

State Reactions to the Syria Strikes of April 2018

| | Justification with assertion of legality | Justification with possible claim of legality | No explicit commitment | Assertion of illegality |
|--------------------------|--|---|------------------------|-------------------------|
| Involved/Affected States | United Kingdom* | United States* | | Russia* |
| | France* | | | Syria |

| | Support with assertion of legality | Support without assertion of legality | No explicit commitment | | Condemnation with assertion of illegality |
|--------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| | | | With reference to law | Without reference to law | |
| Third States | | Colombia | Ethiopia* | Algeria | Eq. Guinea* |
| | | Saint Lucia | Ivory Coast* | Chile | South Africa |
| | | Australia | Argentina | Panama | Bolivia* |
| | | Belgium | Brazil | Greece | Costa Rica |
| | | Canada | Guatemala | India | Cuba |
| | | Germany | Mexico | Iraq | Venezuela |
| | | Israel | Peru* | Philippines | China* |
| | | Italy | Uruguay | | Iran |
| | | Spain | Austria | | Kazakhstan* |
| | | Netherlands* | Sweden* | | |
| | | Turkey | Indonesia | | |
| | | Georgia | Kuwait* | | |
| | | Poland* | Malaysia | | |
| | | Ukraine | Pakistan | | |
| | | Oman | Thailand | | |
| | | Qatar | Vietnam | | |
| | | UAE | African Union | | |
| | | Japan | | | |
| | | Rep. of Korea | | | |
| | | NATO | | | |

| Legend | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| Europe & Others Group | Asia-Pacific Group |
| Latin America & Caribbean Group | African Group |
| Eastern European Group | Intergovernmental Organization |
| * = UNSC Member | |
| (States organized by UN Regional Groups) | |

| Criteria | Explanation |
|---|---|
| Support with assertion of legality | The State expresses support for the action and offers a legal reasoning for it |
| Support without assertion of legality | The State expresses some kind of political support for the strikes but does not pronounce on their legality |
| No explicit commitment with reference to law | The State neither explicitly supports or condemns the strikes but invokes legal principles regarding use of force |
| No explicit commitment without reference to law | The State neither explicitly supports or condemns the strikes and does not invoke legal principles regarding use of force |
| Condemnation with assertion of illegality | The State condemns the strikes and concludes they are illegal |