

State Reactions to the Syria Strikes of April 2018

	Justification with assertion of legality	Justification with possible claim of legality	No explicit commitment	Assertion of illegality
Involved/Affected States	United Kingdom*	United States*		Russia*
	France*			Syria

	Support with assertion of legality	Support without assertion of legality	No explicit commitment		Condemnation with assertion of illegality
			With reference to law	Without reference to law	
Third States		Colombia	Ethiopia*	Algeria	Eq. Guinea*
		Saint Lucia	Ivory Coast*	Chile	South Africa
		Australia	Argentina	Panama	Bolivia*
		Belgium	Brazil	Greece	Costa Rica
		Canada	Guatemala	India	Cuba
		Germany	Mexico	Iraq	Venezuela
		Israel	Peru*	Philippines	China*
		Italy	Uruguay		Iran
		Spain	Austria		Kazakhstan*
		Netherlands*	Sweden*		
		Turkey	Indonesia		
		Georgia	Kuwait*		
		Poland*	Malaysia		
		Ukraine	Pakistan		
		Oman	Thailand		
		Qatar	Vietnam		
		UAE	African Union		
		Japan			
		Rep. of Korea			
		NATO			

Legend	
Europe & Others Group	Asia-Pacific Group
Latin America & Caribbean Group	African Group
Eastern European Group	Intergovernmental Organization
* = UNSC Member	
(States organized by UN Regional Groups)	

Criteria	Explanation
Support with assertion of legality	The State expresses support for the action and offers a legal reasoning for it
Support without assertion of legality	The State expresses some kind of political support for the strikes but does not pronounce on their legality
No explicit commitment with reference to law	The State neither explicitly supports or condemns the strikes but invokes legal principles regarding use of force
No explicit commitment without reference to law	The State neither explicitly supports or condemns the strikes and does not invoke legal principles regarding use of force
Condemnation with assertion of illegality	The State condemns the strikes and concludes they are illegal