike) [REDACTED] \n\n And not just you. Me, Jon, [REDACTED] \n\nMe? Randy. My QT roommate.
2016-11-02 00:56:32, Wed	INBOX	We're going to make sure the right thing is done. \n\nYou underestimate my - our - enthusiasm and competence and motivation. \n\nYou can be worried. Take strength from me. Then add Jon and [REDACTED] and Bill and Jim onto that. It's gonna be ok
2016-11-02 00:57:54, Wed	OUTBOX	I know that you guys are. I have complete confidence in the team. You know that.
2016-11-02 01:02:14, Wed	INBOX	Our team.\n\nI do. I'm telling you to take comfort in that.
2016-11-02 01:06:21, Wed	OUTBOX	I just don't know.
2016-11-02 01:07:00, Wed	OUTBOX	And god, I just had a horrible realization: what if I can't talk to Andy about my back and forth about what to do?!
2016-11-02 01:07:33, Wed	INBOX	? What do you mean?
2016-11-02 01:08:52, Wed	OUTBOX	Baker said to me tonight that if Bowdich or Steinbach come to him about the leadership decision that he shouldn't discuss it with them because once he is out he shouldn't have discussions about any of it, even personnel.
2016-11-02 01:09:24, Wed	OUTBOX	Pete, I really think this isn't a good idea for me.
2016-11-02 01:13:31, Wed	INBOX	Lisa. The more I think about it, the more certain I am that it is.
2016-11-02 01:14:16, Wed	INBOX	Your conversations with Andy would be covered under atty privilege, no?
2016-11-02 01:14:53, Wed	OUTBOX	As I said to you the other day, and I meant, my country just might have to do without this time. I mean it.
2016-11-02 01:15:09, Wed	OUTBOX	I'm not sure I'm following your second.
2016-11-02 01:15:49, Wed	INBOX	Stop it\n\nYes, but give me 5
2016-11-02 01:16:29, Wed	OUTBOX	Jesus. Another article pushed by nyt on this.
2016-11-02 01:19:03, Wed	OUTBOX	Richman is a friend of Comey and baker. \U0001f612

2016-11-02 13:50:03, Wed	INBOX	Bill told Randy no.
2016-11-02 13:50:13, Wed	INBOX	:) yay Bill
2016-11-02 14:40:33, Wed	OUTBOX	No. Herring here. Stand by.
2016-11-02 21:04:40, Wed	INBOX	Hey big dummy you were supposed to stop by and unlock you computer so I could finish self assessment. ... \U0001f60a
2016-11-02 21:24:41, Wed	OUTBOX	I went back to back with mtg with andy to wrap.
2016-11-02 21:27:11, Wed	INBOX	Btw it took me staring at Kortan to out the info about the nyt \n\nAlso remind me re [REDACTED]
2016-11-02 21:27:17, Wed	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-11-02 21:27:44, Wed	OUTBOX	Huh? Not following your kortan comment. Talk to you later about it.
2016-11-02 21:28:34, Wed	OUTBOX	Gotta go back to kortan.
2016-11-02 21:30:33, Wed	OUTBOX	Do you know how to get a cached copy of the version of the article the times pushed out last night re Manafort and foundation?
2016-11-02 21:31:45, Wed	INBOX	How do you mean? An old version, or without showing current interest in nyt
2016-11-02 21:32:20, Wed	OUTBOX	Yes. They changed the article dramatically after kortan called, we want to see the old version.
2016-11-02 21:34:07, Wed	INBOX	Hmm. I don't know. Talking with Jon and Bill in hallway let me know when you're done
2016-11-02 21:38:52, Wed	INBOX	Who's the byline? Let me do some looking
2016-11-02 21:45:47, Wed	OUTBOX	Coming back now. Apuzzo plus his buds.
2016-11-02 23:48:41, Wed	INBOX	Getting Mangialardos for next Tues' meeting...and cancelling [REDACTED] I think.
2016-11-02 23:49:23, Wed	INBOX	[REDACTED] called, left a msg...
2016-11-03 00:02:10, Thu	OUTBOX	Yeah, bill and to scare talked about getting subs.
2016-11-03 00:03:08, Thu	OUTBOX	I suppose I'm okay with cancelling bob. Just this once.
2016-11-03 00:08:03, Thu	INBOX	Bill just called to say George called Bill for an update. Bill tap danced without saying anything. George proposed I update [REDACTED] twice a day. \U0001f612\n\nI told Bill to mention at the meeting tomorrow and come up with an answer.
2016-11-03 00:15:15, Thu	OUTBOX	Also a dad is not updating [REDACTED] twice a day. Thanks, but no.
2016-11-03 00:24:53, Thu	OUTBOX	Also, we are going to have to share with DOJ. There's already not a lot of trust here, and let's face it, [REDACTED] and George are not the problems.

2016-11-03 00:26:08, Thu	INBOX	And no, it's not. I'm not sure anyone should be \n\nl agree with you. When we do it matters, though, and in what detail.
2016-11-03 00:26:54, Thu	OUTBOX	I agree. But how much we've gone through and what we've found seems reasonable.
2016-11-03 00:31:32, Thu	INBOX	I may have to short someone so as to give Andy and the Director one.
2016-11-03 00:36:29, Thu	INBOX	I'm sure if Dave (or Mike) sees it the Q will be why not them, too. stupid politics.
2016-11-03 00:36:58, Thu	INBOX	Or get it for my original plan and do the kiss ass chain of command plan later.
2016-11-03 00:50:57, Thu	OUTBOX	Sorry. Rybicki called. Time line article in the post is super specific and not good. Doesn't make sense because I didn't have specific information to give.
2016-11-03 00:55:50, Thu	INBOX	What post article?!?
2016-11-03 00:56:28, Thu	OUTBOX	Just went up. WaPo.
2016-11-03 00:57:08, Thu	INBOX	Goddamn bills opaque comments.....
2016-11-03 00:57:36, Thu	OUTBOX	Call you?
2016-11-03 00:57:45, Thu	INBOX	Can I send to team?
2016-11-03 00:57:54, Thu	OUTBOX	Yes
2016-11-03 01:15:38, Thu	OUTBOX	Okay I can talk again.
2016-11-03 01:34:00, Thu	INBOX	Need to talk to you when you're done
2016-11-03 01:34:27, Thu	OUTBOX	I'm done. But rybicki may call back. But I can talk now.
2016-11-03 01:59:36, Thu	OUTBOX	I hate this case.
2016-11-03 02:26:42, Thu	OUTBOX	Sorry, on with rybicki again. Email incoming from him.
2016-11-03 02:39:40, Thu	INBOX	To me? Didn't get it.
2016-11-03 02:40:01, Thu	OUTBOX	You should. Maybe he will in the am.
2016-11-03 02:40:57, Thu	OUTBOX	Also you can imsg but I might not be able to respond.
2016-11-03 02:42:27, Thu	INBOX	I didn't. Whatever is fine. I'll imsg in a sec, need to work out timing.
2016-11-03 02:43:12, Thu	OUTBOX	It is coming.
2016-11-03 02:49:28, Thu	OUTBOX	Ok I should be able to when you are free.

2016-11-03 02:55:34, Thu	INBOX	Ok so obviously I want the background on the email
2016-11-03 03:18:53, Thu	INBOX	And dammit I specifically ASKED for guidance about what and to WHOM we tell DoJ
2016-11-03 03:47:54, Thu	INBOX	Bill talked to George well before Jim's email. DoJ is hyperventilating I want to talk!!!!
2016-11-03 10:00:48, Thu	OUTBOX	You guys didn't do anything wrong. Just say the D wanted to think about it.
2016-11-03 10:15:36, Thu	INBOX	Well, it's a little more than that. Bill did talk to George. I guess he didn't tell him much, but still. Anyway.
2016-11-03 10:28:29, Thu	OUTBOX	I know it's a little more than that. I'm just saying now that we are going to do regular updates just say that.
2016-11-03 10:36:52, Thu	INBOX	What did Rybicki have to say?
2016-11-03 10:37:14, Thu	OUTBOX	Will tell you later.
2016-11-03 10:37:41, Thu	INBOX	K. Stuff to tell you later, too
2016-11-03 11:29:41, Thu	OUTBOX	The nyt probability numbers are dropping every day. I'm scared for our organization.
2016-11-03 11:30:50, Thu	INBOX	Was just talking to Bill then Andy called him and he had to get off
2016-11-03 11:32:36, Thu	INBOX	Stein and moron are F'ing everything up, too
2016-11-03 11:40:09, Thu	OUTBOX	Stein?
2016-11-03 11:40:19, Thu	OUTBOX	Oh right.
2016-11-03 11:40:39, Thu	INBOX	Green
2016-11-03 11:45:11, Thu	INBOX	Oh :(\n\nBill wants me to call before 10, which means now. \U0001f612 Told him I would bow hut that Jon and I are too busy to be producing regular, let alone twice daily updates to a gs15. He was fine with that. \n\nFor background, he gave a general update to George at 615 last night. I am certain that's probably not what was communicated to Rybicki. Bill is feeling defensive about the email. I told him we had done nothing wrong.
2016-11-03 17:05:17, Thu	INBOX	Shhh don't tell anyone, mtg invite is thank you good job calendar hand out..... \U0001f60a\n\nYou back?
2016-11-03 17:24:15, Thu	INBOX	Sigh. Have a 130 with Bill, prob nor enough to stop by. \n\nWe're doing par after D brief
2016-11-03 23:04:29, Thu	INBOX	Bill said he forwarded, hopefully you were on it; I was not. If there is substantive response /conversation, would appreciate the feedback.

2016-11-03 23:05:21, Thu	OUTBOX	Nope. Didn't get anything. That's irritating. Green side?
2016-11-03 23:13:47, Thu	INBOX	Yes. If he forwards anything back, I'll add you.
2016-11-03 23:15:44, Thu	INBOX	Not much to say about it, though.
2016-11-04 01:27:32, Fri	INBOX	██████████ ██████████ worry we'll be drafting this damn statement all day.
2016-11-04 02:02:39, Fri	INBOX	And no I don't want to talk anymore. ██████████ said ██████████ had called him, also apparently talked to Jim earlier this week, was going to call him again tonight. I sent an email to Jim to call me for details about what ces did and didn't tell her. I don't really care at this point.
2016-11-04 02:21:57, Fri	OUTBOX	██████████ ██████████ We might have this stmt out and be substantially done. ██████████
2016-11-04 02:23:21, Fri	OUTBOX	No Pete. It's your JOB. And plus she actually knows what you're doing this time. And that the American presidential election, and thus, the state of the world, actually hangs in the balance.
2016-11-04 03:19:04, Fri	OUTBOX	Dude. On Inauguration Day, in addition to our kegger we should also have a screening of the Weiner documentary! \U0001f60a
2016-11-04 14:03:23, Fri	OUTBOX	I'm sending the dogs, ██████████ down to the review room in about 10. ██████████ Randy's guy, will be with. \U0001f612 Tried to stop that, so maybe just a heads up to ██████████ but let the rest of the team be surprised.
2016-11-05 17:22:42, Sat	INBOX	I hate this case
2016-11-05 20:24:17, Sat	INBOX	Ok. \U0001f615\n\nArriving work around 5 or so
2016-11-05 22:00:48, Sat	OUTBOX	He had heard. Guy is in custody. Thanks.
2016-11-05 22:20:35, Sat	INBOX	Yeah apparently it's been there since 11
2016-11-05 22:21:11, Sat	INBOX	Guess we're not so good at the paint scrubbing thing. Or, maybe, you know, tape some trash bags over it or something
2016-11-05 22:40:45, Sat	INBOX	Jon and I forming the new facilities maintenance branch of the mye team. Cause we're doing it ALL...
2016-11-05 23:52:38, Sat	OUTBOX	I don't want to make a statement anymore.
2016-11-05 23:58:39, Sat	INBOX	Oh yeah still here. Here until we're done, review the potential unique cat 1s whenever we're done and report up.\n\nYeah I don't either. We're kind of out of the news cycle, let's leave it that way
2016-11-06 00:39:34, Sun	INBOX	Going through status now. We may be complete reviewing in an hour.

2016-11-06 00:39:59, Sun	INBOX	[REDACTED] Jon and I going to look at potentially cat 1s
2016-11-06 00:49:05, Sun	OUTBOX	Sorry, I don't think I am calling at 10.
2016-11-06 01:10:19, Sun	OUTBOX	Why didn't you tell me all of you would be in this afternoon? \U0001f612
2016-11-06 01:13:18, Sun	INBOX	A) im really sorry\n\n i didn't know we would be. Jon [REDACTED] and I didn't get in until 5
2016-11-06 02:42:03, Sun	INBOX	Hi. Missed you. No real update. The three of us are going through the 3k (1000 each) to narrow down so the team can come back tomorrow early and de-dupe
2016-11-06 06:09:20, Sun	INBOX	Finishing up
2016-11-06 06:34:09, Sun	INBOX	Leaving finally now. Turns our no new classified
2016-11-06 09:23:53, Sun	OUTBOX	It was okay.\n\nWhy didn't you tell me that you all were getting together? Did someone not want me there?
2016-11-06 11:42:25, Sun	INBOX	I thought I did mention. Jon and I were there to get an update and buy dinner and monitor progress, which evolved as you saw into very quick progress. [REDACTED] arrived at some point in the process. Certainly no intent to exclude you.
2016-11-06 11:44:07, Sun	INBOX	I didn't know [REDACTED] was coming until she was there - [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
2016-11-06 12:11:03, Sun	OUTBOX	I still don't know that we should make this statement.
2016-11-06 12:11:41, Sun	INBOX	I don't either. lmsg?
2016-11-06 14:09:05, Sun	INBOX	Hey do you want to send that email out suggesting a conference call? I can if you want but defer to you.\n\n [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] end: [REDACTED]
2016-11-06 14:15:11, Sun	OUTBOX	I did at 8:56. Did you not get it?
2016-11-06 14:28:46, Sun	INBOX	I did. But my phone has stupid 20 minute gaps when it decodes it needs a break to rest or something
2016-11-06 14:29:21, Sun	INBOX	Add Conf room might be smart if we want to edit whilst we talk
2016-11-06 14:30:55, Sun	OUTBOX	I'm having a hard time deciding what is appropriate for a Weekend With the Director. Have on grey jeans, navy v-neck, tan cardi. Brown loafers? Brown boots?
2016-11-06 14:36:09, Sun	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-11-06 14:37:36, Sun	OUTBOX	Sorry I'm very close to being late

2016-11-06 14:38:23, Sun	INBOX	Hey, just trying to pass along the substance of a call I just had with Jim.
2016-11-06 14:38:45, Sun	OUTBOX	Will call from car
2016-11-06 14:38:54, Sun	INBOX	And yeah me too. On bridge
2016-11-06 14:42:35, Sun	INBOX	Great ANOTHER fucking road race
2016-11-06 14:54:44, Sun	OUTBOX	Might be about 2 minutes late.
2016-11-06 14:55:47, Sun	INBOX	Rgr. In add Conf room
2016-11-06 18:14:05, Sun	OUTBOX	We're still in the D's conf room.
2016-11-06 18:15:06, Sun	INBOX	Nice! D still there? :)
2016-11-06 18:15:52, Sun	INBOX	Would you pls ask Jon to check his email? Q for him. \n\nThanks
2016-11-06 18:16:26, Sun	OUTBOX	He doesn't have your phone. Are you done?
2016-11-06 18:16:49, Sun	OUTBOX	Can you come back in here? Are you still on the call?
2016-11-06 18:17:01, Sun	INBOX	No still on call
2016-11-06 18:17:24, Sun	INBOX	Disregard, [REDACTED] got answer
2016-11-06 18:26:48, Sun	OUTBOX	We're watching football in the directors office now. It's kind of surreal.
2016-11-06 19:56:58, Sun	INBOX	It's funny I see Jim and Jim on the email and feel painfully left out. There's a Bridgewater flaw I need to work on. \U0001f615
2016-11-06 19:58:34, Sun	OUTBOX	That's probably because they both know that part of his life. Don't feel excluded [REDACTED] It's not personal in the least.
2016-11-06 20:03:36, Sun	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-11-06 20:04:34, Sun	OUTBOX	I know. But you know it's there, which is the first step to not letting it conquer you.
2016-11-06 20:30:52, Sun	INBOX	Out on CNN now
2016-11-06 20:31:00, Sun	INBOX	And fox
2016-11-06 20:31:58, Sun	INBOX	I WANT TO WATCH THIS WITH YOU!
2016-11-06 20:33:54, Sun	INBOX	Trump about to get off his plane
2016-11-06 20:34:43, Sun	INBOX	Going to pour myself a glass of wine...

2016-11-06 20:52:54, Sun	OUTBOX	I'm on fox. Trump is talking about her.
2016-11-06 20:53:42, Sun	OUTBOX	He's talking about cartwright and Petraeus and how they're not protected. She's protected by a rigged system.
2016-11-07 01:43:03, Mon	OUTBOX	Good lord...\n\nInside Donald Trump\u2019s Last Stand: An Anxious Nominee Seeks Assurance http://nyti.ms/2esuTs3
2016-11-07 08:54:21, Mon	OUTBOX	How Moscow Uses Interpol to Pursue Its Enemies http://nyti.ms/2edXuGk
2016-11-07 09:31:20, Mon	OUTBOX	This was good. [REDACTED] [REDACTED]\n\nIs There Life After Trump? http://nyti.ms/2eppNN6
2016-11-07 12:05:34, Mon	INBOX	Was just clearing 8 emails that somehow appeared overnight. Concerningly, there were several from earlier (ie, 5-ish) that didn't appear until after I last check this around 8
2016-11-07 12:11:13, Mon	INBOX	Ok. I have a 930 with the team and a 10 with [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] (I can do the 10 on the phone)
2016-11-07 12:14:49, Mon	INBOX	Ok. I'm going to rush now. Will see if DOJ can call earlier. [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
2016-11-07 13:25:48, Mon	INBOX	Once conference call done
2016-11-07 16:01:13, Mon	OUTBOX	Andy asked to meet with me right after my 11; will hit you up afterwards.
2016-11-07 17:16:26, Mon	OUTBOX	I'm done now too. Need to talk to you about a couple of work things.
2016-11-07 17:18:12, Mon	INBOX	K. In with Bill. He hoped I went to [REDACTED] mtg, told him I didn't know about it but would catch up with you
2016-11-07 17:20:03, Mon	OUTBOX	It's essentially a pissing match btwn ny and Sf. Nothing for cd at this time. But yes, I can describe.
2016-11-07 20:08:32, Mon	OUTBOX	Waiting to meet with DD at 3:30 now. I should have gone at lunch. \U0001f612
2016-11-07 20:11:34, Mon	INBOX	Apparently no...just came up...\n\nTalked to Bill about our convo, are you Ok for me to reach out to JB to ask to speak about what you discussed earlier? His thoughts will impact what we do and we need to move one way or the other
2016-11-07 20:28:34, Mon	INBOX	How long is your 330? Want to try afterwards at 430?
2016-11-07 20:29:16, Mon	OUTBOX	I have to go sooner than that. I probably have to go right after I am done with Andy.
2016-11-07 22:17:14, Mon	INBOX	[REDACTED] Jason wanted to talk idea of providing briefings to the Hill, mentioned you two working on how to approach drafting them tomorrow
2016-11-07 23:06:28, Mon	INBOX	Can you talk work? \U0001f621
2016-11-07 23:09:44, Mon	OUTBOX	No I really can't.
2016-11-07 23:12:20, Mon	INBOX	Np. Just JB frustration. It can wait. [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

2016-11-08 01:32:02, Tue	OUTBOX	Impressive in its accuracy. \U0001f612\n\nHow the F.B.I. Reviewed Thousands of Emails in One Week http://nyti.ms/2egA2sg
2016-11-08 01:56:33, Tue	INBOX	OMG THIS IS F*CKING TERRIFYING:\nA victory by Mr. Trump remains possible: Mrs. Clinton\u2019s chance of losing is about the same as the probability that an N.F.L. kicker misses a 38-yard field goal.
2016-11-08 02:05:51, Tue	OUTBOX	Yeah, that's not good.
2016-11-08 02:42:03, Tue	INBOX	I'm sorry. Managed to get into a huge fight here about the Bu and Clinton. Because █ just can't stop at fair observations. She has to assert mastery of things about which she has NO knowledge.
2016-11-08 02:43:40, Tue	OUTBOX	She does realize you've been in EVERY conversation that has been had about this case, right?
2016-11-08 02:44:54, Tue	INBOX	That we should have gone on the record saying Kallstrom and others are not credible (which may be valid), but then saying we could pull his tolls if we wanted to. Because she knows all about our policy regarding investigations of members of the media. \U0001f621
2016-11-08 02:45:31, Tue	INBOX	Yes. But she's an expert who knows everything. \n\nI'm telling you, it's wildly infuriating. She has good points but then assumes wildly impossible understanding of things to make groundless assertions.
2016-11-08 02:46:06, Tue	OUTBOX	Uh, what crime are we investigating?\n\nAnd I'm sorry, that's a terrible idea. Go to war with the formers?
2016-11-08 02:47:53, Tue	INBOX	Leaking information about ongoing investigations. Which is incorrect information. By agents who don't know about things talking to him. \n\nSee? That's the thing. Her initial point, that we should have gone after the agents talking harder and sooner, is not unreasonable. But the subsequent discussion falls into uninformed assertions.
2016-11-08 02:49:08, Tue	OUTBOX	If it's not classified, what's the crime though?
2016-11-08 02:49:26, Tue	INBOX	Maybe we should go to war with them, if they're spouting bile like Kallstrom. He's really out of bounds. That is a valid debate. Talking - telling - me how we should have done it is what's infuriating.
2016-11-08 02:50:35, Tue	INBOX	There's not a crime. So you publicly shame or disavow him. And you find out who's talking to him and go after them with opr. It's a legitimate criticism that we might have looked sooner at all these people running their mouths to the press.
2016-11-08 02:50:52, Tue	OUTBOX	I get it. I'm not trying to fight with you too. I'm sorry.

2016-11-08 02:53:39, Tue	INBOX	I mean how in the HELL do you assume you know something outside of your field? How can you not, as an accomplished lawyer, understand how far and wide the field of law is? You or [REDACTED] or whoever would ever assume knowledge of things outside of criminal law. It boggles my mind.
2016-11-08 15:44:13, Tue	INBOX	I don't think it's bad. I think there are agents doing as much who will not get a visit from the DD because Jon does a good job of advertising his people
2016-11-08 15:47:25, Tue	INBOX	They are Jens people not mine. \n\nAlthough it looks like Jon said [REDACTED]
2016-11-08 15:48:31, Tue	INBOX	Is he going to the [REDACTED] room, or just sioc?
2016-11-08 15:49:16, Tue	OUTBOX	He will go see the analysts, [REDACTED] room, and sioc. Maybe I'll take him by duhadaway as well.
2016-11-08 15:52:09, Tue	INBOX	Ok I'm with [REDACTED] but going to Duhadway at 11 with [REDACTED]
2016-11-08 16:03:27, Tue	INBOX	I guess I'm also cranky that [REDACTED] has a prominent role at the 11 mtg that he should be at ON ELECTION DAY.
2016-11-09 01:06:45, Wed	INBOX	\U0001f60a\n\nHsppy Election geekdom here.
2016-11-09 04:06:58, Wed	OUTBOX	Trump won NC
2016-11-09 04:20:14, Wed	OUTBOX	PBS is projecting Florida as well.
2016-11-09 04:35:02, Wed	OUTBOX	CNN projecting FL for Trump.
2016-11-09 05:49:11, Wed	INBOX	Damn'
2016-11-09 09:34:14, Wed	OUTBOX	And there it is.
2016-11-09 09:58:18, Wed	OUTBOX	Analogous to the public editor article Bill handed out. \n\nNews Media Yet Again Misreads America\u2019s Complex Pulse http://nyti.ms/2eCqXVM
2016-11-09 12:13:37, Wed	INBOX	Too hard to explain here. Election related. Which is also godawful bad. \n\nSure
2016-11-09 09:58:18, Wed	OUTBOX	Are you even going to give out your calendars? Seems kind of depressing. Maybe it should just be the first meeting of the secret society.
2016-11-09 12:44:06, Wed	OUTBOX	And Christ, we should just hit those thumb drives now. Their opinion is totally irrelevant.
2016-11-09 12:50:49, Wed	INBOX	No. And yes
2016-11-09 12:51:16, Wed	OUTBOX	I'll mention to Andy.
2016-11-09 16:35:28, Wed	INBOX	Omg I am so depressed
2016-11-09 17:03:50, Wed	OUTBOX	Yes, maybe. I need to see what [REDACTED] wants to do first.

2016-11-11 20:38:49, Fri	INBOX	I'm sorry. [REDACTED] n\nEveryone thinks we had something to do with the outcome. \n [REDACTED]
2016-11-12 00:24:32, Sat	INBOX	I like our new case name
2016-11-12 00:56:45, Sat	OUTBOX	We'll see what others think. Alright, well sorry for interrupting. Talk to you later.
2016-11-12 15:16:06, Sat	INBOX	Except recently it's been checking gtwn every 5\n\nHaving a really tough time with election this morning
2016-11-12 18:20:00, Sat	OUTBOX	changed to Trump weekend, but it was more expensive. He said I can save \$500 if I go dec 20-23.
2016-11-13 12:14:18, Sun	OUTBOX	NYTimes: Facebook, in Cross Hairs After Election, Is Said to Question Its Influence\nFacebook, in Cross Hairs After Election, Is Said to Question Its Influence http://nyti.ms/2erVrPd
2016-11-13 12:34:03, Sun	INBOX	How Teens In The Balkans Are Duping Trump Supporters With Fake News\nhttps://www.buzzfeed.com/craigsilverman/how-macedonia-became-a-global-hub-for-pro-trump-misinfo?utm_term=.fwg4a1k1b2#.ws7vnxJxN6
2016-11-13 12:56:56, Sun	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] you don't think there is any risk of that interfering with london? I don't want to change it again.
2016-11-13 19:11:15, Sun	OUTBOX	I bought all the president's men. Figure I needed to brush up on watgate. \U0001f615
2016-11-14 13:05:23, Mon	OUTBOX	Ran into [REDACTED] in the elevator. Told him I was sad about the prospect that he might not be staying on. He said he'd see what you want to do... so maybe there's hope...
2016-11-14 13:51:27, Mon	OUTBOX	God, being here makes me angry. Lots of high fallutin' national security talk. Meanwhile, we have OUR task ahead of us...
2016-11-14 14:06:53, Mon	INBOX	Yeah, I can only imagine. [REDACTED] [REDACTED] So no update here. \U0001f621
2016-11-14 14:10:15, Mon	OUTBOX	Okay, I'll follow up.
2016-11-14 14:15:58, Mon	OUTBOX	Did I tell you that Charlie Savage is on a panel tomorrow? \U0001f621
2016-11-14 14:40:34, Mon	OUTBOX	I really need to be here for the EAD/AD mtg because it will be all about transition.
2016-11-14 14:41:10, Mon	INBOX	A) ha. You going to introduce yourself? I wouldn't, nothing good will come of it.\nB) open. Something worth listening to?
2016-11-14 14:41:18, Mon	INBOX	Talking planning with [REDACTED] right now
2016-11-14 14:42:37, Mon	OUTBOX	B) dd plan to lay out the lanes in the road. Just need to be there I think. Would love a ride back to hq. Will just miss a session here.

2016-11-14 14:47:14, Mon	OUTBOX	Don't think so. Just Eads and ads. Dd doesn't intend to have anyone lower than an AD talk to transition folks. Frankly, I'd prefer he do all the engagement, but that's not feasible.
2016-11-14 14:48:13, Mon	INBOX	Oh. Thought you meant this case.
2016-11-14 14:48:15, Mon	INBOX	You have names?
2016-11-14 14:48:51, Mon	OUTBOX	Not yet. [REDACTED] knows to email me here.
2016-11-14 14:49:27, Mon	OUTBOX	Oh, sorry. No, I meant transition writ large. Sorry for the confusion.
2016-11-14 15:01:51, Mon	INBOX	Still talking with [REDACTED] Many transition issues to talk with you about
2016-11-14 15:15:56, Mon	INBOX	We'll get there ;)\n\nYou willing to set up lunch for me and [REDACTED] and you to introduce us to [REDACTED] to talk about C?
2016-11-14 15:24:43, Mon	OUTBOX	Call you a minute?
2016-11-14 16:07:08, Mon	INBOX	Bill stuck his head in, said travel as early as tomorrow. Didn't get detail as I was in a mtg and he went upstairs to [REDACTED].
2016-11-14 20:06:56, Mon	OUTBOX	God, I hate academics. Lots on the big bad fbi litigation with apple.
2016-11-14 20:08:18, Mon	OUTBOX	It's making me very angry.
2016-11-14 20:08:40, Mon	OUTBOX	BBC News: Trump and Putin hold telephone talks\nTrump and Putin hold telephone talks - http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/world-us-canada-37981770
2016-11-14 22:57:39, Mon	INBOX	CNN news crawl says source reports Trump wants Top Secret clearance for his children
2016-11-14 23:13:24, Mon	INBOX	Bill out of the office tonight, btw. So if you need him, call cell, or call me.
2016-11-15 01:43:02, Tue	INBOX	"CNN: Source says naming a Trump national security team a 'knife fight' "
2016-11-15 01:43:43, Tue	OUTBOX	Christ. What does that mean?!
2016-11-15 01:46:21, Tue	INBOX	I can only guess difference of opinion between Trump and Republican establishment?
2016-11-15 01:47:05, Tue	OUTBOX	I get it. I'm just exclaiming how f-ed it all is.
2016-11-15 01:48:52, Tue	INBOX	Problem is, I don't know if that's it. I just have no idea...
2016-11-15 01:50:30, Tue	OUTBOX	My god, Sessions for DoD or AG.
2016-11-15 01:51:43, Tue	INBOX	Which is the f-ed uppedness of it
2016-11-15 01:51:50, Tue	INBOX	Who said that?

2016-11-15 01:52:20, Tue	INBOX	And if goes AG, then bolsters the Giuliani State idea
2016-11-15 01:52:29, Tue	OUTBOX	The same article you referenced.
2016-11-15 01:52:44, Tue	OUTBOX	It also says either Bolton or Giuliani for state.
2016-11-15 01:56:53, Tue	INBOX	Ha. States going to EXPLODE
2016-11-15 02:06:05, Tue	INBOX	What page you on?
2016-11-15 02:06:37, Tue	OUTBOX	15. You distracted me with the news.
2016-11-15 11:56:44, Tue	OUTBOX	Yeah, and then the Deputy Director told you to go to London on 24 hours notice.
2016-11-16 08:25:18, Wed	OUTBOX	An article to share: How Bannon flattered and coaxed Trump on policies key to the alt-right How Bannon flattered and coaxed Trump on policies key to the alt-right http://wapo.st/2fDJCSV
2016-11-16 19:29:36, Wed	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-11-16 19:34:08, Wed	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-11-16 19:34:13, Wed	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-11-16 19:37:08, Wed	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-11-16 19:38:00, Wed	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-11-16 19:58:15, Wed	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-11-16 21:27:29, Wed	INBOX	You, your age, plans after Andy goes, how to keep talent in the Bu.
2016-11-17 09:27:46, Thu	OUTBOX	God, this is scary. He apparently has not reached out to state at all for briefing materials. \U0001f612\n\nNYTimes: How Shinzo Abe Will Try to Size Up Donald Trump\nHow Shinzo Abe Will Try to Size Up Donald Trump http://nyti.ms/2elhGiP
2016-11-17 09:33:36, Thu	INBOX	@ H/ @Yep. And the reason he wanted clearances for the kids is so Jared Kushner could receive the PDB with him. Legally, I think he can pretty much designate w
2016-11-17 09:33:39, Thu	INBOX	@ H/ @hoever he wants to receive it without a clearance..
2016-11-17 09:34:27, Thu	OUTBOX	Article today is saying none of that is going to happen.
2016-11-17 09:35:46, Thu	INBOX	@ P/ @I know he backed off of clearances, but there was another legal piece looking at his authority to just grant access, and it's pretty broad.\n\nAnd, I don't
2016-11-17 09:35:52, Thu	OUTBOX	It's is broad. He doesn't. And yes.

2016-11-17 09:35:52, Thu	INBOX	@ P/ @ think he has any idea what he's doing from one day to the next.
2016-11-17 19:22:30, Thu	INBOX	Re your email, [REDACTED] know [REDACTED] briefed Pence, right (just so there are no surprises)?
2016-11-17 19:23:24, Thu	OUTBOX	I don't know if they would recall who did, but they know we sent someone. I spoke to [REDACTED] about it. We both think there's no action for us to take.
2016-11-17 19:32:32, Thu	OUTBOX	Re above re email, it might be more important for [REDACTED] to know that [REDACTED] briefed Pence, no?
2016-11-17 19:33:48, Thu	INBOX	I think that's a good idea. I'll talk with [REDACTED] so they build messaging/don't overlap
2016-11-17 19:46:00, Thu	INBOX	Harumph. I want to see you. Is Andy on/regular length wrap?
2016-11-17 19:46:19, Thu	OUTBOX	Yes, so far as I know.
2016-11-17 19:47:10, Thu	INBOX	Talking with Bill. Do we want [REDACTED] to go with [REDACTED] instead of [REDACTED] for a variety of reasons?
2016-11-17 19:47:52, Thu	OUTBOX	Hmm. Not sure. Would it be unusual to have show up again? Maybe another agent from the team?
2016-11-17 19:49:43, Thu	INBOX	Or, he's "the CI guy." Same might make sense. He can assess if there are any news Qs, or different demeanor. If [REDACTED] husband is there, he can see if there are people we can develop for potential relationships
2016-11-17 19:50:33, Thu	OUTBOX	Should I ask Andy about it? Or Bill want to reach out for andy?
2016-11-17 19:52:09, Thu	INBOX	I told him I'm sure we could ask you to make the swap if we thought it was smart. It's not until Mon so Bill can always discuss with him tomorrow.
2016-11-17 19:53:02, Thu	OUTBOX	It's the regular mtg with Jim and Andy.
2016-11-17 19:54:54, Thu	INBOX	Oh. No you cant. WAH-wah.
2016-11-18 01:12:18, Fri	OUTBOX	Ha. This gave me a chuckle.\n\nNYTimes: A Trumpian Silver Lining\nA Trumpian Silver Lining http://nyti.ms/2f37625
2016-11-18 01:47:35, Fri	INBOX	Nope. Fixed it. Fly Tues, arr Wed. Meet Thurs and Fri. Fly back Sat. All that assuming the other side can do those dates.
2016-11-18 11:18:07, Fri	INBOX	[REDACTED] Was just reading NYT. Apparently Mike Rogers has been to NY to visit Trump
2016-11-18 12:40:45, Fri	INBOX	Sessions for AG
2016-11-18 12:49:19, Fri	OUTBOX	Good god.
2016-11-18 21:22:11, Fri	INBOX	Disagree with Bill on last topic
2016-11-18 21:45:39, Fri	OUTBOX	Just hit you in lync but need to go see andy
2016-11-18 21:48:17, Fri	INBOX	Ok guess I missed you. Talking with [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]

2016-11-18 21:48:30, Fri	OUTBOX	Is Moffa there? I have a MYE q for him.
2016-11-18 21:49:23, Fri	INBOX	No. Don't know where he is what's the Q?
2016-11-18 22:15:46, Fri	INBOX	Also, pls ask Andy for the name of the 6 woman, I didn't write it down. Thank you
2016-11-18 22:43:26, Fri	OUTBOX	██████████ ?
2016-11-18 22:43:44, Fri	OUTBOX	He said just use ██████████
2016-11-19 00:37:40, Sat	INBOX	And hey just talked to Boone have clarity on the Errant email I sent you, what ██████████ said to Andy, questions Andy asked Boone about it, and answers.
2016-11-19 00:41:10, Sat	INBOX	Finally, let me know if I can imsg you a question
2016-11-21 01:14:10, Mon	OUTBOX	This is really disgusting. \n\nNYTimes: White Nationalists Celebrate \u2018an Awakening\u2019 After Donald Trump\u2019s Victory\nWhite Nationalists Celebrate \u2018an Awakening\u2019 After Donald Trump\u2019s Victory http://nyti.ms/2fc6vve
2016-11-21 01:19:38, Mon	INBOX	Im worried racial tension is going to get really bad...
2016-11-21 01:19:45, Mon	INBOX	And god that was a depressing article
2016-11-21 17:24:07, Mon	INBOX	Trump spokesman declines to back FBI Director James Comey - The Washington Post\n https://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/trump-spokesman-declines-to-back-fbi-director-james-comey/2016/11/21/e9cde350-afe5-11e6-8616-52b15787add0_story.html?hpid=hp_hp-cards_mhp-card-politics%3Ahomepage%2Ecard
2016-11-21 23:00:25, Mon	INBOX	You gong past you old office after talking to Andy?
2016-11-22 10:55:20, Tue	INBOX	I have to study up for the briefing this afternoon
2016-11-22 10:56:35, Tue	OUTBOX	I didn't realize you guys weren't ready to give it. You should have said something.
2016-11-22 10:57:47, Tue	INBOX	It's really overdue. And if Mike is briefing it, wrong, and if DI is trying to charge out and do dumb things, we need to do it.
2016-11-22 10:58:26, Tue	OUTBOX	True.
2016-11-22 11:10:42, Tue	OUTBOX	God, there's just so much to be wary of.\n\nNYTimes: Build He Won\u2019t\nBuild He Won\u2019t http://nyti.ms/2eWb0Pu
2016-11-22 11:19:15, Tue	INBOX	Do you have a SATO travel account?
2016-11-22 11:20:10, Tue	OUTBOX	I need DD ofc to pay, right? That's annoying. Don't need ██████████ and Eric all up in my business. \U0001f612

2016-11-22 11:21:42, Tue	INBOX	No, use CD codes. Who's your on paper supervisor?
2016-11-22 11:22:13, Tue	OUTBOX	
2016-11-22 11:22:41, Tue	INBOX	May come out of ogc, I don't know. Worse case, if it does, put down her or Baker
2016-11-22 11:25:35, Tue	INBOX	Need to get you a Sato account ASAP. You can do on the phone but there's an extra block to check about why you didn't online
2016-11-22 11:36:02, Tue	OUTBOX	It's not fair for it to come out of ogc. I guess I want dd ofc to pay. It's only fair.
2016-11-22 11:36:28, Tue	OUTBOX	Maybe I have one. You'll have to help me figure out when I get in
2016-11-22 11:38:20, Tue	INBOX	CD is the right place. It's our business and we have a comparatively big budget. I will check today.
2016-11-22 12:31:33, Tue	OUTBOX	That's one thing THAT is Going To Suck ABOUT MY New office, EVERY ONE Will KNOW HOW Late I Get in. I stay late, so it shouldn't matter but still.
2016-11-22 15:42:19, Tue	INBOX	You in yet? Want to talk briefing structure as I am befuddled.
2016-11-22 15:49:10, Tue	OUTBOX	No. On way now.
2016-11-22 15:51:33, Tue	INBOX	Ok. Bill is aggravating me about the D brief tomorrow. He wants me to handle the CHS and he'll do all the cases. \U0001f612
2016-11-22 15:52:43, Tue	OUTBOX	Well that's his prerogative I guess. It represents a strange change. Has he said why?
2016-11-22 15:55:06, Tue	INBOX	No. I assume it's to take work off my plate. He said, since Jon's not in, I'll do it. But I had been doing all of CH when Jon was focusing on the broader election stuff. I need to talk to him
2016-11-22 15:56:30, Tue	INBOX	And I gave the D a summary of all of it last week with Andy just before travel. Whatever, I'm not that worried, just think Bill is over thinking this, especially the guy who's been named
2016-11-22 18:24:40, Tue	OUTBOX	In with andy
2016-11-22 18:25:36, Tue	INBOX	Oh. You going to be out before the 2? I'm SUPER curious about JR'S 5% worry - didn't hear what he said and didn't feel it was appropriate to scream, like an old deaf man, WHAT?
2016-11-23 00:35:31, Wed	INBOX	Aggravated talking with Bill about the brief tomorrow morning but that will be fine. Baker going to be there?
2016-11-23 16:58:02, Wed	OUTBOX	Sheesh. Bill get in touch with andy?
2016-11-23 16:59:08, Wed	INBOX	No, he left him a message
2016-11-23 17:01:55, Wed	INBOX	Tell you what, this is going to be an interesting meeting with them in a couple of weeks

2016-11-23 22:09:17, Wed	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-11-23 22:20:04, Wed	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-11-24 02:08:54, Thu	OUTBOX	I am reading. Started All the President's Men.
2016-11-24 02:12:50, Thu	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] Pretty good so far. Just started, so only a few pages in. The press focus is going to be annoying, especially knowing how obnoxious and self-righteous they both turned out to be.
2016-11-24 20:11:46, Thu	OUTBOX	Just sent you Andy's response. It makes me happy.
2016-11-24 20:28:35, Thu	INBOX	That was a very nice response from Andy
2016-11-24 20:32:30, Thu	OUTBOX	Yeah. It made me happy.
2016-11-26 12:45:01, Sat	INBOX	You see Trump chose a Fox News analyst as his Dep Ntnl Security advisor?
2016-11-27 19:57:13, Sun	OUTBOX	Maybe take an informal poll of folks tomorrow re flights/hotels.
2016-11-27 19:57:57, Sun	INBOX	Yep. Meeting with Jon and [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] tomorrow to decide what to talk about, get all the paperwork going.
2016-11-27 19:59:31, Sun	INBOX	At which point I'll direct everyone to take the Tuesday daytime flight :D
2016-11-28 13:01:13, Mon	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] asked me about flight info etc., so at a minimum I expect she'll be flying with us.
2016-11-28 13:06:08, Mon	INBOX	And boo. That sucks. Should I move my seat so she can grab the one next to yours?
2016-11-28 13:07:35, Mon	INBOX	And anticipate that means she will hang on Tues...all expected, I guess, was just hoping for different. \n\nJust got into work, have my standing 830
2016-11-28 13:15:35, Mon	OUTBOX	Maybe re Tuesday. She might do touristy things though, since it's been two decades since she was there.
2016-11-28 13:24:48, Mon	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] and jon and the rest of them should be encouraged to take another flight though.
2016-11-29 01:45:21, Tue	INBOX	Hey do you know why Bill was talking to Jim?
2016-11-29 01:46:45, Tue	INBOX	I'll try and find out, re Bill. A bit concerning.
2016-11-29 01:48:38, Tue	OUTBOX	Also, jason herring spoke to me tonight about needing to get the andy letters out to congress. I need to prioritize those ASAP. Like have to be done and finalized before I can leave. \U0001f612
2016-11-29 01:50:24, Tue	INBOX	Ok, well, that's motivation. I'll help, obviously
2016-11-29 02:34:43, Tue	INBOX	[REDACTED]

Strzok-Page Texts

2016-11-29 02:37:26, Tue	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-11-29 02:38:34, Tue	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-11-29 02:38:37, Tue	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-11-29 02:38:43, Tue	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-11-29 02:38:59, Tue	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-11-29 02:39:19, Tue	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-11-29 02:39:24, Tue	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-11-29 02:39:40, Tue	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-11-29 02:43:06, Tue	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-11-29 02:48:03, Tue	INBOX	[REDACTED]
2016-11-29 14:27:33, Tue	OUTBOX	God, the mye letters re Andy ask for SOOOOOO much information. \U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621
2016-11-29 14:30:01, Tue	INBOX	Can we tailor what we send, ie, give them some subset of what they're asking for?
2016-11-29 14:31:03, Tue	OUTBOX	We're going to have to.
2016-11-29 14:36:00, Tue	INBOX	Oof. Which letter (s), (or tabs in the binder)?
2016-11-29 14:36:40, Tue	OUTBOX	14 and 15.
2016-11-29 14:37:00, Tue	OUTBOX	I'm going to meet with Andy at 5:30 about it.
2016-11-29 21:11:27, Tue	INBOX	And all good on both prep sessions tomorrow :)
2016-11-29 22:51:28, Tue	OUTBOX	Haven't met with andy yet. He is still in with the director.
2016-11-29 23:13:39, Tue	INBOX	How long you think you'll be with him? (Rough guess - 30 min? Hour and a half?)
2016-11-29 23:13:58, Tue	OUTBOX	Probably 45 minutes at least.
2016-11-30 21:59:52, Wed	OUTBOX	Sorry. Was in with andy.
2016-12-01 01:52:01, Thu	INBOX	And I keep thinking about what the D said, what was it, sick to one's stomach? Want to talk with you about it more. And in would like to talk to Jim and Andy too. Jim may be too much a true believer though.
2016-12-01 01:52:23, Thu	OUTBOX	Mildly nauseous, he said.

Strzok-Page Texts

2016-12-01 01:52:48, Thu	OUTBOX	Technically not sure you can talk to andy about it. \U0001f621
2016-12-01 02:05:14, Thu	INBOX	Yeah well Jims too blindly boyscoutish and others not thoughtful so I can talk to him about his experience pre recusal. I'm not asking for decisions. I'm asking how to think about it.

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body	Type
2016-11-30 12:35:55, Wed	INBOX	[REDACTED]	sms
2016-11-30 12:37:43, Wed	OUTBOX	\U0001f636 Thanks.	sms
2016-11-30 21:25:20, Wed	INBOX	Boo. Stopped by your office before ead wrap and no Lisa. ... :(sms
2016-11-30 21:25:51, Wed	INBOX	Btw, your office location sucks \U0001f615	sms
2016-11-30 21:59:52, Wed	OUTBOX	Sorry. Was in with andy.	sms
2016-11-30 22:00:01, Wed	OUTBOX	Wrap niw.	sms
2016-12-01 01:52:01, Thu	INBOX	And I keep thinking about what the D said, what was it, sick to one's stomach? Want to talk with you about it more. And in would like to talk to Jim and Andy too. Jim may be too much a true believer though.	sms
2016-12-01 01:52:23, Thu	OUTBOX	Mildly nauseous, he said.	sms
2016-12-01 01:52:48, Thu	OUTBOX	Technically not sure you can talk to andy about it. \U0001f621	sms
2016-12-01 01:53:19, Thu	INBOX	F that I cant	sms
2016-12-01 01:53:43, Thu	OUTBOX	I'm just saying...	sms
2016-12-01 02:05:14, Thu	INBOX	Yeah well [REDACTED] and others not thoughtful so I can talk to him about his experience pre recusal. I'm not asking for decisions. I'm asking how to think about it.	sms
2016-12-01 02:29:57, Thu	INBOX	Ok, vomit	sms
2016-12-01 02:30:19, Thu	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] Relax.	sms
2016-12-01 02:33:56, Thu	INBOX	[REDACTED]	sms
2016-12-01 02:36:09, Thu	INBOX	[REDACTED]	mms
2016-12-01 02:36:31, Thu	INBOX	[REDACTED]	sms
2016-12-01 21:03:05, Thu	OUTBOX	Sorry to miss you. Had an excellent conversation with [REDACTED] though.	sms
2016-12-02 00:47:12, Fri	INBOX	Hi. Just leaving. Infuriating story from Bill, can tell you tomorrow or on imsg	sms
2016-12-02 00:48:26, Fri	OUTBOX	Feel free to imsg.	sms
2016-12-02 01:06:40, Fri	INBOX	Sent there	sms
2016-12-02 10:52:14, Fri	INBOX	Weird about the clock. Thats interesting. Tells me how much of this is messed up in your head stuff. \n\nRemind me the first 15 minutes of talking to Bill last night. Full page with list of things about head guy.	sms

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body	Type
2016-12-02 10:53:33, Fri	OUTBOX	I don't understand the second half of your text at all.	sms
2016-12-02 10:54:19, Fri	INBOX	[REDACTED]	sms
2016-12-02 10:55:33, Fri	OUTBOX	Awesome.	sms
2016-12-02 10:55:36, Fri	INBOX	Last night, I talked with Bill before leaving. Very first thing he did was show me a page of notes, bullets, he had written about [REDACTED]	sms
2016-12-02 10:58:00, Fri	OUTBOX	Oh jeez.	sms
2016-12-02 10:58:15, Fri	OUTBOX	Bill is just not getting it. \U0001f612	sms
2016-12-02 10:59:58, Fri	INBOX	I think it's he can't get over his outrage at how bad it is. I said, Bill, we're not going to get anywhere trying to prove those things. [REDACTED]	sms
2016-12-02 11:03:27, Fri	OUTBOX	Exactly. It IS awful. But it's not something we can do something about without grave risk. Andy and I talked about this piece of it too the other day. He'll discuss today I expect.	sms
2016-12-02 19:59:43, Fri	INBOX	Bill moved wrap up to 3. Will hit you when done.	sms
2016-12-02 21:12:08, Fri	OUTBOX	Okay, coming back.	sms
2016-12-02 21:12:42, Fri	INBOX	Oh. Thought I was supposed to leave.	sms
2016-12-02 21:12:50, Fri	INBOX	Want me to come back?	sms
2016-12-02 21:12:58, Fri	INBOX	\U0001f60a	sms
2016-12-02 21:13:39, Fri	OUTBOX	Oh. Yes, that's right. I probably wasn't listening. :D	sms
2016-12-02 21:13:59, Fri	INBOX	EXACTLY	sms
2016-12-02 21:14:14, Fri	INBOX	Be right there :D	sms
2016-12-02 21:50:26, Fri	INBOX	Ok that didn't take long, I'm bored let me know when I can come up	sms
2016-12-02 21:52:34, Fri	OUTBOX	Ha.	sms
2016-12-02 21:55:20, Fri	INBOX	So that's a "now"? :D	sms
2016-12-02 21:55:37, Fri	OUTBOX	No.	sms
2016-12-02 22:10:09, Fri	INBOX	[REDACTED] Watcha doing?	sms
2016-12-02 22:10:41, Fri	OUTBOX	Waiting for [REDACTED] to call back.	sms

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body	Type
2016-12-02 23:38:23, Fri	INBOX	Ho boy. This is going to stir up some sh*t NYTimes: Trump Speaks With Taiwan's Leader, a Likely Affront to China Trump Speaks With Taiwan's Leader, a Likely Affront to China http://nyti.ms/2gwshOM	sms
2016-12-02 23:45:14, Fri	OUTBOX	I know. I saw. Idiots...	sms
2016-12-10 04:19:41, Sat	INBOX	We need to talk about this in the context of the testimony last Friday. And I need to ask Jason to get a transcript if he can. NYTimes: Russia Hacked Republican Committee, U.S. Concludes Russia Hacked Republican Committee, U.S. Concludes http://nyti.ms/2h5Xpoi	sms
2016-12-10 17:42:20, Sat	INBOX	Had an hour long convo with Bill. Talked about the meeting (they had it at DoJ, Bill, Jim and Jon), expressed our frustration, Bill responded. Talked about [redacted] and WFO SAC job, what I expected, [redacted] and [redacted] saying [redacted] which is fine, you know the conflicted way I feel about that but it is what it is. Mike said something super irritating to Bill, essentially, Pete briefs well but he needs more time to demonstrate his ability to manage. Bill said he thought that was just a fig leaf for saying [redacted] but it aggravates me. I'm sorry, I'm not briefing what someone tells me, I'm briefing what I'm doing. And I'm managing (for the case) a ton of people in various divisions and multiple agencies and foreign governments. Not to mention the issues I've briefed you on and am managing for cd3, etc. I do think it's a fig leaf, but have the balls to say, Pete, you're doing a great job. Keep it up. You've just gotten promoted, twice, and we need you as a DAD where you can do good: embrace that role. DON'T tell me, you brief well but you need to show me some more management skill. F you. I manage my ass off every goddamn day in this sh*tstorm, and keep the branch running to boot.	mms
2016-12-10 17:42:27, Sat	INBOX	Lots more to discuss.	sms
2016-12-10 17:46:16, Sat	OUTBOX	That is 100% a fig leaf. And more than a fig leaf, it's a reflection of [redacted] insecurity and arrogant overconfidence. Don't give it a second more thought. I mean it.	sms
2016-12-10 17:47:06, Sat	OUTBOX	Was Bill's response re meeting adequate?	sms
2016-12-10 17:50:00, Sat	INBOX	But how much does his saying that translate to the other EADs? [redacted] out the door, as is [redacted] but I don't want [redacted] poisoned by it. Think I'm good with [redacted] and [redacted] just with more time.	sms
2016-12-10 17:51:48, Sat	INBOX	It was OK. I told him we heard via [redacted] / [redacted] and that we were all disappointed. He said he understood, but he was able to talk that way broadly without giving any specifics, so he thinks it helped rather than hurt. Said we hadn't closed anything, and generally talked about our path forward. Said they didn't ask for an update when we got back, and that he thought we were in a good place.	sms

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body	Type
2016-12-10 17:51:55, Sat	OUTBOX	It won't. Andy's views on the matter will carry a lot more weight than Mike saying something on his way out the door.	sms
2016-12-10 17:53:46, Sat	INBOX	And I appreciate you saying don't give it a second thought, but it burns me. Bill did say my reputation with the D and DD is solid, which is fine. But the fact of the matter is the D doesn't sit on the board, and Andy is much happier going with the board's recommendation. So I need to not let this view become the common wisdom/stock answer on the zero corridor.	sms
2016-12-10 17:54:33, Sat	OUTBOX	The D reviews every one of the decisions of the Board. And if he disagrees, pushes back.	sms
2016-12-10 17:56:18, Sat	INBOX	I know, but I told Bill I really needed him to determine whether or not I should even put in, and the message it sends either way. He said he would talk to Andy this week.	sms
2016-12-10 17:56:40, Sat	OUTBOX	It won't. Stop worrying. Trust me. \n\nIf you had more time as DAD under your belt, do you actually think [REDACTED] would even stand a chance because of some bs claim that you need more proven leadership? Because that happened when you both were up for DAD? Come on. It's face-saving for [REDACTED] pure and simple.	sms
2016-12-10 17:57:16, Sat	OUTBOX	The answer is no, Pete. 100% no. Andy will say the same.	sms
2016-12-10 17:58:14, Sat	INBOX	In other news, he said OCA is posted and Andy looking to take care of [REDACTED]. Thus came up in the context of Bill asking about a term for [REDACTED] who deserves it, and Bill was told no. I assume that would be a different answer for [REDACTED]. So I need to talk to [REDACTED] to see if he'd be interested in an SC job in CD, because he'd be better than 95% of the candidates we have putting in. Even though we can't get a term for [REDACTED]. All this irks me. Let's just be open and honest. \U0001f612	mms
2016-12-10 17:58:54, Sat	OUTBOX	It's not good for you or Bill to have to ask Andy this. Please. Just go to Bill and tel him no. You need to trust me on this.	sms
2016-12-10 17:59:17, Sat	OUTBOX	I believe [REDACTED] is interested.	sms
2016-12-10 17:59:44, Sat	INBOX	Good, but then let Andy clearly tell Bill that and it will be fine. I'll work hard, and in 12 months when I've been DAD enough time and there's nothing at WFO, will figure out what to do.	sms
2016-12-10 18:00:41, Sat	OUTBOX	Why do you need to make andy tell Bill? I'm telling you, it's to neither of your advantage to ask him. Bill should be able to figure this out on his own.	sms
2016-12-10 18:01:10, Sat	INBOX	Ok fine I will (re telling Bill). I can suggest he not tell Andy, but I kind of WOULD like him to ask, OK, Pete wants to stay and work hard as DAD, what do you see him doing August when he's now been a CD SESer for a year and a half?	sms
2016-12-10 18:01:52, Sat	OUTBOX	And it won't be a term for [REDACTED]. It will be a permanent SC, I suspect, but he will just have to sign a promise to not try to go be an sac. So be smart about where you place him, because he'll probably stay there the rest of his career.	sms

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body	Type
2016-12-10 18:02:51, Sat	INBOX	I think [REDACTED] is interested, too, based on convos we've had. I don't know whether to put him in 4 or 2. Don't think he has the CI background for 3.	sms
2016-12-10 18:03:13, Sat	INBOX	[REDACTED] problem is he's never even been an SSA.	sms
2016-12-10 18:03:39, Sat	INBOX	People obviously understand he's now been both dgc and ad of oca, but stuff like that matters.	sms
2016-12-10 18:03:59, Sat	OUTBOX	That's a different conversation, and one he can have not in this context. Or even better, one YOU should have with Andy. It would be more meaningful that way anyway. "Andy, I'm not putting in for sac, but where do you see me... Especially since I heard criticism of me from Mike which I didn't think was fair, wanted to make sure you didn't think that was widely thought..."	sms
2016-12-10 18:04:07, Sat	INBOX	Most importantly, as far as I'm concerned, he's a good guy and I would trust him as a SC.	sms
2016-12-10 18:04:16, Sat	OUTBOX	It does. That's why he won't be allowed to advance.	sms
2016-12-10 18:05:54, Sat	INBOX	I didn't tell you the grown up convo I had to have with [REDACTED] in London, when he asked and got angry at why I was likely not to be the next SAC, and had to be the calm measured professional explaining all the reasons why not.	sms
2016-12-10 18:07:14, Sat	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] got angry? Understandable, but I'm proud of you for explaining it. I hope you truly believe it as well.	sms
2016-12-10 18:08:53, Sat	INBOX	Yes he did. You know how I feel. I believe it, just as I believe had I not applied for or applied for and not gotten the DAD job, that I would have a great shot at it. And that I believe I'm the best person for both jobs, and ultimately, the best to be Bill's successor in CD.	sms
2016-12-10 18:10:19, Sat	OUTBOX	All of that is correct. You just can't move having been DAD for three months. If you had stayed SC, you would be SAC. And you will be AD too.	sms
2016-12-10 18:11:52, Sat	INBOX	If I stay long enough or am willing to move, for sure. But neither of those are necessarily true. But nothing I can do but work hard and answer whatever decision is in front of me.	sms
2016-12-10 18:14:42, Sat	OUTBOX	That's the right attitude. I just hope you believe it in your heart of hearts.	sms
2016-12-10 18:16:40, Sat	INBOX	You know im conflicted! To show my muddle, think of it this alternative way: I've now been back at HQ for 16 months. Had John G not been gone all the time and the board gone earlier, I would have been an SESer for over a year now. Woulda coulda shoulda, I know. \n\nHow long was Jason acting?	sms
2016-12-10 18:18:04, Sat	OUTBOX	Acting AD? Since August, I think.	sms
2016-12-10 18:18:45, Sat	OUTBOX	Back at HQ doesn't count. You were still an asac, on detail.	sms

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body	Type
2016-12-10 18:20:03, Sat	OUTBOX	Even an SESer over a year doesn't change three month as DAD. It's not long enough in your current role. And christ, you're NEED in this role. Way more than you'd need to be as SAC. Just relax, [REDACTED] it will come. I promise you.	sms
2016-12-10 18:20:54, Sat	INBOX	I'm not needed. I need to show I can effectively manage. \U0001f612	sms
2016-12-10 18:21:11, Sat	INBOX	Wish [REDACTED] wouldn't have said anything about that, or called [REDACTED] BS	sms
2016-12-10 18:21:37, Sat	INBOX	Cause this is going to sit in the back of my head like a festering pile of crap.	sms
2016-12-10 18:22:12, Sat	OUTBOX	Peter. In the immortal words of Jim Baker/Taylor Swift: Haters gonna hate...shake it off, shake it off. \U0001f60a	sms
2016-12-10 18:22:25, Sat	OUTBOX	Don't let it. Do you respect [REDACTED]	sms
2016-12-10 18:24:31, Sat	INBOX	And how did that guidance work for you?\n\nEnough. I thought at least we had a decent relationship he would say that sort of BS. I get his faults, but still. Just say the truth.	sms
2016-12-10 18:27:32, Sat	OUTBOX	He's too arrogant. He can't see the truth.	sms
2016-12-10 18:29:45, Sat	INBOX	I haven't worked closely with him enough to see that	sms
2016-12-10 18:30:10, Sat	INBOX	And maybe vaguely related, man I'm angry the way [REDACTED] worked out. That article is horrible.	sms
2016-12-10 18:47:30, Sat	OUTBOX	Oh please. I'm calling BS on you respect him. I don't. What non-critical thing have you ever had to say about him?\n\nAnd yes, probably related. \U0001f612	sms
2016-12-10 19:15:56, Sat	INBOX	A) I think he does a decent job advocating for CD in front of audiences like the SAC conference.	sms
2016-12-10 19:16:26, Sat	OUTBOX	Okay, great. Mad props to him then. \U0001f612	sms
2016-12-10 19:17:45, Sat	INBOX	A) fine. Look, I'm obviously cranky/stung/demotivated by it.	sms
2016-12-10 22:04:18, Sat	INBOX	Just talked with Jon for an hour.	sms
2016-12-10 22:06:03, Sat	INBOX	Back to being a little cranky with Bill about the meeting. Jon asked Bill on Thurs if it was still on. Bill told him to talk to him Fri AM. Jon said JB was really good at the mtg, kept saying, we don't know or we're not going to discuss that. He's obviously as worried as he was.	sms
2016-12-10 22:06:28, Sat	INBOX	Plus remind me stories of iod f'ing up visit with Andy and [REDACTED]	sms
2016-12-10 22:17:34, Sat	OUTBOX	I can talk if you want. Have 10 minutes or so.	sms
2016-12-10 22:23:14, Sat	INBOX	I can't. Check email.	sms
2016-12-10 22:23:27, Sat	INBOX	Though I desperately want to \U0001f636	sms
2016-12-10 22:25:46, Sat	OUTBOX	Yeah, I saw.	sms
2016-12-10 22:29:17, Sat	INBOX	Bill told Mike...	sms

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body	Type
2016-12-10 22:29:51, Sat	OUTBOX	Npr just reported that that ExxonMobil guy possibly nominated for state has close ties to putin.	sms
2016-12-10 22:37:12, Sat	INBOX	Oh yeah! He got an Award of Friendship directly from Putin!	sms
2016-12-10 22:38:17, Sat	OUTBOX	Interesting choice for Sec State then.	sms
2016-12-10 22:39:47, Sat	INBOX	Yep! He's 64, and has spent 41 of them at Exxon. Started as a production engineer, and rose to do oil and gas deals around the world. Statecraft.	sms
2016-12-11 01:10:13, Sun	OUTBOX	Great. This sentence aggravated the s out of me. \nTrump has threatened a lot of people and he\u2019s about to be in control of the most pervasive and least accountable surveillance infrastructure in the world,\u201d Mr. Marlinspike said. \u201cA lot of people are justifiably concerned about that.\u201d\n\nNYTimes: Worried About the Privacy of Your Messages? Download Signal\nWorried About the Privacy of Your Messages? Download Signal http://nyti.ms/2hjyVVo	sms
2016-12-11 01:28:18, Sun	INBOX	Yeah, me too. Don't know who or where to support....	sms
2016-12-12 11:36:37, Mon	INBOX	God.\n\n separately irritating news,JB apparently offered to meet with David Kendall and his secretary set it up. None of us, including █████ heard anything about it until █████ mentioned it to us.	sms
2016-12-12 11:37:18, Mon	INBOX	Possibly today. Possibly about return of evidence. Possibly he'll take █████ along. Possibly he'll want to do some prep. None of us really know. \U0001f612	sms
2016-12-12 11:37:35, Mon	INBOX	Maybe it came up at the Fri meeting none of us attended.	sms
2016-12-12 11:41:37, Mon	OUTBOX	Then somebody whose name is not Lisa Page needs to tell him that it is insulting to have to learn about important meetings from DOJ.	sms
2016-12-12 11:43:14, Mon	INBOX	I will. I don't think █████ can in the same way.	sms
2016-12-12 11:43:41, Mon	INBOX	After I find out if Bill and/or Jon knew	sms
2016-12-12 11:44:00, Mon	INBOX	Though neither mentioned.	sms
2016-12-12 20:32:25, Mon	INBOX	I talked to Bill about the CyD matter we discussed, he said Andy is aware.\n\nThank you for stepping out to grab the lifesavers.	sms
2016-12-13 19:57:40, Tue	INBOX	What floor is jcc?	sms
2016-12-13 19:58:44, Tue	OUTBOX	6 or 7. On the 9th Street side.	sms
2016-12-13 19:59:15, Tue	INBOX	Oh. Thought it was 10th...you sure?	sms
2016-12-13 20:30:29, Tue	OUTBOX	Sorry. Was on with the intercept. Yeah, Mike just mentioned. Something sanctioned, obviously. God, I hate the intercept.	sms

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body	Type
2016-12-13 20:57:46, Tue	INBOX	Coffee /tea?\n\nStories for you.....	sms
2016-12-13 21:03:21, Tue	INBOX	Let me know when you're done, want to hear about it, obviously	sms
2016-12-13 21:36:50, Tue	INBOX	Need to talk to you before wrap	sms
2016-12-13 22:31:53, Tue	INBOX	Who is [REDACTED]?	sms
2016-12-13 22:34:06, Tue	OUTBOX	No idea.	sms
2016-12-13 22:34:33, Tue	INBOX	These texts are quite the....	sms
2016-12-13 22:35:24, Tue	OUTBOX	You're killing me.	sms
2016-12-13 22:36:01, Tue	INBOX	Text from reporter: retrieving my password for skype. I forgot it.\n\nText from reporter an hour and 31 minutes later: thanks man. Awesome as usual	sms
2016-12-13 22:36:26, Tue	OUTBOX	Jesus.	sms
2016-12-13 22:37:23, Tue	INBOX	And Oh. I'm literally on the 5th line of a 2417 line spreadsheet.	sms
2016-12-13 22:42:07, Tue	OUTBOX	I'm calling now.	sms
2016-12-13 22:43:28, Tue	OUTBOX	Wtf, where'd you go?	sms
2016-12-13 22:44:38, Tue	INBOX	In with Bill an dads	sms
2016-12-13 22:45:00, Tue	OUTBOX	Aaarggh.	sms
2016-12-13 22:54:05, Tue	OUTBOX	I'm going to try to leave as soon as I get 5 minutes with andy. The d had wrap.	sms
2016-12-13 22:55:03, Tue	OUTBOX	Jm said that the D asked Dd about the phone records pull today. So I am going to mention it, but no details.	sms
2016-12-13 22:55:12, Tue	INBOX	K. Bill is rambling. I'll let you know when done	sms
2016-12-13 23:17:22, Tue	INBOX	Hi. You in with him?	sms
2016-12-13 23:18:17, Tue	OUTBOX	Still waiting for him to get out. \U0001f621	sms
2016-12-13 23:21:14, Tue	INBOX	Talked with DoJ about HA interview. Told them we had to interview, no immunity. They said they thought that would get counsel to the point of saying she's either taking the 5th in the Gj or you need to give her immunity. I said that's fine, please have discussions to get the decision to that point and I would run up the chain	sms
2016-12-13 23:21:41, Tue	INBOX	[REDACTED] then asked if Toscas should call Mike, as that was his understanding of who his new POC was on this case.	sms
2016-12-13 23:21:50, Tue	OUTBOX	Do I need to bring up to andy at some point?	sms
2016-12-13 23:22:03, Tue	INBOX	I said Bill would be better, but that I needed to run past Bill to see what he says	sms

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body	Type
2016-12-13 23:22:15, Tue	INBOX	Is he recused? (\U0001f621\U0001f621)	sms
2016-12-13 23:22:18, Tue	OUTBOX	Oh yeah. I forgot. \U0001f621\U0001f612	sms
2016-12-13 23:22:39, Tue	OUTBOX	Yes! All my matters.	sms
2016-12-13 23:22:47, Tue	INBOX	Double \U0001f621\U0001f612\U0001f621\U0001f612	sms
2016-12-13 23:22:50, Tue	INBOX	I know.	sms
2016-12-13 23:23:11, Tue	INBOX	I'm just angry about it.	sms
2016-12-13 23:23:17, Tue	OUTBOX	Me too.	sms
2016-12-13 23:23:27, Tue	INBOX	I fell into the same, what in the hell are you talking about Mike as POC mental trap \U0001f621	sms
2016-12-13 23:24:22, Tue	INBOX	Literally wondered if Andy had finally decided to delegate down management of George	sms
2016-12-13 23:24:25, Tue	INBOX	I kinda want to print these logs out and take them home :D	sms
2016-12-13 23:24:58, Tue	OUTBOX	No kidding. Will figure out a day to work late.	sms
2016-12-13 23:25:31, Tue	INBOX	Ok, I need to go back in with Bill. Jen and Dina still there. Call me later....particularly interested if D gave a fuller description of his convo with Brennan	sms
2016-12-14 00:01:58, Wed	INBOX	Shocker, problems in ny. [REDACTED]	sms
2017-05-18 18:58:07, Thu	INBOX	If you get done and have Bill and Jon for a dump about last eve, please call me....	sms
2017-05-18 22:56:59, Thu	OUTBOX	Sorry one sec.	sms
2017-05-18 23:08:21, Thu	INBOX	K. Talking with Bill about you, actually	sms
2017-05-18 23:08:39, Thu	OUTBOX	Hmmm.	sms
2017-05-18 23:09:59, Thu	INBOX	Just called your desk	sms
2017-05-18 23:13:06, Thu	OUTBOX	Was talking to bill. He is calling me back when he is done with you.	sms
2017-05-18 23:29:13, Thu	INBOX	Let me know what he says. I was surprised how hard he pushed me to think about it. The strength of my reaction to that is kinda confirming to me I don't want to.	sms
2017-05-18 23:41:18, Thu	INBOX	Oh god Susan Collis comments on npr....	sms
2017-05-19 00:08:40, Fri	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] said absolutely no question I have to be on the team. I'm so confused right now...	sms
2017-05-19 00:11:23, Fri	INBOX	Really?!?!	sms
2017-05-19 00:11:29, Fri	INBOX	As in, with her?	sms

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body	Type
2017-05-19 00:11:58, Fri	INBOX	And that's what I wanted to talk about!!!!\n\nMy answer is no way, sac then AD and lead the Division	sms
2017-05-19 00:12:09, Fri	INBOX	And then I think..	sms
2017-05-19 00:13:43, Fri	INBOX	A case which will be in the history books. A chapter - much like you tell me about my extra time in the field and all the cases ("would you trade it"?). \n\nA million people sit in AD and staff jobs. This is a chance to DO. In maybe the most important case of our lives.	sms
2017-05-19 00:13:54, Fri	INBOX	What did Andy say about [REDACTED] going?	sms
2017-05-19 00:19:05, Fri	OUTBOX	No way dude. I really don't think you should do it..	sms
2017-05-19 00:19:17, Fri	INBOX	You would obviously excel on the team. \n\nIn a thousand ways they need someone EXACTLY like you	sms
2017-05-19 00:19:43, Fri	OUTBOX	I don't really know what she meant when she said on the team. Full time, part time, I got home so our convo was cut short.	sms
2017-05-19 00:22:03, Fri	OUTBOX	Why? I don't understand what they need me for! Not when they have someone like Aaron. Or [REDACTED] or [REDACTED]	sms
2017-05-19 00:22:49, Fri	OUTBOX	And [REDACTED] said some really outrageous complements to me. I just don't get what she even means.	sms
2017-05-19 00:24:26, Fri	INBOX	Ok I obviously want to hear what [REDACTED] said	sms
2017-05-19 00:25:28, Fri	OUTBOX	I won't be able to repeat. Something about being the second smartest lawyer she has ever worked with besides comey. It can't be true.	sms
2017-05-19 00:26:41, Fri	OUTBOX	And how I lean in and have a stronger work ethic than anyone she knows. And more but I don't remember.	sms
2017-05-19 00:29:27, Fri	INBOX	You're in an entirely different class than [REDACTED] or [REDACTED]	sms
2017-05-19 00:30:08, Fri	INBOX	You see the future. You assimilate and combine things in an uncanny way.	sms
2017-05-19 00:32:35, Fri	INBOX	And re your attorney image of yourself, that's because you equate brilliant lawyer with [REDACTED] or Trisha sitting in a room writing something as a clerk. \n\nREAL law is the application in a complex, dynamic environment. And you're astoundingly good at that	sms
2017-05-19 00:32:54, Fri	INBOX	Plus you have passion and curiosity, which is more than half of the battle anyway	sms
2017-05-19 00:33:34, Fri	OUTBOX	I am so not in a different class from [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] That is crazy.	sms
2017-05-19 00:35:40, Fri	INBOX	They don't get the big picture like you do [REDACTED] is operationally amazing. But scaling law up rapidly and with agility is something that makes you special. THAT'S the mark of a brilliant mind.	sms
2017-05-19 00:36:15, Fri	INBOX	For me, and this case, I personally have a sense of unfinished business. \n\nI unleashed it with MYE. Now i need to fix it and finish it	sms
2017-05-19 00:37:23, Fri	OUTBOX	What does that even mean, scaling up?	sms

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body	Type
2017-05-19 00:37:51, Fri	OUTBOX	You shouldn't take this on. I promise you, I would tell you if you should.	sms
2017-05-19 00:39:44, Fri	INBOX	It means you can see a small point of law and know it, rapidly understand the strategic importance of it not just on that issue but intuitively get the value or role of it in a much broader context. \n\nYou're not constrained to an issue. Your mind rapidly makes valid and important connections and associations in a way most people don't. Can't.	sms
2017-05-19 00:39:47, Fri	INBOX	Why not, re me?	sms
2017-05-19 00:40:50, Fri	INBOX	Who gives a f*ck, one more AD like [REDACTED] or whoever. \n\nAn investigation leading to impeachment?	sms
2017-05-19 00:41:45, Fri	INBOX	And with D gone, and Andy leaving, all these [REDACTED] \n\nWho says I get another promotion from DAD?!?	sms
2017-05-19 00:45:57, Fri	OUTBOX	We should stop having this conversation here. Just tell bill you need another day and we can discuss tomorrow.	sms
2017-05-19 00:47:33, Fri	OUTBOX	And certainly, that would certainly impact my thinking too. We can't work closely on another case again, though obviously, I want you to do what is right for you.	sms
2017-05-19 00:54:23, Fri	INBOX	A) ok. Though now I'm curious.\n\nB) sigh. Yeah I suppose that's right. But god we're a good team. \U0001f636\U0001f614 Is that playing into yur decision/your advice to me?	sms
2017-05-19 00:54:34, Fri	INBOX	Chaffetz stepping down June 30....	sms
2017-05-19 00:55:13, Fri	OUTBOX	No. Not at all. I just think we are both ready for a change. Truly.	sms
2017-05-19 00:55:59, Fri	OUTBOX	A) is just about the different realistic outcomes of this case.	sms
2017-05-19 00:56:37, Fri	INBOX	B) that's definitely true. I want/need you to give me your old resume. \n\nC) I think I'll wait and see what happens with sac wfo. I'm not expecting to get it, I just want to see what I feel like after the decision.	sms
2017-05-19 00:57:39, Fri	INBOX	A) you and I both know the odds are nothing. If I thought it was likely, I'd be there no question. I hesitate in part because of my gut sense and concern there's no big there there.	sms
2017-05-19 00:58:32, Fri	OUTBOX	A) Pete. Let's talk about this tomorrow. \U0001f621	sms
2017-05-19 00:58:59, Fri	INBOX	Regardless of the outcome, I think there's a certain savvy business decision for you to do it \n\n600k/year + doing white hat corporate investigations isn't a bad exit strategy.	sms
2017-05-19 00:59:12, Fri	OUTBOX	C) Is that going tomorrow?	sms
2017-05-19 00:59:52, Fri	INBOX	A) fine! Didn't think I said anything wrong. But fine. \n\nC) yep. Is Andy going to the board?	sms
2017-05-19 01:00:40, Fri	INBOX	C) I suppose he can't. He has to sign as D so I don't know if that means he can't chair the board.	sms

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body	Type
2017-05-19 01:00:46, Fri	OUTBOX	Don't know.	sms
2017-05-19 01:01:06, Fri	OUTBOX	Have to go eat. Talk to you tomorrow	sms
2017-05-19 01:01:30, Fri	INBOX	K. Bye, Lisa. Hope some sleep brings clarity.	sms
2017-05-19 02:15:28, Fri	INBOX	If I don't go, does that make your decision easier?	sms
2017-05-19 02:17:18, Fri	OUTBOX	Nope.	sms
2017-05-19 02:20:20, Fri	INBOX	I'm struggling	sms
2017-05-19 02:23:22, Fri	OUTBOX	I honestly think the break will be rejuvenating.	sms
2017-05-19 02:24:46, Fri	OUTBOX	Want to talk to Andy? Be honest that you're worried about your future with D and him gone? Be honest that if you don't get AD, you'd rather just finish out your career doing work you love?	sms
2017-05-19 02:33:23, Fri	INBOX	Maybe. But I don't want to before the board. \n\nI just want to make a difference. To have counted for something, to be in the game, down by one. \n\nWhy such a desire to prove myself?	sms
2017-05-19 03:16:04, Fri	INBOX	And I know you deal with it with me all the time, so you're inured, in a different spot...but it was wrong, unjust, even - for me to not be there today. \n\nYou may not get it. \n\nJon does.\n\nWhat was [REDACTED] thinking? Where was that in talking to his boss?\n\nDid you care once you got an invite? (be brutally honest no you didn't)\n\nAnd that may make my decision.	sms
2017-05-19 03:28:55, Fri	INBOX	I deserved a chance to see these people, to see if I wanted to go with them	sms
2017-05-19 09:42:32, Fri	INBOX	4:45 and still no better answer. Just trying to get the angry/cranky/whiny out of my thinking. I need to objectively see the issue and set it, sterile, on a shelf. Sorry about my second to last text last night.	sms
2017-05-19 10:07:08, Fri	OUTBOX	I get that you should have been there! Geez Pete.	sms
2017-05-19 10:18:02, Fri	INBOX	I know! I was grumpiness. \n\nAnd I figured out what's behind that (which we said yesterday), but been thinking in terms of how that impacts my decision. Not for here, though. \n\nYou sleep at all?	sms
2017-05-19 10:26:58, Fri	INBOX	You wake up with a better sense of what you want to do?	sms
2017-05-19 10:41:08, Fri	OUTBOX	No. I'm just going to have to feel it out. Probably talk to [REDACTED] more.	sms
2017-05-19 10:41:30, Fri	OUTBOX	I'll be in the car at 710 bc I have to meet [REDACTED] if you want to talk.	sms
2017-05-19 10:43:28, Fri	INBOX	I do. Ok let me hustle.	sms
2017-05-19 17:31:37, Fri	INBOX	So did [REDACTED] convince you? ;)	sms

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body	Type
2017-05-19 17:40:13, Fri	INBOX	Remind me [REDACTED]	sms
2017-05-19 23:39:47, Fri	INBOX	What about [REDACTED] for the project?	sms
2017-05-19 23:42:10, Fri	OUTBOX	Will explain why later. He thinks [REDACTED]	sms
2017-05-19 23:42:10, Fri	INBOX	Bill threw out [REDACTED] I wasn't real excited.	sms
2017-05-19 23:44:16, Fri	OUTBOX	Nope. Me neither. No strategic thinking.	sms
2017-05-19 23:44:18, Fri	INBOX	Well that's just dumb. He heard Director Comey's statement about what we're trying to achieve, right?\n\nAnyway. Try to not dwell on all this. \n\nGetting stuff ready to go out the door...\n\nr [REDACTED] U0001f60a	sms
2017-05-19 23:47:39, Fri	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] said, immediately, that sounds like an offer you must accept. He wants me to.	sms
2017-05-19 23:48:29, Fri	INBOX	That's really good! Im glad. That from him must be a relief for you, right?	sms
2017-05-19 23:48:45, Fri	INBOX	I REALLY like your 30 day idea.	sms
2017-05-19 23:49:08, Fri	OUTBOX	I guess. [REDACTED]	sms
2017-05-19 23:49:13, Fri	INBOX	America needs you, Lis. \U0001f60a	sms
2017-05-20 01:46:15, Sat	INBOX	[REDACTED]	sms
2017-05-20 10:04:37, Sat	INBOX	Hi. How are you feeling about things?\n\n [REDACTED] \U0001f612	sms
2017-05-21 13:16:13, Sun	INBOX	You don't have to move your office (I mean, obviously you'll be working out of the SC space) do you? At least you can put that decision off for a while?\n\nYou feeling any different about it this morning?	sms
2017-05-21 13:26:13, Sun	OUTBOX	No, I just need to figure out what I need to hand off, clean up a little.	sms
2017-05-21 13:27:36, Sun	OUTBOX	No, I'm still really stressed out. I feel like an imposter. There's no way I can live up to their expectations.	sms
2017-05-21 13:49:30, Sun	INBOX	Lis. You're gonna be great. Of all the things to worry about, your competence isn't one of them. Promise.	sms
2017-05-21 13:52:05, Sun	OUTBOX	But I'm not a real lawyer anymore! They have no idea. \U0001f615	sms
2017-05-21 13:58:08, Sun	INBOX	You have government savvy. And know the Bu. And IC. You're going to be great. \U0001f60a	sms
2017-05-21 14:09:52, Sun	INBOX	Did Aaron say they want you primarily for criminal prosecutor stuff, or is that you worrying?	sms
2017-05-21 14:10:41, Sun	OUTBOX	Me worrying. But I have the same job that they do so I mean I feel like it is founded.	sms
2017-05-21 14:17:33, Sun	OUTBOX	Driving to work. In business wear. Because Mueller. \U0001f610	sms
2017-05-21 14:38:08, Sun	INBOX	Oh lord. You meeting them?	sms

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body	Type
2017-05-21 15:22:30, Sun	INBOX	And Lisa. Aaron's time as an AUSA was about equivalent to your OCRS time. \n\nYou bring law and knowledge they can hope to get. It will be fine. I promise. You'll be an integral part of the team before the end of the month. Promise promise promise. You're a rock star. \U0001f60a	sms
2017-05-21 15:47:56, Sun	OUTBOX	Man, I'm frustrated re Andy and Carl. That may be the hardest thing moving forward. Will be at the ofc for hours if you free up to chat.	sms
2017-05-21 15:48:21, Sun	OUTBOX	Aaron did a LOT more in that time than I did.	sms
2017-05-21 15:50:38, Sun	INBOX	I would be too. You shul talk with Andy about it, even of its some time from now. But part of me really thinks should should before [REDACTED] \n\nOk [REDACTED] I'll try and leave a little early, maybe 1220 or so.\n\nAaron did different. You're going to be solid. I'm telling you, Lisa. It's normal and appropriate to feel not on par (I'd worry if you didn't), but you're going to be spectacular.	sms
2017-05-21 15:53:12, Sun	INBOX	Actually I may be able to call in a couple, standby	sms
2017-05-21 16:36:38, Sun	INBOX	Hey parking [REDACTED] should be free after 130-140 or so, I'll call then if you can talk.	sms
2017-05-21 17:10:26, Sun	OUTBOX	Had excellent convo with Andy. Let me know when you are done.	sms
2017-05-21 17:31:31, Sun	INBOX	Done. Just tried calling your desk.	sms
2017-05-21 17:37:36, Sun	OUTBOX	Grabbing food. One sec.	sms
2017-05-21 17:39:25, Sun	INBOX	K. Heading home (10 out), though I can plan a work call later on. [REDACTED]	sms
2017-05-21 18:15:56, Sun	OUTBOX	I told Aaron let's see how this might goes, then decide whether it makes sense for Bob to talk to andy.	sms
2017-05-21 18:16:32, Sun	INBOX	Might = week?	sms
2017-05-21 18:17:04, Sun	INBOX	I think that's smart.	sms
2017-05-21 18:18:28, Sun	OUTBOX	Sorry mtg. Was an autocoreect.	sms
2017-05-21 18:18:47, Sun	OUTBOX	Except even the new phone can't correct autocorrect.	sms
2017-05-21 18:20:46, Sun	INBOX	Mtg is one you're having tomorrow? Regardless, yes, meet with the team and get a sense if you all feel comfortable if you're on the right path. \n\nYeah these suck *ss. Of course it's the entire brand. [REDACTED] died as well.	sms
2017-05-21 18:26:50, Sun	OUTBOX	I'm not in it, but a mtg with Carl and zebley and I think Bill.	sms
2017-05-21 18:42:18, Sun	INBOX	Tomorrow?	sms
2017-05-21 18:45:21, Sun	INBOX	Yep let's see. I can envision the end state following the meeting, but let things run their course. One thing I (we) know is [REDACTED] will not buck [REDACTED]	sms

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body	Type
2017-05-21 19:02:22, Sun	INBOX	You still at work? Just left you a vm.	sms
2017-05-21 19:08:22, Sun	OUTBOX	Got your vm. I'm at my desk.	sms
2017-05-21 19:14:45, Sun	INBOX	Just tried	sms
2017-05-21 19:27:01, Sun	INBOX	Hey called again [REDACTED] sorry I missed you.	sms
2017-05-21 19:33:28, Sun	OUTBOX	Sorry. Went in with Andy again.	sms
2017-05-21 19:36:56, Sun	INBOX	Np. Just staffing thoughts. I think Carl and Bill are too far removed from working at that level to know. \n\nOne of the advantages I have from big a glorified case agent. \U0001f612	sms
2017-05-21 20:03:42, Sun	INBOX	And hey, fwiw, following the announcement of wf sac (and assuming I don't get it), I still think I want to talk to Andy, if only to discuss what you and i talked about. My worry is he's so damn busy right now I don't want to impose on his time. But i AM worried what the future holds with the dynamics changing....	sms
2017-05-23 18:28:58, Tue	OUTBOX	And I'm not sitting in on this meeting now. \U0001f612 Honestly, I'm really not sure I want this. Am waiting for our team to arrive, then I'm going to walk back and I'll call...	sms
2017-05-23 19:07:26, Tue	INBOX	Hey just missed you	sms
2017-05-23 19:07:42, Tue	INBOX	Stopped by your office, too	sms
2017-05-23 19:08:31, Tue	OUTBOX	Stay there, I'm coming up. I was on 4.	sms
2017-05-23 20:50:53, Tue	INBOX	Can you talk? Jon needs to cancel hpsci tomorrow so we can meet with Bob. He's going to talk to Beth to do so.	sms
2017-05-23 21:25:44, Tue	INBOX	So this drives me nuts - just had the most collegial convo with Carl (since I left your office) weird	sms
2017-05-24 00:00:00, Wed	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] Yes, it's an honor to be asked, but so what. I don't want to live constantly straddling two worlds.	sms
2017-05-24 00:02:12, Wed	INBOX	That's a very valid concern. You truly will never get this time back, ever.\n\nAnd I have no way to predict what the schedule will look like. The only thing I can tell you is the best predictor is there past, and both Bob and Aaron are tremendous Workaholics. Both demanding.	sms
2017-05-24 00:02:49, Wed	INBOX	Have you had a conversation with Aaron about what his hours have been like this past week? If he's working until 9 or 10, that says something. If they're all leaving at 6, that says another.	sms
2017-05-24 00:03:51, Wed	INBOX	My worry is that all these attorneys that they're bringing from the private sector will be used to long hours, because they're used to law firm salaries. They won't mind missing the money, but will bring that same expectation of long long hours.	sms

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body	Type
2017-05-24 00:09:35, Wed	OUTBOX	They are working very very long hours already. And every weekend. [REDACTED]	sms
2017-05-24 00:10:41, Wed	INBOX	Sigh. That's what I was afraid of. Are you locked in with Baker if something happens to Andy?	sms
2017-05-24 00:18:32, Wed	OUTBOX	So long as baker stays, yes.	sms
2017-05-24 00:37:01, Wed	OUTBOX	I really don't want to do this. I see myself growing more resolute about that with this time away.	sms
2017-05-24 00:38:15, Wed	INBOX	I'm proud of you. \n\nIn a million ways, I'm proud of you, and admire you. \n\nAnd please take that in the context of me having that pride without any obligation from you, any expectation of needing to live up to it, anything else. Just :)	sms
2017-05-24 00:38:34, Wed	INBOX	Then all the more reason to have that time.	sms
2017-05-24 00:40:18, Wed	OUTBOX	So if you really do want to join the team, don't let my participation stop you. Truly.	sms
2017-05-24 00:40:49, Wed	INBOX	Make sure you're weighting all your considerations honestly and appropriately. You said tonight you want to stay and protect the FBI. Is that true, or is it really stay and protect Andy? What if it's Director Townsend? Staying for Andy is perfectly fine. Just be brutally honest with yourself.	sms
2017-05-24 00:42:23, Wed	INBOX	I don't know what I want, Lisa. I don't want to be anything but the lead agent. And I think even that is going to be a far cry from the inner sanctum of what Bob decides. I don't think agents will play a significant role. I (or whoever) might work into that circle of trust, but he's not going to view the lead agent the same as aaron	sms
2017-05-24 00:43:26, Wed	OUTBOX	No, but Aaron might, which would be as good as Bob doing it.	sms
2017-05-24 00:52:12, Wed	INBOX	I guess. Still. I would likely retire out of that job. No way its done in [REDACTED]	sms
2017-05-24 01:03:01, Wed	OUTBOX	Could I have oca get Brennan's testimony and send it to you? Then you provide to SC?	sms
2017-05-24 01:05:38, Wed	INBOX	Just talked to Andy. I have to go in now and meet the AG with him and Bill.	sms
2017-05-24 01:08:41, Wed	OUTBOX	Now? Like, tonight?	sms
2017-05-24 01:09:43, Wed	INBOX	Yes. Talk?	sms
2017-05-24 02:55:06, Wed	INBOX	Well that was fun. AG had [REDACTED] leave "because he wasn't on the list." \U0001f62e That'll help my relationship with him...	sms
2017-05-24 02:55:25, Wed	INBOX	It's what we speculated	sms
2017-05-24 03:09:32, Wed	OUTBOX	Hell, that's not your fault. I'm headed to bed. Good luck with everything tomorrow.	sms
2017-05-24 03:10:59, Wed	INBOX	True, it's not. Surreal, chapter #832.\n\nSleep well, Lisa. :)	sms
2017-05-24 09:30:56, Wed	INBOX	Hi there.\n\nOne more observation from last night - [REDACTED] a snake.	sms

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body	Type
2017-05-24 09:50:24, Wed	OUTBOX	Absolutely, yes. I'm curious to know why you say that. I'm at the airport. Lines are unlike any I've ever seen. Not sure why.	sms
2017-05-24 16:27:37, Wed	INBOX	Hi\n\nHad a somewhat detailed convo about structure with Bob and aaron and [REDACTED] (who is [REDACTED] on steroids). They're envisioning [REDACTED] You are above that handling all leg affairs, assume reporting direct into Bob and leadership.	sms
2017-05-24 16:29:05, Wed	OUTBOX	Hmm.	sms
2017-05-24 16:29:23, Wed	OUTBOX	Will call you once I am at the gate.	sms
2017-05-24 17:07:17, Wed	OUTBOX	Hey did you get the Brennan transcript to Aaron?	sms
2017-05-24 17:09:05, Wed	OUTBOX	Never mind, I just sent it to him.	sms
2017-05-24 18:06:16, Wed	INBOX	No I hadn't. Thanks.	sms
2017-05-29 23:20:26, Mon	INBOX	NYTimes: A Constitutional Puzzle: Can the President Be Indicted?\nA Constitutional Puzzle: Can the President Be Indicted? https://nyti.ms/2scC27a	sms
2017-05-31 00:38:22, Wed	OUTBOX	You going to give az a heads up tonight?	sms
2017-05-31 00:43:50, Wed	INBOX	Oh, he was there. Standby on imsg	sms
2017-05-31 03:10:00, Wed	OUTBOX	Asked me at the end whether I would be willing to serve in a similar role as I have for Andy. It frankly makes more sense than conf. affairs. Let's talk though. Also, please clear.	sms
2017-06-01 00:53:04, Thu	OUTBOX	Btw andy called me earlier but I was at [REDACTED] and couldn't talk. Our plan is to talk tomorrow. Good sign that he is reaching out I think.	sms
2017-06-01 01:06:05, Thu	INBOX	Gosh I hope so. I was having some regret that I didn't tell him what I was currently thinking	sms
2017-06-01 01:09:41, Thu	OUTBOX	I'm sure he's calling to follow up from my text yesterday in light of Bob's call today. \n\nTo be clear, if he asks about you I'm going to say you're always a benefit to the team, but that the team will be okay without you.	sms
2017-06-01 01:15:14, Thu	INBOX	Is that the right answer re me? It may be true, but I'm reassessing what the hell I want right now. I don't have a great feeling about the big bureau right now and my role (and others perception of that) in it, and the SC seems like a tangible, worthwhile effort to do before I retire.	sms
2017-06-01 01:16:50, Thu	INBOX	What do you think I should do?	sms
2017-06-01 01:19:30, Thu	OUTBOX	I think you should stay the course. But I will talk to Andy honestly about [REDACTED] and future and all that.	sms

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body	Type
2017-06-01 01:23:35, Thu	INBOX	Is Andy truly accepting of the fact of the potential limitations that he may not be DD as long as he thought, and regardless, that we'll have a new D, as all this unfolds?\n\nBtw, Bill said he heard some guy in INSD was ranked #2 for the job. Someone we interviewed for a SC job in CD and turned down. Truly I don't know wtf is going on. Do I have cognitive dissonance?	sms
2017-06-01 01:44:36, Thu	INBOX	Look, here's the bottom line as best as I can sum up (and I hope you already know all this)\n\n- I don't think Andy's acting in bad faith. I do think what he hypothetically proposes isn't something in his thought process or skillset or his available time and ability. In other words, when JG or Randy or even Bob said they had a plan, they had a PLAN. I don't think Andy does. And as A/D that's something too small for him to worry about. To put a fine point on it [REDACTED] Wtf. Such a pin to the balloon of faith and confidence in the system. \n\n- I knew my standing with Comey and Andy. One is gone, the other uncertain at best. \n\n- I don't trust/believe in my standing with Dave and Carl and the rest of the EADs.\n\n- the SC is straightforward and rewarding, meaningful, honorable and a known quantity. It would cement my image as the "special project guy" or "glorified case agent" (nevermind they'll never see [REDACTED] that way for doing the same job less well). I guess I'm feeling like I don't care about that right now. But it's not like I have a long time left.	sms
2017-06-01 02:05:56, Thu	OUTBOX	I understand all this. So what do you want me to say to him? Can I lay out this thinking, attributes to me?	sms
2017-06-01 02:20:26, Thu	INBOX	I don't know. \n\nMaybe i should sleep on it.\n\nMaybe, Pete's uncertain, between Comey the [REDACTED] he's reassessing if he should just go?\n\nI don't know, Lis.	sms
2017-06-01 02:25:27, Thu	OUTBOX	We can talk in the morning.	sms
2017-06-01 02:25:56, Thu	OUTBOX	But I think that's a perfectly reasonable way to approach it.	sms
2017-06-01 02:34:23, Thu	INBOX	Thank you \U0001f636	sms
2017-06-01 02:36:40, Thu	INBOX	Sorry I don't have a ready answer here. I feel strongly - if ambiguously - at the same time I want to represent myself at the same time I don't want to be "that guy." \n\nNone of us are owed anything. Except when I think of the last 18 months. \n\nAnd then I think several of us ARE owed something. [REDACTED]	sms
2017-06-01 10:09:59, Thu	INBOX	Hi. \n\nThe only additional thought I had is it's not tenable to have both me and [REDACTED] here. If he's in charge and I'm around, it undermines him (because Mueller and Aaron will be looking to me), it puts me in a horrible position, and it's a waste of Bureau resources. I told Bill that, but in don't know that will get up from him thru Carl to Andy.	sms

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body	Type
2017-06-01 10:14:34, Thu	OUTBOX	Yeah, I definitely plan to do that.	sms
2017-06-01 10:16:51, Thu	OUTBOX	I'm growing more doubtful about my role staying on. For the same reason you're not absolutely essential once 30 or 45 days is up, I'm not absolutely essential. You don't need someone to see around corners and check for pitfalls when you have the most all-star of all-star teams. I mean sure, might a catch a ball or two? Maybe. But not like the value I add at HQ when it's mostly not all-stars.	sms
2017-06-01 10:16:59, Thu	INBOX	Thanks. Really curious to hear what he's thinking.	sms
2017-06-01 10:21:01, Thu	INBOX	I hear you. But a couple of things. First, I really truly believe you're not giving yourself enough credit for how good you are. Depends on what you want. At the Bu, you'll be a superstar amongst mostly not all-stars. There, you're a superstar amongst superstars. Second, be really honest, what is your future at the Bureau? As long as Andy is A/D, you're in a weird place with JR and [REDACTED] I don't know what his future is when a D is confirmed. Nor do I know Bakers future. [REDACTED] [REDACTED] And in terms of launching into the private sector, it's FAR more valuable	sms
2017-06-01 10:22:01, Thu	INBOX	On the flip side, I probably wouldn't want to be [REDACTED] not with [REDACTED]	sms
2017-06-01 10:22:03, Thu	OUTBOX	This is all true. But do I want this enough if my job is [REDACTED]	sms
2017-06-01 10:22:21, Thu	INBOX	Jesus	sms
2017-06-01 10:23:20, Thu	OUTBOX	I honestly just don't see much of a role working for Bob/Aaron. They don't really need me. I'm happy to shag issues, but I don't really see that happening. Aaron doesn't give up much.	sms
2017-06-01 10:25:12, Thu	INBOX	That's definitely true re Aaron. I don't know if you'll be part of the true inner core, especially when they're fully staffed. Nor whoever the lead fbi person is.	sms
2017-06-01 10:25:50, Thu	OUTBOX	So then what am I doing there? Just padding my resume?	sms
2017-06-01 10:30:40, Thu	INBOX	Doing something meaningful, historic	sms
2017-06-01 10:31:15, Thu	INBOX	And yes, making contacts and superbly positioning yourself for whatever comes next	sms
2017-06-01 10:32:00, Thu	OUTBOX	Meh. Not if I'm the least impressive among the most impressive brains in America.	sms
2017-06-01 10:32:06, Thu	INBOX	Sorry was reading Carrie Johnson tweet and thread about Andrew being added to the team	sms
2017-06-01 10:32:44, Thu	OUTBOX	Send it please? He must have told her - they're close.	sms
2017-06-01 10:33:55, Thu	INBOX	Lisa. I don't think that's true. You won't be least impressive. You (and/or i, if I go) will solidly hold our own. Thats more than enough for you when it's all done. And that group will forever take take of itself. Look at the Enron crew.	sms

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body	Type
2017-06-01 10:34:28, Thu	OUTBOX	Yeah, maybe.	sms
2017-06-01 22:48:19, Thu	INBOX	██████████ and I thought Jim (maybe you too but i couldnt read that) was cranky. Was that from your convo before?	sms
2017-06-01 22:50:55, Thu	INBOX	Argued with him along the lines of what I assume you did. I get his unanticipated consequences arguments, but I think it strains logic.\n\nAnyway. Sorry that review made you late. ██████████	sms
2017-06-01 22:51:54, Thu	OUTBOX	I didn't think Jim was cranky. Probably just tired because he was just on an hours long panel on 702. I thought YOU were cranky.	sms
2017-06-01 22:53:31, Thu	INBOX	Oh. \n\nAmd really? Im sorry. Just felt really strongly on that one early point. Was I a jerky? If so, I apologize. :(sms
2017-06-01 22:54:44, Thu	OUTBOX	No, you just get that weird defensive way that isn't really appropriate for a colleague but whatever.	sms
2017-06-01 23:11:24, Thu	INBOX	Sorry, I didn't notice. Was just worked up about it. I did note your "can I finish" which I now assume might have been driven by that perception. Anyway, sorry. I'll watch out for it.\n\n██████████	sms
2017-06-01 23:49:32, Thu	INBOX	██████████ delayed reaction to ██████████ made me laugh. \n\nFwiw, I got no further on the structure argument than i think you did. Even made the shifting organizational risk argument.	sms
2017-06-02 00:09:00, Fri	INBOX	I just sang your praises to Aaron. \U0001f60a Too long to explain here.	sms
2017-06-02 00:11:44, Fri	INBOX	Ha. Aaron just called (but had to answer another call), said he had talked to you on one of the issues...not sure what but will call back.	sms
2017-06-02 00:16:22, Fri	OUTBOX	Re the mtg tomorrow. Not clear now which subj (which is his Question I am guessing) but either way ██████████ wants to attend which is an issue.	sms
2017-06-02 00:16:47, Fri	OUTBOX	le, ██████████	sms
2017-06-02 00:17:40, Fri	INBOX	Hmm. I heard ██████████ What did you hear?	sms
2017-06-02 00:17:51, Fri	INBOX	And from who? Mine came from Paul	sms
2017-06-02 00:18:13, Fri	OUTBOX	Wait I forget the codename.	sms
2017-06-02 00:18:28, Fri	OUTBOX	Oh yes. ██████████	sms
2017-06-02 00:18:40, Fri	OUTBOX	He thought maybe someone else	sms
2017-06-02 00:20:46, Fri	INBOX	Who's saying ██████████ wants to attend?	sms
2017-06-02 00:21:28, Fri	OUTBOX	Tash said ██████████ called her said ██████████ invited them.	sms

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body	Type
2017-06-02 00:41:10, Fri	INBOX	Yeah just talked to aaron, he relayed same. [REDACTED] is f*cking this ALL up. I think Paul A gets it and Andy's intent (or at least he made statements to Carl about it in front of me), but word most certainly is not getting down the chain. \n\nYou've now GOTTA come to this sh*t show of a brief tomorrow.	sms
2017-06-02 00:41:32, Fri	INBOX	That is not, however, the topic insane your praises about. \U0001f60a	sms
2017-06-02 00:43:47, Fri	OUTBOX	So what'd you say? I need to have a convo next week about my role. If Aaron let me be an extension of him, I think I could really help him.	sms
2017-06-02 01:18:19, Fri	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] In other not fun news, one of the admin ladies said Aaron asked each of us to submit a resume for their records. \U0001f612	sms
2017-06-02 01:20:41, Fri	INBOX	Hahaha. That makes me laugh for some reason. I'll help. \U0001f60a	sms
2017-06-02 01:20:52, Fri	INBOX	Universe talking to you, Page.	sms
2017-06-02 01:24:09, Fri	OUTBOX	Btw, I meant to point out to you today, you used the expression "horse sense" in reference to "any agent's horse sense will tell them x..." See? Horse sense. It's an expression for a reason... :)	sms
2017-06-02 01:25:02, Fri	INBOX	Ha. You're absolutely right. I had no notion of doing it when I did.	sms
2017-06-02 01:49:02, Fri	INBOX	Aaron said he was going to talk to Carl tomorrow. I told him that, [REDACTED] some call he had with [REDACTED] these are the 10-14 days of hurt feelings and tears. At the point of moving past nice, just saying how it is.	sms
2017-06-02 10:54:20, Fri	OUTBOX	Think we are having the 9:15 this am?	sms
2017-06-02 10:54:46, Fri	INBOX	We're not. Was going to ask if you want to walk over.	sms
2017-06-02 10:56:23, Fri	OUTBOX	Have to do the class review doc first.	sms
2017-06-02 13:37:36, Fri	INBOX	And I just picked up on what you said when you answered the phone. What were/are you talking about?	sms
2017-06-02 13:38:15, Fri	OUTBOX	SC job vs staying	sms
2017-06-02 13:39:21, Fri	INBOX	What does he think - yes for you, no for me?	sms
2017-06-02 13:44:32, Fri	OUTBOX	Torn like I am. Agrees that andy and you need to talk.	sms
2017-06-02 13:46:27, Fri	INBOX	I am too, Lis. Kind of really depends on that conversation you have with Aaron.\n\nr [REDACTED] running late with Bill. I'll call you when I'm done. Haven't heard back from Aaron, maybe we just walk over (again)	sms
2017-06-02 13:47:23, Fri	OUTBOX	So is Bill free? I have a r0 second question	sms

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body	Type
2017-06-02 13:48:06, Fri	INBOX	No - he switched meeting times with me because he has to run to State. Amd now he's late.	sms
2017-06-02 16:43:26, Fri	INBOX	You free?	sms
2017-06-02 16:51:31, Fri	INBOX	Talking to [REDACTED] We need to talk	sms
2017-06-02 16:58:10, Fri	OUTBOX	Sorry, just found my phone. Not much to do right now re [REDACTED] but okay.	sms
2017-06-02 17:53:17, Fri	INBOX	Two redactions I need to discuss, let me know when you're back	sms
2017-06-02 19:34:27, Fri	INBOX	Please call me before you leave SC	sms
2017-06-02 19:39:25, Fri	OUTBOX	Am at desk here	sms
2017-06-02 21:12:14, Fri	INBOX	Thanks for bringing those two things back. :)	sms
2017-06-02 21:42:44, Fri	INBOX	You still here? I have hill contact dates for you	sms
2017-06-02 22:15:20, Fri	INBOX	I talked with Aaron, call me if you can	sms
2017-06-02 22:23:50, Fri	OUTBOX	Will call you on the way home. Got a drink with baker. Needed his good counsel.	sms
2017-06-02 22:24:09, Fri	OUTBOX	Talked to him about you too, so he's going to think about it and will reach out.	sms
2017-06-02 22:25:25, Fri	INBOX	Man I can NEVER get him to go out. I've got that [REDACTED] jealousy you mentioned.;)	sms
2017-06-02 23:23:46, Fri	OUTBOX	Hope things are okay. Please do what you think is best re job. Don't sweat me. I'll just have to talk to [REDACTED] about it if the decision presents itself.	sms
2017-06-02 23:42:36, Fri	INBOX	What do you want. Don't think. What's your immediate gut?	sms
2017-06-02 23:47:10, Fri	INBOX	I can probably talk in 10 min or so	sms
2017-06-02 23:50:32, Fri	OUTBOX	I really don't know. Jim got me squarely back into support sc, but that changes minute by minute.	sms
2017-06-02 23:50:50, Fri	OUTBOX	I would love to, but not sure I can. [REDACTED]	sms
2017-06-02 23:52:37, Fri	INBOX	Got it. \n\nI think where I am is exactly what YOU thought. \U0001f636\n\nI can only take this up to a point. After that, Mueller and the bright attorneys will drive it, not me.	sms
2017-06-02 23:53:53, Fri	INBOX	W/r/t you, I think it's a bit different. I think you will be a trusted part of the team, but maybe never in the core leadership. BUT, because you're an attorney, this is an entirely different developmental opportunity. This helps you immeasurably in your future legal career.	sms
2017-06-03 00:02:02, Sat	OUTBOX	Yes, I'm sure that is true.	sms
2017-06-03 00:06:12, Sat	INBOX	How will your ego be if you end up working as a more "junior" attorney in this? (Not saying you will, just think that's the unlikely worst case for you).	sms

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body	Type
2017-06-03 00:12:08, Sat	OUTBOX	I talked about that with Jim. He has a nice way of framing that I'm not there to compete with [REDACTED] so don't try to write some magnificent appellate brief.	sms
2017-06-03 00:17:19, Sat	OUTBOX	And let's face it, I WILL be a junior attorney. We've got the freaking titans of law joining this thing.	sms
2017-06-03 00:19:50, Sat	INBOX	Exactly. I see that. I wanted to see if you saw the same. And if you're comfortable maybe coming off the bench on the all star team rather than being one of the star starters on team FBI.	sms
2017-06-03 00:22:07, Sat	OUTBOX	Ha. Jim had a similar analogy. You might not be on the line, but there are a lot of people who are necessary to keep the team on the field.	sms
2017-06-03 00:22:18, Sat	INBOX	You haven't asked, but I think you should go. It is an experience unlike any other you're going to get. Life changing. \n\nThats the ONLY thing seriously keeping me considering it.	sms
2017-06-03 00:22:54, Sat	OUTBOX	I know you are right.	sms
2017-06-03 00:23:45, Sat	OUTBOX	It's just hard to be untethered to the Bureau and Andy right now. It's been bad enough without Comey, this just feels like another loss.	sms
2017-06-03 00:24:06, Sat	INBOX	Well i knew he was smart. \U0001f609\n\nTo my question: how do you feel when they call the meeting, not just Mueller aaron and Quarles, but a couple of attorneys, but not you?	sms
2017-06-03 00:24:44, Sat	INBOX	Oh this project? You'll feel an integral part of a family team before the 4th of July. You'll be working too hard not to.	sms
2017-06-03 00:26:10, Sat	INBOX	And therein's the rub. How much have you enjoyed your [REDACTED] \n\nMy biggest drawback is I'm just an agent on an attorney-driven team.	sms
2017-06-03 00:27:01, Sat	INBOX	I want to talk to Baker. I'm curious how he addresses the not being part of the true leadership team at the end of the day, how I have a greater potential to give in the FBI.	sms
2017-06-03 00:34:25, Sat	OUTBOX	I won't like it one bit. Jim says I need to be patient, that I will earn their trust and make it into the room eventually.	sms
2017-06-03 00:40:11, Sat	INBOX	I wouldn't either.	sms
2017-06-03 00:41:08, Sat	INBOX	And I completely get your feeling of untethered, of yet another loss.	sms
2017-06-03 00:41:28, Sat	INBOX	But Andy's going right around the corner. In a blink of an eye.	sms
2017-06-03 00:47:57, Sat	OUTBOX	And what does SC do to your [REDACTED]	sms
2017-06-03 01:54:35, Sat	INBOX	Watcha doing?\n\nI've lost all motivation. Didn't edit - let alone send - email to Jim. [REDACTED]	sms

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body	Type
2017-06-03 01:54:59, Sat	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] displays on my work iPhone and I never cleared. [REDACTED]	sms
2017-06-03 01:55:46, Sat	OUTBOX	Why not send it? Jim cares about you. Jim only pays the attention that he does to me because I'm pushy and I show up.	sms
2017-06-03 02:16:02, Sat	INBOX	Well I don't want to do it now, it's late. Let me make the edit and re-send to you. \n\nI'm sorry about the msg. Thats good to know about the lock screen.	sms
2017-06-03 03:31:31, Sat	INBOX	I get more uncertain as time goes on.\n\nYou get shy more clarity?	sms
2017-06-03 03:31:56, Sat	INBOX	Any not shy	sms
2017-06-03 11:13:04, Sat	INBOX	This is turning out to be a really hard decision. Are you settling on one place or another?	sms
2017-06-03 11:31:36, Sat	INBOX	Because I'm really torn. I thought sleep would help, but nope. I guess I'm still leaning don't go. \n\nWhere are you with you?	sms
2017-06-03 11:42:34, Sat	OUTBOX	I'm just going to stay for now, do what they want me to do.	sms
2017-06-03 11:47:19, Sat	INBOX	Good!	sms
2017-06-03 11:48:00, Sat	INBOX	You feeling at peace with that?	sms
2017-06-03 11:51:36, Sat	OUTBOX	No, but I'm going to try to get there.	sms
2017-06-03 11:53:10, Sat	INBOX	I get it. [REDACTED]	sms
2017-06-03 11:53:37, Sat	INBOX	And you need to tell me one last time it's not presumptuous to email JB...	sms
2017-06-03 11:58:44, Sat	OUTBOX	It is not. You can even tell him I told you to email jim.	sms
2017-06-03 12:00:40, Sat	INBOX	Well, I sent it. And promptly realized I forgot to change "this evening" to "yesterday." \U0001f612	sms
2017-06-03 16:16:35, Sat	INBOX	And no response from Jim and I feel foolish...maybe it's the universe, along with you, telling me to stay the course...	sms
2017-06-03 16:32:21, Sat	OUTBOX	He almost never checks on the weekend. Wait until the evening. And stop worrying. He cares about you.	sms
2017-06-03 16:35:12, Sat	INBOX	Ok \U0001f636	sms
2017-06-03 16:35:19, Sat	INBOX	Thank you	sms
2017-06-03 20:20:12, Sat	OUTBOX	Well [REDACTED] officially been invited to the 5:00 now... \U0001f615	sms
2017-06-03 20:21:44, Sat	INBOX	5 is today? That's more than I've heard...any FBI there?	sms
2017-06-03 20:21:59, Sat	INBOX	Agents, I mean	sms

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body	Type
2017-06-03 20:22:35, Sat	INBOX	Or you mean the daily 5s? If it makes you feel any better, I've had no calls and no emails since Aaron yesterday at 530.	sms
2017-06-03 20:24:13, Sat	OUTBOX	The daily 5:00s.	sms
2017-06-03 20:24:34, Sat	INBOX	Oh. Have those started?	sms
2017-06-03 20:25:00, Sat	INBOX	I haven't heard anything about them. I was just going to show up.	sms
2017-06-03 20:25:38, Sat	OUTBOX	asked me where I substantively want to land on the team. I just don't know the answer. Maybe under Andrew on At least I know him.	sms
2017-06-03 20:25:44, Sat	INBOX	How did you find out? From him? Though I confess this is steering me firmly onto the stay the course path.	sms
2017-06-03 20:26:14, Sat	OUTBOX	I'm guessing you haven't been invited because they don't want it to be if you leave. Yea, they happen every day.	sms
2017-06-03 20:26:56, Sat	OUTBOX	I'm at SC. Had a mtg with az, and at 1:30.	sms
2017-06-03 20:27:06, Sat	INBOX	Is "chief of staff" or "assistant to Aaron" tenable? Aaron told me they were going to have them. Just hadn't heard they had started.	sms
2017-06-03 20:27:48, Sat	INBOX	Any agents around? I'm telling you, this may cement it for me.	sms
2017-06-03 20:27:48, Sat	OUTBOX	He asked to start coming to them at the end of our meeting.	sms
2017-06-03 20:28:17, Sat	INBOX	Any indication to you about whether or not he wanted you there?	sms
2017-06-03 20:28:26, Sat	OUTBOX	In the ofc? No. But that doesn't mean. They're not working at hq.	sms
2017-06-03 20:28:50, Sat	INBOX	I'd do or If you can't do some leadership element thing	sms
2017-06-03 20:29:04, Sat	OUTBOX	He certainly didn't tell me to start showing up, so I didn't ask.	sms
2017-06-03 20:29:35, Sat	INBOX	I still think it's ultimately OK for you even if you're on the bench. But you ego's gonna need some TLC.	sms
2017-06-03 20:31:53, Sat	OUTBOX	Yeah, tell me about it. \U0001f614	sms
2017-06-03 20:33:42, Sat	INBOX	Think of it this way - coming down off of DD staff to this is a HELL of a lot better than coming down to OGC somewhere....	sms
2017-06-03 20:34:30, Sat	INBOX	But no doubt about it, it sucks. I can feel MY urge to want in, right now, even though I'm in some non-committed tdy limbo.	sms
2017-06-03 20:39:16, Sat	INBOX	How long are you staying? Will people be around if I stop by before the Valor game? (That'll impress the hell.out of them!)	sms
2017-06-03 20:40:44, Sat	OUTBOX	I'm at hq now, but JQ and AW are there.	sms
2017-06-03 20:44:50, Sat	INBOX	I wouldn't be there for another hour, plus I doubt my card works.	sms

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body	Type
2017-06-03 20:55:58, Sat	INBOX	Do you want to be [REDACTED] Andrew? Why not with [REDACTED] where there are at [REDACTED] and you might get assigned one? But I don't know. Thats a tough call.	sms
2017-06-03 21:00:35, Sat	OUTBOX	I'm scared of [REDACTED] Andrew is at least a known quantity? I don't know...	sms
2017-06-03 21:18:22, Sat	INBOX	Yeah I know. [REDACTED] though. Plus you know CI better than all of the attys....	sms
2017-06-03 21:21:11, Sat	INBOX	Driving if you can talk.	sms
2017-06-03 21:21:40, Sat	INBOX	Truly that might be your niche - work the [REDACTED]	sms
2017-06-03 21:21:46, Sat	INBOX	Angle	sms
2017-06-03 23:05:51, Sat	OUTBOX	Crap! I forgot my eras. \U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621	sms
2017-06-03 23:06:18, Sat	INBOX	Dude. It's all unclassified	sms
2017-06-03 23:06:37, Sat	INBOX	Type on home computer and email to yourself.	sms
2017-06-03 23:06:58, Sat	OUTBOX	Okay. But it would help to have what I wrote. But fair enough.	sms
2017-06-03 23:07:04, Sat	INBOX	Subj in custody, btw. First ML arrest of the Trump era	sms
2017-06-03 23:08:09, Sat	INBOX	Yeah. [REDACTED]	sms
2017-06-03 23:12:34, Sat	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	sms
2017-06-04 01:13:36, Sun	INBOX	I'm trying to write an email back to [REDACTED] but don't know what to say after, thanks for the heads up. How would you finish that email?	sms
2017-06-04 01:15:06, Sun	OUTBOX	Maybe just hope this gets resolved soon? I have no idea.	sms
2017-06-04 01:17:15, Sun	OUTBOX	I'm going to mention [REDACTED] And I'm going to tell him [REDACTED] has to grow a pair and start correcting people when they say Andy.	sms
2017-06-04 01:20:42, Sun	INBOX	Yep	sms
2017-06-04 01:21:23, Sun	INBOX	He just needs to send a message. Amd [REDACTED] needs to stand up and get people in line. Thats his job, not JR's.	sms
2017-06-04 01:25:27, Sun	OUTBOX	Please, when you get a chance, plug with Aaron all the behind the scenes work I did with Andy, Jim, etc. to get this result. Andy was NOT going to do it just based on Mueller's convo with him - he just didn't understand what the problem was. AND I did a lot of work to help them understand that [REDACTED] was also not the right guy.	sms
2017-06-04 01:25:42, Sun	OUTBOX	Thank you. \U0001f636	sms
2017-06-04 01:26:36, Sun	INBOX	Of course I will. \U0001f636	sms

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body	Type
2017-06-04 01:29:37, Sun	OUTBOX	I worry they think I'm too loyal to Andy or Comey, won't truly trust me because of that. Maybe this will help.	sms
2017-06-04 01:29:39, Sun	OUTBOX	?	sms
2017-06-04 01:29:42, Sun	OUTBOX	I dunno.	sms
2017-06-04 01:35:17, Sun	INBOX	I wouldn't worry about that	sms
2017-06-04 01:35:20, Sun	INBOX	Just be you	sms
2017-06-04 01:35:44, Sun	INBOX	That will be enough \U0001f60a\U0001f636	sms
2017-06-04 01:39:15, Sun	OUTBOX	It hasn't been yet.	sms
2017-06-04 01:40:57, Sun	INBOX	This is true. \n\nAnd I don't think it's that they don't trust you because of Andy or Comey. I think they just don't trust, generally. You will work your way in. Patience.	sms
2017-06-04 01:49:57, Sun	INBOX	And sigh. Ok. \n\nDon't worry too much about Mueller and Aaron. You're going to be ok. :)	sms
2017-06-04 15:38:29, Sun	INBOX	Just talked to Jim for 40 minutes. He's a good man. He strongly thinks I should go.	sms
2017-06-04 17:12:33, Sun	OUTBOX	He is an incredibly good man.	sms
2017-06-04 17:45:04, Sun	INBOX	Yeah	sms
2017-06-05 01:25:56, Mon	OUTBOX	So did you ever get an invite to tomorrow strategy mtg? And Aaron ever call you back?	sms
2017-06-05 01:27:40, Mon	INBOX	Yeah me too, re tired. \n\nAaron did call. Think it's still a little early for the strategy meeting, so will just do the ops update followed by the oca/OPA wrap	sms
2017-06-05 01:29:20, Mon	INBOX	I talked through what he'd envision were I to stay. I asked what my role would be, especially in terms of the leadership group. He thought i would be, but wanted to confirm with RM.	sms
2017-06-05 01:29:46, Mon	INBOX	Looks like all hands svtc on Tues and strategy meeting either Tues or Wed.	sms
2017-06-05 01:35:31, Mon	OUTBOX	He ask you to stay for oca/ops wrap?	sms
2017-06-05 01:40:42, Mon	OUTBOX	Super.\n\nI'm glad for you at least.	sms
2017-06-05 01:40:58, Mon	INBOX	And morning meetings, but not tomorrow so he can run past RM. He said those have been largely admin in nature.	sms
2017-06-05 01:41:39, Mon	OUTBOX	So you joining the team? I need to talk to [REDACTED] if so.	sms
2017-06-05 01:41:59, Mon	INBOX	I don't know.	sms
2017-06-05 01:43:12, Mon	INBOX	I told him I wanted to be part of the leadership team if I stayed, because otherwise i didn't know if it would be worth it for me.\n\nI think we do 30 and try things on for size, which I guess was the plan anyway.	sms

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body	Type
2017-06-05 01:44:56, Mon	INBOX	Let's see what RM says first. If it's not going to work out, then no need to go there	sms
2017-06-05 01:49:32, Mon	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] t'll be fine.	sms
2017-06-05 01:56:04, Mon	INBOX	My sense is it will be. All of this is based on earned trust from competence, anyway. I'd have the advantage of being the senior bureau person, which also helps.\n\nI want to talk to you again, obviously. I was surprised how strong and unanimous both JB and Bill were. And if I do after all that, need to talk to Andy.	sms
2017-06-05 02:00:27, Mon	OUTBOX	Okay.	sms
2017-06-05 02:01:51, Mon	INBOX	I don't want to go to sleep, because if I do, then next thing I'll wake up and it will be time for work :(sms
2017-06-05 02:06:05, Mon	OUTBOX	At least at a job in which you're wanted.	sms
2017-06-05 10:06:06, Mon	INBOX	Hi there. They do want you, Lisa. Just gotta figure your spot.	sms
2017-06-05 10:10:22, Mon	OUTBOX	Right	sms
2017-06-05 10:11:59, Mon	INBOX	You going to talk to Aaron about it? Or just dive in and see what organically develops?	sms
2017-06-05 10:13:21, Mon	OUTBOX	That's starting to seem a little pushy and desperate.	sms
2017-06-05 10:14:44, Mon	INBOX	Yeah I agree. So the latter, then. I'm telling you, you'll prove your worth a thousand times, quickly. \n\nGod there are like a million extra bird and nature sounds. Not sure what's up this morning..	sms
2017-06-05 10:16:22, Mon	OUTBOX	I can't prove my worth if I don't have anything to do worthwhile to do, but sure.	sms
2017-06-05 10:17:24, Mon	INBOX	Don't you have the [REDACTED] You can use my car to go over there to talk if you want. \n\nYou mentioned worry about becoming his admin person. I wouldn't worry about that too much.	sms
2017-06-05 10:18:24, Mon	INBOX	Just keep getting whatever done and ask for more. Do what you do naturally. Be tireless and bright and curious.	sms
2017-06-05 10:18:38, Mon	INBOX	Be you \U0001f636	sms
2017-06-05 11:51:42, Mon	INBOX	How long is the task that Aaron gave you going to take? The [REDACTED] one?	sms
2017-06-05 12:20:45, Mon	OUTBOX	No clue. No one knows what it entails yet.	sms
2017-06-05 13:27:20, Mon	INBOX	Just talked with Aaron, call me when you can	sms
2017-06-05 13:28:16, Mon	OUTBOX	I will.	sms
2017-06-05 13:29:11, Mon	INBOX	I'm frustrated. I know you must be too.	sms
2017-06-05 13:47:55, Mon	INBOX	Hey are you still on phone ? np if so, just want to know whether to hang or not. Have a couple of things for you then I need to hit bubble and SC.	sms

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body	Type
2017-06-05 13:51:57, Mon	OUTBOX	I am with [REDACTED] You coming to 10 am?	sms
2017-06-05 13:53:21, Mon	INBOX	Shit	sms
2017-06-05 13:53:24, Mon	INBOX	Yes. Where?	sms
2017-06-05 13:53:55, Mon	OUTBOX	You can skip it. Ogc conf. Room. I'm not even sure oca is coming.	sms
2017-06-05 13:55:36, Mon	INBOX	Got some things I need to run past you, I'll com	sms
2017-06-05 13:55:38, Mon	INBOX	Come	sms
2017-06-05 16:45:28, Mon	OUTBOX	Checking out.	sms
2017-06-05 16:46:50, Mon	INBOX	Rgr	sms
2017-06-05 18:44:10, Mon	INBOX	So this great. Walked over here around 2, they're all in a meeting (I think) and I've been kind of sitting/waiting. \U0001f612	sms
2017-06-05 19:00:42, Mon	OUTBOX	Let me talk to SC about [REDACTED] I just spoke to Rybicki about them and where things stand.	sms
2017-06-05 19:01:41, Mon	INBOX	I just talked to Aaron. He said [REDACTED] in other words, [REDACTED]	sms
2017-06-05 19:02:13, Mon	OUTBOX	Call me?	sms
2017-06-05 19:05:06, Mon	INBOX	Hey so are you gong to come over in between? If so, I'll wait to talk to Aaron until you get here.	sms
2017-06-05 19:05:31, Mon	OUTBOX	Yes, going to leave momentarily.	sms
2017-06-05 19:10:13, Mon	INBOX	Ok bring [REDACTED]. Just talked to [REDACTED] we can conference call her and mark it up, then get it to Bill for stamp. Put a cover EC and put them in the file.	sms
2017-06-05 21:23:19, Mon	INBOX	And for now, I'm not in the 5:00s... \U0001f612... Aaron told him he recommended yes, but no answer yet.	sms
2017-06-05 22:45:27, Mon	INBOX	Hey you still at work? I'm finishing up with Aaron. He's trying to call Scott S now	sms
2017-06-05 22:46:03, Mon	INBOX	And [REDACTED] has an office, you better try and hop on one quick	sms
2017-06-05 22:46:32, Mon	OUTBOX	I am still here. I'll hit you up shortly.	sms
2017-06-05 22:47:04, Mon	INBOX	Ok I'm in Aarons office, if I don't answer that's why	sms
2017-06-05 22:47:22, Mon	OUTBOX	Should I come over?	sms
2017-06-05 22:47:53, Mon	INBOX	Don't think so, I think I'm about to head out.	sms
2017-06-05 22:52:37, Mon	OUTBOX	In with baker .	sms

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body	Type
2017-06-05 22:53:18, Mon	INBOX	Hey Aaron asked what your second issue was - we both remember op ed/pre-pub review. Can we conference call you?	sms
2017-06-05 22:53:35, Mon	OUTBOX	I'm in with baker.	sms
2017-06-05 22:53:53, Mon	OUTBOX	Was re Stephen Kelly sitting in closed with comey.	sms
2017-06-05 22:53:57, Mon	OUTBOX	Not a big deal.	sms
2017-06-05 22:54:09, Mon	OUTBOX	But need to talk to him about foia too	sms
2017-06-05 22:55:07, Mon	INBOX	Yep. Do you want to conference call, come over, talk tomorrow? Why don't I propose meeting a little bit before the 10?	sms
2017-06-05 22:55:17, Mon	INBOX	That way, just all walk up...	sms
2017-06-05 22:56:39, Mon	INBOX	Ok, so just proposed to aaron we meet at 945 in my office.\n\nSolved!\n\n:) I've got you....	sms
2017-06-05 23:11:18, Mon	INBOX	Heading back [REDACTED] call me whenever	sms
2017-06-05 23:17:29, Mon	OUTBOX	I can't talk right now. I'll call you back shortly.	sms
2017-06-05 23:30:22, Mon	INBOX	Hey I'm getting ready to leave. If you're finishing, I'll sit tight, otherwise call me on cell.	sms
2017-06-05 23:36:03, Mon	OUTBOX	In with Andy, leaving now	sms
2017-06-05 23:36:40, Mon	INBOX	K I'm still here. You leaving leaving or just going back to office?	sms
2017-06-05 23:47:05, Mon	OUTBOX	Office. Headed there now.	sms
2017-06-06 01:01:40, Tue	INBOX	Clear imsg...	sms
2017-06-06 01:36:59, Tue	INBOX	Boo where'd you go?	sms
2017-06-06 01:47:16, Tue	OUTBOX	Sorry, this was in my lit bag. Still at work.	sms
2017-06-06 01:48:11, Tue	OUTBOX	Aaron and [REDACTED] were here reading [REDACTED] Aaron asked if you would be willing to take a copy to Bob tomorrow am when you get in. He gets in around 730/745.	sms
2017-06-06 01:48:20, Tue	INBOX	Oh Jesus. Really? You get to talk to aaron?	sms
2017-06-06 01:49:04, Tue	INBOX	Marked?\n\nWe get an answer, re [REDACTED]?	sms
2017-06-06 01:49:15, Tue	INBOX	Guessing yes since they were reading them	sms
2017-06-06 01:49:28, Tue	OUTBOX	Yes marked. Yes to the SC team, no answer yet re [REDACTED].	sms
2017-06-06 01:52:35, Tue	INBOX	Sorry i missed it, interested in their thoughts / reaction. \n\nI'll be there at 730 with a copy for him.	sms
2017-06-06 01:52:44, Tue	INBOX	They say anything?	sms

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body	Type
2017-06-06 01:54:11, Tue	OUTBOX	Not really. Just small timing questions along the way.	sms
2017-06-06 01:56:49, Tue	INBOX	You should come meet M with me and [REDACTED]	sms
2017-06-06 01:57:52, Tue	OUTBOX	I can't be there that early. And why would I? I am just going to drop them off.	sms
2017-06-06 01:59:09, Tue	INBOX	Sit there while he reads. Thats what I'm planning to do. \n\nUntil he says, thanks, you can go...	sms
2017-06-06 02:00:07, Tue	OUTBOX	He is not going to have you sit there. At best you'll be in your cube waiting for him to finish since they are [REDACTED] and he [REDACTED]	sms
2017-06-06 02:00:37, Tue	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	sms
2017-06-06 02:03:52, Tue	INBOX	[REDACTED]	sms
2017-06-06 02:04:40, Tue	INBOX	And ha, I had NO idea about all that DOJ classified space restrictions. \n\nI'm going to have to research that...	sms
2017-06-06 02:12:49, Tue	OUTBOX	Dummy...	sms
2017-06-06 02:22:58, Tue	INBOX	We German tourists aren't always that savvy	sms
2017-06-06 02:23:22, Tue	INBOX	And who told Andrew about me? You?	sms
2017-06-06 02:39:16, Tue	OUTBOX	A long time ago, so he probably doesn't remember. I'd guess Aaron.	sms
2017-06-06 10:04:22, Tue	INBOX	Ok now I'm not happy about the early morning doc delivery. \U0001f62a\uU0001f629	sms
2017-06-06 10:06:11, Tue	OUTBOX	Aaron was under the assumption that you are in at 7:45 anyway, did you not tell him that?	sms
2017-06-06 10:17:02, Tue	INBOX	That's sometimes aspirational, as you know. \U0001f60b	sms
2017-06-06 10:24:00, Tue	OUTBOX	Ha. So you've got no one to blame but yourself. \U0001f60a	sms
2017-06-06 10:37:05, Tue	INBOX	Double \U0001f60b	sms
2017-06-06 11:11:43, Tue	OUTBOX	Hey do you have stuff to discuss at the 9:40 as well? Az seemed to believe it was your meeting.	sms
2017-06-06 11:13:10, Tue	INBOX	Ha. Not really but I'll come up with stuff. I said you had some items (which is why I cautioned you last night to save some for today)	sms
2017-06-06 11:14:03, Tue	OUTBOX	I didn't discuss any last night - but he thinks this is a you and he meeting and I had to mention that I would be there too.	sms
2017-06-06 11:15:01, Tue	INBOX	I specifically asked for the meeting in the context of you having items to talk about that we didn't get to. I went through my list, and we turn to the things you had, and I said I didn't know many of them, and that we should all sit down and meet.	sms
2017-06-06 11:15:18, Tue	INBOX	That's frustrating.	sms

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body	Type
2017-06-06 11:15:32, Tue	INBOX	I'm happy to remind him.	sms
2017-06-06 11:15:42, Tue	OUTBOX	So you're going to have to level set the purpose when we sit down.	sms
2017-06-06 11:15:45, Tue	OUTBOX	Yeah, thanks.	sms
2017-06-06 11:16:33, Tue	INBOX	I will. One of the items is, legitimately, how to approach Andy about the people that Bob is going to want a sign to the team. That discussion has to occur before I go making any calls. Maybe we can strategize about that.	sms
2017-06-06 11:18:54, Tue	OUTBOX	Why do you think Andy is going to care at all? I'm sure you can get whomever you want. Do you mean whether Andy or bowdich should tell the field offices first?	sms
2017-06-06 11:19:19, Tue	OUTBOX	And I think bowdich can make the calls to angry sacs. It certainly doesn't need to be andy.	sms
2017-06-06 11:20:54, Tue	INBOX	All of those issues. Bowdich hasn't been part of the conversations but absolutely. Bob tells Andy, Andy tells Dave make it happen.	sms
2017-06-06 11:23:53, Tue	OUTBOX	That's how I think think should go.	sms
2017-06-06 11:27:56, Tue	INBOX	OGCs gotta get done whatever work they need to do to satisfy everyone at HQ. I want to start calling people this week to tell them to plan on reporting on the 23rd	sms
2017-06-06 12:40:36, Tue	INBOX	Dropped off ██████████ with Bob. Good. (Btw, ██████████ was already here at 745)\n\nHe closed the door to read. \U0001f612\n\nWent and discussed ██████████ and access and strategy with ██████████ and ██████████ Good\n\nAaron came in, then Bob pulled everyone but me into his morning meeting. \U0001f612\n\nBefore closing the door, he said he'd get with me in a bit; I asked him if that meant he wanted me to sit tight. He said yes. So I'm at my cube...	sms
2017-06-06 12:57:57, Tue	OUTBOX	How exceptionally irritating.	sms
2017-06-06 12:58:25, Tue	INBOX	Yep. \n\nStill waiting.	sms
2017-06-06 12:58:49, Tue	OUTBOX	Aaron and ██████████ have a call with me and ██████████ at 9, so it has to end soon.	sms
2017-06-06 12:59:12, Tue	INBOX	'Cause Murphys law, the minute I call you, he'll free up	sms
2017-06-06 12:59:13, Tue	OUTBOX	No I got here at 8. Been in with Rybicki and ██████████	sms
2017-06-06 12:59:26, Tue	INBOX	Oh.	sms
2017-06-06 13:00:27, Tue	INBOX	Any consensus opinion about ██████████? I told ██████████ and ██████████ they had to give a heads up about what you me and ██████████ were doing.	sms
2017-06-06 13:02:14, Tue	OUTBOX	What do you mean consensus opinion?	sms
2017-06-06 13:02:31, Tue	OUTBOX	I'm not following this text at all.	sms

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body	Type
2017-06-06 13:02:48, Tue	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	sms
2017-06-06 13:03:14, Tue	INBOX	[REDACTED] a jerk ;)	sms
2017-06-06 13:04:44, Tue	INBOX	Will explain when I see you. Too much for here	sms
2017-06-06 13:08:17, Tue	OUTBOX	I'll meet you at 930?	sms
2017-06-06 13:08:29, Tue	OUTBOX	In hq?	sms
2017-06-06 13:08:59, Tue	INBOX	Yep. If I'm done with Bob. They're still behind closed doors.	sms
2017-06-06 13:09:20, Tue	OUTBOX	They are going to be on this call until 930	sms
2017-06-06 13:11:11, Tue	INBOX	Oh. Didn't realize that's what they're doing. So should I just head back?	sms
2017-06-06 13:11:34, Tue	INBOX	I kind of want to send th message I'm not able to just sit around for an hour	sms
2017-06-06 13:11:45, Tue	OUTBOX	I think so.	sms
2017-06-06 13:11:59, Tue	OUTBOX	You've got a mtg with az at 940, over here.	sms
2017-06-06 13:15:09, Tue	INBOX	Yep. F^ck it, told his EA I had a 930 at hq and I would find him later. \n\n [REDACTED]	sms
2017-06-06 13:18:03, Tue	INBOX	Done. Come to your office or mine?	sms
2017-06-06 13:19:04, Tue	OUTBOX	Not sure. [REDACTED]	sms
2017-06-06 13:22:05, Tue	INBOX	Ok I'll just go to my office and start. I thought mtg with Aaron was 945	sms
2017-06-06 13:22:17, Tue	INBOX	Your meeting on track to end by 930?	sms
2017-06-06 13:22:34, Tue	OUTBOX	We are, for now. But who the f knows.	sms
2017-06-06 13:22:52, Tue	INBOX	You in your office with Stephen?	sms
2017-06-06 13:23:08, Tue	OUTBOX	In Stephen's ofc.	sms
2017-06-06 13:23:51, Tue	INBOX	Ok. Food just got done. I'll just go to my office.	sms
2017-06-06 16:44:21, Tue	OUTBOX	Hey call when you are off	sms
2017-06-06 17:03:03, Tue	INBOX	Sc [REDACTED] mentioned it when Bill was there, but not the name. That only went to Carl. \U0001f612	sms
2017-06-06 22:04:42, Tue	OUTBOX	For you and aaron.	sms
2017-06-06 22:05:27, Tue	INBOX	Yep he's on the phone right now, want me to call when he's off?	sms
2017-06-06 22:05:43, Tue	OUTBOX	Can you step out and call m	sms

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body	Type
2017-06-06 22:05:48, Tue	INBOX	Or I can just ask it if you want to send	sms
2017-06-06 22:05:56, Tue	INBOX	Y	sms
2017-06-06 22:36:47, Tue	INBOX	Sigh. Still waiting to talk to Aaron....	sms
2017-06-06 22:37:41, Tue	OUTBOX	Yeah, I'm about to leave. I'll touch base with Andy tomorrow. Whatever.	sms
2017-06-06 22:38:33, Tue	INBOX	Funny I'm right there with you.\n\nYou discuss working with Andrew with him?	sms
2017-06-06 22:39:07, Tue	INBOX	Thanks, btw, for shagging that stuff for me with [REDACTED])	sms
2017-06-06 22:39:50, Tue	OUTBOX	Yeah, though baker doesn't think that's the best place to land.	sms
2017-06-06 22:40:50, Tue	INBOX	Why? A specific case vs generalist idea? Or Andrew/that case?	sms
2017-06-06 22:41:34, Tue	INBOX	Aaron just told Dreeban we've set up a [REDACTED]	sms
2017-06-06 22:42:15, Tue	OUTBOX	Sure whatever.	sms
2017-06-06 22:42:35, Tue	OUTBOX	More just that case. Just going to be a bunch of [REDACTED] etc.	sms
2017-06-06 22:46:07, Tue	INBOX	That's true re case. I think [REDACTED] is the place for you.	sms
2017-06-06 22:47:34, Tue	INBOX	I think [REDACTED] is smack square in your wheelhouse.	sms
2017-06-06 22:50:12, Tue	OUTBOX	You're going to follow up with [REDACTED] re sf86 restrictions on use, yes?	sms
2017-06-06 22:53:43, Tue	INBOX	Yes. She just emailed that [REDACTED] was not aware of any restriction other than before affirmative use we seek OPM approval since they own the info.	sms
2017-06-06 22:54:51, Tue	INBOX	And give JB credit, he's going through personnel issues and reach back into CD and FBI and the rest of it.\n\n(And i suspect I owe you some thanks for that \U0001f60a)	sms
2017-06-06 23:04:17, Tue	INBOX	And JB I think gave you a plug at the end	sms
2017-06-06 23:05:07, Tue	OUTBOX	Not following	sms
2017-06-06 23:08:47, Tue	OUTBOX	A plug for what? And to whom?	sms
2017-06-06 23:11:22, Tue	INBOX	A plug by JB about you to Aaron	sms
2017-06-06 23:17:14, Tue	OUTBOX	Oh. I didn't realize that personnel convo was with aaron, not just you. What's he say?	sms
2017-06-06 23:23:29, Tue	INBOX	Oh it was just JB and Aaron. I only heard part of Aaron's side	sms
2017-06-06 23:28:36, Tue	OUTBOX	Ah.	sms
2017-06-06 23:42:48, Tue	INBOX	Just Td you up for 702 education for the team (is it a problem/litigation issue, do we need to avoid searching against it, etc)	sms

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body	Type
2017-06-06 23:43:42, Tue	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] might also be smart on the issue.	sms
2017-06-06 23:48:49, Tue	OUTBOX	How do you know baker was talking about me?	sms
2017-06-06 23:52:53, Tue	INBOX	Too long to explain here. Convo had turned to [REDACTED] if things. Aaron mentioned thoughts and structure of something I had described. A couple of things were said,, and the convo was wrapping up, then Aaron said she IS good or something to that effect. Just my gut.	sms
2017-06-07 00:16:46, Wed	OUTBOX	Oh geez, dreeben is going to explain [REDACTED] than any human on the planet.	sms
2017-06-07 00:18:21, Wed	INBOX	A word missing "better"?	sms
2017-06-07 00:26:36, Wed	INBOX	Finally leaving. I hope you're not still at work....	sms
2017-06-07 00:27:16, Wed	OUTBOX	Nope. I left at 7. Of course I ran into [REDACTED] when he was leaving. At 5:30.	sms
2017-06-07 02:31:24, Wed	INBOX	[REDACTED] \U0001f60a\U0001f60a\nC) I have no idea who the two agents are [REDACTED] asked for. They're not associated with the team, and I may need to say "no." I'll do research and talk with her but I worry they're NY, in the same way Andrew worried about NY agents. And he had the sense to talk to me about what he wanted, and balance his request against those already on the team.\nD) curious the comment Andrew made as I stepped out, prompting your 20 year agent comment. My sense was he wasn't necessarily happy	mms
2017-06-07 09:59:50, Wed	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] C) So just sit down and talk to her honestly about it. It's okay to say no, especially since that subject NEEDS a strong CI look as well.\nD) Jesus, relax about the comment. Andrew had been making fun of himself, you stepped out to make a call, Andrew said oh no, I've scared him. I said you're a 20 year agent, you're fine. It was all a joke. That's it.	sms
2017-06-07 10:43:06, Wed	OUTBOX	C) Been thinking. Let me prep the battlefield with [REDACTED] first, like I did with Andrew.	sms
2017-06-07 10:43:42, Wed	OUTBOX	Who you are, why she can trust you, considerations to think about.	sms
2017-06-07 10:44:26, Wed	OUTBOX	And jesus, did you see that the networks are carrying Comey's testimony? Interrupting regular tv to broadcast...	sms
2017-06-07 10:45:07, Wed	INBOX	C) it's fine. I can do it - you and I have essentially the same relationship with her whereas you know Andrew much much better.	sms
2017-06-07 10:45:57, Wed	INBOX	I was going to find her early and talk, truly, I'm not worried nor do I think it will be adversarial in the least. If you have personal reasons for you and team to do it, that's a different story.	sms

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body	Type
2017-06-07 10:46:52, Wed	INBOX	It's truly going to be "the most watched Washington event since Watergate." Where are we watching (yes damn right I'm watching with you)	sms
2017-06-07 10:47:54, Wed	OUTBOX	I would just prefer to, gives me a reason to pop in and say hi too.	sms
2017-06-07 10:48:34, Wed	OUTBOX	I think I'm watching with [REDACTED] just quietly in [REDACTED] office.	sms
2017-06-07 10:49:28, Wed	INBOX	My reluctance is I want her to see me as the lead - I appreciate you doing it with Andrew since he's close to you, but I with her I guess I'd rather just directly have the conversation. Let's talk	sms
2017-06-07 10:49:51, Wed	INBOX	[REDACTED] invited everyone to the D's conference room. Is [REDACTED] not going to be there?	sms
2017-06-07 10:51:32, Wed	OUTBOX	No [REDACTED] didn't. That is for today - Andy's hearing.	sms
2017-06-07 10:52:20, Wed	INBOX	Oh	sms
2017-06-07 10:52:22, Wed	OUTBOX	No one is going to mistake me for you as the lead, but that's fine.	sms
2017-06-07 10:54:06, Wed	INBOX	I just have had email back and forth with her about it, as well as with Aaron and the team on staffing, I don't want her to suddenly think I'm inserting a proxy before we actually just sit down and talk. Truly, I think I'd prefer to talk to her if that's ok.	sms
2017-06-07 10:55:27, Wed	INBOX	And I've gotta say I'm a little bummed about Dir C testimony. Is what it is.	sms
2017-06-07 10:56:24, Wed	OUTBOX	Yup, it's fine.	sms
2017-06-07 10:57:12, Wed	OUTBOX	They don't want to make it a big scene viewing, which I get, but would be understood. So [REDACTED] and I had already discussed.	sms
2017-06-07 11:31:51, Wed	INBOX	I get, re [REDACTED] it's going to be emotional for all of us. I'm disappointed because I see a solid, unbroken line from mve to announcement to [REDACTED] to election to momentous this [REDACTED]	sms
2017-06-07 11:34:56, Wed	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	sms
2017-06-07 11:35:19, Wed	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	sms
2017-06-07 11:54:32, Wed	INBOX	[REDACTED]	sms
2017-06-07 11:55:36, Wed	INBOX	50 work things to tell you. [REDACTED] need to tell you back story	sms
2017-06-07 11:57:37, Wed	OUTBOX	I'm in the car if you want to talk.	sms
2017-06-07 12:01:27, Wed	INBOX	Wait 1	sms
2017-06-07 12:57:11, Wed	INBOX	Hey can you bring extra copies of the spreadsheet I printed for you? Thanks	sms

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body	Type
2017-06-07 16:50:41, Wed	INBOX	Are you close or still waiting to link up with [REDACTED] If you are, I don't want to be a jerk and I'll wait. If not, I'm just going to head over.	sms
2017-06-07 16:56:40, Wed	OUTBOX	We're not going over.	sms
2017-06-07 16:57:07, Wed	INBOX	Ok. You need me to do anything for you?	sms
2017-06-07 16:57:21, Wed	INBOX	(over there I mean)?	sms
2017-06-07 16:58:09, Wed	INBOX	Well actually wherever but you get my point.	sms
2017-06-07 16:59:54, Wed	INBOX	Great. My cube has literally 9 chairs in it now. \U0001f612	mms
2017-06-07 17:00:55, Wed	INBOX	Is [REDACTED] cool with me? I know that wasn't the subject of [REDACTED] stay behind (at least I certainly hope it wasn't), just was getting a really weird vibe from [REDACTED]	sms
2017-06-07 17:18:05, Wed	INBOX	Oh good. [REDACTED] really hard to read sometimes. This isn't me wanting everyone to like me (though obviously I do) - I just like and respect [REDACTED] a lot.	sms
2017-06-07 17:19:47, Wed	INBOX	Also - not for [REDACTED] talking about engaging and (at least trying to) doing interviews soon along the lines of what we discussed earlier. I think [REDACTED] is going to take on [REDACTED] until they find someone.	sms
2017-06-07 17:20:42, Wed	OUTBOX	Try to see if I am on that one, since I have no idea. \U0001f612	sms
2017-06-07 17:23:04, Wed	INBOX	I know, Lis, I know. It's super frustrating. \n\nCan you come over and just start hanging out? You need to just be here for the, hey, you, can you run this down for me/let me do that for you.	sms
2017-06-07 17:27:41, Wed	OUTBOX	Sure, I just don't have anything that I can really do over there.	sms
2017-06-07 17:31:14, Wed	INBOX	Aaron would like you and [REDACTED] to explore [REDACTED] along the lines we discussed on that one answer	sms
2017-06-07 17:31:39, Wed	OUTBOX	Yeah I really think we need to.	sms
2017-06-07 17:31:48, Wed	INBOX	Aaron asked me to ask you and [REDACTED] to take the lead on drafting something and then discuss it.	sms
2017-06-07 17:32:04, Wed	INBOX	So see, yay, something for you to do. :)	sms
2017-06-07 17:32:14, Wed	OUTBOX	Yeah, amazing.	sms
2017-06-07 17:32:25, Wed	INBOX	[REDACTED] talking to Aaron now	sms
2017-06-07 17:32:42, Wed	OUTBOX	Yeah, I'm in here. What mtg is he going to?	sms
2017-06-07 17:32:45, Wed	INBOX	Something about [REDACTED] but I'll try and listen in to the follow on	sms
2017-06-07 20:18:03, Wed	INBOX	Where are [REDACTED] physically now?	sms

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body	Type
2017-06-07 20:31:49, Wed	OUTBOX	Which ones? ██████████ to the best of my understanding.	sms
2017-06-07 20:34:57, Wed	INBOX	Yes. Ok, that's what Paul just briefed. I wasn't sure if the move to ██████████ office was imminent/going to happen.\n\nThey reaffirmed we ultimately need to get them ██████████\n\nThanks	sms
2017-06-07 20:42:06, Wed	OUTBOX	There is no move to ██████████ ofc. Just can't be ██████████ without his approval.	sms
2017-06-07 20:45:58, Wed	INBOX	Oh. Perfectm	sms
2017-06-07 21:01:39, Wed	INBOX	You coming over here at all?	sms
2017-06-07 21:01:56, Wed	OUTBOX	Should I?	sms
2017-06-07 21:05:46, Wed	INBOX	Unless you've got something going, i would. We're starting obstruction team brief but just come. Sit in on whatever comes next.	sms
2017-06-07 21:06:30, Wed	OUTBOX	I mean, I've got lots of work to do. But okay.	sms
2017-06-07 21:06:57, Wed	OUTBOX	Who is in it?	sms
2017-06-07 21:08:32, Wed	INBOX	Don't then.	sms
2017-06-07 21:09:21, Wed	INBOX	I'm just saying, come over here and stick your head in. I've gotten more the past couple of days after hours talking with Aaron and ██████████ then all day the past week and a half	sms
2017-06-07 21:09:36, Wed	INBOX	Was not suggesting it for any particular reason, just a dive in sort of thing	sms
2017-06-07 21:10:02, Wed	INBOX	If you have work to do, I'd say do it.	sms
2017-06-07 23:06:11, Wed	OUTBOX	I left. F it. There's no amount of time I can spend and finish everything. Whatever	sms
2017-06-07 23:22:12, Wed	INBOX	Hi. I know. I'm sorry. :(sms
2017-06-08 09:50:10, Thu	INBOX	Dir C's gotta have some nervous anticipation	sms
2017-06-08 10:45:20, Thu	INBOX	Hey does his testimony actually start at 10 or 1030?	sms
2017-06-08 10:45:52, Thu	OUTBOX	10	sms
2017-06-08 10:50:24, Thu	INBOX	You ok? The trained investigator in me notes the sum total of four words this morning. Still feeling unmoored?	sms
2017-06-08 10:58:02, Thu	OUTBOX	Yup. Super busy but with unclear purpose. It's the best.	sms
2017-06-08 11:18:15, Thu	INBOX	I'm firmly in the ██████████ camp. Pete's take, pulling from my Army days: embrace the suck.\n\nOrder will emerge, and specifically for you. You're too good, too enegetic, too competent. \n\nJust BE YOU. And trust. Yourself. The righteousness of all this. \n\nIt will be OK. I pinky promise. Which is the opposite of tough guy army sayings. I'm complex that way ;)	sms

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body	Type
2017-06-08 12:02:02, Thu	INBOX	Yay. Got a commitment from [REDACTED] He was a great case agent on my squad.	sms
2017-06-08 12:52:36, Thu	INBOX	And I'm sitting outside the closed door waiting to get 2 minutes before they all have their various 9:00s...\U0001f612	sms
2017-06-08 12:53:00, Thu	OUTBOX	Nice.	sms
2017-06-08 12:53:35, Thu	OUTBOX	Baker just told me about what Rybicki told you. You're going to mention to Aaron, right?	sms
2017-06-08 12:54:16, Thu	INBOX	Yeah I'm feeling great about it.\n\nYes, it's one of the reasons I'm waiting, cabana-boy style. \U0001f612\U0001f612\U0001f612	sms
2017-06-08 12:54:42, Thu	INBOX	You get my vm?	sms
2017-06-08 13:06:40, Thu	INBOX	And I'm still waiting \U0001f621	sms
2017-06-08 18:05:50, Thu	INBOX	I assume there's nothing operational /I don't need to be on the call?	sms
2017-06-08 18:06:06, Thu	INBOX	Because, again, who knows...	sms
2017-06-08 18:06:39, Thu	OUTBOX	Oh, don't worry. I'm not even on speaker. \U0001f612	sms
2017-06-08 18:07:36, Thu	INBOX	What?!? \U0001f61e\n\nGod I want to keep telling you to hang in there but it's hard....	sms
2017-06-08 18:08:01, Thu	INBOX	Nevertheless. \n\nHang in there. \n\nYour country needs you.	sms
2017-06-08 18:09:14, Thu	OUTBOX	Nope. Sent me an email yesterday about the call bc it deals with his [REDACTED] but here I am.	sms
2017-06-08 18:09:32, Thu	OUTBOX	Oh boy. Nope, you boys are going to need to [REDACTED] soon...	sms
2017-06-08 18:09:37, Thu	OUTBOX	!!!!	sms
2017-06-08 18:10:04, Thu	INBOX	Should I come in?	sms
2017-06-09 00:06:08, Fri	INBOX	Pls clr imsg. \n\nThanks for staying for personnel discussion.	sms
2017-06-09 00:35:03, Fri	INBOX	You up for a convo about [REDACTED] ?	sms
2017-06-09 01:24:29, Fri	INBOX	Just got done talking to [REDACTED] Gosh I've been blessed to have worked with great agents. \n\nThank you for reminding me all those times that I wouldn't trade what I've done for a different path. \U0001f636\n\nI don't always deserve the goodness that I have.	sms
2017-06-09 01:56:52, Fri	OUTBOX	Great [REDACTED] just called me to say that Rachel Maddow just listed by name each of the people the Director listed as having discussed the matter with, but she that I was the only one they hadn't identified yet but that they were working on it. \U0001f612	sms
2017-06-09 01:58:59, Fri	INBOX	Oh crap	sms

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body	Type
2017-06-09 01:59:02, Fri	INBOX	Won't take long.	sms
2017-06-09 01:59:10, Fri	INBOX	Welcome to the club. \U0001f612	sms
2017-06-09 02:00:54, Fri	INBOX	What's the immediate impact? Things i worried were, in order:\n1) crazies\n2) enemies lists\n3) subpoenas\n4) frivolous lawsuits/foias\n\nFrankly, though, i wouldn't worry TOO much about it.	sms
2017-06-09 02:01:17, Fri	INBOX	And you know [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] know. But they might be cool enough not to run your name.	sms
2017-06-09 02:01:26, Fri	INBOX	How are you feeling about it?	sms
2017-06-09 02:02:15, Fri	INBOX	And we do need to discuss your role in [REDACTED] [REDACTED] Not sure if I'm overthinking that.	sms
2017-06-09 02:02:59, Fri	INBOX	And \U0001f60a something for [REDACTED] to brag about and to be proud of in a couple of generations.	sms
2017-06-09 02:04:49, Fri	OUTBOX	I don't think I know a lot of enemies/crazies. Well, there is one.	sms
2017-06-09 02:05:31, Fri	OUTBOX	I'm sure they won't hesitate to out me. They outed [REDACTED]	sms
2017-06-09 02:07:24, Fri	INBOX	Who's the one?	sms
2017-06-09 02:07:37, Fri	INBOX	Who's [REDACTED]?	sms
2017-06-09 02:07:51, Fri	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	sms
2017-06-09 02:08:05, Fri	OUTBOX	You should be able to figure that out. \U0001f612	sms
2017-06-09 02:10:10, Fri	INBOX	A) they did? I didn't know that\nB) ah. Got it. \U0001f614	sms
2017-06-09 02:10:54, Fri	INBOX	So how are you feeling about it? Hopefully not too worried?	sms
2017-06-09 02:15:20, Fri	OUTBOX	Not happy about it, but obviously nothing I can do about it.	sms
2017-06-09 02:17:45, Fri	OUTBOX	Alright, I'm going [REDACTED]	sms
2017-06-09 02:19:22, Fri	INBOX	[REDACTED]	sms
2017-06-09 02:20:02, Fri	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	sms
2017-06-09 06:53:10, Fri	INBOX	[REDACTED]	sms
2017-06-09 06:57:35, Fri	INBOX	[REDACTED]	sms
2017-06-09 09:55:12, Fri	INBOX	[REDACTED] \n\nGotta talk at all hands at 10 then at Agency at 1. Neither have anything to do with SC. Doing too many things poorly. Life is great.	sms
2017-06-09 10:07:56, Fri	OUTBOX	You should stay for the dull all hands. Sends a better message.	sms

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body	Type
2017-06-09 10:12:21, Fri	INBOX	Yeah I guess.	sms
2017-06-09 10:12:57, Fri	INBOX	Didn't see your name in any news feeds. Hopefully they let it go. Who knows.	sms
2017-06-09 10:21:4	INBOX	Imsg?	sms
2017-06-09 10:26:44, Fri	OUTBOX	Go ahead	sms
2017-06-09 12:12:29, Fri	INBOX	Still ok to imsg, or call?	sms
2017-06-09 12:30:40, Fri	OUTBOX	You can imsg.	sms
2017-06-09 12:34:53, Fri	INBOX	Crap of course I didn't bring that phone. Nothing urgent. Just a convo with Bill, his feelings, and my general sense of this feeling of unease permeating a lot more broadly than I was thinking about.	sms
2017-06-09 12:42:25, Fri	INBOX	And my kick in the nuts rush for 2 minutes with Aaron and [REDACTED] to bring up things with Mueller before they walk in and shut the door. \U0001f612\U0001f612\U0001f612\U0001f612	sms
2017-06-09 15:38:37, Fri	INBOX	Hi. Got a sec.	sms
2017-06-09 15:44:12, Fri	OUTBOX	I'm walking out of SC now. Stand by.	sms
2017-06-09 16:39:17, Fri	INBOX	No [REDACTED] today	sms
2017-06-09 17:29:30, Fri	INBOX	Just got an Agency coin	sms
2017-06-09 17:29:56, Fri	INBOX	With Brennan's signature;)	sms
2017-06-09 18:11:59, Fri	INBOX	Hi. You go to R?	sms
2017-06-09 20:40:13, Fri	INBOX	This is what I'm talking about! Divide and conquer. And c'mon [REDACTED] wtf.	sms
2017-06-09 20:52:11, Fri	INBOX	Btw, we still haven't talked....He's outside swapping cars	sms
2017-06-09 22:06:13, Fri	INBOX	And [REDACTED] just ran out like Cinderella about to hit midnight...	sms
2017-06-09 23:43:44, Fri	INBOX	Just leaving. This won't end well.	sms
2017-06-10 00:07:39, Sat	OUTBOX	What won't, your participation? And why so late, just when he finally made time? Was Bob still there? I seem to remember that Andy was going to some black tie affair honoring Mueller tonight..	sms
2017-06-10 00:13:38, Sat	INBOX	No, for the former D.\n\nJust talking through how to handle. \n\nMueller left but [REDACTED] said he didn't go to the dinner	sms
2017-06-10 00:14:21, Sat	OUTBOX	Oh god.	sms
2017-06-10 03:34:05, Sat	INBOX	Hey	sms
2017-06-10 15:49:47, Sat	OUTBOX	I didn't understand you last comment about what he was angry about. Just hit me up there when you can.	sms

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body	Type
2017-06-10 15:53:19, Sat	OUTBOX	Finally, I don't like not including that one person. He is NOT recused, Bill should not be making that call for him. He can make that decision himself. He always takes a conservative approach and can be trusted to do the right thing.	sms
2017-06-10 18:39:09, Sat	OUTBOX	I'll call you back later.	sms
2017-06-10 18:39:28, Sat	OUTBOX	On a call, I'll call you back.	sms
2017-06-10 19:09:01, Sat	INBOX	Still talking? \U0001f636\n\nI'm still waiting for Aaron....	sms
2017-06-10 19:12:55, Sat	OUTBOX	Yes, I called Trisha, just to chat.	sms
2017-06-10 19:13:50, Sat	INBOX	God she cant get back soon enough...I hope she doesn't run off with the rest of the mass exodus....	sms
2017-06-10 19:19:14, Sat	OUTBOX	Okay, I'm off.	sms
2017-06-10 19:32:57, Sat	INBOX	Talking to Aaron and [REDACTED]	sms
2017-06-10 19:33:35, Sat	INBOX	Will call you then Bill	sms
2017-06-11 02:48:23, Sun	INBOX	I sent a dial in for tomorrow. We have to talk to him first.	sms
2017-06-11 02:48:51, Sun	INBOX	Given the publicity, I don't think we can get ssci to change dates	sms
2017-06-11 02:49:11, Sun	INBOX	Hi hi hi	sms
2017-06-11 02:50:40, Sun	OUTBOX	Is his open or closed?	sms
2017-06-11 02:51:01, Sun	INBOX	I couldn't tell from reporting	sms
2017-06-11 17:50:55, Sun	INBOX	Eras work?	sms
2017-06-11 18:15:49, Sun	OUTBOX	Trying it now.	sms
2017-06-11 18:16:29, Sun	OUTBOX	Honestly I think it is a waste of [REDACTED] talents to bring him to the team...	sms
2017-06-11 18:21:47, Sun	OUTBOX	First attempt was a failure. :(sms
2017-06-11 18:22:14, Sun	OUTBOX	On the very first screen, the bitlocker, what are you supposed to enter?	sms
2017-06-11 18:38:07, Sun	OUTBOX	Officially didn't work. \U0001f621 I'm going in in an hour. \U0001f620\U0001f620\U0001f620\U0001f620\U0001f620\U0001f620\U0001f620\U0001f620	sms
2017-06-11 19:42:54, Sun	INBOX	I'm sorry	sms
2017-06-11 19:43:50, Sun	INBOX	I think so too, re [REDACTED] I'm going to talk to him anyway	sms
2017-06-11 19:44:40, Sun	INBOX	Sorry about the eras. Couldn't tell you what the steps are, it's been that long...	sms
2017-06-11 19:46:27, Sun	OUTBOX	Yeah well I'm driving in now...	sms

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body	Type
2017-06-11 19:50:15, Sun	INBOX	I'm sorry. That sucks. Im trying to reach Aaron about [REDACTED] because we suck and won't have an answer until tomorrow.	sms
2017-06-11 20:37:54, Sun	INBOX	Just talked to Bill, who had talked with Carl. Bill *thinks* Carl has spoken with Andy. Bill and [REDACTED] are going to make the call to [REDACTED] tomorrow then figure out how to get the material and see what's on there.\n\nNow going to go track down Aaron...	sms
2017-06-11 20:58:22, Sun	INBOX	Bill mentioned EADs and above are gone tomorrow at some leadership retreat \U0001f612. You going to talk to Andy?	sms
2017-06-11 20:59:14, Sun	INBOX	Frankly gotta say I'm curious what's been relayed to him...	sms
2017-06-11 21:03:44, Sun	OUTBOX	He is going too. But yes, I'll try to talk to him before hand.	sms
2017-06-11 21:28:43, Sun	INBOX	We need to get Baker to do some award presentation for [REDACTED]	sms
2017-06-11 21:29:03, Sun	OUTBOX	I agree.	sms
2017-06-11 21:29:35, Sun	OUTBOX	Have five things I don't have the figures for. Maybe I'll come back tonight...	sms
2017-06-11 21:36:49, Sun	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	sms
2017-06-11 21:43:51, Sun	INBOX	[REDACTED]	sms
2017-06-12 10:37:49, Mon	INBOX	[REDACTED] I hope the meeting between Aaron and Carl resolves some of my uncertainty / stress.	sms
2017-06-12 10:42:48, Mon	OUTBOX	You shouldn't stay on, regardless of what happens.	sms
2017-06-12 10:44:17, Mon	INBOX	You're right, of course. \n\nWhat are you thinking about you? No change?	sms
2017-06-12 11:26:39, Mon	OUTBOX	I'm serious about you. Imagine the scene, head held high at the 30 day mark, just a string handshake and a good luck. As opposed to a "can I pretty please sit in on these meetings?" F it Pete. You can do better than this.	sms
2017-06-12 11:27:23, Mon	INBOX	I know. \U0001f614	sms
2017-06-12 11:30:08, Mon	INBOX	Had the same thought when you sent me [REDACTED] I don't want a significant portion of my time spent tracking down stuff that should have been sent, or included, or invited, on behalf of the investigators.	sms
2017-06-12 11:53:46, Mon	OUTBOX	Exactly. I honestly think it is an easy decision, regardless of what comes next at Fbi.	sms
2017-06-12 12:07:37, Mon	INBOX	I'm grumpy	sms
2017-06-12 12:12:29, Mon	OUTBOX	Yup, join the club.	sms
2017-06-12 12:23:50, Mon	INBOX	I am starting to hedge off of my certainly that you should go....	sms
2017-06-12 12:26:27, Mon	OUTBOX	Yeah but I don't have great options. Maybe I can do this for a year...	sms

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body	Type
2017-06-12 12:36:54, Mon	INBOX	Just talked to [REDACTED] called [REDACTED] yesterday, he was having a call with Dave and Carl later about [REDACTED] so someone clearly decided to include him, which is good. \n\ [REDACTED] hasn't talked to him since, which isn't great, particularly with a call with [REDACTED] in 55 minutes. 7th floor in a vacuum....	sms
2017-06-12 22:19:41, Mon	INBOX	Hi sorry just saw this. In [REDACTED] office with [REDACTED] Aaron walked in about 5 ago.	sms
2017-06-12 22:24:27, Mon	INBOX	And Jesus god [REDACTED] is not concise	sms
2017-06-12 22:28:12, Mon	OUTBOX	Yeah, well [REDACTED] kicked me out of the recap he was giving for Mueller and [REDACTED]	sms
2017-06-12 22:28:33, Mon	OUTBOX	That's what I was coming to say. And I'll tell you re your email later.	sms
2017-06-12 22:28:49, Mon	INBOX	Jims	sms
2017-06-12 22:28:49, Mon	INBOX	Doors open stop by quick	sms
2017-06-13 00:51:31, Tue	INBOX	Hey anticipating you may be a bit so I'm going to jet. Give me a call, of course, eager to hear how it went.... :)	sms
2017-06-13 01:09:01, Tue	OUTBOX	Still in with him. Having a very good open convo. Thinking [REDACTED] plus [REDACTED] He's on board, it's others who may not yet be comfortable with the idea.	sms
2017-06-13 01:09:57, Tue	INBOX	Excellent! !! \U0001f60a\n\nOthers = [REDACTED] attys?	sms
2017-06-13 01:10:31, Tue	INBOX	Aaron's [REDACTED] or Muellers?	sms
2017-06-13 01:10:51, Tue	OUTBOX	It would need to be mueller's to make sense.	sms
2017-06-13 01:10:54, Tue	OUTBOX	Stand by.	sms
2017-06-13 01:11:08, Tue	INBOX	Agreed. Is Bob ok with that?	sms
2017-06-13 01:31:52, Tue	INBOX	[REDACTED]	sms
2017-06-13 01:32:48, Tue	OUTBOX	Sorry. Walking out of SC now, but probably not smart to walk down the street on my phone.	sms
2017-06-13 01:34:36, Tue	INBOX	K. [REDACTED]	sms
2017-06-13 01:35:29, Tue	OUTBOX	We should talk now then bc I have to go up to the ofc and lock up.	sms
2017-06-13 01:53:32, Tue	INBOX	Thinking about it, that was a really good conversation. It shows me that Aaron trusts and respects you. :)	sms
2017-06-13 01:58:48, Tue	OUTBOX	Yeah, I think that's right. At least I hope so.	sms
2017-06-13 02:03:57, Tue	INBOX	Oh, for sure. \U0001f60a	sms
2017-06-13 02:04:28, Tue	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	sms
2017-06-13 02:05:43, Tue	INBOX	[REDACTED]	sms

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body	Type
2017-06-13 09:09:44, Tue	INBOX	Been up since 345. This is GREAT.\n\nRealized I need an answer from Aaron/Mueller about my role. If I'm not in the [REDACTED] then im out. I'm frustrated that they're talking about all this restructuring and various [REDACTED] for you (or anyone) and I can't, as lead fbi person, get an answer to a question I asked a week ago.	sms
2017-06-13 12:30:57, Tue	OUTBOX	Ah. News just said police activity on constitution ave. Awesome.	sms
2017-06-13 12:32:51, Tue	INBOX	Super!\n\nAnd guess what! The 830 started! Without me!\n\nIn mitigation, it's still just bob [REDACTED] Aaron and [REDACTED]	sms
2017-06-13 12:43:45, Tue	INBOX	And double grumble. I just asked Aaron, hey can I attend Hill prep at 5. Looooong pause. Then, "OK, I *think* that's fine. It's not going to be what you think it is."\n\nHonestly, Lisa. \U0001f612	sms
2017-06-13 12:44:37, Tue	INBOX	I'm walking out. I'll wait to order. Just going to enjoy the morning outside before it gets oppressively hot...	sms
2017-06-13 12:45:49, Tue	OUTBOX	I know dude. I don't know what else to say.	sms
2017-06-13 19:26:05, Tue	INBOX	Whoops. Misfire. Back. Had a SC feeling he really needed guidance. Which is fine. \n\nDid I miss anything?	sms
2017-06-13 23:50:01, Tue	INBOX	Just talked to aaron	sms
2017-06-13 23:50:24, Tue	OUTBOX	What's up? Just got home.	sms
2017-06-13 23:51:06, Tue	INBOX	It'll wait. Discussion of [REDACTED]	sms
2017-06-13 23:51:36, Tue	INBOX	Need to talk to you before you talk to him so you know what I said	sms
2017-06-13 23:52:51, Tue	INBOX	(Which was nothing, but we need to coordinate)\n\nHe knows who has them now but not how they got them	sms
2017-06-13 23:53:15, Tue	INBOX	My inclination is not to disclose who took them, but I'm not sure about that.	sms
2017-06-13 23:53:35, Tue	OUTBOX	We can talk tomorrow.	sms
2017-06-14 [REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED]	sms
2017-06-14 01:27:05, Wed	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	sms
2017-06-14 01:40:42, Wed	INBOX	[REDACTED]	sms
2017-06-14 01:41:15, Wed	INBOX	[REDACTED]	sms
2017-06-14 01:41:42, Wed	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	sms
2017-06-14 01:42:03, Wed	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	sms

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body	Type
2017-06-14 01:50:42, Wed	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	sms
2017-06-14 01:57:46, Wed	INBOX	[REDACTED]	sms
2017-06-14 17:49:22, Wed	INBOX	Hey you still at lunch or OSC? Have a sec?	sms
2017-06-14 18:37:20, Wed	OUTBOX	Hey there, sorry. Will be back at my desk in 2.	sms
2017-06-14 18:37:55, Wed	INBOX	K I'll swing by. Couple of things before my 3	sms
2017-06-14 18:39:02, Wed	OUTBOX	Just call? Everyone is going to be walking up before the 3.	sms
2017-06-14 18:39:22, Wed	INBOX	Ok lync	sms
2017-06-14 20:00:21, Wed	OUTBOX	Sorry, I've been over at SC since about 3:15.	sms
2017-06-14 20:01:13, Wed	OUTBOX	Definitely had to fight back tears with [REDACTED] almost with Aaron. I just don't know what to do, Pete.	sms
2017-06-14 23:33:08, Wed	INBOX	Gmail you something?	sms
2017-06-14 23:35:00, Wed	INBOX	Been talking to Bill since we got off the phone. He really thinks insult go, provided I can be I'm the room. I told him about tonight and that I just didn't see that happening.	sms
2017-06-14 23:50:47, Wed	INBOX	Nevermind re gmail	sms
2017-06-14 23:54:13, Wed	OUTBOX	And again I return to the fact that you are having an hour long conversation about whether you should go is completely asinine.	sms
2017-06-14 23:54:53, Wed	INBOX	You misunderstand. I walked in there in the first thing I said was, I've decided I shouldn't go and don't want to go.	sms
2017-06-14 23:55:10, Wed	INBOX	Bill then asked if I wanted to trade with him.	sms
2017-06-15 00:40:11, Thu	INBOX	I know. \n\nI just dug the email draft out of deleted items and sent it.	sms
2017-06-15 00:44:00, Thu	OUTBOX	Thanks. \n\nSaw your politico article too. God help me I don't think I can take much more of this.	sms
2017-06-15 00:44:42, Thu	INBOX	I know	sms
2017-06-15 11:24:51, Thu	OUTBOX	Hey. See Aaron's email. My guess is that is intended to exclude me, but let's discuss before you say anything.	sms
2017-06-15 11:33:12, Thu	INBOX	I didnt see you on the cc line (thanks Samsung \U0001f612) and already told Aaron I would right now. I'll hedge in the wording and say there may be adds/drops. \n\nI'm sure this came about in the discussion I had the door closed in my face \U0001f621	sms
2017-06-15 11:35:30, Thu	OUTBOX	Or because of the nyt story saying that SC seems to be pursuing potus in light of these interviews.	sms
2017-06-15 11:42:22, Thu	INBOX	Wp had it first. And it's as likely to be [REDACTED] leaking. All of which I would have walked through, if I had been in the room. Because, you know, media leaks and what I do for a living.	sms

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body	Type
2017-06-15 11:43:32, Thu	OUTBOX	Oh yeah, that's right.	sms
2017-06-15 18:10:53, Thu	OUTBOX	No, doing ethics training. Most everyone us here.	sms
2017-06-15 18:48:23, Thu	OUTBOX	I'm walking out now if you still need to talk.	sms
2017-06-15 18:53:32, Thu	OUTBOX	Never mind . Walking into my next mtg.	sms
2017-06-15 19:01:10, Thu	INBOX	Dang sorry was in sioc. Walking back over	sms
2017-06-15 19:46:48, Thu	INBOX	WP picked your name up in an online blog, citing Wired. "Right Turn," 9:15 today	sms
2017-06-15 19:48:16, Thu	INBOX	And you're on Wiki\n\n https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/2017_Special_Counsel_for_the_United_States_Department_of_Justice_team	sms
2017-06-15 20:14:16, Thu	INBOX	Btw, your iPhone is here	sms
2017-06-15 20:39:59, Thu	OUTBOX	Hey. Walking back now.	sms
2017-06-15 20:40:50, Thu	INBOX	K. :)\n\n Talking to [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] but I think we're wrapping up	sms
2017-06-15 20:42:54, Thu	INBOX	Good FOIA meeting? :D	sms
2017-06-15 20:43:25, Thu	OUTBOX	FOIA is hell.	sms
2017-06-15 23:10:16, Thu	INBOX	F*CK\n\nDon't go	sms
2017-06-15 23:10:41, Thu	OUTBOX	Uh. Okay. What's up?	sms
2017-06-15 23:11:23, Thu	INBOX	Case is open	sms
2017-06-15 23:11:40, Thu	OUTBOX	Oh jesus. By whom?	sms
2017-06-15 23:12:23, Thu	INBOX	[REDACTED] Calling Aaron now if you want to stop by	sms
2017-06-15 23:12:25, Thu	INBOX	It's worse. ...	sms
2017-06-15 23:15:01, Thu	OUTBOX	I'm outside	sms
2017-06-16 00:38:27, Fri	INBOX	God I'm so disappointed in us as an organization. I want to send 10 polygraphers to [REDACTED] and poly the whole office. Or do every. Single. Last. Employee.	sms
2017-06-16 00:42:36, Fri	INBOX	Because the thing with this one is we're SO bad that no one down here (or a couple of people in CID) knew. So you could literally just do the field office.	sms
2017-06-16 00:47:58, Fri	OUTBOX	So f it, let's do it.	sms
2017-06-16 00:50:32, Fri	INBOX	Have the A/DD pull the trigger....	sms
2017-06-16 00:51:17, Fri	OUTBOX	Yeah well I hold no sway over that guy.	sms

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body	Type
2017-06-16 00:51:25, Fri	INBOX	Plus, that will get out, and go a long way in the court of public opinion. Maybe. That, or evidence of just how f'ed up we are	sms
2017-06-16 00:52:05, Fri	INBOX	said we fired some in NY for leaking a few months ago. They sure were quiet about it.	sms
2017-06-16 02:54:09, Fri	OUTBOX	https://www.google.com/amp/www.newyorker.com/magazine/2017/05/15/taking-down-terrorists-in-court/amp	sms
2017-06-16 10:36:37, Fri	OUTBOX	The link is a HUGE profile of in the new Yorker from last month.	sms
2017-06-16 10:37:22, Fri	OUTBOX	And the guardian has a ref to me now which is completely exaggerated and overblown.	sms
2017-06-16 10:37:24, Fri	OUTBOX	Very	sms
2017-06-16 10:37:56, Fri	INBOX	Ooh! :) Link?	sms
2017-06-16 10:38:02, Fri	OUTBOX	Guardian suggests I am a Russia OC expert. It's ridiculous.	sms
2017-06-16 10:39:02, Fri	INBOX	That's not necessarily good for you, from the perspective that Russians and Russian OC people in particular aren't always nice. Guess you can cross those plans to visit St Petersburg off the list...	sms
2017-06-16 10:46:01, Fri	INBOX	Hmm. Just read it. I understand the various motivations - both in the media and maybe even Peter - but I also see various risks.	sms
2017-06-16 10:47:24, Fri	OUTBOX	I sent it to Peter, said it was all wrong. He said he (obviously) couldn't work with this outlet but to keep letting him know when things are wrong.	sms
2017-06-16 10:47:36, Fri	INBOX	I don't know how you correct it - realistically you probably dont. And it would probably be a good thing	sms
2017-06-16 10:49:01, Fri	OUTBOX	What about I don't know anyone who knows more than him.	sms
2017-06-16 10:49:06, Fri	INBOX	You saw you're in the print nyt, right?	sms
2017-06-16 10:49:30, Fri	INBOX	I was talking prosecutors, but maybe. Can he be trusted?	sms
2017-06-16 10:50:12, Fri	OUTBOX	Yes. Absolutely. I thought he was actually	sms
2017-06-16 10:50:35, Fri	INBOX	I'll call him today.	sms
2017-06-16 11:34:06, Fri	OUTBOX	That profile on was massively long. Was up until 11:15 reading and still didn't finish.	sms
2017-06-16 11:35:41, Fri	INBOX	I read a few paras and stopped	sms
2017-06-16 11:36:39, Fri	INBOX	Funny how flavors run. Clearly an "article 3 courts work" agenda. Would have loved a tenth as much fawning from	sms
2017-06-16 11:58:43, Fri	OUTBOX	Yup. It's unbelievably fawning. And learned that she's exactly my age. Maybe one more or less years as a lawyer. And is the exact same year as me, 2006 law school grad.	sms

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body	Type
2017-06-16 12:05:29, Fri	INBOX	So you need to be [REDACTED] And get an office. \U0001f612	sms
2017-06-16 12:06:38, Fri	INBOX	And worry less about being REALLY good. \U0001f636	sms
2017-06-16 12:09:31, Fri	OUTBOX	Yeah, that's not happening. I think mostly I just need to get over myself.	sms
2017-06-16 12:10:02, Fri	OUTBOX	Let go of the fact that I'm not going to be in the room for most things and just accept that.	sms
2017-06-16 12:12:55, Fri	OUTBOX	I also need to screw up the courage to tell Aaron about my vacation. It's in less than two weeks and the house owner just said phone reception isn't great there and has a landline for emergencies.	sms
2017-06-16 12:24:52, Fri	INBOX	Re your vacation, I'd rip off that bandaid today. Want me to nudge you?	sms
2017-06-17 02:07:00, Sat	INBOX	Also, [REDACTED] They'll be more agreeable to get out for the weekend.	sms
2017-06-17 02:09:02, Sat	OUTBOX	Yes, but not if we keep them until 5 in the summer.	sms
2017-06-17 02:11:27, Sat	INBOX	Fair point. Settles it. [REDACTED] then.	sms
2017-06-17 02:11:42, Sat	OUTBOX	But yes, [REDACTED] is where Aaron and I landed too.	sms
2017-06-17 02:19:15, Sat	INBOX	A) [REDACTED] is right. \nB [REDACTED]	sms
2017-06-17 02:20:43, Sat	OUTBOX	And nope lots of reasons [REDACTED] is preferable.	sms
2017-06-17 02:23:37, Sat	INBOX	[REDACTED] B) Why Fri?\n\n [REDACTED]	sms
2017-06-18 17:11:15, Sun	OUTBOX	Aaron just called to say he had spoken to some people (nfi) and had come up with a plan to have me fully integrated as [REDACTED] and asked me to give him two weeks to implement (ie [REDACTED]). It's funny, because now I truly don't want it anymore, but I feel like I'm stuck.	sms
2017-06-18 17:11:59, Sun	OUTBOX	I must have really freaked him out on Friday when I told him I wanted to join the [REDACTED]	sms
2017-06-18 17:31:31, Sun	INBOX	Hmm	sms
2017-06-18 17:33:53, Sun	INBOX	I worry he's freaking out because he doesn't want to track all the nickle-dime admin items that he hopes to give to you.\n\nDo YOU want that?	sms
2017-06-18 17:35:04, Sun	INBOX	Did you tell him you really wanted on the [REDACTED] When he and I spoke earlier in the week, he said he really thought the best place for you long term was [REDACTED]	sms
2017-06-18 17:36:19, Sun	INBOX	Wonder what changed. \n\nI worry it's the FOIA yuck, with a realization there will be a hundred things like that he doesn't want.	sms
2017-06-18 17:38:02, Sun	INBOX	On my end, it's getting harder to let go as I get the access I wanted and build the team...\U0001f615\n\nDespite that, you still think my better play is to go back?	sms

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body	Type
2017-06-18 18:04:54, Sun	OUTBOX	No, I don't! I really had found acceptance of my decision! And yeah, sure, I'll be in a couple of more meetings, but I'm still not going to be truly in. I don't want this, yet here I am.	sms
2017-06-18 18:05:47, Sun	OUTBOX	Have been thinking that maybe I'd offer [REDACTED] That'd be a good developmental post for [REDACTED] Though I'm sure they won't let me do that either.	sms
2017-06-18 18:06:51, Sun	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	sms
2017-06-18 18:20:18, Sun	INBOX	You should tell Aaron that. Let him know what you really want before [REDACTED] Did you tell him today?	sms
2017-06-18 18:21:16, Sun	INBOX	Good idea with [REDACTED] They just might, let them interview [REDACTED]	sms
2017-06-18 18:26:38, Sun	OUTBOX	No. I'll talk to him tomorrow. It was literally a five minute conversation, and I was [REDACTED] so I didn't feel like talking further.	sms
2017-06-18 18:33:42, Sun	INBOX	Perfect. \n\nDid you bounce the idea of SC off of [REDACTED]?	sms
2017-06-18 18:33:56, Sun	OUTBOX	Nope. Aaron called well after.	sms
2017-06-18 18:34:47, Sun	INBOX	I truly don't think you want what they envision for [REDACTED] They want a talented young detail oriented task master. I think you REALLY want case work. Even if that means you miss some meetings.	sms
2017-06-18 18:35:24, Sun	OUTBOX	Yup, I know. I'm there too.	sms
2017-06-18 18:35:30, Sun	INBOX	FOIA and macros and tracking due outs and the rest. You're more senior than that.	sms
2017-06-18 18:36:27, Sun	INBOX	Plus I think [REDACTED]'s senior enough you could be partners and also be Ok with her mentoring you. Makes a lot more sense than Andrew.	sms
2017-06-18 18:37:42, Sun	OUTBOX	And we talked for about an hour on Friday night and used exactly that word, partners. With a plan to give the grunt work to two of the younger attorneys.	sms
2017-06-18 18:45:41, Sun	INBOX	Yeah, I think you two would be super good together. \n\nAnd I'm getting you great agents and analysts...	sms
2017-06-18 19:10:13, Sun	OUTBOX	I know it! That's why I kinda just want to take it on, you know?	sms
2017-06-18 19:25:46, Sun	INBOX	I DO know!	sms
2017-06-18 19:26:26, Sun	INBOX	I'd be tempted to just work cases on this, too! [REDACTED]	sms
2017-06-18 21:24:44, Sun	INBOX	And woo! Just got [REDACTED] on board. \U0001f60a	sms
2017-06-18 23:48:00, Sun	OUTBOX	Who is that?	sms
2017-06-18 23:48:34, Sun	INBOX	[REDACTED] in SF	sms
2017-06-18 23:48:58, Sun	INBOX	[REDACTED] for a while, knows [REDACTED]	sms

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body	Type
2017-06-19 23:18:38, Mon	INBOX	You'll be thrilled to learn I TOLD THEM THIS AT THE [REDACTED] MEETING! \U0001f621	sms
2017-06-19 23:18:47, Mon	OUTBOX	Stop smiling when he says that. He's completely insulting out boss.	sms
2017-06-19 23:20:24, Mon	OUTBOX	The sucking all the air out of the room, talking too much.	sms
2017-06-19 23:23:13, Mon	INBOX	Oh. I completely get he's insulting him. And that bothers me. I'm NOT agreeing with him. \n\nAnd I don't remember him saying that recently in the coversation.	sms
2017-06-19 23:24:06, Mon	INBOX	That's why I explained the D's background in going.	sms
2017-06-19 23:54:06, Mon	INBOX	Did you correct his perception or let him know that maybe he wanted extra witnesses?	sms
2017-06-19 23:56:14, Mon	OUTBOX	Huh? He said it like 5 times. We must be talking about different things.	sms
2017-06-19 23:58:07, Mon	INBOX	Talk in 3?	sms
2017-06-19 23:59:53, Mon	OUTBOX	I guess. It's not that important.	sms
2017-06-20 00:30:23, Tue	INBOX	Hi. Hoping you got a chance to talk to Aaron about you. Of course want to hear about it if you did.	sms
2017-06-20 01:59:08, Tue	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] 've been in with Aaron for the last two hours. Another very good honest conversation.	sms
2017-06-20 02:26:09, Tue	INBOX	[REDACTED] absolutely want to hear about your convo with Aaron. I'm glad it went well. Where did you end up?\n\n(other than that, Mrs. Lincoln, how was the olay?)	sms
2017-06-20 02:26:16, Tue	INBOX	Play. Olay is not a word. \U0001f621	sms
2017-06-20 02:27:47, Tue	OUTBOX	Not a clear answer but an honest conversation about what I want ideally. A definite commitment to hire a number 2 for the chief of staff role.	sms
2017-06-20 02:29:53, Tue	INBOX	A number 2 for you if you take it? Are you taking it?	sms
2017-06-20 02:31:28, Tue	OUTBOX	Maybe, maybe not. Honestly, were just going to try it out while still trying to keep me on [REDACTED] team. And I got us both invited to the case deep dives they are doing every day this week.	sms
2017-06-20 02:42:41, Tue	INBOX	Thanks for the deep dives. I knew Andrew was going today but had our meeting as a conflict and didn't have a chance to say I should be there.	sms
2017-06-20 02:43:19, Tue	INBOX	The only way I knew it was [REDACTED] Forgot to mention. Frankly, forgot all about it.	sms
2017-06-20 02:44:03, Tue	INBOX	Now that I remember, it pisses me off. The FBI doesn't have a role in discussing the deep dives/path forward?	sms
2017-06-20 02:44:07, Tue	OUTBOX	Yeah, well Aaron just forgot about you but immediately added you, I has to push. quite directly, for me.	sms
2017-06-20 02:44:50, Tue	OUTBOX	It does [REDACTED] will be there in the future, and you/your role.	sms
2017-06-20 17:29:47, Tue	INBOX	I'm sorry I missed Z's walk thru. Though I guess I better get past that	sms

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body	Type
2017-06-20 17:30:05, Tue	OUTBOX	Ah. Got it. Yeah, I guess we can talk later. You coming in?	sms
2017-06-20 17:30:18, Tue	INBOX	Aaron was super apologetic about not having included on the initial invite	sms
2017-06-20 17:30:54, Tue	INBOX	Thinking about it.	sms
2017-06-20 17:31:07, Tue	OUTBOX	Yeah, he felt very badly when he told me too.	sms
2017-06-20 21:02:22, Tue	INBOX	And I deeply sincerely meant it earlier when I said I simply want you happy.	sms
2017-06-20 21:08:45, Tue	OUTBOX	Then don't join the team.	sms
2017-06-20 21:48:20, Tue	INBOX	[REDACTED]	sms
2017-06-20 22:28:47, Tue	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	sms
2017-06-20 22:31:49, Tue	INBOX	[REDACTED]	sms
2017-06-20 22:32:51, Tue	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	sms
2017-06-21 00:20:33, Wed	INBOX	And helpful, Moffa says HQ is completely f*cked up.	sms
2017-06-21 00:34:41, Wed	OUTBOX	Stop debating. Stop trying to reason it out. There's no reasoning. [REDACTED] don't want to work with you. Go help Bill make CD better, leave it better off than it was.	sms
2017-06-21 00:47:49, Wed	INBOX	Well with mtg pushed to Fri there is time. Lisa, I'm PULLED to the mission and the team, for the right reasons. You saw it! You know what it is in me and that it's pure and I'm good and you admire it! [REDACTED] Maybe I'm being dumb but throwing myself entirely and with my whole heart into a project may be exactly what that needs [REDACTED] And I take all of the points you made about my inability to control, prevent or even predict that.	sms
2017-06-21 00:53:48, Wed	OUTBOX	It doesn't NEED you. And I don't care if it does. Every conversation I've had with you about this still stands, and now I've asked you, begged you, not to. You do what you want.	sms
2017-06-21 02:27:10, Wed	INBOX	You have. [REDACTED] \U0001f614	sms
2017-06-21 04:41:33, Wed	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	sms
2017-06-21 09:54:04, Wed	INBOX	Are we on for 930 congressional timeline? And can we please talk today?	sms

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body	Type
2017-06-21 09:55:36, Wed	OUTBOX	No, no one ever responded besides [REDACTED] and now I have a 10.	sms
2017-06-21 09:58:39, Wed	INBOX	Yeah, sorry, I'm guilty, I didn't either.	sms
2017-06-22 12:48:15, Thu	OUTBOX	I'm thinking I might leave SC. Maybe hold to say something.	sms
2017-06-22 16:23:55, Thu	OUTBOX	Let me know when you are free.	sms
2017-06-22 16:36:54, Thu	INBOX	Free	sms
2017-06-22 16:37:04, Thu	INBOX	At desk at sco	sms
2017-06-22 16:37:21, Thu	OUTBOX	Number?	sms
2017-06-22 17:09:13, Thu	OUTBOX	One sec	sms
2017-06-22 19:17:24, Thu	OUTBOX	You go to [REDACTED]	sms
2017-06-22 19:29:55, Thu	INBOX	Yes. There now	sms
2017-06-22 19:30:43, Thu	INBOX	But I want to hear about [REDACTED] \U0001f615\n' [REDACTED] was on beachhead team, right?	sms
2017-06-22 19:32:42, Thu	OUTBOX	Headed up engagement [REDACTED]	sms
2017-06-22 19:33:29, Thu	INBOX	The whole [REDACTED] ?	sms
2017-06-22 19:33:48, Thu	OUTBOX	Pretty sure.	sms
2017-06-22 19:34:02, Thu	OUTBOX	Could be wrong, but I'm pretty sure.	sms
2017-06-22 19:34:21, Thu	INBOX	I remember that too	sms
2017-06-22 22:30:13, Thu	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] didn't get the job. Don't say anything to anyone. This place really does suck sometimes.	sms
2017-06-22 22:33:29, Thu	INBOX	What?#?!?	sms
2017-06-22 22:33:55, Thu	INBOX	That's bullsh*t	sms
2017-06-22 22:37:39, Thu	INBOX	Hev if you decide re sco let me know [REDACTED]	sms
2017-06-22 22:37:57, Thu	OUTBOX	Just tell [REDACTED] I'm not going.	sms
2017-06-22 23:03:39, Thu	INBOX	Let's sleep on it	sms
2017-06-22 23:05:59, Thu	INBOX	[REDACTED] tomorrow makes as much sense.	sms
2017-06-22 23:06:41, Thu	INBOX	Bill told me about [REDACTED] Does [REDACTED] know?\n\nAnd that board decision is a slap not only against [REDACTED] but also Andy. \U0001f621	sms

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body	Type
2017-06-22 23:08:46, Thu	OUTBOX	Yes [REDACTED] told me.	sms
2017-06-22 23:09:54, Thu	INBOX	Damn\n\nWas hoping Andy might overturn it. It makes me SO mad. F this place	sms
2017-06-22 23:10:32, Thu	OUTBOX	Well, [REDACTED] heard from Carl, so I don't know if it went to Andy yet. Maybe so.	sms
2017-06-22 23:27:21, Thu	INBOX	It sucks for [REDACTED]. I want to call [REDACTED] but I'll wait [REDACTED] [REDACTED] \U0001f636\U0001f621\U0001f614	sms
2017-06-25 14:32:59, Sun	OUTBOX	Please don't ever text me again.	sms